Docket Nos. TC-143691 and TC-160516 (Consolidated) - Vol. VIII

In re the Application of Speedishuttle Washington, LLC

May 12, 2017



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In Re: Application TC-143691)

SPEEDISHUTTLE WASHINGTON,) Docket Nos. TC-143691
LLC, D/B/A SPEEDISHUTTLE) and TC-160516
SEATTLE,) (Consolidated)

For a Certificate of Public)
Convenience and Necessity to)
Operate Motor Vehicles in)
Furnishing Passenger and)
Express Services as an Auto)
Transportation Company)

HEARING, VOLUME VIII

Pages 560-885

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE RAYNE PEARSON

8:40 a.m.

May 12, 2017

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 800 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, Washington

REPORTED BY: Nancy M. Kottenstette, RPR, CCR 3377

	561
1	APPEARANCES
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:
3	RAYNE PEARSON Washington Utilities and Transportation
4	Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW
5 6	Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1136 rpearson@utc.wa.gov
7	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
8	JULIAN H. BEATTIE
9	Assistant Attorney General 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive SW Olympia, Washington 08504
10	Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1225
11	jbeattie@utc.wa.gov
12	FOR SPEEDISHUTTLE WASHINGTON, LLC:
13	BLAIR I. FASSBURG DAVID W. WILEY
14	Williams Kastner 601 Union Street, Suite 4100
15	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.628.6600
16	bfassburg@williamskastner.com dwiley@williamskastner.com
17	FOR SHUTTLE EXPRESS, INC.:
18	BROOKS E. HARLOW
19	Lukas, Lafuria, Gutierrez & Sachs, LLP 8300 Greensboro Drive, Suite 1200
20	Tysons, Virginia 22102 703.584.8680
21	bharlow@fcclaw.com
22	
23	
24	
25	

 INDEX OF EXAMINATION PAGE PAUL KAJANOFF EXAMINATION
PAGE PAUL KAJANOFF
3 PAUL KAJANOFF
17.6210.07.11011
4 EXAMINATION
Questions By Mr. Fassburg: 572
6
7 WESLEY MARKS
8 EXAMINATION
9 Questions By Mr. Fassburg: 575
Questions By Judge Pearson: 662
Questions By Mr. Beattie: 663
Questions By Mr. Harlow: 664
13
14 H. JACK ROEMER
15 EXAMINATION
Questions By Mr. Fassburg: 700
Questions By Mr. Harlow: 702
Questions By Mr. Beattie: 819
Questions By Judge Pearson: 820
Questions By Mr. Fassburg: 823
21
22
23
24
25

	563		
1	MICHAEL PATRICK YOUNG		
2	EXAMINATION		
3	Questions By Mr. Beattie:	826	
4	Questions By Mr. Harlow:	827	
5	Questions By Mr. Wiley:	837	
6	Questions By Judge Pearson:	841	
7	Questions By Mr. Beattie:	842	
8	Questions By Judge Pearson:	844	
9	Questions By Judge Pearson:	877	
10			
11	DAVID PRATT		
12	EXAMINATION		
13	Questions By Mr. Beattie:	845	
14	Questions By Mr. Harlow:	847	
15	Questions By Mr. Wiley:	868	
16	Questions By Judge Pearson:	875	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	564
1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
2	NUM. DESCRIPTION PAGE
3	Exhibit HJR-1T Prefiled Direct and 688 Response Testimony of H.
4	Jack Roemer (62 pages)
5 6	Exhibit HJR-2 Email Between Shuttle 688 Express and Port of Seattle
7 8	Exhibit HJR-3 Rear View Photo of 688 Speedishuttle Van
9	Exhibit HJR-4 Front View Photo of 688 Speedishuttle Van
10	Exhibit HJR-5 Rear View Photo of 688 Speedishuttle Van
11	·
12	Exhibit HJR-6 Photo of Speedishuttle Van 688 Seats
13 14	Exhibit HJR-7 Photo of Speedishuttle Van 688 Ceiling
15	Exhibit HJR-8 Photo of Speedishuttle Van 688 Rear Interior
16	Exhibit HJR-9 Photo of Speedishuttle TV 688
17	Exhibit HJR-10 Speedishuttle Response to 688 Shuttle Express Data
18	Request No. 6
19	Exhibit HJR-11 Speedishuttle Response to 688 Shuttle Express Data
20	Request No. 17
21	Exhibit HJR-12 Shuttle Express Data 688 Requests to Speedishuttle
22	Nos. 6-10
23	Exhibit HJR-13 Speedishuttle Response to 688 Shuttle Express Data
24	Request No. 8
25	

ſ	
	565
1	Exhibit HJR-14 Speedishuttle Response to 688
2	Shuttle Express Data Request No. 8
3	Exhibit HJR-15 Email Thread Between SMS 688 International and
4	Speedishuttle
5	Exhibit HJR-16 Email Thread Between 688 Shuttle Express and SeaTac
6	Airport Manager
7	Exhibit HJR-17 Speedishuttle Response to 688 Shuttle Express Data
8	Requests Nos. 15, 19, and 20
9	Exhibit LLID 40 Decket TC 422444 C00
10	Exhibit HJR-18 Docket TC-132141, 688 Declaration of Jimmy Sherrell
11	
12	Exhibit HJR-19 Shuttle Express Response 688 to Speedishuttle Data
13	Request No. 1
14	Exhibit HJR-20 Shuttle Express Response 688 to Staff Data Request No.
15	۷
16	Exhibit HJR-21 Google Search Results for 688 Speedishuttle in Japanese
17	Exhibit HJR-22 Google Search Results for 688 Speedishuttle in Korean
18	·
19	Exhibit HJR-23 Google Search Results for 688 Speedishuttle in Chinese
20	Exhibit HJR-24 Email Thread Between 688 Speedishuttle and SMS
21	Exhibit LLID 25T Debuttel Testimony of LL 600
22	Exhibit HJR-25T Rebuttal Testimony of H. 688 Jack Roemer (15 pages)(4/25/17)
23	
24	Exhibit HJR-26 Shuttle Express 2015 688 Commission Guidelines
25	

	· · ·
	566
1	Exhibit HJR-27 Shuttle Express Response 688
2	to Staff's Revised Data Request No. 13
3	Exhibit HJR-28X Excerpts of H. Jack 688
4	Roemer's Deposition Transcript
5	Exhibit HJR-29X Speedishuttle Tariff No. 1 688
6 7	Exhibit HJR-30X Speedishuttle Auto Trans 688 Application
8	Exhibit HJR-31X Speedishuttle 2015 Annual 688 Report
9	Exhibit HJR-32X Ground Transportation 688 Operating Agreement
10	Exhibit HJR-33X Wholesale Shared Ride 689 Agreement, Go Group
12	Exhibit HJR-34X Copies of Color Photos of 689 Van
13 14	Exhibit HJR-37X Shared Ride Commission 690 Agreement, Hilton Seattle
15 16	Exhibit HJR-38X Speedishuttle Response to 690 Bench Requests Dated 8/17, 9/2, and 9/9/15
17	Exhibit HJR-39X Email Thread, Top Email to 690 Ausbun from Ausbun
18 19	Exhibit HJR-40X Emails Between Paul 690 Bintinger and Dave Wiley;
20	Memo from Dave Wiley
21	Exhibit HJR-41X Email Between Paul 690 Bintinger and Dave Wiley
22	Exhibit HJR-42X Email Thread to Ausbun 690 from Ausbun
23	Exhibit HJR-44X Speedishuttle General Job 690
24	Application
25	

	567
1	Exhibit HJR-45X Speedishuttle Careers for 690 Call Center Agents Screen
2	Capture
3	Exhibit HJR-46X Speedishuttle Careers for 690 Dispatchers Screen Capture
5	Exhibit HJR-47X Speedishuttle Careers for 690 Airport Greeters Screen Capture
6 7	Exhibit HJR-48X Speedishuttle Careers for 690 Drivers Screen Capture
8	Exhibit HJR-49X Speedishuttle Driver 690 Application for Employment
10	Exhibit HJR-50X Email Thread RE: Orbitz 690 Agreement
11 12	Exhibit HJR-51X Email Thread RE: WMPH 690 Vacations
13 14	Exhibit HJR-52X Email Thread RE: 2015 690 Wholesale Agreement, Intercruises
15	Exhibit HJR-53X Email Thread RE: Globus 690
16	Exhibit HJR-54X Email Thread RE: WMPH, 690 Seattle Brochure
17	Exhibit HJR-55X Email Thread RE: Libgo 690
18	Exhibit HJR-56X Email Thread RE: Mozio 690
19	Exhibit HJR-57X Email Thread RE: 690 Activities Bank
20 21	Exhibit HJR-60X Speedishuttle Response to 696
21	Shuttle Express DR No. 1 RE: Employee Languages
23	Exhibit HJR-61X Speedishuttle Response to 696 Shuttle Express DR No. 2
24	RE: Speedishuttle emails re: Non-English Speaking Passengers
25	

	568	
1	Exhibit HJR-62X Speedishuttle Response to	696
2	Shuttle Express DR No. 3 RE: Speedishuttle Hiring Practices	
3	Exhibit HJR-63X Speedishuttle Response to	696
4 5	Shuttle Express DR No. 4 RE: Language of Passengers	
6	Exhibit HJR-64X Speedishuttle Response to Shuttle Express DR No. 5	696
7	RE: Greeters	
8	Exhibit HJR-65X Speedishuttle Response to Shuttle Express DR No. 7	696
9	RE: Speedishuttle 20 Minute Departure	
10	Exhibit HJR-66X Speedishuttle Response to Shuttle Express DR No. 9	696
11	RE: Walk-up Service	
12 13	Exhibit HJR-67X Speedishuttle Response to Shuttle Express DR No. 10 RE: Service Differences	696
14	Exhibit HJR-68X Speedishuttle Response to	696
15	Shuttle Express DR No. 13 RE: Non-English Speaking Market	
16	Exhibit HJR-69X Speedishuttle Response to	696
17 18	Shuttle Express DR No. 18 RE: Market Not Served	
19	Exhibit HJR-70X Speedishuttle Response to Shuttle Express DR No. 28	696
20	RE: Walk-up Service	
21	Exhibit HJR-71X Speedishuttle Response to Shuttle Express DR No. 34	696
22	RE: Allegation that Speedishuttle Certificate	
23	Diverts Passengers from Shuttle Express	
24	Exhibit HJR-72X Cecil Morton Statement	696
25		

	569
1	Exhibit HJR-83X Speedishuttle 2016 Annual 696 Report
2	Exhibit HJR-35X Wholesale Shared Ride 711 Agreement, Travelscape
4	Exhibit HJR-36X Wholesale Shared Ride 712 Agreement, Viator
5 6	Exhibit HJR-58X Email Thread RE: TC-143691 742 Discovery
7	Exhibit MY-1T Prefiled Response 827 Testimony of Mike Young (7 pages) (3/17/17)
9 L0	Exhibit DP-1T Prefiled Response 846 Testimony of David Pratt (16 pages)
L1 L2	Exhibit DP-3 Shuttle Express Response 846 to Staff Data Request 2
LZ L3	Exhibit DP-6T Rebuttal Testimony of 846 David Pratt (13 pages) (4/24/17)
L4	(4/24/17)
L5	
L6	
L7 L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	570
1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; May 12, 2017
2	8:40 a.m.
3	
4	JUDGE PEARSON: We'll go ahead and be
5	on the record. We are back on the record on Friday,
6	May 12, at approximately 8:40 a.m., and we will
7	continue the evidentiary hearing in these consolidated
8	dockets.
9	We had a brief discussion off the record about
10	Mr. Kajanoff's desire to update some of his testimony
11	from Wednesday, specifically related to questions that
12	I asked him at the end of his testimony. So I'm going
13	to give Mr. Harlow an opportunity to present that, and
14	then we'll see if any of the parties have any
15	objections.
16	MR. HARLOW: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	And, yeah, this is intended to clarify to ensure
18	there's no nothing misleading due to omission of
19	notential material or information related to your

