



Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

AGENDA

MONTHLY MEETING
Tuesday July 20, 2021
1:30 PM

CONFERENCE CALL ONLY
Conference number: (253) 372-2181 ID: 662593855#

- 1. Call to Order Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
- 2. Roll Call Joan Owens, EFSEC Staff
- 3. Proposed Agenda Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
- 4. Minutes **Meeting Minutes**..... Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair
 - June 15, 2021 Monthly Meeting Minutes
- 5. Projects
 - a. Kittitas Valley Wind Project
 - Operational Updates..... Eric Melbardis, EDP Renewables
 - b. Wild Horse Wind Power Project
 - Operational Updates..... Jennifer Galbraith, Puget Sound Energy
 - c. Chehalis Generation Facility
 - Operational Updates..... Mark Miller, Chehalis Generation
 - d. Grays Harbor Energy Center
 - Operational Updates..... Chris Sherin, Grays Harbor Energy
 - e. Columbia Generating Station
 - Operational Updates..... Mary Ramos, Energy Northwest
 - f. WNP – 1/4
 - Non-Operational Updates..... Mary Ramos, Energy Northwest
 - g. Columbia Solar
 - Project Updates..... Owen Hurd, Tuusso Energy
 - Staff Update..... Kyle Overton, EFSEC Staff
 - h. Desert Claim
 - Project Updates..... Amy Moon, EFSEC Staff
 - i. Horse Heaven Wind Farm
 - Project Updates..... Amy Moon, EFSEC Staff
 - j. Goose Prairie Solar
 - Project Updates..... Kyle Overton, EFSEC Staff
- 6. Other
 - 1st Quarter Cost allocation..... Sonia Bumpus, EFSEC Staff
- 7. Adjourn..... Kathleen Drew, EFSEC Chair

Note: "FINAL ACTION" means a collective positive or negative decision, or an actual vote by a majority of the members of a governing body when sitting as a body or entity, upon a motion, proposal, resolution, order, or ordinance. RCW 42.30.020

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting

Washington State Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

June 15, 2021



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/15/2021

Page 1

WASHINGTON STATE
ENERGY FACILITY SITE EVALUATION COUNCIL
Tuesday, June 15, 2021
1:30 P.M.

(All participants appearing via Microsoft Teams.)

Monthly Council Meeting
Verbatim Transcript of Proceedings

DATE TAKEN: JUNE 15, 2021
REPORTED BY: PATSY D. JACOY, CCR 2348

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES

2

3 Councilmembers:

4 KATHLEEN DREW, Chair

5 KATE KELLY, Department of Commerce

6 LENNY YOUNG, Department of Natural Resources

7 STACEY BREWSTER, UTC

8 ROBERT DENGEL, Department of Ecology

9 MIKE LIVINGSTON, Department of Fish and Wildlife

10 Local Government and Optional State Agencies Goose

11 Prairie:

12

13 BILL SAURIOL

14 Goose Prairie, Department of Transportation

15 JON THOMPSON

16 Assistant Attorney General

17

18 EFSEC Staff:

19

20 JOAN OWENS

21 AMI KIDDER

22 AMY MOON

23 KYLE OVERTON

24 SONIA BUMPUS

25 PATTY BETTS

26 STEWART HENDERSON

Also in Attendance:

JUDGE TOREM

ERIC MELBARDIS, Kittitas Valley

JENNIFER GALBRAITH, Wild Horse

MARK MILLER, Chehalis Generation

BILL SHERMAN, Counsel for the Environment

MEGAN SALLOMI, Counsel for the Environment

OWEN HURD, TUUSSO Energy

BLAKE BJORNSON, One Energy

DAVID KOBUS, Scout Clean Energy

TIM McMAHAN, Stael Rives

Page 3

1 LACEY, WASHINGTON; JUNE 15, 2021

2 1:32 P.M.

3 --oOo--

4 P R O C E E D I N G S

5

6 CHAIR DREW: We will begin. Thanks,

7 everybody, for your patience. This is Kathleen Drew,

8 chair of Washington's Energy Facility Site Evaluation

9 Council and I'm calling our June meeting to order. I

10 want to start by saying in addition to our recording of

11 this meeting, we are also being recorded by TVW, so

12 just to let everyone know.

13 I will start by asking our clerk, Ms. Owens,

14 to call the roll.

15 MS. OWENS: Department of Commerce?

16 MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, present.

17 MS. OWENS: Department of Ecology?

18 MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel, present.

19 MS. OWENS: Department of Fish and

20 Wildlife?

21 MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston,

22 present.

23 MS. OWENS: Department of Natural

24 Resources?

25 MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young, present.

Page 4

1 MS. OWENS: Utilities and Transportation

2 Commission?

3 MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, present.

4 MS. OWENS: For the Goose Prairie

5 project, Department of Transportation?

6 MR. SAURIOL: Bill Sauriol, present.

7 MS. OWENS: For the Horse Heaven

8 project, Department of Agriculture?

9 CHAIR DREW: Excused.

10 MS. OWENS: Benton County?

11 CHAIR DREW: Excused.

12 MS. OWENS: Thank you. The assistant

13 attorney general?

14 MR. THOMPSON: This is Jon Thompson,

15 present.

16 MS. OWENS: Administrative law judges,

17 Johnette Sullivan? Adam Torem?

18 MR. TOREM: This is Judge Torem, I'm on

19 the line.

20 MS. OWENS: For Council staff, Sonia

21 Bumpus?

22 MS. BUMPUS: This is Sonia Bumpus.

23 MS. OWENS: Ami Kidder?

24 MS. KIDDER: Ami Kidder, present.

25 MS. OWENS: Amy Moon?

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/15/2021

Page 5	Page 7
<p>1 MS. MOON: Amy Moon, present. 2 MS. OWENS: Kyle Overton? 3 MR. OVERTON: Kyle Overton here. 4 MS. OWENS: Patty Betts? 5 MS. BETTS: Patty Betts, present. 6 MS. OWENS: Stew Henderson? 7 MR. HENDERSON: Stew Henderson, here. 8 MS. OWENS: Stephen Posner? Okay. And 9 I see we have our court reporter on the line. Can you 10 please identify yourself? 11 COURT REPORTER: Yes, my name is Patsy 12 Jacoy, J-A-C-O-Y. 13 MS. OWENS: Thank you. For operational 14 updates, Kittitas Valley Wind? 15 MR. MELBARDIS: Eric Melbardis, present. 16 MS. OWENS: Wild Horse Wind Power 17 project? 18 MS. GALBRAITH: Jennifer Galbraith, 19 present. 20 MS. OWENS: Grays Harbor Energy Center? 21 CHAIR DREW: Excused. 22 MS. OWENS: Chehalis Generation 23 facility? 24 MR. MILLER: Mark Miller, present. 25 MS. OWENS: Columbia Generating Station?</p>	<p>1 Rives law firm. 2 CHAIR DREW: Okay. With that we will 3 move to our proposed agenda. You see that on the 4 screen and you've received it ahead of time. 5 Councilmembers, is there a motion to adopt the proposed 6 agenda? 7 MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel, motion to adopt 8 agenda. 9 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Any discussion? 10 Oh, second? Let's get a second on the record. 11 MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, I'll 12 second. 13 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Is there any 14 discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of 15 adopting the proposed agenda please say aye. 16 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye. 17 CHAIR DREW: All those opposed? Agenda 18 is adopted. 19 Moving on to the meeting minutes for our 20 May 18th monthly meeting minutes, they're on the 21 screen. Is there a motion to approve the minutes, 22 Ms. Kelly? 23 MS. KELLY: Madam Chair, I move to 24 approve the minutes. 25 CHAIR DREW: Is there a second?</p>
Page 6	Page 8
<p>1 MS. MOON: Hi. Mary Ramos isn't here 2 today, so I'll read for her. 3 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. 4 MS. OWENS: Thank you. Counsel for the 5 Environment? 6 MR. SHERMAN: Bill Sherman as counsel 7 for the Environment. Also with me is Megan Sallomi 8 from our division. 9 MS. OWENS: Thank you. Chair, there is 10 a quorum. 11 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Is there anyone 12 else on the line who would like to introduce 13 themselves? 14 MR. HURD: Owen Hurd from -- 15 MR. BJORNSON: Blake Bjornson, here. 16 CHAIR DREW: Okay, so one at a time. I 17 heard Owen Hurd from TUUSSO Energy, yes? 18 MR. HURD: Yes. 19 CHAIR DREW: And then Blake? 20 MR. BJORNSON: You've got Blake Bjornson 21 from One Energy for Goose Prairie Solar. 22 MR. KOBUS: And David Kobus with Scout 23 Clean Energy, Horse Heaven project. 24 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. 25 MR. McMAHAN: Tim McMahan with Stole</p>	<p>1 MR. LIVINGSTON: Mike Livingston will 2 second. 3 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. I believe there 4 are some corrections, Ms. Kelly. 5 MS. KELLY: Thank you, Madam Chair. 6 Yes, if you look at page 2 of the minutes where it 7 talks about the Councilmembers' appearances, it just 8 needs to be corrected to reflect who actually was there 9 and what agency they were representing because it 10 doesn't do that currently. 11 CHAIR DREW: I believe under the 12 appearances we need to add Rob Dengel from the 13 Department of Ecology and we also have to add the Horse 14 Heaven project and both Mr. -- when I checked the 15 minutes, Mr. Brost and Mr. Sandison were both at that 16 meeting. 17 MR. DENGEL: Thank you. This is Rob 18 Dengel. 19 MS. KELLY: And as -- and I don't -- I 20 don't -- I represent the Department of Commerce, not 21 Ecology, so my name should have that. 22 CHAIR DREW: Oh, I missed that. Thank 23 you. There we go. 24 And Ms. Owens, you'll work with our court 25 reporter to make sure that those corrections are made?</p>

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/15/2021

Page 9	Page 11
<p>1 MS. OWENS: I will correct the minutes, 2 yes. 3 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. With those 4 changes, all those in favor of approving the minutes 5 from the May 18th counsel meeting please say "aye." 6 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye. 7 CHAIR DREW: All those opposed? The 8 minutes as amended are approved. 9 Moving to our facility updates, first we have 10 Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project. Mr. Melbardis. 11 MR. MELBARDIS: Good afternoon, Chair 12 Drew, EFSEC Council and staff. For the record, this is 13 Eric Melbardis with EDP Renewables for the Kittitas 14 Valley Wind Power Project. I have nothing nonroutine 15 to report for the period. 16 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. I do see that 17 you had a monthly -- you had a capacity factor of over 18 50 percent for the month of May. 19 MR. MELBARDIS: Yes, yes, we did, and 20 pleasantly windy for a wind farm. 21 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Moving on to 22 our next facility, Wild Horse Wind Facility, 23 Ms. Galbraith. 24 MS. GALBRAITH: Yes, thank you Chair 25 Drew, Councilmembers and staff. This is Jennifer</p>	<p>1 quality assurance issue. Therefore, we remobilized the 2 stack sampling contractor to pull new samples for VOC 3 analysis at a different laboratory. The follow-up 4 stack sampling was conducted on Friday, June 4th and we 5 are waiting for follow-up laboratory analysis results. 6 The final RATA report will be reviewed by 7 PacifiCorp corporate environmental staff prior to being 8 submitted to EFSEC staff later this week or early next 9 week. 10 Are there any questions? 11 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions 12 from Council? I heard an echo. Ms. Owens, are you 13 also hearing an echo from me? I am hearing an echo. 14 MS. OWENS: Yes, I believe somebody 15 might have their microphone open. 16 CHAIR DREW: Oh. 17 MR. MILLER: Yeah, I think it stopped. 18 CHAIR DREW: Okay, thank you. Thank 19 you, Mr. Miller. Are there any questions from 20 Councilmembers? Okay, hearing none, we will move on to 21 our next update which is the Grays Harbor Center, Grays 22 Harbor Energy. Mr. Overton will make that report. 23 MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you. This is 24 Kyle Overton, the EFSEC site specialist for the Grays 25 Harbor facility. This month Grays Harbor had no</p>
Page 10	Page 12
<p>1 Galbraith with Puget Sound Energy with the Wild Horse 2 Wind Facility. For the month of May I have one 3 nonroutine item and that is the Kittitas County Fire 4 Marshal conducted the annual fire, life and safety 5 inspection on May 24th. A couple of minor items were 6 identified during the inspection and were either 7 resolved during the inspection or are in the process of 8 being resolved. And that's all I have. 9 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. I did see that, 10 and congratulations for the very good safety inspection 11 results. 12 MS. GALBRAITH: Thank you. 13 CHAIR DREW: Moving on to -- thank 14 you -- Chehalis Generation Facility, Mr. Miller. 15 MR. MILLER: Good afternoon, Chair Drew, 16 EFSEC Council and staff. This is Mark Miller, the 17 plant manager representing the PacifiCorp Chehalis 18 Generation Facility. I have one nonroutine note in the 19 submitted report for the month of May. 20 As mentioned in the April report, the Chehalis 21 plant conducted an annual relative accuracy test audit 22 of continuous submission monitors during the last week 23 of April. After reviewing the laboratory analysis of 24 the volatile organic carbon components, we believe that 25 the analysis results were suspect due to a laboratory</p>	<p>1 nonroutine items to report. 2 Any questions? 3 CHAIR DREW: Any questions? Thank you, 4 Mr. Overton. 5 MR. OVERTON: Thank you. 6 CHAIR DREW: Moving on to Columbia 7 Generating Station and WNP-1 and 4, and we will hear 8 the report from Ms. Amy Moon. 9 MS. MOON: Thank you, Chair Drew. This 10 is Amy Moon, EFSEC staff, I'm a facility site 11 specialist. Mary Ramos had another engagement and 12 couldn't make it today, so I'll read her report. This 13 is actually for Columbia Generating Station as well as 14 WNP-1 and 4. 15 At Columbia Generating Station, on 16 April 23, 2021, EFSEC responded to Energy Northwest's 17 comment addressing federal preemption of Washington 18 State's authority to regulate the Columbia Generating 19 Station, otherwise known as CGS, radioactive air 20 emissions. And on May 26th Energy Northwest submitted 21 a response and requested a meeting with EFSEC to 22 discuss the next steps for further coordination with 23 EFSEC and Department of Health. And an upcoming event 24 is on May -- on May 8th Energy Northwest began its R25 25 refueling and maintenance outage which is a target</p>