And, yeah, this is intended to clarify to ensure there's no -- nothing misleading due to omission of potential material or information related to your questions. Mr. Kajanoff can explain much better than I can, and he does understand he's still under oath this morning.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. MR. KAJANOFF: On Exhibit PK-1T,

page 13, and that is lines -- first line is 19 where

20

21

22

23

24

	37.1
1	it says "They undercut our fare to downtown Seattle by
2	about 9%." If it is door-to-door only, that number is
3	now 22 percent.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: For door-to-door only?
5	MR. KAJANOFF: Door-to-door only.
6	JUDGE PEARSON: So it was 9 percent
7	with respect to combined?
8	MR. KAJANOFF: Door to door and
9	scheduled service.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And that makes
11	more sense, because your scheduled service is quite a
12	bit cheaper than what we determined the flexible fare
13	for your door-to-door service.
14	MR. KAJANOFF: If you were a single
15	door-to-door service, it's higher.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And is that the
17	extent of your update?
18	MR. KAJANOFF: Pardon?
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Is that the extent of
20	your update?
21	MR. KAJANOFF: No. Line 21, where it
22	states that "most of the rest of the county there
23	fare is about 13%," that number is now 18 percent.
24	MR. WILEY: To be clear, the 18 percent
25	is door-to-door only; is that right?

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / KAJANOFF 572
1	MR. KAJANOFF: Door-to-door only.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you repeat that
3	last part?
4	MR. KAJANOFF: Line 21 should now read:
5	But in most of the rest of the county, the fare is
6	about 18 percent higher than ours for door-to-door
7	only.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: We've resolved the
9	technical difficulties mainly due to user error
10	unbeknownst to me.
11	So, Mr. Fassburg, are you okay with those
12	updates? They seem relatively minor.
13	MR. FASSBURG: Yes. I don't think I
14	have an objection to them offering it. I would like
15	to ask him a couple questions.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Go ahead.
17	EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. FASSBURG:
19	Q Mr. Kajanoff, with respect to the rest of the
20	county, you're doing that on an aggregate basis. Did
21	you get compared ZIP Code by ZIP Code?
22	A Those numbers that on the percentages are in
23	aggregate of ZIP Codes.
24	Q My question was different. Have you compared
25	ZIP Code by ZIP Code?

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / KAJANOFF 573

Α	ľm	sorry.	l'm	not	
---	----	--------	-----	-----	--

Q So there's 81 ZIP Codes in which SpeediShuttle provides service. You've provided a comparison for one ZIP Code to one ZIP Code and then 80 ZIP Codes to 80 ZIP Codes. So my question is: Did you compare individually all 81 ZIP Codes?

A No. I compared the reference ZIP Codes to the downtown core that I reference in the rest of my testimony compared to all the other ZIP Codes.

Q Okay. So, for example, if SpeediShuttle's fares are actually lower in some of the suburbs, you haven't offered testimony one way or the other on that issue to the Commission because you have combined them in aggregate; is that correct?

A I combined the non-downtown ZIP Codes in aggregate.

Q With respect to the door-to-door versus your scheduled service fares for downtown, why is there such a significant difference in your fare?

A Our fares on the door-to-door are higher for the first passenger and much lower for the second. So if it is a single person going to downtown, it would be higher.

Q If I understand you correctly, then, when you have two passengers together, they're not -- the

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / KAJANOFF 574
1	avera	age fare per person is not significantly higher?
2	A	It will excuse me. Depending on the number
3	of ad	ditional passengers on the reservation, the
4	avera	age cost per passenger will go down relative to
5	the n	umber of passengers on a reservation.
6	Q	And that's true for SpeediShuttle as well;
7	corre	ct?
8	Α	Huh?
9	Q	That is true for SpeediShuttle as well;
10	corre	ct?
11	Α	Not for the ZIP Codes I compared. The ZIP
12	Code	es that I used for comparison are where
13	Spee	diShuttle charges per passenger the exact same for
14	the f	rst passenger or the second.
14 15		irst passenger or the second. Is it your testimony under oath that
	Q	
15	Q Spee	Is it your testimony under oath that
15 16 17	Q Spee passe	Is it your testimony under oath that diShuttle charges the same price for the second
15 16 17	Q Spee passe of the	Is it your testimony under oath that diShuttle charges the same price for the second enger as it does for the first passenger in each
15 16 17 18	Q Spee passe of the	Is it your testimony under oath that diShuttle charges the same price for the second enger as it does for the first passenger in each a ZIP Codes that you've compared?
15 16 17 18	Q Spee passe of the A unde	Is it your testimony under oath that diShuttle charges the same price for the second enger as it does for the first passenger in each e ZIP Codes that you've compared? For the ZIP Codes, I used the tariff. My
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Speed passed of the A under	Is it your testimony under oath that diShuttle charges the same price for the second enger as it does for the first passenger in each a ZIP Codes that you've compared? For the ZIP Codes, I used the tariff. My erstanding is per person those were the same
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Speed passed of the A under charge	Is it your testimony under oath that diShuttle charges the same price for the second enger as it does for the first passenger in each e ZIP Codes that you've compared? For the ZIP Codes, I used the tariff. My erstanding is per person those were the same ges per person per tariff.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Speed passed of the A under charge Q the C	Is it your testimony under oath that diShuttle charges the same price for the second enger as it does for the first passenger in each e ZIP Codes that you've compared? For the ZIP Codes, I used the tariff. My erstanding is per person those were the same ges per person per tariff. So in this comparison that you've offered to

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 575
1	A Yes. Once again, based on the tariff, that's
2	my understanding of the pricing procedure.
3	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you. I have no
4	further questions.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
6	Are we ready to move on? Back to Mr. Marks?
7	Okay. So, Mr. Marks, I'll just remind you
8	that you're still under oath.
9	MR. MARKS: Yes, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: And we can just pick up
11	where we left off on Wednesday, Mr. Fassburg.
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. FASSBURG:
14	Q Thank you. Mr. Marks, where we left off, I
15	asked you to do homework and review the application
16	hearing transcript. Have you done that?
17	A I have, sir.
18	Q Thank you for doing that. That will make this
19	a little easier.
20	My question last actually, other than to do
21	that homework, was in relation to some of your
22	assertions about the promises that SpeediShuttle
23	supposedly made according to you. So, for example,
24	one of those places about which I asked you was in
25	WAM-1T, page 14 at line 1 to 3.

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 576

And you stated "Despite its promises of
attracting a whole new and unserved demographic, which
hindsight the data and experience since SpeediShuttle
got its certificate indicates those promises were not
based on an accurate understanding of the nature of
the SeaTac market."

And the question about that testimony was:

Where is it that there was testimony supporting the promise was made to attract a whole new and unserved demographic?

A Sure. So on the initial transcript from the brief adjudicative hearing on page 24, lines 8 through 14, which is the response by Mr. Morton to Mr. Wiley's questioning, he states: We'll do our best to hire multilingual receptive teams to communicate with some of the people that are from different countries, and here we're seeing there's a lot of people coming from the Far East. Like we have in Honolulu, as an example, Japanese-speaking as well as Hispanic-speaking -- Spanish-speaking -- Spanish-speaking --

And also moving to page 27 of that transcript,
lines 8 through 12, Mr. -- I believe this is still
Mr. Morton's testimony, beginning on line 8: Focus on
people from around the world, travelers from around

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS $$ 5

the world.	So it's every language that the
marketplac	e markets so we just are just not
focusing o	n the English-speaking customers.

And then moving to page 28, lines 14 through 19, Mr. Morton, again, continues on the next one: Thank you. It's just talking about not only do we service people from everywhere around the world that speak different languages and make it easy to do business with us, but we transfer people who need more assistance. And that's the lifts -- that's with lifts always available.

And that was the extent of it from that transcript. There was additional comments made in Mr. Morton's statement, which was CM-1 in that hearing.

- Q Do you have CM-1 with you right now?
- A I do.

Q Can you refer to us where there is anything in there that is a promise to attract a whole new and unserved demographic?

A Sure. In paragraph 2 of the statement from -on behalf of Cecil Morton, towards the end of the
paragraph, Mr. Morton's states: Have bilingual
websites and ticketing agents serving Asian customers
from China, Japan, and Korea and have particularly

б

testimony?

Page: 578

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 578				
adapted our operations to facilitating visitors from				
the Asian markets who come to Hawaii for either				
pleasure or on business.				
Q Okay. So I'll try to take those one at a				
time. Unfortunately, I don't have all the references				
right in front of me. But where Mr. Morton stated				
that SpeediShuttle does its best to hire multilingual				
greeters, is that a promise to serve a whole new and				
unserved demographic?				
A I believe, based on that context of the				
testimony, that Mr. Morton intended to lead the				
discussion that it was intending to do everything it				
had possible, their best, to facilitate that				
marketplace.				
Q That's your interpretation of Mr. Morton's				
testimony when he said "we'll do our best"; correct?				
A Yes, as I stated				
Q Please yes or no. That's your interpretation?				
A Yes.				
Q With respect to serving people of I think				
he said Japanese- and Spanish-speaking customers.				
Again, is that a promise to attract a whole new and				
unserved demographic the way you've put it in your				

A Yes. I view that as a promise.

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 579

- Q That's your interpretation of his testimony?
- A That is my interpretation of his testimony, yes.
- Q And with respect to serving people that speak Asian languages, again, that's your interpretation of his testimony; correct?

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And with respect to any service they provided that would cater to people from other countries speaking other languages, that's all, again, your interpretation of his testimony; correct?
 - A Yes, my testimony is my interpretation.
- Q Okay. Now, do you have any evidence that SpeediShuttle is not actually serving people that are non-English-speaking?
- A We have -- we have presented evidence, I believe it was a data request -- I'm sorry. I believe it was part of the deposition of Mr. Roemer that indicated that zero passengers had booked reservations through the booking agent on the foreign language websites.
- Q And you would agree with me that that doesn't prove they are not serving people who are non-English-speaking? That only proves that those people did not reserve through the Japanese, Korean,

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 580
1	or Chinese version of SpeediShuttle's website;
2	correct?
3	A I would not agree with that.
4	Q Okay. So you believe if no one has made a
5	reservation through that particular portal they could
6	not possibly be a non-English-speaking person?
7	A I'm sorry. Can you repeat that one more time?
8	Q Sure. I'm trying to understand your
9	testimony. I asked you if you had any evidence
10	SpeediShuttle was actually not serving people who are
11	non-English-speaking, and you pointed to that there
12	were zero reservations on one website.
13	I'm asking you if that means there are, in
14	fact, no non-English-speaking passengers who reserved
15	service through any other means?
16	A No. That would not indicate that.
17	Q Okay. And so other than that one particular
18	point, is there any evidence SpeediShuttle is, in
19	fact, not serving non-English speaking passengers?
20	A I will say no to that.
21	Q Now, with respect to the specific languages,
22	is there anything in Order 04 that says that
23	SpeediShuttle should serve people of particular
24	languages?
25	A Bear with me one moment. I believe I have

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 581 Order 04 here.

Could you repeat the question one more time? I apologize.