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/15/2021

Page 13

1 duration of 35 days which I believe would be this
 2 Thursday to conclude, and there's no updates for WNP-1
 3 and 4.
 4 Any questions?
 5 CHAIR DREW: Thank you, Ms. Moon. And
 6 as I understand it, what Columbia Generating Station
 7 and staff and EFSEC staff will talk about with the
 8 Department of Health where there are overlaps and
 9 review those requirements. So you are all in the
 10 process of setting up a meeting to discuss that; is
 11 that correct?
 12 MS. MOON: Correct, it's still an
 13 ongoing work in progress.
 14 CHAIR DREW: Work in progress.
 15 MS. MOON: About radioactive air
 16 emissions.
 17 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 18 MS. MOON: Yes, you're welcome.
 19 CHAIR DREW: Any other questions? Okay.
 20 We will move on to Desert Claim Wind Power Project.
 21 Ms. Moon, you're back up.
 22 MS. MOON: Okay, thank you, Chair Drew
 23 and Councilmembers. I was having a mouse problem.
 24 So for the record, this is Amy Moon providing
 25 an update on the Desert Claim Project. EFSEC staff

Page 14

1 continued to coordinate with Desert Claim. However,
 2 there are no project updates at this time.
 3 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Okay. Now we
 4 are moving on to the Columbia Solar Project. And we
 5 start with Mr. Kyle Overton.
 6 MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you. This is
 7 Kyle Overton, the EFSEC staff for Columbia Solar.
 8 There's a lot of stuff to go over today, so it would be
 9 in a couple different sections.
 10 First, EFSEC staff are finalizing construction
 11 phase plan review in preparation for an anticipated
 12 start date of construction at the end of this month.
 13 Per the site certification agreements or SCAs for the
 14 three sites, all plans and necessary permits must be
 15 approved prior to beginning site preparation and
 16 construction activities. The EFSEC manager has been
 17 delegated authority to approve the required plans, save
 18 the initial site restoration plan, or ISRP, which must
 19 be approved by the Council.
 20 Before I move on to the next part, is there
 21 any questions on that part of the update?
 22 CHAIR DREW: Any questions? Go ahead.
 23 MR. OVERTON: Okay. So this brings us
 24 to a discussion on the ISRP, WAC 463.72.040 and Article
 25 4 of the site certification agreements require the

Page 15

1 approval by the Council of an initial site restoration
 2 plan. This plan must document the process and
 3 necessary requirements to restore each site to an
 4 approximate pre-project conditions or better. The
 5 Council were provided copies of the proposed plans on
 6 June 1st for their review and consideration.
 7 At this time, EFSEC staff are recommending the
 8 Council vote to approve the initial site restoration
 9 plans for the Urtica, Penstemon and Camas sites for the
 10 Columbia Solar Project.
 11 Any questions on that part?
 12 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions?
 13 Mr. Dengel, will you make a motion?
 14 MR. DENGEL: Rob Dengel, Ecology. I
 15 would like to make a motion to approve the initial site
 16 remediation plans for the Camas, Penstemon and Urtica
 17 sites.
 18 CHAIR DREW: Uritca there.
 19 MR. DENGEL: Uritca, my apologies.
 20 CHAIR DREW: That's okay. Is there a
 21 second?
 22 MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, second.
 23 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. You've had
 24 those plans to review. Are there any questions or
 25 comments? Hearing none, all those in favor of

Page 16

1 approving the initial site restoration plan, please say
 2 "aye."
 3 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.
 4 CHAIR DREW: All those opposed? The
 5 motion carries. We have approved the initial site
 6 restoration plan for the Columbia Solar Project. Thank
 7 you, all. Mr. Overton?
 8 MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you.
 9 Continuing on, the next part of the briefing here for
 10 Columbia Solar is that a notice of intent for each of
 11 the three sites for requesting coverage under a general
 12 construction stormwater permit was submitted by the
 13 certificate holder on April 28th. In accordance with
 14 WAC 463-76-041, a 30-day public comment period began on
 15 May 19th and will conclude on June 18th. To date, no
 16 comments have been received.
 17 In order to facilitate the construction
 18 approval process, EFSEC staff is requesting the Council
 19 to consider voting on a conditional approval for
 20 granting coverage under the Ecology construction
 21 stormwater general permit at this time. If no
 22 substantial comments are received upon the close of the
 23 comment period, the general stormwater permits would
 24 then be issued. If substantive comments are received,
 25 the permits would not be issued and EFSEC staff would

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/15/2021

Page 17

1 return to the Council with the comments and a
 2 recommendation on permit issuance.
 3 Are there any questions?
 4 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions for
 5 Mr. Overton? Hearing none, Mr. Dengel, would you like
 6 to make a motion?
 7 MR. DENGEL: Yes, I'd like to make a
 8 motion to grant coverage under the Ecology construction
 9 stormwater general permit in the event that no
 10 substantive comments are received.
 11 CHAIR DREW: Is there a second?
 12 MS. BREWSTER: Stacey Brewster, second.
 13 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Any discussion?
 14 I think that seeing that there haven't been any
 15 comments received to date and the comment period ends
 16 at the end of this week that, you know, as you heard
 17 Mr. Overton state, should there be any substantive
 18 comments received it will not go forward in this
 19 motion, but I think this way we can facilitate moving
 20 forward if we have no substantive comments.
 21 All those in favor please say "aye."
 22 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.
 23 CHAIR DREW: All those opposed? The
 24 motion carries, thank you. Is there anything else,
 25 Mr. Overton?