Q Sure. I just want to know about specific languages. Is there anything in Order 04 that says something about specific languages that are to be served?

A No. Order 04 does not specify the languages on its -- in its language.

Q I'd like to refer to WAM-1T, page 9. Are you with me?

A Yeah.

Q Okay. Starting on line 19, you're answering the question that begins on line 17, so maybe we should start there.

The question is: "What else have you been able to learn from SpeediShuttle's actual operations about their multilingual capabilities?

Starting on line 19, your answer: "Well, next, you have to look at whether SpeediShuttle has truly implemented the multilingual business model or plan that was the basis for getting its certificate.

The premise of that model was that there was a growing number of Asian passengers coming to Seattle who were

not being served because of a language barrier. The

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 582		
1	only c	oncrete evidence SpeediShuttle presented on how		
2	it would serve these supposed unserved was the three			
3	links t	links to booking pages presented in Chinese, Japanese,		
4	and K	and Korean."		
5	Did I read that correctly?			
6	A	Yes, you did.		
7	Q	Are you here suggesting that SpeediShuttle is		
8	suppo	sed to be limiting its multilingual services to		
9	people	e who speak Chinese, Japanese, and Korean?		
10	Α	No.		
11	Q	Are you suggesting that only passengers who		
12	speak	Chinese, Japanese, or Korean are multilingual		
13	for pu	rposes of SpeediShuttle's business model?		
14	A	No.		
15	Q	Now, you would agree with me, using your		
16	langu	age, the only concrete evidence SpeediShuttle		
17	offered on what it would do to offer multilingual			
18	service was Chinese, Japanese, and Korean through that			
19	websi	te; correct?		
20	Α	I disagree with that statement. The only		
21	conci	rete evidence SpeediShuttle presented was on how		
22	it wou	uld serve, not what it would do.		
23	Q	Okay. So just to be clear, you're not trying		
24	to ste	p back from your statement here that the only		
25	concr	ete evidence SpeediShuttle presented on how it		

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 583
1	would serve the supposed unserved was the three links
2	to booking pages presented in Chinese, Japanese, and
3	Korean; correct?
4	A Yes. I believe that's the only concrete
5	evidence.
6	Q Do you agree with me that that's the only
7	specific commitment that SpeediShuttle said it was
8	going to do with respect to multilingual service?
9	A No. I believe the comment about multilingual
10	greeters was also a commitment.
11	Q If your interpretation of Mr. Morton's
12	testimony is not the one given to it by the Commission
13	and they, in fact, come to a different conclusion than
14	you as to what is to be required, that's certainly
15	something they're entitled to do; correct?
16	A Absolutely.
17	Q And if Mr. Morton's only specific commitment
18	that SpeediShuttle would make is to provide a website
19	in Japanese, Chinese, and Korean and to do their best
20	to hire multilingual greeters, those would be the only
21	two things for which there was an actual proposal of
22	service; correct?
23	A If that's how the Commission saw it, yes.
24	Q Now, with respect to your complaints about

multilingual service, do I understand correctly that

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 584
1	you believe SpeediShuttle was supposed to be limited
2	to serving multilingual demographics?
3	A Give me one moment to run your question
4	through my head a second here.
5	MR. HARLOW: I'm not sure the question
6	is very clear. When you say "supposed to," I'm not
7	sure whose mind, the witness's or the Commission's or
8	your client's.
9	MR. FASSBURG: I think I was clear. I
10	asked him if he was suggesting that SpeediShuttle was
11	supposed to be limited to serving the
12	non-English-speaking or multilingual demographic.
13	A I don't believe so, no.
14	Q In fact, in Shuttle Express's Petition for
15	Review of Order 02 in Docket TC-143691, didn't, in
16	fact, Shuttle Express argue that SpeediShuttle was
17	offering a door-to-door service that was no different
	one mig a deer to deer eer viet mae me amerem
18	than Shuttle Express except for offering
18 19	
	than Shuttle Express except for offering
19	than Shuttle Express except for offering inconsequential features?
19 20	than Shuttle Express except for offering inconsequential features? A I believe so, yes.
19 20 21	than Shuttle Express except for offering inconsequential features? A I believe so, yes. Q In fact, you asked or rather Shuttle Express
19 20 21 22	than Shuttle Express except for offering inconsequential features? A I believe so, yes. Q In fact, you asked or rather Shuttle Express asked in the Petition for Review for SpeediShuttle to

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 585
1	accu	rate.
2	Q	Well, if you have a question about whether
3	that's	accurate, I'm happy to show you where you made
4	that a	argument or where Shuttle Express made the
5	argur	ment in the Petition for Review. Would you like
6	to rev	view it?
7	A	I'm confident that you're correct.
8	Q	You offered some testimony about
9	Spee	diShuttle's multilingual hiring practices. I'll
LO	refer	you to WAM-1T at page 9. On line 6 to 7, you
L1	state	"To start with, they do not seek out foreign
L2	langu	uage speakers in hiring."
L3		Did I read that correctly?
L4	Α	Yes.
L5	Q	Are you basing this statement purely on
L6	Spee	diShuttle's job application and listings on its
L7	web	page?
L8	A	Not solely, no.
L9	Q	What other information do you base that
20	state	ment on?
21	A	Additional information is communications,
22	pers	onal communications, with individuals who have
23	spok	en with representatives at SpeediShuttle.

SpeediShuttle?

24

25

Q Okay. Have you ever interviewed for a job at

	EXAMINA	ATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 586	
1	A I have not	personally, no.	
2	Q Okay. So	you don't have any personal	
3	knowledge of wh	at their job hiring process is like and	
4	what the interviev	v would entail; correct?	
5	A I do not kr	ow what the interview would entail,	
6	no.		
7	Q So you dor	n't ask or you don't know if	
8	SpeediShuttle as	ks about the languages prospective	
9	employees speak	k, do you?	
LO	A I do not kr	ow what conversations they have,	
L1	no.		
L2	Q You know	hat SpeediShuttle has, in fact,	
L3	hired drivers and	greeters who speak languages other	
L4	than English; cor	rect?	
L5	A Of course.		
L6	Q You've offe	ered as an exhibit identified as	
L7	WAM-6 SpeediS	nuttle's response to Shuttle Express's	
L8	Data Request 1 that lists the languages of its		
L9	receptive team m	embers; correct?	
20	MR. HA	RLOW: Your Honor, if you'd give	
21	me a minute, ple	ase.	
22	JUDGE	PEARSON: Sure.	
23	MR. HA	RLOW: I believe this was	
24	admitted.		
25		PEARSON: This was WAM-6: right?	

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 587
1	MR. FASSBURG: Yes.
2	MR. HARLOW: Okay. No objection.
3	A The characterization, I believe, of it is
4	incorrect, but, yes, it is a listing of a data
5	response that provides all of the multilingual
6	employees at SpeediShuttle.
7	Q Okay. That list includes both drivers and
8	greeters; correct?
9	A As well as other positions, yes.
LO	Q Sure. That wasn't my question. But you've
L1	answered it enough.
L2	Now, on that list, do you find that there are
L3	both greeters and drivers who speak languages other
L4	than English?
L5	A Yes.
L6	Q Do you have that list in front of you?
L7	A I do.
L8	Q Would you agree with me that there's actually
L9	quite a few languages the drivers and greeters speak?
20	A Yes. There are multiple languages.
21	Q Now, in your testimony at WAM-1T on page 9,
22	lines 12 to 16, you state I'm sorry. It's actually
23	13 to 16. You state "From the list, the languages do
24	not reflect any effort to target unserved foreign
25	passengers. Rather, it reflects the nationalities or

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 588		
1	background of people who apply for unskilled and		
2	minimum wage jobs in the Seattle area."		
3	Did I read that correctly?		
4	A Yes.		
5	Q Are you saying here that there's a certain		
6	kind of multilingual or non-English-speaking passenger		
7	that count and some who don't?		
8	A No. That's not what I'm saying.		
9	Q So you would agree with me, then, that as far		
LO	as non-English-speaking passengers go, SpeediShuttle		
L1	has, in fact, offered receptive team members that		
L2	speak the potential languages of non-English-speaking		
L3	customers; correct?		
L4	A To some extent, yes.		
L5	Q Mr. Morton, in that testimony you read, he		
L6	said, We'll do our best to hire multilingual receptive		
L7	teams to serve some of our passengers; correct? I		
L8	think it was on page 24.		
L9	A No. He said, We will do our best to hire		
20	multilingual receptive teams so we can communicate		
21	with some of the people that are from different		
22	countries.		
23	Q Okay. Operative word being "some." You agree		
24	with me that it will serve some of the passengers, and		
25	he promised in your words to serve some of the		

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 589		
1	customers; correct?		
2	Α	Yes.	
3	Q	Thank you. Now, you stated on page 10 of	
4	WAN	1-1, on lines 10 to 12, speaking about the people	
5	that S	SpeediShuttle has hired, "There are some Spanish	
6	spea	kers, but that was never identified as an unserved	
7	demo	ographic and we in fact serve it."	
8		Did I read that correctly?	
9	Α	Yes.	
10	Q	You read to us Mr. Morton's testimony a moment	
11	ago in which he actually identified as		
12	Spanish-speaking passengers as some of the people that		
13	they have served in Honolulu and would expect to serve		
14	here; correct?		
15	Α	Yes.	
16	Q	Did you offer any testimony at the application	
17	hearing or did Mr. Kajanoff offer any testimony at the		
18	application hearing suggesting that Spanish-speaking		
19	passengers were already receiving multilingual service		
20	from Shuttle Express?		
21	A	Yes.	
22	Q	Where is that testimony?	
23	A	So on transcript page 70, which is the	
24	cros	s-examination of myself by Mr. Reattle from the	

Attorney General's Office, lines 21 through 24, my --

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 590
1	we'll start with line 18, which is Mr. Beattie's
2	question, which is: For customers booking
3	door-to-door service within King County, does
4	SpeediShuttle provide any bilingual services?
5	My answer on line 21 or beginning on line 21:
6	We do have several agents who speak multiple
7	languages. We also have several drivers that are
8	multilingual that we use for both our regulated as
9	well as nonregulated services for the public.
10	Q And I didn't hear the word "Spanish" in there.
11	Did I miss it?
12	A No. We did not isolate Spanish specifically
13	as one of the languages.
14	Q And you would agree with me the Commission
15	didn't identify specific languages either; correct?
16	MR. HARLOW: I think the record speaks
17	for itself, and I'm not sure Mr. Marks should be
18	testifying to a whole record.
19	MR. FASSBURG: I think that sounds like
20	a speaking objection as opposed to a legal objection.
21	MR. HARLOW: It's an objection. It's
22	an inappropriate question.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: I do think he already
24	answered it.
25	MR. FASSBURG: That's fine.

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 591		
1	BY MR. FASSBURG:		
2	Q Now, at WAM-1T, on page 18, going back to		
3	hiring practices on line 17 to 21		
4	A Which page?		
5	Q Page 18 I'm sorry. I have a wrong		
6	reference again. We'll be on 3T this time.		
7	A Thank you.		
8	Q Beginning on line 17, the question is: "Well,		
9	is SpeediShuttle at least making an effort to hire		
10	multilingual drivers and greeters as Mr. Roemer		
11	testified?"		
12	You answered: "Not in a meaningful way. As		
13	discussed above, we looked at the pages of their		
14	website that is geared to attracting new hires. The		
15	pages that are for drivers and greeters say nothing		
16	about languages. And their employment applications		
17	have nothing about languages. Mr. Roemer claimed that		
18	their Craigslist ads mention languages, but we could		
19	not validate that, except for the most current ad."		
20	Did I read that correctly?		
21	A Yes.		
22	Q When you found the most current ad, did it, in		
23	fact, include a listing that suggested they were		
24	seeking to hire multilingual drivers?		