Page 18

1 MR. OVERTON: No, Chair Drew, that is
 2 all I have for Columbia Solar. Thank you, everybody.
 3 CHAIR DREW: Thank you, everyone. Oh,
 4 go ahead.
 5 MS. KELLY: Oh, this is Kate Kelly,
 6 Chair Drew. I just -- before we left Columbia Solar, I
 7 just was wanting to make sure I understood what needs
 8 to happen before construction can begin. It sounds
 9 like construction is going to begin in like two weeks.
 10 So I mean understandably the stormwater permit public
 11 comment period has to expire, but what else has to
 12 happen?
 13 CHAIR DREW: Mr. Overton?
 14 MR. OVERTON: Yes, I can speak to that.
 15 There's a couple things that need to happen for
 16 Columbia Solar to be granted approval to start
 17 construction. The first of those, like you mentioned,
 18 is the stormwater permit. Any necessary permit would
 19 be required to be in place and approved. There's also
 20 several plans that need to be approved, one of those
 21 being the initial site restoration plan that the
 22 Council is required to vote on which was approved
 23 earlier, and the rest of the required plans, and
 24 there's several of them, such as public safety, fire
 25 control plan, construction management plan, those also

Page 19

1 need to be approved and that authority has been
 2 delegated to the EFSEC manager, Sonia Bumpus. So once
 3 all those plans and their permits are approved, then at
 4 that point they've fulfilled their requirements under
 5 the site certification agreement to begin construction.
 6 CHAIR DREW: And you've received all of
 7 those plans and permits and they have been going
 8 through review and basically are awaiting maybe final
 9 signoff; is that correct?
 10 MS. OWENS: Correct, yeah, we're in the
 11 very final stages of getting the rest of the plans
 12 approved. Hopefully within the next week or so we'll
 13 have that completed.
 14 MS. KELLY: And one follow-up question,
 15 Chair.
 16 CHAIR DREW: Sure.
 17 MS. KELLY: So the initial application
 18 had five sites in it and now there's three. The other
 19 two are not going to be developed; is that correct?
 20 CHAIR DREW: Perhaps we could have
 21 Mr. Owen Hurd -- first of all, I want to say
 22 congratulations that, you know, moving forward with
 23 these three sites, but I do know you were on the call
 24 and perhaps you would like to respond to that question.
 25 MR. HURD: Yeah, thank you, Chair Drew,

Page 20

1 Councilmembers and staff. That's correct, we're in the
 2 process of preparing a motion that will go in front of
 3 the Council for consideration that will both withdraw
 4 the Typha and Fumaria projects and also contemplate a
 5 transfer of the SCA and so those will be forthcoming.
 6 But that is -- that is correct, those two projects
 7 encountered problems that cannot be overcome and so
 8 we'll be moving forward with just the three projects
 9 that were discussed today.
 10 CHAIR DREW: Thank you.
 11 MR. HURD: Yeah.
 12 CHAIR DREW: Does that answer your
 13 questions?
 14 MS. KELLY: Thank you, Madam Chair, that
 15 just raised another question. About the transfer of
 16 the SCA, so that would be an ownership transfer of
 17 the --
 18 CHAIR DREW: Yes.
 19 MS. KELLY: -- of the project I guess?
 20 CHAIR DREW: Yes, and we haven't
 21 received that information yet, but Mr. Hurd, who is
 22 with TUUSSO Energy, has notified the staff that that's
 23 in process, so we will hear about it and be able to
 24 examine that before, but we do vote on that.
 25 MS. KELLY: Okay. That's helpful.