A I believe, yes, it did.

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 592

Q Okay. So did you find any other job
advertisements beyond SpeediShuttle's website and its
own job application?

- A I don't recall if we actually ended up searching or not. I know we discussed it, but I don't recall specifically.
- Q So when you say SpeediShuttle is not making a meaningful effort to hire multilingual drivers and greeters, you're just referring to the SpeediShuttle website and job application and whatever ads you found when you searched; correct?
 - A That would be -- that would be accurate, yes.
- Q And you actually don't know beyond that what efforts SpeediShuttle has taken; correct?
- A Beyond what was found and what was asked for, no.
- Q Now, you also provided testimony in WAM-1T on page 11 I'd like to ask you about.
 - A 1T, page 11?
- Q Correct.
- **A Okay.**

Q You state, beginning on line 3, "We know from over a year of experience that SpeediShuttle is not really carrying unserved Chinese, Japanese, and Korean passengers in any meaningful numbers."

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 593	
1		Did I read that correctly?	
2	Α	Yes.	
3	Q	Does that mean that you are not discussing any	
4	other	languages for which SpeediShuttle's passengers	
5	spea	k?	
6	A	That statement was very directed to those	
7	indiv	idual languages but I think could be broadened	
8	beyo	nd that.	
9	Q	Are you suggesting here, once again, that	
LO	perha	aps they were supposed to be limited to only those	
L1	three	languages?	
L2	Α	No. Those are the three languages that I	
L3	spec	ified in the question and response.	
L4	Q	Okay. So in the graph that you've provided	
L5	and the data that you're analyzing, you were looking		
L6	specifically for evidence that SpeediShuttle served		
L7	passengers speaking Chinese, Japanese, and Korean;		
L8	corre	ct?	
L9	A	No. The graph would indicate any increase in	
20	pass	engers from any unserved demographic.	
21	Q	What was this chart based on? Let me make	
22	that r	nore clear. What was the data that you were	
23	using	to create the chart?	
24	Α	I believe this data was trips provided by the	

Port of Seattle through a public records request.

EXAMINATION OF PASSOURG / MARKS 33	EXAMINATION	BY FASSBURG /	MARKS	594
------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------	-------	-----

- Q Does the Port of Seattle trips information include the demographics of the passengers who are being transported?
 - A Not on the trip data, no.

- Q Does the Port of Seattle provide information about passenger demographics anywhere else that you're aware of?
- A Not that I'm aware of the specific passengers, no.
- Q So in your analysis here, you're only talking about actual trip counts and the trends as opposed to the demographics of the passengers, if I understand you?
- A Yes. This is looking at the specific trip counts alone.
- Q So if I understand your testimony correctly here on page 11, you're suggesting that SpeediShuttle could not have began to serve new customers because the total trips between SpeediShuttle and Shuttle Express went down from Shuttle Express's prior year; correct?
- A So the question was that SpeediShuttle could not have -- I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question? I want to make sure I answer correctly.
 - Q I'll break it up for you a little bit. In the

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 595
1	chart, we start with a period of time in which
2	SpeediShuttle did not offer service in Washington;
3	correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And over its first few months here in
6	Washington, the period that you're providing analysis
7	of, obviously, its passenger count went up because it
8	comes from zero to some number; correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. If I understand your analysis, you're
11	comparing the number they are serving plus the number
12	you're serving and how that trend goes over time;
13	correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. So what you've done is you've taken a
16	period of time during which only Shuttle Express is
17	transporting passengers and then a period of time in
18	which both are transporting passengers; correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. Now, within those trends, we have no
21	information about the demographics because we've just
22	covered that. So your conclusion is that
23	SpeediShuttle's increase cannot include unserved
24	passengers because the total trips went down compared
25	to the time when only Shuttle Express is serving; is

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 590
1	that r	ight?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. Isn't it also possible that Shuttle
4	Expre	ess's decline has simply exceeded SpeediShuttle's
5	increa	ase in non-English-speaking passengers?
6	Α	It's possible.
7	Q	And you have no information that would suggest
8	which	answer is actually correct, do you?
9	Α	Nothing more than these trip numbers at this
10	point	
11	Q	And I think this has been covered to death,
12	but yo	ou agree trips do not equal total passengers;
13	corre	ct?
14	A	Absolutely.
15	Q	Now, you provided some testimony at WAM-1T on
16	page	4 that I'd like to ask you about. You were
17	asked	d, beginning at line 9, "Do you have an opinion as
18	to the	cause of that decline," referring to Shuttle
19	Expre	ess's decline in passengers and trips.
20		And you answered, beginning at line 10, "Yes.
21	Over	the last several years, there has been a
22	consi	derable shift in the consumer market and the
23	optio	ns available to that market. Gas prices have
24	dropp	ped in recent years and stayed reasonably low,
25	causi	ng more people to consider driving themselves to

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 597
1	the airport, or in taking atrip in general. SeaTac
2	Airport has also latched on to these lower gas prices
3	and now actively promotes to its travelers to drive to
4	the airport and use 'No Shuttle' as their advertising
5	puts it."
6	Did I read that correctly?
7	A You omitted the word "driving." "In taking a
8	driving trip." Other than that, yes, it's accurate.
9	Q Thank you for the correction.
10	Your point here is that part of the reason
11	Shuttle Express is declining is due to competition
12	from other options; correct?
13	A That's partially, yes.
14	Q Here on line 16, you add, "Sound Transit also
15	recently just completed an expansion of its light rail
16	system, continuing it south beyond the airport, and
17	north past the downtown core and into the University
18	of Washington area."
19	Did I read that correctly?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Are you familiar with the reported traffic
22	numbers on the light rail since the expansion to the
23	University of Washington?
24	A Not specifically, but I'm aware that there
25	have been some.

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 598
1	Q	Do you generally understand that light rail
2	has s	seen a significant increase in passengers since
3	that e	expansion occurred?
4	Α	That would be my understanding.
5	Q	When did that expansion get completed?
6	Α	I'm sorry. I don't recall.
7	Q	Does 2016 sound accurate?
8	Α	Subject to check, I believe that's probably
9	right	•
10	Q	You state on line 18, "And more recently, both
11	the e	ntry of SpeediShuttle and TNC's or
12	Trans	sportation Network Companies such as Uber and
13	Lyft i	nto the airport ground transportation business
14	at Se	aTac Airport have accelerated the decline in our
15	busir	ness."
16		Did I read that correctly?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	When did TNCs first begin to operate at the
19	airpo	rt with the Port of Seattle's approval?
20	Α	I believe you know, I don't want to
21	spec	ulate. I don't remember exactly. It was April or
22	Marc	h or April or May. I don't remember the year.
23	Q	Does 2016 sound correct?
24	Α	It would, yes.
25	Q	So if you've got increased transportation

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 599		
options seeing an increase in passengers around the		
same time of SpeediShuttle's entry to the market,		
couldn't those possibly explain why Shuttle Express's		
trip numbers went down in excess of its prior trend?		
A Partially, but that decline had already begun		
with the TNCs not being allowed at the airport while		
they were still serving it.		
Q You don't have any specific numbers that could		
tell the Commission how many of your Shuttle Express		
prior passengers were lost to TNCs, do you?		
A I do not, no.		
Q And the same would be true for the flat rate		
taxis; correct?		
A That would be correct.		
Q And the same would be true for light rail?		
A That would be correct as well as any other		
service.		
Q Now, when Mr. Roemer offered some data to show		
that the actual passengers from Sea-Tac were changed		
over time, you critiqued that testimony. Do you		
recall that?		
A I do.		
Q I'll refer you to WAM-3T on page 22.		
Beginning at the top of the page, the question was:		
"Do you have any comments on Mr. Roemer's chart at		

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 600
1	showing Shuttle Express Passengers decreasing over
2	time while SeaTac Arrival Trips are increasing over
3	time?"
4	Did I read the question correctly?
5	A Yes.
6	Q You answered: "I do. The chart that
7	Mr. Roemer presented appears to be misleading on its
8	face. We submitted a data request to see the
9	information that was used for this chart, and upon
10	examination it appeared that Mr. Roemer used total
11	passenger data from the annual reports we have
12	submitted, and compared that to information provided
13	by the Port of Seattle regarding all trips for all
14	types of ground transportation operators departing
15	SeaTac Airport."
16	Did I read that correctly?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q You go on to describe your complaint with that
19	comparison on line 11, "There is a very large problem.
20	First, Mr. Roemer is comparing apples to oranges by
21	comparing passengers to trips."
22	Did I read that correctly?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. We've already discussed more than once
25	that trips include potentially more than one

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 601
1	passe	nger; correct?
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	So your complaint is that it's comparing your
4	actual	number of passengers for Shuttle Express to
5	trips, \	which are potentially more than one passenger,
6	on the	other side; correct?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	So your complaint is that there might actually
9	be far	more passengers going to or from Sea-Tac
10	Airpor	t in one category while the other is only
11	passe	ngers; correct?
12	Α	No. That's not my complaint.
13	Q	So you are saying here that you're complaining
14	it's co	mparing passengers to trips. What is your
15	compl	aint about the use of trips?
16	Α	The complaint about the use of trips is that
17	if you	're going to compare something to trips, trips
18	needs	to be the other data set being used in the
19	comp	arison.
20	Q	Isn't it actually more favorable to Shuttle
21	Expre	ss to compare Shuttle Express's passengers to
22	trips fr	rom Sea-Tac Airport if, in fact, trips could,
23	in fact	, be a much larger number if we included all of
24	the pa	ssengers in each of those trips?

A I don't know if it would be favorable or not.

Q If a shuttle can carry up to 10 passengers but
it's only counted as one trip, it might, in fact, be
tenfold more for a particular trip than the single
trip as a count towards the total; correct?

A You could look at it that way, yes.

Q Okay. So in terms of fairness, this is actually more conservative in favor of Shuttle Express than if we used all passengers, is it not?

A I don't agree with that.

Q You say "Second" -- on line 12, "Second, the data provided in Shuttle Express annual reports is related to ALL regulated activities, not simply our airport arrival passengers."

Now, when Shuttle Express is marketing and attempting to gain passengers, is it worried only about a particular set of passengers who go to and from Sea-Tac Airport?

A Depending on marketing material.

Q Okay. I don't mean specific marketing material. Are you trying to gain market share specifically from only a subset or from any passenger who might be going to and from Sea-Tac Airport?

A It would be the latter part. Every passenger that was a potential passenger.

Q So if we're trying to figure out what the

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 603
1	market might potentially be for shuttle passengers
2	going to and from the airport, wouldn't we want to
3	look at all passengers going to and from the airport?
4	A Sorry. Could you repeat the first part?
5	Q If we're trying to figure out what the market
6	might be for potential passengers on a door-to-door
7	service, wouldn't we want to look at all passengers
8	who were going to and from the airport?
9	A You could look at that, yes.
10	Q Okay. So considering those things, if you're
11	trying to figure out whether or not there's actually
12	the potential for growth of a particular subset of
13	passengers or in general, wouldn't it actually be
14	helpful to know all of the people going to and from
15	the airport?
16	A Potentially, yes.
17	Q I'd like to ask you a question about a
18	document that's been marked WAM-20X.
19	MR. FASSBURG: I understand it has not
20	been admitted?
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Let me pull that up.
22	MR. HARLOW: I'm sorry. I didn't catch
23	the number.
24	MR. WILEY: 20X.
25	MR. HARLOW: WAM-20X?

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 604
1		MR. WILEY: Yes.
2		JUDGE PEARSON: With respect to this, I
3	belie	ve what we decided was that we wouldn't admit it
4	but th	nat you would ask you could ask questions
5	abou	t it.
6		Mr. Harlow, you agreed to that?
7		MR. HARLOW: I think that's right. I'd
8	like to	see some foundational questions before we get
9	into t	he meat of it.
10		MR. FASSBURG: I appreciate that.
11	That'	s where I was going to start.
12	BY M	IR. FASSBURG:
13	Q	Mr. Marks, do you have that exhibit in front
14	of yo	u?
15	Α	I do.
16	Q	Have you ever seen that before?
17	Α	I saw it when we received the evidence
18	subn	nission for this case.
19	Q	Are you familiar with that particular
20	news	letter?
21	Α	I am not.
22	Q	Do you receive a newsletter from Visit
23	Seatt	le?
24	Α	I do not.
25	Q	Do you engage with Visit Seattle in any way?

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 605
1	A Our business does, yes.
2	Q Are you familiar with who they are?
3	A I am familiar with them.
4	Q Do you question the authenticity of this
5	particular document?
6	MR. HARLOW: Objection, no foundation.
7	MR. FASSBURG: I asked if he questions
8	it. That's all I asked.
9	MR. HARLOW: The implication is that if
10	he doesn't question it that he's validating it. He's
11	never seen it. He doesn't know what it is. I don't
12	see how he can really answer that question. There's
13	no foundation.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: I thought that we
15	weren't going to move to admit it.
16	MR. FASSBURG: I haven't moved to admit
17	it. I'm just asking if he questions it.
18	A I would have no way to tell one way or another
19	because I've never received it.
20	Q Have you seen from any other source
21	information about arrivals at the port of entry in
22	Seattle that regard the countries from which
23	passengers have been arriving?
24	A Yes, I believe I have.
25	Q Is it your understanding that there is an

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 606
1	upward trend in passengers who speak languages other
2	than English?
3	A I don't believe I can make that assumption
4	based on the data.
5	Q Assuming the information provided in WAM-20X
6	to be true, does it not, in fact, show that there are
7	upward trends for persons who speak Chinese and a
8	number of languages, in fact?
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell me what
10	page?
11	MR. HARLOW: Same objection. No
12	foundation still.
13	MR. FASSBURG: I'm sorry. There are
14	not page numbers in the particular newsletter. I
15	believe it's
16	JUDGE PEARSON: It's page 22. It's the
17	second to last page in the pdf document, so I would
18	assume it's the second
19	MR. FASSBURG: Sure. I was looking at
20	probably what would be the third to last page because
21	there's two pages showing that information.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: I see that. And,
23	Mr. Harlow, with respect to your objection
24	MR. HARLOW: If I may modify this
25	statement, if Mr. Fassburg can represent this is Port

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 607
1	of Seattle data, I would not object to this table at
2	the top of the page coming in. It's entitled
3	"Arrivals with First Intended Address of Washington
4	State."
5	MR. FASSBURG: I can't represent what
6	it is because I didn't create it.
7	MR. HARLOW: Well, then there's just no
8	foundation to know that this is valid or accurate.
9	MR. FASSBURG: I'll move on.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
11	BY MR. FASSBURG:
12	Q Mr. Marks, did you receive Port of Seattle
13	press releases?
14	A I believe I have received some, yes.
15	Q Did you see the press release from April 27,
16	2017, in which the Port of Seattle stated that it
17	expects this to be the biggest cruise season ever with
18	one million passengers on 218 vessels making Seattle
19	the biggest cruise port on the West Coast?
20	A I don't believe I received that one.
21	Q I'd like to refer you to WAM-1T to page 23
22	discussing tech-savvy passengers. On lines 12
23	forward, you state, "Specifically speaking to the
24	demographic of tech-savvy tourists, it would appear
25	that the vast majority of those passengers are opting

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 608
1	for the unregulated options now available at the
2	airport, such as Uber."
3	Did I read that correctly?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Why did you state specifically tech-savvy
6	tourists?
7	A My understanding is that is specifically one
8	of the markets that businesses such as Uber market
9	direct to.
10	Q Okay. Are there is there a different
11	demographic that's tech-savvy passengers who are not
12	tourists?
13	A By definition, a tourist that is at their home
14	location would not be a tourist anymore.
15	Q That wasn't really my question. Are there
16	tech-savvy passengers who are not tourists?
17	A I would say yes.
18	Q Why did you specifically limit your response
19	to tech-savvy tourists?
20	A I believe that was the language in one of the
21	orders.
22	Q So if I understand you correctly, you believe
23	that SpeediShuttle's business model is supposed to be
24	tech-savvy tourists; is that right?
25	A That would be my belief based on the order's

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 609
1	interpretation.
2	Q So that's your interpretation of Order 04, do
3	I understand that correctly?
4	A I believe that's correct.
5	Q Okay. Now, we've talked about this a little
6	before, but I want to be clear. Are you suggesting
7	that SpeediShuttle, by that order, is supposed to be
8	limited to serving tech-savvy tourists passengers in
9	addition to multilingual passengers?
LO	A The question was: Do I believe they are
L1	supposed to be restricted to that group; correct?
L2	Q Yes.
L3	A My answer to that would be no.
L4	Q Okay. Just to clarify, because you make some
L5	comments that I didn't quite understand in your
L6	testimony, are you suggesting that SpeediShuttle was
L7	supposed to be restricted to serving any particular
L8	demographic, whether it be tech-savvy tourists or
L9	multilingual?
20	A I don't believe a restriction is the language.
21	No, I don't believe restriction.
22	Q Now, do you have any specific data that could
23	say one way or another whether tech-savvy passengers
24	are all going to one mode of transportation versus

another?

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 610
1	Α	No.
2	Q	Do you have any specific information that
3	could	help the Commission understand what modes of
4	trans	portation tech-savvy tourists are using?
5	A	No.
6	Q	Is this largely your guess as to what they are
7	using	?
8	Α	Yeah. Beyond what's already been provided,
9	yes.	
10	Q	Can you clarify what you mean by "what's
11	alrea	dy been provided"?
12	Α	The evidence submitted in these consolidated
13	dock	ets already as far as testimony and other
ا ہ ،	evide	ence.
14	CVIG	
	Q	Do you agree with me that if you're trying to
15	Q	Do you agree with me that if you're trying to market share at the Sea-Tac Airport with respect
14 15 16 17	Q gain	
15 16 17	Q gain to pa	market share at the Sea-Tac Airport with respect
15 16 17 18	Q gain to pa would	market share at the Sea-Tac Airport with respect ssengers traveling to or from Sea-Tac Airport it
15 16	Q gain to pa would	market share at the Sea-Tac Airport with respect ssengers traveling to or from Sea-Tac Airport it be wise to compete for tech-savvy tourists?
15 16 17 18 19	Q gain to pa would A Q	market share at the Sea-Tac Airport with respect ssengers traveling to or from Sea-Tac Airport it be wise to compete for tech-savvy tourists? Absolutely.
15 16 17 18	qain to pa would A Q for te	market share at the Sea-Tac Airport with respect ssengers traveling to or from Sea-Tac Airport it be wise to compete for tech-savvy tourists? Absolutely. Do you agree that you would want to compete
115 116 117 118 119 220	qain to pa would A Q for te	market share at the Sea-Tac Airport with respect ssengers traveling to or from Sea-Tac Airport it be wise to compete for tech-savvy tourists? Absolutely. Do you agree that you would want to compete ch-savvy passengers? Absolutely.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q gain to pa would A Q for te A Q	market share at the Sea-Tac Airport with respect ssengers traveling to or from Sea-Tac Airport it does wise to compete for tech-savvy tourists? Absolutely. Do you agree that you would want to compete ch-savvy passengers? Absolutely.

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 611
1	A	No.
2	Q	You've provided testimony in WAM-1T at page 17
3	l'd lik	e to ask you about. You provided here some
4	obse	rvations that you made regarding the personal
5	greet	ers being used at Sea-Tac Airport by
6	Spee	diShuttle.
7		How many days did you spend observing
8	Spee	diShuttle's greeters?
9	A	In terms of calendar days that I observed at
10	the a	irport?
11	Q	Yes.
12	A	It was in excess of five.
13	Q	Okay. How many hours of each of those days
14	did y	ou spend at Sea-Tac?
15	A	At least two.
16	Q	Now, outside of what sounds like,
17	appro	oximately, ten hours, do you have any personal
18	inforr	nation regarding whether or not SpeediShuttle
19	provi	ded greeters at the airport?
20	A	Outside of my personal observations
21	Q	I'm only asking about your personal knowledge.
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	What information do you have in your personal
24	know	ledge outside of those ten hours?
25	Α	I've spoken with guests who have ridden with

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 612
1	SpeediShuttle that have not been greeted.
2	Q So beyond individual guests who claim they
3	were not greeted and, actually, let me stop that
4	and start over. How many guests have you spoken to?
5	A At least two.
6	Q Do you know the circumstances under which
7	those guests were not greeted according to
8	SpeediShuttle?
9	A No. I have not asked SpeediShuttle.
LO	Q Okay. So other than what the guests said
L1	about what their experience was from their perspective
L2	in your ten hours, do you have any other personal
L3	information about SpeediShuttle's greeters?
L4	A For clarification, it was excess of ten hours.
L5	I said a minimum of two hours on five days. No. I do
L6	not have any additional information beyond that.
L7	Q Would you agree with me that there are many
L8	days and many hours SpeediShuttle operates at the
L9	airport on which you have no personal information?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. And you can't say whether or not
22	SpeediShuttle successfully greeted its passengers
23	during that period of time because you have no

25

correct?

knowledge about which you can provide observations;

1 A No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Okay. Let's -- just to clarify, I'm referring to your personal knowledge. So other than what we've just discussed, outside of that, you can't say one way or another from your personal knowledge what SpeediShuttle has done to meet its guests with personal greeters; correct?

A From personal knowledge, no.

Q Thank you.

I'd like to refer you to WAM-1T, page 18. I'm sorry. Page 14.

You state here "...there has been at least one wholesale provider who signed an agreement with SpeediShuttle who have asked Shuttle Express to serve [sic] them instead, clearly indicating that the need for the additional provider did not serve the guests through special...services, but rather as a provider" --

A I'm sorry. Could you please repeat the page or the line because I don't see it.

Q It's page 14, and I probably didn't give you the line is probably the problem. Starting on page 20.

JUDGE PEARSON: Line 20 or page 20?

MR. FASSBURG: Excuse me. Thank you.

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 614
1	Page 14, line 20 is what I have, and that is correct.
2	A I see. Thank you.
3	Q "Additionally, there has been at least one
4	wholesale provider who signed an agreement with
5	SpeediShuttle who have asked Shuttle Express to
6	service them instead, clearly indicating that the need
7	for the additional provider did not serve these guests
8	for special different services, but rather as a
9	provider serving the same market as already existed
LO	with Shuttle Express."
L1	Are you referring here to SMS?
L2	A That is one of them, yes.
L3	Q Shuttle Express is not, in fact, providing
L4	greeters to SMS passengers; correct?
L5	A That has not been requested, no.
L6	Q Now, referring back to WAM-1T on page 17,
L7	beginning on line 11 actually, on line 10, you
L8	state "In the application hearing, Mr. Morton
L9	testified, even emphasized, that they had 60 receptive
20	teams in Oahu alone. They have nothing like that kind
21	of presence in SeaTac."
22	Did I read that correctly?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Were you expecting that SpeediShuttle would

have 60 receptive teams at Sea-Tac?