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/15/2021

Page 21	Page 23
<p>1 CHAIR DREW: Okay. 2 MS. KELLY: Thank you. 3 CHAIR DREW: Anything else, Ms. Bumpus, 4 that you would like to add? 5 MS. BUMPUS: No, that's -- that covers 6 everything, yeah. 7 CHAIR DREW: Okay. 8 MS. BUMPUS: More to follow on that. 9 CHAIR DREW: Yes, yes. Thank you. In 10 the meantime we look forward to the start of 11 construction. 12 So moving on then to our next item, the Goose 13 Prairie Solar Project. Mr. Overton. 14 MR. OVERTON: Yes, thank you again. 15 This is Kyle Overton, the site specialist for the Goose 16 Prairie Project. Staff are in the final stages of 17 application review and are coordinating with the 18 applicant and our contract agencies to finalize 19 development of a staff memo for the SEPA responsible 20 official's review. There will be more information on 21 that in a moment. 22 As you will remember, on March 30th, EFSEC 23 Council and staff held a virtual land use hearing to 24 allow for testimony on land use consistency. In 25 preparation for this meeting the applicant submitted a</p>	<p>1 plans and zoning ordinances, one effect is that the 2 statute states that the planning authority shall not 3 thereafter change such land use plans or zoning 4 ordinances so as to affect the proposed site. So -- so 5 it's -- it serves a bit of a vesting function in that 6 regard. 7 The -- but the -- but the Council's 8 determination of land use consistency shouldn't be 9 confused with an endorsement of the project or a 10 decision on the application. It serves a narrower 11 function which I'll get to here in a second with the 12 standard that the Council has followed in the past 13 decisions as to what it means to be consistent and in 14 compliance. 15 But there's another aspect that plays in here, 16 and that is that the One Energy, the developer of the 17 Goose Prairie site, has submitted a request for 18 expedited processing, as they're entitled to do under 19 the statute, and in order to grant a request for 20 expedited processing, which results in the waiving of 21 the adjudicative hearing, the two requirements for that 22 is that you make a determination of land use 23 consistency, and also that the environmental impacts of 24 the projects -- project are not significant or can be 25 mitigated to nonsignificant levels. So that's a</p>
Page 22	Page 24
<p>1 letter to the Council dated March 12th that included a 2 certificate of consistency and compliance from Yakima 3 County. 4 At this time, staff recommend that the County 5 make a determination that the proposed project is 6 consistent and in compliance with County land use plans 7 and zoning ordinances per RCW 80.50.090. Jon Thompson 8 is on the call and can provide additional information 9 on land use as well. 10 CHAIR DREW: Mr. Thompson, why don't you 11 go ahead. 12 MR. THOMPSON: Yeah, Chair Drew and 13 Councilmembers, this is Jon Thompson, assistant 14 attorney general, I am EFSEC's legal advisor. I just 15 wanted to provide a little of the statutory context for 16 the land use consistency determination that you're 17 being asked to vote on. 18 So under RCW 80.50.090, EFSEC is required to 19 conduct a public hearing to determine whether or not a 20 proposed site is consistent and in compliance with 21 city, county or regional land use plans or zoning 22 ordinances. As Mr. Overton said, you had that -- you 23 had that hearing in March over the virtual platform. 24 The effect of a Council determination that 25 the -- that the proposed site conforms to land use</p>	<p>1 determination -- that second determination is one that 2 the EFSEC SEPA responsible official, which is the EFSEC 3 manager Sonia Bumpus, would make. 4 So -- so but then turning to the -- so the -- 5 so the land use consistency as you can see is a -- is a 6 input to the -- to a decision on expedited processing 7 from the Council. 8 So I want to turn to the -- what does it mean 9 to be consistent and in compliance with local land use. 10 So -- so basically, the standard that the Council has 11 developed is that the project is said to be consistent 12 if it can be either permitted outright or conditionally 13 in the zone in which the project is proposed. And in 14 this case, as it has been spoken to in a certificate 15 submitted by Yakima County Planning, the -- the -- 16 under the Yakima County code, the proposed facility is 17 considered to be -- meets the definition of a power 18 generating facility, and power generating facilities 19 that are proposed to be located in the agricultural 20 zoning district are considered type 3 uses under the 21 code, Yakima County code, and they are, quote, uses 22 which may be authorized subject to the approval of a 23 conditional use permit. Type 3 conditional uses are 24 not generally appropriate through the zoning district. 25 The type 3 uses require a hearing examiner review of</p>

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/15/2021

Page 25

1 applications. So in other words, it is -- projects of
 2 this sort are eligible to apply for a conditional use
 3 permit, and consistent with how the Council has
 4 previously addressed land use consistency, if a project
 5 would be -- could be permitted conditionally, then it
 6 is considered for purposes of this threshold land use
 7 consistency determination to be consistent and in
 8 compliance.
 9 So when a local land use authority submits
 10 this kind of a certificate attesting to the consistency
 11 of the proposed project with the land use regulations,
 12 then that's considered prima facie evidence of
 13 consistency, meaning it's accepted as correct unless
 14 it's proved otherwise or rebutted.
 15 So the Council didn't hear any -- any comment
 16 or evidence from any members of the public to challenge
 17 that assertion from the County, so -- so the Council
 18 has in front of it sufficient evidence with the -- with
 19 the certificate submitted by the County planning
 20 department to make the determination at this time as --
 21 as staff is recommending.
 22 So hopefully that provides you with a little
 23 more context for this and understanding of the
 24 significance of the -- of the decision that's in front
 25 of you. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to

Page 26

1 answer them.
 2 CHAIR DREW: Thank you, Mr. Thompson.
 3 Are there questions from Councilmembers? Okay, hearing
 4 none --
 5 MR. DENGEL: Oh, sorry, this is Rob
 6 Dengel at Ecology. I had a clarification question on
 7 the conditional use permit.
 8 CHAIR DREW: Go ahead.
 9 MR. DENGEL: And that is if we're -- if
 10 we make the determination that it's consistent with the
 11 land use laws, then can we make -- are we then bound to
 12 that determination when determining whether or not to
 13 issue a conditional -- a conditional use permit.
 14 CHAIR DREW: Mr. Thompson?
 15 MR. THOMPSON: Yes, thank you. So the
 16 way the Council has dealt with this in the past is to
 17 say that, you know, whether the applicable conditional
 18 use criteria are in fact met is a question for later
 19 EFSEC proceedings. So if the County process is to have
 20 a hearing examiner make a determination about whether
 21 the conditional use criteria that are set forth in the
 22 County code are met, then Council, the Council
 23 precedent, you know, for these types of things is to
 24 say that there will be some process provided for that
 25 determination later -- later in EFSEC's review of the

Page 27

1 SCA application. So there would need to be -- Council
 2 would need to address that in making an expedited
 3 processing determination.
 4 MR. DENGEL: Thank you for the
 5 clarification. That's very helpful.
 6 MR. LIVINGSTON: Chair, I do have a
 7 question.
 8 CHAIR DREW: Go ahead.
 9 MR. LIVINGSTON: And this is for staff.
 10 Can we just get an update on the progress of SEPA
 11 analysis?
 12 CHAIR DREW: I believe that they're
 13 ready to provide that next.
 14 MR. LIVINGSTON: Okay, okay.
 15 MS. OWENS: Yes, that is on the agenda.
 16 CHAIR DREW: Yeah, and you know, because
 17 we need both determinations to do expedited processing,
 18 we're taking one at a time. So if we can deal with
 19 this on land use consistency, then we'll move on to
 20 that next update.
 21 So is there a motion, Mr. Livingston?
 22 MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes.
 23 CHAIR DREW: Okay, go ahead.
 24 MR. LIVINGSTON: Yeah, I'd like to make
 25 a motion, Chair. So I'd like to make a motion that

Page 28

1 staff work with the administrative law judge to draft
 2 an order that incorporates the Council's determination
 3 on land use with the SEPA determination.
 4 CHAIR DREW: Well, let's -- can we back
 5 up? There was another suggestion sent out late this
 6 morning that we start -- and this is my fault, I should
 7 have clarified. Let's first have a motion on land use
 8 consistency. And so perhaps -- perhaps we should have
 9 a motion to determine that the proposed project is
 10 consistent and in compliance with County land use plans
 11 and zoning ordinances.
 12 Would you like to make that motion,
 13 Mr. Livingston?
 14 MR. LIVINGSTON: Sure. So I'd like to
 15 make a motion that land use consistency is in
 16 compliance with County zoning and permitting processes.
 17 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Is there a
 18 second?
 19 MR. YOUNG: Lenny Young, second.
 20 CHAIR DREW: Okay. Discussion? I think
 21 we've heard the discussion that the County has provided
 22 the certificate, there hasn't been any statement to the
 23 contrary by any member of the public. All those in
 24 favor, please say "aye."
 25 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/15/2021