A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q In fact, with a commencement fleet of 18 vehicles, it would be nonsensical to have 60 receptive teams at Sea-Tac?

A If those were the circumstances -- actually, I can't say that that would be correct or not. It would depend on the passenger volume.

Q It would seem a little excessive, wouldn't it?

A It could be, yeah.

Q If you'll turn the page to page 18, beginning on line 8, you state, respecting the provision of a kiosk and walk-up service by SpeediShuttle, "In emails released by the Port of Seattle through a public records request, it was said that SpeediShuttle intended to be a direct competitor with Shuttle Express and wished to serve the market in an identical way as was already being provided."

Did I read that correctly?

A Yes.

Q Other than wishing to have a kiosk similar to Shuttle Express and having signage similar to Shuttle Express's in the airport, is there anything specifically SpeediShuttle said that would demonstrate it intended to be a direct competitor with Shuttle

Express and wished to serve the market in an identical

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 616	
1	way?	
2	A They additionally asked to utilize some of the	
3	lease space that we have specifically for Shuttle	
4	Express behind our counter.	
5	Q And did that occur?	
6	A It was not allowed, no.	
7	Q So other than those three things, is there	
8	something that they wanted from the Port of Seattle	
9	that would somehow demonstrate they were providing	
LO	service in an identical way?	
11	JUDGE PEARSON: Can I just stop you	
L2	there for a second?	
L3	MR. FASSBURG: Yes.	
L4	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you clarify what	
L5	you mean by the leased space?	
L6	MR. MARKS: Certainly. So there are	
L7	there is a location right next to the ground	
L8	transportation booth that is under a specific lease	
L9	agreement. Shuttle Express shares that space with a	
20	limousine company. It's almost a back office area.	
21	It's open to the public, but it is separate. And it	
22	is not currently where we accept customer check-ins,	
23	reservations. That is separate from the guest	
24	check-in area. It's like an employee storage area.	
25	JUDGE PEARSON: I was just clarifying	

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 617
1	whether it was near the kiosk or not, but this is
2	separate?
3	MR. MARKS: It's about 50 to 100 feet
4	away.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
6	BY MR. FASSBURG:
7	Q Now, are you claiming that simply using a
8	kiosk and providing walk-up service to passengers
9	makes SpeediShuttle the same service as Shuttle
LO	Express?
L1	A That alone would not, no.
L2	Q Will you please turn to page 14 on WAM-1T.
L3	JUDGE PEARSON: Fourteen, you said?
L4	MR. FASSBURG: Fourteen.
L5	Q Here on line 15 my line is off again.
L6	MR. HARLOW: I'm wondering, I thought
L7	we were close to finishing on Wednesday. I don't know
L8	if we're getting anywhere close after an hour.
L9	JUDGE PEARSON: Let's let him finish
20	that question, and then we'll talk about that and
21	taking a break.
22	Q Okay. So I have the wrong page, and that's
23	the problem. On page 15, the question is: "What does
24	the fact" beginning on line 15, "What does the fact
25	that the majority of SpeediShuttle's passenger

reservations come from wholesale bookings mean in terms of whether they are following their proposed business model?"

You answer: "What it means is that these wholesale bookings were not an unserved demographic, but merely an effective and seemingly deliberate effort for SpeediShuttle to gain a sizeable share of the passengers we were already serving satisfactorily, which they did very quickly."

Did I read that correctly?

A Yes.

Q Now, you understood that SpeediShuttle would use wholesales at the application hearing because its -- one of its shipper's support statements came from GO Group; correct?

A Yes.

Q And, in fact, had multiple wholesalers who were supporting it, and that's no surprise that SpeediShuttle uses wholesalers to grow its business; correct?

A Yes.

Q But you say you were already satisfactorily serving those passengers that SpeediShuttle gained from wholesalers; correct?

A Yes.

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS	

- Q I think you stated yesterday -- or I'm sorry.
- 2 | Wednesday when we began your examination that you are
- ³ responsible for regulatory compliance for Shuttle
- 4 | Express; correct?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

23

24

- 5 A I am now, yes.
 - Q How long have you held that position?
 - A I believe it was about two years is what I stated.
 - Q As part of your role in providing regulatory compliance for Shuttle Express, have you determined what regulatory obligations Shuttle Express is obligated to comply with?
 - A I don't determine them, no.
- Q Have you reviewed them to help ensure Shuttle
 Express complies with the rules and regulations that
 it is obligated to comply with?
- 17 A Yes.
 - Q Have you also reviewed past enforcement or complaint procedures to determine what Shuttle Express's history has been with respect to regulatory compliance?
- 22 A Only on occasion when necessary.
 - Q Are you familiar with Shuttle Express's past use of independent contractors?
 - A I am aware of it.

1	Q Have you ever reviewed the information
2	contained in Seattle SuperShuttle's application
3	proceeding?
4	A I've never heard of that company.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Fassburg, are we
6	going down a different line of questioning? Because
7	maybe we should take a break.
8	MR. FASSBURG: That's fine.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: And with respect to
10	your concerns, Mr. Harlow, I'm also interested in kind
11	of establishing a time line. Because if we have
12	Mr. Roemer estimated as five hours of cross, is that
13	still accurate, Mr. Harlow, or is that
14	MR. HARLOW: It's going to depend, in
15	large part, on whether you admit the deposition
16	excerpts. We submitted, roughly, 70, 80 pages of
17	deposition transcript. That was about a third of the
18	transcript. The transcript took a whole day.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: But a lot of it is
20	blacked out. I looked through everything.

MR. HARLOW: That's true, but that's two or three hours of questioning and so forth that we could avoid if that's admitted.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And then with respect to the rest of the time estimates, it looks

21

22

23

24

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 621
1	like about another hour with Staff's witnesses, hour
2	and we'll say an hour and a half just to be safe. So
3	with that putting us at, potentially, up to six and a
4	half hours, how much time do you think you still need
5	with Mr. Marks?
6	MR. FASSBURG: I'm going to estimate an
7	hour.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Another hour, which
9	would put us about 10:45. So if anyone is faster at
LO	math than me, speak up.
L1	MR. HARLOW: We have two and a half
L2	days of hearing and two days to fit it in. I wish we
L3	could move Mr. Marks a little faster. I think there's
L4	a lot of repetitiveness in these questions.
L5	MR. FASSBURG: There may be a small
L6	amount of repetitiveness. Some of that is due to the
L7	witness not understanding the question, and some of it
L8	is due to the amount of testimony on which I'm asking
L9	him questions.
20	I'll say I don't know what you're going to do.
21	I think you shouldn't make any rulings right now. My
22	thought is a lot of the questions that he says he'll
23	need to ask if he can't admit the deposition
24	transcript portions that he seeks to admit are

unhelpful to the Commission, and they probably are not

- going to move this hearing faster, nor help the
- ² Commission. I'm going to make an objection. We can
- 3 | take it up later, but that may save some time
- 4 depending on your ruling.
- 5 JUDGE PEARSON: What I will need to do,
- 6 most likely, is quickly review those again, because,
- 7 | as I've said, I did read them, but I didn't retain
- 8 them. I'll have to look over those again, and that
- 9 may speed things up depending on what I decide.
- Let's take a break right now, let's say, for
- 11 | seven minutes. We'll come back at five till, and then
- we'll proceed with Mr. Marks at that time.
- (A break was taken from 9:48 a.m. to
- 14 9:59 a.m.)
- JUDGE PEARSON: Back on the record.
- 16 We'll proceed with Mr. Marks. Once you're finished
- with him, I think we'll probably take a 10- to
- 18 | 15-minute recess so I can review those portions of the
- 19 deposition, and then, most likely, what I'll do is
- 20 come back and let you know which portions I'm going to
- 21 admit and not admit and why. And then we can go
- forward, and that should help cut down the time, I'm
- ²³ thinking.
- MR. HARLOW: Okay.
- JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you may

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 623
1	proceed. Thank you.
2	BY MR. FASSBURG:
3	Q Mr. Marks, to try to accelerate this, I'll
4	make a representation to you that in Seattle
5	SuperShuttle's application to provide auto
6	transportation, Shuttle Express was a protestant, and
7	Seattle SuperShuttle alleged that Shuttle Express was
8	not providing service to its satisfaction of the
9	Commission because it was transporting overflow via
LO	limousines and taxi drivers, specifically town cars
L1	and taxis.
L2	Are you familiar with a period of time in
L3	Shuttle Express's history when that was occurring?
L4	A The question am I familiar with the period of
L5	time when the passengers were being transported by
L6	town cars, yes, I am.
L7	Q And what about when they were being
L8	transported by taxicabs?
L9	A I'm aware that that's happened, yes.
20	Q Are you familiar with the fact that at that
21	time Mr. John Rowley testified that actually was
22	occurring when there was overflow where Shuttle
23	Express really couldn't transport those passengers?
24	A I'm not aware where he testified.

JUDGE PEARSON: Who was that, you said?

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 624
1	MR. FASSBURG: John Rowley.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Who is he?
3	MR. FASSBURG: He was the president of
4	Shuttle Express at the time.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Before Mr. Kajanoff?
6	MR. FASSBURG: Yes.
7	BY MR. FASSBURG:
8	Q I'm not going to find you the page and line
9	right now to read with you. But for the record, this
10	is in the transcript which we have submitted as
11	Exhibit 38X on pages 306 to 309.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I took
13	official
14	MR. HARLOW: WAM-38X?
15	MR. FASSBURG: Yes, WAM-38X.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: And I took official
17	notice of that, and this is was this Shuttle
18	Express at the time, or was it operating under a
19	different name?
20	MR. FASSBURG: I believe
21	MR. HARLOW: Name hasn't changed.
22	MR. FASSBURG: I believe it was Shuttle
23	Express at that time.
24	JUDGE PEARSON: But was it at one point
25	San Juan Shuttle doing business as

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 625
1	MR. HARLOW: San Juan Airlines.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: San Juan Airlines.
3	Okay.
4	MR. HARLOW: Not in anybody's recent
5	memory. I think I'm the only one in the room who was
6	around. Wiley was doing garbage companies at the
7	time.
8	BY MR. FASSBURG:
9	Q Moving forward in time in 2008, Shuttle
LO	Express was investigated by the Commission again for
L1	use of independent contractors. Are you familiar with
L2	that proceeding?
	A Not personally, no.
L3	A Not personally, no. Q Have you reviewed records that relate to that
L3 L4	
L3 L4 L5	Q Have you reviewed records that relate to that
L3 L4 L5	Q Have you reviewed records that relate to that proceeding?
L3 L4 L5 L6	Q Have you reviewed records that relate to that proceeding? A Not specifically that I'm aware.
L3 L4 L5 L6	Q Have you reviewed records that relate to that proceeding? A Not specifically that I'm aware. Q Has anyone informed you or have you had any
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7	Q Have you reviewed records that relate to that proceeding? A Not specifically that I'm aware. Q Has anyone informed you or have you had any discussions with anyone regarding the use of charter
13 14 15 16 17	Q Have you reviewed records that relate to that proceeding? A Not specifically that I'm aware. Q Has anyone informed you or have you had any discussions with anyone regarding the use of charter buses to provide auto transportation service in the
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	Q Have you reviewed records that relate to that proceeding? A Not specifically that I'm aware. Q Has anyone informed you or have you had any discussions with anyone regarding the use of charter buses to provide auto transportation service in the past?
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	Q Have you reviewed records that relate to that proceeding? A Not specifically that I'm aware. Q Has anyone informed you or have you had any discussions with anyone regarding the use of charter buses to provide auto transportation service in the past? A I have no personal knowledge of that.
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9 20	Q Have you reviewed records that relate to that proceeding? A Not specifically that I'm aware. Q Has anyone informed you or have you had any discussions with anyone regarding the use of charter buses to provide auto transportation service in the past? A I have no personal knowledge of that. Q My question was a little different. Have you

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 626
1	that	way.
2	Q	Are you familiar with the distinction in the
3	name	es "rescue service" versus "luxury upgrade"?
4	Α	As used in what context?
5	Q	As used by Shuttle Express.
6	Α	We have used both terms, yes.
7	Q	What does "rescue service" mean?
8	Α	Rescue service is my understanding again, I
9	have	limited knowledge of the use in testimony, but
10	resc	ue service was intended to be used when a vehicle
11	was	originally dispatched would break down or be
12	unav	ailable due to unknown traffic issues. And
13	anot	her vehicle was in the area, the rescue service
14	woul	d be dispatched to provide the service expected by
15	the p	passenger.
16	Q	And what was a luxury upgrade, using Shuttle
17	Expr	ess's terminology?
18	Α	A luxury upgrade would be providing the
19	pass	enger an option to change the vehicle transport
20	that	was being provided to them to a different vehicle
21	at th	eir option.
22	Q	And were luxury upgrades offered to people who
23	had r	reserved auto transportation service originally?

Q Were those passengers, if they elected to BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

A Yes.

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

24

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 627	
1	receive the luxury upgrade, then transported on a	
2	vehicle owned by someone other than Shuttle Express?	
3	A My understanding, yes.	
4	Q Were those passengers transported on a vehicle	
5	driven by a driver who was not an employee of Shuttle	
6	Express?	
7	A My understanding would be yes.	
8	Q Do I understand correctly the luxury upgrades	
9	were provided to someone who might have a wait in	
10	excess of 45 minutes?	
11	A That would be an option.	
12	Q Is that, in fact, what Shuttle Express's	
13	options were or rather, is that, in fact, Shuttle	
14	Express's practice in the past?	
15	A It's a portion of it.	
16	Q What other reasons would Shuttle Express offer	
17	luxury upgrades for?	
18	A Customer service, convenience, routing, and	
19	efficiency. There's a multitude of reasons. It's not	
20	restricted to any one particular reason.	
21	Q Did any of those reasons have to do with	
22	saving costs?	
23	A That would factor in there, yes.	
24	Q I'll refer you to Exhibit WAM-26X, which is	
25	the Staff investigation report referenced in Docket	

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 628
1	TC-120323. I'll refer you to that to a page in the
2	appendices, which was page 130 of the appendices. Are
3	you on page 130 of the appendices?
4	A I believe so. The page says Appendix L, 130
5	at the bottom right corner.
6	JUDGE PEARSON: Page 130, is that what
7	you said? Is it labeled Appendix L?
8	MR. FASSBURG: I believe it is.
9	Unfortunately, I have an excerpt of it on my page.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Is it an e-mail?
11	MR. FASSBURG: It is an e-mail.
12	BY MR. FASSBURG:
13	Q Can you find for me the line that starts with
14	the word "times" followed by "we feel." Have you
15	found it?
16	A No, not yet.
17	Q Okay. It's the fourth line in the second
18	paragraph.
19	A I see, yes.
20	Q This is an e-mail, by the way, that says it's
21	being sent on behalf of Jimmy Sherrell by Paul
22	Kajanoff; correct?
23	A That appears to be what it says.
24	Q Okay. And the line that I referred you to
25	says "We feel there is a length of staging time that

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 629
1	is acceptable and one that is not. Once we have a
2	staging time in excess, which is easy to identify on
3	the recent report attachment of 45 minutes or longer,
4	we seek to find these travelers an alternative to get
5	them on their way, staying in the convenience/service
6	time zone. Because we have affiliated independent
7	towncar operators who are regulated, licensed and
8	insured we have a viable legal alternative."
9	Did I read that correctly?
10	A I believe so, yes.
11	Q What is the date of that e-mail?
12	A The date in the header of this page says
13	Friday, September 21, 2012.
14	Q Is it your understanding in 2012 the primary
15	reason Shuttle Express was representing that it was
16	providing luxury upgrades was when there was an
17	excessive wait time?
18	A I can't represent that statement.
19	Q Is that what this e-mail states?
20	MR. HARLOW: Objection. It speaks for
21	itself.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Which portion of the
23	e-mail were you referring to?
24	MR. FASSBURG: Specifically that
25	portion where he's describing where he's doing it.

that.

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 630

MR. HARLOW: We're pressed for time,
and he's had to read the statement. Now he's asking
him if it says something that it speaks for itself,
and we're wasting time.

JUDGE PEARSON: I agree. I think we need to --

MR. FASSBURG: Sure. I think there is, in fact, a reason for this. But if he isn't the one who can answer it, perhaps someone else could have. They've only offered limited testimony from other witnesses that address their use of independent contractors. Mr. Marks has provided the testimony for which there's a reason to cross.

JUDGE PEARSON: I understand. I think it's clear, though, that the reason they do it is because there's an excess waiting time, and there was testimony to that effect in the application docket as well. And so I fully recognize that that's the main reason why Shuttle Express has relied on this service in the past.

MR. FASSBURG: Okay. I appreciate

BY MR. FASSBURG:

Q I understand that you agree Shuttle Express still places people who have -- let me rephrase that.

The practice has been since the exemption, the conditional exemption, ended in January 2014 that Shuttle Express has had passengers who reserved auto transportation service that it provided the option of a luxury upgrade; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And those luxury upgrades are still operated by persons who are not employees by Shuttle Express driving vehicles not owned by Shuttle Express; correct?

A Yes.

Q Now, you have offered in your testimony that you do that for a cost reason because it is cheaper for Shuttle Express to provide service to passengers when there are -- let me rephrase it.

It is cheaper for Shuttle Express to provide service to certain passengers through an independent contractor than to use its own van; correct?

A Not that. I wouldn't word it that way.

Q Okay. Well, let's find in your testimony where you discuss that. I'll refer you to WAM-3T. I'll refer you to page 30, beginning on line 1. You state there, "For example, when we have a single passenger going to Woodinville, with no other passengers traveling along the same general route, we

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 632	
1	could either ask them to wait hours for another	
2	passenger going to that same area or transport with a	
3	sizeable loss in a van operated by an employee. The	
4	limo option enables us to carry that passenger quickly	
5	and at a small loss, while providing them an upgraded	
6	travel experience."	
7	Did I read that correctly?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q First of all, we understand that you don't	
10	remit all of the fare to the limo driver; correct?	
11	A Depending on circumstances.	
12	Q In some circumstances, you might pay more than	
13	the fare to the limo driver. But, in fact, on the	
14	whole, there has been a net revenue to Shuttle Express	
15	for use of those independent contractor drivers;	
16	correct?	
17	A For that service, yes.	
18	Q Now, there you refer to the basis for doing it	
19	being a cost basis; is that correct?	
20	A No.	
21	Q Okay. Well, I think the testimony speaks for	
22	itself. I'll move on.	
23	With respect to your use of independent	
24	contractors, do those independent contractor drivers	
25	receive training from Shuttle Express?	

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 633
1	Α	No, they do not.
2	Q	Are you familiar with the claim on Shuttle
3	Expre	ess's web page that all drivers undergo extensive
4	safet	y training?
5	A	Yes, I am.
6	Q	I take it when you're advertising to your
7	pass	engers about all drivers undergoing extensive
8	safet	y training you're referring only to Shuttle
9	Expre	ess drivers; correct?
10	A	No, I'm not.
11	Q	Which drivers are those?
12	A	That would be all drivers.
13	Q	So what extensive safety training do the
14	drive	rs of the vehicles that are not owned by Shuttle
15	Expre	ess you know, I'm wording it poorly. Just to
16	be cl	ear, what training do those limo drivers receive?
17	Α	There is mandated state training for limousine
18	and f	for-hire drivers.
19	Q	Do those drivers receive the same training
20	Shutt	tle Express drivers receive?
21	A	Not the same training, no.
22	Q	And when you say safety training, what safety
23	traini	ng do limo drivers receive from the State as you
24	just r	eferred?
25	Α	I don't have the exact training materials, but

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 634	
1	it's available on the Department of Licensing website,	
2	l beli	eve.
3	Q	Other than, basically, being required to pass
4	a tes	t, what training does the State provide?
5	Α	I'm not aware of what training the State
6	prov	ides. The State requires a course be taken.
7	Q	Does the State, to your knowledge, require any
8	ongo	ing training?
9	A	I'm not aware of that.
10	Q	And just to be clear, Shuttle Express does
11	provi	de safety training to its own drivers; correct?
12	Α	Yes, we do.
13	Q	And so that would be training that is not the
14	same	e as the training received by the independent
15	contr	actors; correct?
16	A	That is correct.
17	Q	I think some of this is already clear in the
18	recor	d, but I just want to confirm. Does Shuttle
19	Expre	ess allow passengers to choose when an independent
20	contr	actor will transport them?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	So if a passenger comes to you and says I'd
23	like to	take a town car instead, that's their option;
24	corre	ct?
25	Α	Yes.

б

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 635			
Q But if it's not one that you have provided			
them but one they've asked for, you, instead, charge			
them the town car price; correct?			
A Yes.			
Q So when you provide a town car at the same			
price as the fare for the auto transportation, that is			
limited to a situation where Shuttle Express provides			
the option; correct?			
A Yes.			
Q If the passenger would like to be transported			
by an independent contractor, who selects the			
independent contractor?			
A The passenger has the option of requesting a			
specific contractor; otherwise, it is dispatched by			
our dispatch office.			
Q Can that passenger choose an independent			
contractor that is not within the roster of			
independent contractors used by Shuttle Express?			
A No, they cannot.			
Q Who chooses the limo drivers that are within			
the roster of independent contractors used by Shuttle			
Express?			
A That would be our contractor manager as well			

A That would be our contractor manager as well as others on the team.

Q Someone who works for Shuttle Express?

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 636
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Does Shuttle Express ensure that the
3	indep	endent contractors that it uses have insurance?
4	Α	Yes, we do.
5	Q	Is Shuttle Express named as an additional
6	insur	ed?
7	A	Yes, we are.
8	Q	Why do you do that?
9	Α	I can't speak to the reasons for the
10	insu	rance. I just have it as a requirement on my
11	regu	lations list.
12	Q	Is it your understanding that if an
13	indep	endent contractor who was transporting a
14	passe	enger who originally had reserved service as auto
15	trans	portation were to end up in an accident causing
16	perso	onal injury that Shuttle Express might potentially
17	be lia	ble?
18	Α	I think that would be reasonable.
19	Q	Do you think that's one of the reasons why you
20	have	insurance?
21		MR. HARLOW: Objection, calls for
22	speci	ulation. He said he didn't know.
23		MR. FASSBURG: I think after the
24	follow	v-up I think it's reasonable, but it's up to him.
25		JUDGE PEARSON: He's just offering an

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 637
1	opinion.
2	MR. FASSBURG: I understand.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: So you can go ahead and
4	answer with respect to your opinion.
5	A I believe that, yes.
6	Q Please tell me generally, not conclusively,
7	what Shuttle Express does to ensure that drivers who
8	are independent contractors are safe.
9	A Generally, we do several checks, including
10	ride-alongs, inspections, validating credentials,
11	validating driver records, medical certificates, and
12	the requirements under state law.
13	Q Did I understand correctly that you expect the
14	limousine drivers to have a medical certificate that
15	would be compliant with the FMCSRs?
16	A We require a medical certificate that's
17	compliant with the State requirements for the medical
18	certificate.
19	Q Is that under State law or is that under the
20	Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations in which auto
21	transportation drivers are certified?
22	A That is state law for the limousine law that
23	those drivers are certified for.
24	Q Do you know whether that medical certification
25	uses the same criteria?