Page 29

1 CHAIR DREW: All those opposed? Motion
 2 carries.
 3 Okay, let's now move on to the SEPA
 4 discussion. Mr. Overton.
 5 MS. KIDDER: If I could jump in, Chair
 6 Drew, for the record, this is Ami Kidder, and if it's
 7 all right, I would like to provide the Council with an
 8 update on the status of SEPA and propose next steps for
 9 Goose Prairie.
 10 CHAIR DREW: Okay, thank you.
 11 MS. KIDDER: Great, thank you so much.
 12 As Kyle mentioned previously, staff are continuing in
 13 coordination with the applicant and our contracted
 14 agencies. Staff are finalizing documents for a staff
 15 memo to the EFSEC manager who is the SEPA responsible
 16 official and staff are preparing to issue a mitigated
 17 determination of nonsignificance, or MDNS, which has
 18 the 14-day public comment period requirement associated
 19 with that. After the comment period, barring any
 20 substantive comments or need for revision to the MDNS,
 21 the SEPA process would be complete for Goose Prairie.
 22 Are there any questions on that?
 23 CHAIR DREW: Are there questions?
 24 Mr. Livingston, did you have -- want additional
 25 information?

Page 30

1 MR. LIVINGSTON: No, that's helpful for
 2 me. I was just interested in the status of it. I do
 3 want to make a comment and appreciate the thorough work
 4 that EFSEC staff have done to this point and for AG
 5 Thompson's assistance on understanding the process that
 6 we're in. It's thorough as usual and I really
 7 appreciate it.
 8 CHAIR DREW: Okay, thank you. Are there
 9 other questions from Councilmembers about the status of
 10 the SEPA determination? We have been joined by
 11 Ms. Kidder's youngsters on the microphone here.
 12 MS. KIDDER: Yes, sorry.
 13 CHAIR DREW: No, no worries. Any other
 14 questions from Councilmembers? Okay. Hearing none,
 15 the idea here is that we have a motion and I think
 16 Mr. Livingston started that before, so let's go back to
 17 that previous motion.
 18 MS. KIDDER: I can provide just a little
 19 bit more context if that's okay with you, Chair Drew.
 20 CHAIR DREW: Oh, yes, go ahead.
 21 MS. KIDDER: All right. Thank you. WAC
 22 463-43-050 states that a proposal is eligible for
 23 expedited process when, one, the proposed site is
 24 consistent and in compliance with city, county or
 25 regional land use plans or zoning ordinances, and two,

Page 31

1 the environmental impacts are not significant or may be
 2 mitigated to a nonsignificant level under RCW
 3 43-21C-031.
 4 So with the Council's determination of land
 5 use consistency today as well as the issuance of the
 6 MDNS, the required information will be available for
 7 the Council to make a decision on the applicant's
 8 request for expedited process. And staff at this time
 9 would like to request that the Council direct staff to
 10 draft an order which incorporates the land use decision
 11 and information about the SEPA decision into an order
 12 about expedited process that the Council can then
 13 discuss and vote on at the July Council meeting.
 14 CHAIR DREW: Are there any questions
 15 about that process? Mr. Livingston, would you like to
 16 make a motion?
 17 MR. LIVINGSTON: Yes. So I'd like to
 18 make a motion that staff work with the administrative
 19 law judge to draft an order that incorporates the
 20 Council's determination on the land use with SEPA
 21 determination and present that order to the Council for
 22 a vote on expedited processing at our July Council
 23 meeting.
 24 CHAIR DREW: Is there a second?
 25 MS. KELLY: Kate Kelly, second.

Page 32

1 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Discussion.
 2 There was a lot of -- there were a lot of steps to
 3 putting this together, so I appreciate everybody's
 4 patience with that, starting with the land use
 5 consistency and now moving on with the SEPA
 6 determination coming final -- being finalized by the
 7 SEPA responsible official who is Sonia Bumpus.
 8 What will happen between now and the next
 9 Council meeting is you all will get a draft order for
 10 expedited processing that you can review and provide
 11 comments back to staff on and so that will provide the
 12 justification in writing for both the land use
 13 consistency decision as well as describing the SEPA
 14 determination. So you will see that all in the draft
 15 of the order. And then we're not saying now that we
 16 approve that order, we're saying that we will -- or
 17 asking the staff to draft that, to send it to us, and
 18 that will be under consideration for us to determine
 19 whether or not to move forward with that order at our
 20 July meeting. Is that clear?
 21 COUNCILMEMBER: Yeah, clear.
 22 MS. BUMPUS: Chair Drew, this is Sonia
 23 Bumpus, I just wanted to -- may I address the Council?
 24 CHAIR DREW: Sure.
 25 MS. BUMPUS: Thank you, Chair Drew, and

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/15/2021

Page 33

1 good afternoon, Councilmembers, I didn't greet you
 2 earlier. I wanted to just add that when you get the
 3 draft order that staff would prepare, along with that
 4 you will also get the supporting documents for the SEPA
 5 determination. I realize that you have not seen those
 6 yet, they -- as staff discussed earlier, they have been
 7 in the works and I have been working closely with the
 8 staff as those are nearing finalization. But the
 9 Council will also get the SEPA staff memo and the MDNS
 10 supporting documents and so on, which as Chair Drew
 11 mentioned will be discussed in the order, but you'll
 12 also get those supporting documents. I just want to
 13 let the Councilmembers know that you will get those
 14 documents as well. Thank you.
 15 CHAIR DREW: And if anyone has a
 16 question, certainly contact Ms. Bumpus about any of
 17 those materials when you receive them. And she'd be
 18 happy to discuss in detail with any of you individually
 19 any questions you might have.
 20 Okay. We have a motion on the floor and a
 21 second and we've had some discussion. Is there any
 22 other discussion or questions? Hearing none, all those
 23 in favor of directing the staff to prepare the order
 24 for expedited processing, please say "aye."
 25 COUNCILMEMBERS: Aye.

Page 34

1 CHAIR DREW: All those opposed? The
 2 motion is adopted. Thank you, all.
 3 We will now move on to our Horse Heaven Wind
 4 Project update. Ms. Moon.
 5 MS. MOON: Yeah, good afternoon, Council
 6 Chair Drew and Councilmembers. For the record, this is
 7 Amy Moon providing an update on the Horse Heaven Wind
 8 Project. The comment period for the State
 9 Environmental Policy Act, aka SEPA, determination of
 10 significance and request for comments on scope of
 11 environmental impact statement which is -- the acronym
 12 is EIS, for the Horse Heaven Wind Project closed after
 13 a 30-day public comment period on June 10, 2021. A
 14 total of 361 comments were received during the comment
 15 period. EFSEC staff are processing the comments and
 16 working with our consultant Golder to identify the
 17 resources that require detailed analysis in the EIS.
 18 Does the Council have any questions?
 19 CHAIR DREW: Are there questions for
 20 Ms. Moon? Okay.
 21 MS. MOON: All right, thank you.
 22 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Okay.
 23 MR. DENGEL: Oh, sorry. Excuse me,
 24 Chair Drew, this is Rob Dengel.
 25 CHAIR DREW: Yes.

Page 35

1 MR. DENGEL: I was wondering if we could
 2 get the approximate next steps on Horse Heaven.
 3 CHAIR DREW: Okay.
 4 MR. DENGEL: Time frame wise.
 5 CHAIR DREW: Ms. Moon?
 6 MS. MOON: So Councilmember Dengel, the
 7 time frame has not been determined, that's a work in
 8 progress. We have to first go through the comments,
 9 identify what resources need the detailed analysis,
 10 and -- and we don't have a road map yet for that. So
 11 we'll have to give you an update at the next Council
 12 meeting and we should have it much more lined out by
 13 then.
 14 MR. DENGEL: Thank you very much.
 15 MS. MOON: You're welcome.
 16 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. Other
 17 questions? Okay. We are at the end of our facility
 18 and project updates. However, we have a couple items
 19 for the good of the order. First of all, Ms. Kidder
 20 has some updates on staffing.
 21 MS. KIDDER: Thank you, Chair Drew. We
 22 have -- are in the process of bringing on board two
 23 additional EFSEC site specialists who will be helping
 24 us address our workload both for the transmission
 25 corridor work group, which I think we're going to hear

Page 36

1 just a little bit more about in a moment, as well as
 2 our application review. Mr. Joseph Wood and a Mr. Sean
 3 Chisholm are starting with EFSEC tomorrow. So we will
 4 look forward to introducing them to the Council at next
 5 month's meeting.
 6 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. And also, Stew
 7 Henderson is going to give us a very short update about
 8 the transmission work group. That's a work group that
 9 is in the clean energy statute that has directed us to
 10 put together a transmission work group for a report
 11 back to the legislature by the end of 2023. So
 12 Mr. Henderson.
 13 MR. HENDERSON: Yes, thank you, Chair
 14 Drew. Yes, the Section 25 of the Clean Energy
 15 Transformation Act of 2019 asked you as the chair of
 16 EFSEC to pull together a Clean Energy Transformation
 17 Act -- excuse me, not -- transmission corridor work
 18 group to study -- to basically review the need for
 19 upgrade and new electrical transmission distribution
 20 facilities, identify areas where those might be
 21 located, and look at environmental review options that
 22 might be required and recommend ways to expedite those.
 23 It designated 18 members of that -- of the
 24 work group, eight from state government and ten from
 25 the private sector, as well as Bonneville Power

Verbatim Transcript of Monthly Council Meeting - 6/15/2021

Page 37

1 Administration and Department of Defense to make 20
2 total. And that -- although that was passed in 2019,
3 but that work was scheduled to -- that group was asked
4 to convene in July of 2021.

5 So we're working now on completing, gathering
6 the membership of that -- of that group, and I just
7 wanted to let folks know that we will be contacting
8 your agencies, the heads of your agencies, to request
9 their appointment of a member and you'll be cc'd on
10 that and hopefully you might be able to help make sure
11 that gets to the right person at the right time, and
12 you'll be hearing more about that as we go forward.

13 CHAIR DREW: Thank you. So just so that
14 you will be able to let your agencies know, please
15 respond to our request for a participant.

16 So thank you very much. I think that's all
17 the business to come before us today. So we will be
18 again providing you with the draft order before our
19 July meeting with plenty of time for your review which
20 will also include the SEPA documentation and we will
21 then prepare to have -- take action on that at our
22 July 20th meeting.

23 So with that, this meeting of the EFSEC
24 Council is adjourned. Thank you, all.
25 (Adjourned at 2:22 p.m.)

Page 38

1 CERTIFICATE

2
3 STATE OF WASHINGTON)
4)
5 COUNTY OF KING)

6 I, Patricia D. Jacoy, a Certified
7 Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington,
8 do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript taken
9 on June 15, 2021 is true and accurate to the best of my
10 knowledge, skill and ability.



11
12
13
14 Patricia D. Jacoy, CSR 2348
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update Format

Facility Name: Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project

Operator: EDP Renewables

Report Date: July 6

Reporting Period: June 2021

Site Contact: Eric Melbardis, Sr Operations Manager

Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance (only applicable for operating facilities)

- Power generated: 30,205 MWh
 - Wind speed: 8 m/s
 - Capacity Factor: 41.6%
-

Environmental Compliance

- No incidents

Safety Compliance

- Nothing to report

Current or Upcoming Projects

- Nothing to report

Other

- No sound complaints
- No shadow flicker complaints

EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Wild Horse Wind Facility
Operator: Puget Sound Energy
Report Date: July 7, 2021
Report Period: June 2021
Site Contact: Jennifer Galbraith
SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance

June generation totaled 57,763 MWh for an average capacity factor of 29.43%.

Environmental Compliance

Nothing to report.

Safety Compliance

Nothing to report.

Current or Upcoming Projects

Nothing to report.

Other

Nothing to report.

EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Chehalis Generation Facility
Operator: PacifiCorp
Report Date: June 7, 2021
Reporting Period: May 2021
Site Contact: Mark A. Miller, Plant Manager
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance

- Relevant energy generation information, such as wind speed, number of windy or sunny days, gas line supply updates, etc.
 - 179,807 MW-hrs generated in June for year-to-date generation of 1,100,022 MW-hrs and a YTD capacity factor of 51.08%.
-

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance

- Permit status if any changes.
 - No changes.
- Update on progress or completion of any mitigation measures identified.
 - No issues or updates.
- Any EFSEC-related inspections that occurred.
 - None.
- Any EFSEC-related complaints or violations that occurred.
 - None.
- Brief list of reports submitted to EFSEC during the monthly reporting period.
 - None.

Safety Compliance

- Safety training or improvements that relate to SCA conditions.
 - Zero injuries this reporting period and a total of 2,131 days without a Lost Time Accident.

Current or Upcoming Projects

- Planned site improvements.
 - No planned changes.
- Upcoming permit renewals.



- Title V Air Operating Permit Complete Renewal Package submitted on December 23, 2020. Title V AOP expires December 29, 2021.

-Additional mitigation improvements or milestones.

- No issues or updates.

Other

-Current events of note (e.g., Covid response updates, seasonal concerns due to inclement weather, etc.).

- Nothing to report.

-Personnel changes as they may relate to EFSEC facility contacts (e.g., introducing a new staff member who may provide facility updates to the Council).

- The Environmental Analyst for the Chehalis plant position is open and has been posted for re-fill. We are currently reviewing applications for this position.

-Public outreach of interest (e.g., schools, public, facility outreach).

- Nothing to report.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. Miller".

Mark A. Miller P75451
Manger, Gas Plant
Chehalis Generation Facility

EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update

Facility Name: Grays Harbor Energy Center
Operator: Grays Harbor Energy LLC
Report Date: July 20, 2021
Reporting Period: June 2021
Site Contact: Chris Sherin
Facility SCA Status: Operational

Operations & Maintenance

-GHEC generated 86,747MWh during the month and 1,133,286MWh YTD.
-GHEC Annual Maintenance Outage ended on June 18th. Major Inspections and AGP installations were completed.

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance

-There was no outfall or storm water deviations, during the month.
-GHEC submitted letters notifying staff of emissions events on June 18th and June 21st. The events were technical violations of the Air Operating Permit however, no environmental limits were exceeded. Both events are similar in nature to the April event. GHEC is currently coordinating with ORCAA and EFSEC Staff for the next step with the event.
-Routine monthly and quarterly reporting to EFSEC

- Monthly Outfall Discharge Monitor Report (DMR)

-GHEC submitted an AGP Notice of Operation for each gas turbine.
-GHEC submitted the 2021 RATA Test Plan.

Safety Compliance

-None.

Current or Upcoming Projects

-None.

Other

-Ongoing COVID-19 mitigation efforts at the site.

EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – June 2021

Facility Name: **Columbia Generating Station and Washington Nuclear Project 1 and 4 (WNP-1/4)**

Operator: **Energy Northwest**

Report Date: **July 7, 2021**

Reporting Period: **June 2021**

Site Contact: **Mary Ramos**

Facility SCA Status: (Pre-construction/Construction/Operational/Decommission): **Operational**

CGS Net Electrical Generation June 2021: **304,057 MW-Hrs**

Environmental Compliance

On 6/18/21, Energy Northwest submitted to EFSEC and the Washington State Patrol Fire Protection Bureau (WSP FPB) plans for the Columbia Generating Station Deschutes Building 26 fire alarm upgrades. The plans were submitted to close out a finding from the 2020 Fire Marshal inspection.

On 6/30/21, EFSEC issued a Temporary State Waste Discharge Permit for the Columbia Generating Station and Industrial Development Complex Sanitary Waste Treatment and Disposal System. The temporary permit is effective for 5 years (starting on 7/15/21) or until a State Waste Discharge Permit is issued.

Current or Upcoming Projects

Energy Northwest's 25th (R-25) refueling and maintenance outage concluded on 6/19/21. The outage was completed in 42 days.

Other

N/A

EFSEC Monthly Council Meeting – Facility Update Format

Facility Name: Columbia Solar Projects (TE – Penstemon, LLC, TE – Camas, LLC, TE – Urtica, LLC)

Operator: Tuusso Energy, LLC

Report Date: July 9, 2021

Reporting Period: 30-days ending July 9, 2021

Site Contact: Owen Hurd

Facility SCA Status: Construction

Construction Status (only applicable for projects under construction)

- Mobilized the week of June 28th, starting with Penstemon, then Camas and Urtica
- Mechanical construction to begin this month (July)
- Expected completion dates have pushed out 1-2 months due to equipment delays related to Covid lockdowns in Malaysia; exact impact to timelines is not yet certain, but will likely impact Urtica and Camas more than Penstemon

Operations & Maintenance (only applicable for operating facilities)

N/A

The following information must be reported to the Council if applicable to the facility:

Environmental Compliance

- Progress on mitigation measures:
 - Penstemon: BMPs complete (straw waddle, silt fence); construction entrance is complete
 - Camas: BMPs nearly complete (straw waddle, silt fence)
 - Urtica: BMP install to begin 7/8 (straw waddle, silt fence); construction entrance to be complete next week
- EFSEC-related inspections
 - Golder was on-site 7/7 to inspect BMPs
 - NW Code to be on site at Penstemon 7/8 to inspect underground trenching

Safety Compliance

- Daily safety tailgate meetings in progress
- Borrego safety auditing and monitoring occurring daily

Current or Upcoming Projects

- Further refinement of planting plans

Other

- Current events of note:
 - Nexttracker torque tube delays due to country wide shut down in Malaysia is delaying racking installation across the three sites.
 - High temperatures caused stand-downs week of 6/28; work hours are being adjusted to 6AM-6PM

Desert Claim Wind Power Project

July 2021 project update

[Place holder]

Horse Heaven Wind Project

July 2021 project update

[Place holder]

Goose Prairie Solar Project

July 2021 project update

[Place holder]

Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council

Non-Direct Cost Allocation for 1st Quarter FY 2022

July 1, 2021 – September 30, 2021

The EFSEC Cost Allocation Plan (Plan) was approved by the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council in September 2004. The Plan directed review of the past quarter's percentage of EFSEC technical staff's average FTE's, charged to EFSEC projects. This along with anticipated work for the quarter is used as the basis for determining the non-direct cost percentage charge, for each EFSEC project.

Using the procedures for developing cost allocation, and allowance for new projects, the following percentages shall be used to allocate EFSEC's non direct costs for the 1st quarter of FY 2022

Kittitas Valley Wind Power Project	6%
Wild Horse Wind Power Project	6%
Columbia Generating Station	26%
Columbia Solar	11%
WNP-1	3%
Whistling Ridge Energy Project	3%
Grays Harbor 1&2	10%
Chehalis Generation Project	10%
Desert Claim Wind Power Project	3%
Goose Prairie Solar Project	10%
Horse Heaven Wind Farm Project	12%



Sonia E. Bumpus, EFSEC Manager

Date: 07/1/2021