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 638
1	A	My understanding is it does.
2	Q	Beyond that, is there anything else that you
3	do to	ensure those drivers are safe?
4	Α	There is continual tracking, monitoring,
5	revie	w of any complaints, any concerns, video camera
6	revie	w of any incidents as well as communications from
7	the p	ublic and internal.
8	Q	Do you require those drivers to report their
9	hours	s of service for all operation of vehicles to
10	Shutt	le Express?
11	A	No.
12	Q	If a driver was in excess of their hours of
13	servi	ce, is there a way Shuttle Express would know
14	that?	
15	Α	I am not aware of the hours of service
16	requi	irements for a limousine driver.
17	Q	Does Shuttle Express track its employee
18	drive	rs' hours of service?
19	Α	Yes, we do.
20	Q	Do you believe that if one of your drivers was
21	abou	t to exceed their hours of service requirements or
22	limita	tions you would be able to successfully take
23	them	out of service?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Are you able to do the same for limousine

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 639
1	driver	s?
2	Α	I can't respond to that. It's a different
3	stand	ard.
4	Q	Sure. If we were to attempt to ensure a
5	limous	sine driver was not exceeding the hours of
6	servic	e requirements applicable to an auto
7	transp	oortation driver as a hypothetical, would you
8	have a	any way to do it?
9	Α	There would be a potential to do that.
LO	Q	How would you do it?
L1	Α	It would need to be reported as it is with an
L2	emplo	oyee driver under FMCSA rules.
L3	Q	And you do not do that; is that correct?
L4	Α	That is correct.
L5	Q	I'd like to refer you to Exhibit WAM-28X. Do
L6	you h	ave 28X in front of you?
L7	Α	Yes.
L8	Q	If you'll please turn to page 36. For the
L9	record	d, this is Shuttle Express's Petition for Review
20	of Initi	al Order in Docket TC-120323.
21	I	n paragraph 85 of that document, it states
22	"The (Commission should not penalize or prohibit a
23	progra	am that serves the broader public interest.
24	Rathe	r, it should allow Shuttle Express to work
25	proac	tively with the Commission going forward to make

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 640 1 the regulatory hole a little less round, until a 2 proper fit is obtained. Allowing rescue service to 3 continue pending an exemption petition would best 4 serve the overall public interest. In return, Shuttle 5 Express commits to being more proactive in seeking 6 regulatory guidance and permissions when it modifies 7 its operations and specifically will review any 8 independent contractor operations or operational 9 changes whatsoever in the Commission in advance." 10 Did I read that correctly? 11 A Yes. 12 Q Can you read the date below that on which that 13 statement was submitted to the Commission? 14 A Date is stated as the 3rd day of January 2014. 15 Q Has Shuttle Express reviewed with the 16 Commission any of its independent contractor 17 operations since that date? 18 A I believe it has, yes.

Q Which specific ones?

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A Pending the submission by the exemption which was submitted, I believe, last summer, the discussions were taking place as to what options and operations would be available and what the requirements and changes would be needed.
 - Q Are you referring specifically to the petition

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 641		
1	for ex	cemption filed in 2016?	
2	Α	Yes, I am.	
3	Q	Did Shuttle Express ever meet with the	
4	Com	mission staff subsequent to that date to determine	
5	whetl	ner use of independent contractors without an	
6	exem	ption would be permissible under Commission rules?	
7	A	I don't believe that conversation ever	
8	occu	rred.	
9	Q	That's a no; correct?	
10	A	That would be a no as you stated it.	
11		JUDGE PEARSON: Ms. Fassburg, just to	
12	clarif	y, you mean after January 3, 2014?	
13		MR. FASSBURG: Correct.	
14		JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.	
15	Q	You now claim that you can provide luxury	
16	upgra	ades for single-stop service; correct?	
17	A	Yes.	
18	Q	And the single-stop service records that you	
19	provi	ded in an Excel spreadsheet, which is rather	
20	volun	ninous and I have not provided as a result,	
21	includ	des the trips that you reported that constitute	
22	the 4	0,727 trips between January 16, 2014, and	
23	Septe	ember 30, 2016; correct?	
24	A	Subject to check, that sounds accurate.	
25	Q	Okay. In that data set, are they all	

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 642
1	single	e-stop trips?
2	A	My knowledge of that data set is yes.
3	Q	Are they all single-passenger trips?
4	A	I cannot attest to that.
5	Q	Is Shuttle Express's practice to allow single
6	reser	vations to be transported by independent
7	contr	actors?
8	Α	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. So if there's more than one passenger
10	on a	reservation, they would be transported they
11	could	be transported by an independent contractor?
12	A	That's my understanding, yes.
13	Q	That's the practice of Shuttle Express?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	I understand in your testimony in WAM-3T one
16	of the	e justifications that you have provided for doing
17	that is	s a provision in your tariff regarding alternate
18	mear	ns of transportation; is that correct?
19	A	That is one of them, yes.
20	Q	Have you reviewed WAC 480-30-356(3)(g)?
21	Α	I have.
22	Q	Do you have a copy with you today?
23	Α	I do not, I don't believe.
24		MR. HARLOW: What was that cite then,
25	pleas	se?

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 643	
1	MR. FASSBURG: 480-30-356(3)(g). And,	
2	actually, I'm sorry. That's (3)(h).	
3	Q I've handed the witness a copy of that rule	
4	from the Commission from the website that the	
5	government website on which it is provided.	
6	Mr. Marks, under this rule, Shuttle Express is	
7	required to provide certain information in its tariff;	
8	correct?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q And on part (3)(h), it states: Whether	
11	alternative means of transportation I'm sorry. Let	
12	me read it correctly.	
13	"Whether alternate means of transport will be	
14	provided by the company when it is unable to provide	
15	transportation at the time and place specified in the	
16	reservation that the company has accepted for that	
17	passenger." Did I read that correctly?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q The hypothetical passenger in Woodinville that	
20	you discussed on page 30 of WAM-3T is not someone who	
21	Shuttle Express is unable to provide transportation	
22	to; correct?	
23	A That's correct.	
24	Q Have you reviewed WAC 480-30-036?	
25	A I believe I have in the past, yes.	

Q There's a copy of WAC 480-30-036.

Please find for us the definition of "premium service."

A Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q The rule states "'Premium Service' means a type of service provided by an auto transportation company that is outside normal service. Examples include express service, direct route service, and nonstop door-to-door service."

Did I read that correct?

A Yes.

JUDGE PEARSON: Can you tell me the citation again?

MR. FASSBURG: It was 408-30-036.

Q Does nonstop door-to-door service mean that only one stop would be made?

MR. HARLOW: Objection. Your Honor, we're asking a nonlawyer witness to start interpreting and defining and give opinions on the Commission's regulations. I think this is best for post-hearing briefing, not the witness.

MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, that's another speaking objection. But, Your Honor, he's offered his opinions as to why Shuttle Express is in compliance with the rules in his testimony. If I'm

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 645
1	not permitted to cross-examine him on the foundation
2	of his opinions, I don't know who I'd be allowed to
3	cross-examine on that. He is the witness.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Yeah. I will allow it
5	because I think it does go to the company's
6	justification for its action and why it believes it's
7	operating in compliance with Commission rules, which
8	is definitely relevant. So go ahead.
9	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you.
LO	A Can you ask the question again, please?
L1	Q Under that rule, do you believe nonstop
L2	door-to-door service would be one a service by
L3	which a single stop would be made?
L4	A Yes.
L5	Q Are you familiar with WAC 480-30-016?
L6	A Yes.
L7	Q Do you understand that to be the rule by which
L8	someone who would like to provide service could ask
L9	for an informal Staff opinion as to whether or not a
20	certificate is required to provide the service?
21	A That sounds familiar.
22	Q I don't need to review the language of the
23	rule with you. Did you or anyone at Shuttle Express
24	ask from the Commission Staff an informal opinion as

to whether or not its use of independent contractors

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 646
1	would be permissible under Commission rules?
2	A I can't speak to others, but I personally did
3	not.
4	Q I'd like to ask you a few questions about
5	Shuttle Express's practice in combining door-to-door
6	and scheduled services. I'll refer you to WAM-1T
7	first on page 3.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm sorry. What page?
9	MR. FASSBURG: I'm sorry. It's page 3
10	of WAM-1T.
11	JUDGE PEARSON: 1T?
12	MR. FASSBURG: Yes.
13	BY MR. FASSBURG:
14	Q Beginning on line 9, you state "Shuttle
15	Express operates two different services under its
16	certificate, and each of those services operates to
17	and from two different termini. The primary operation
18	of Shuttle Express is its airport door-to-door share
19	ride service."
20	Did I read that correctly?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And then you state "In addition to the share
23	ride service, we also offer scheduled service between
24	SeaTac Airport and specific locations, such as hotels,
25	schools and transit centers."

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 647
1	Did I read that correctly?
2	I'm sorry. I think that's further down the
3	page on line 17.
4	A Yes.
5	Q Okay. Do you admit in WAM-3T that, in fact,
6	there are times when you combine those services; is
7	that correct?
8	A We combine the passengers for those services,
9	yes.
10	Q Okay. When you say you combine the passengers
11	for those services, do you mean that one vehicle
12	operates both door-to-door and scheduled service with
13	a mix of those two different types of passengers on
14	the same vehicle?
15	A Yes.
16	Q If I understand correctly, you justify that,
17	again, based on the rule for alternate means for
18	transportation in the tariff rule; correct?
19	A I believe that was at least one of the
20	justifications.
21	Q Okay. The justification that related to that
22	is that you stated in your provision for alternate
23	means of transport that you reserve the right to
24	combine them; correct?
25	A That was a section under that portion of the

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS	648
1	tariff,	yes.	
2	Q	Okay. You understand that alternate means of	
3	transp	port is a provision that allows alternate	
4	transp	portation for when the company is unable to	
5	provid	de transportation; correct?	
6	Α	That distinction, yes.	
7	Q	Are you suggesting by your testimony that	
8	Shuttl	le Express is permitted to combine its services	
9	becau	use it notified its passengers that there might be	
10	a circ	umstance under which you need to combine	
11	servic	ces?	
12	Α	I don't see anywhere where that has been	
13	restri	cted otherwise.	
14	Q	Okay.	
15	Α	Yes, I believe we've notified the passengers	
16	of tha	at.	
17	Q	My question was a little different. My	
18	quest	ion is: Are you saying that because you stated	
19	under	alternative means of transport on your tariff	
20	that y	ou can combine them?	
21	Α	I don't think it's because of where its at,	
22	but it	is stated that they would be combined.	
23	Q	Okay. Now, does that suggest, in your	
24	opinio	on, that passengers might be subject to receiving	

combined service when you are otherwise unable to

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 649
1	provi	de transportation at the time and place specified
2	in the	e reservation as the rule states?
3	Α	It could, yes.
4	Q	Do you believe that provides passengers
5	adeq	uate notice that you might, at your option,
6	regai	dless of whether you were otherwise able to
7	provi	de service, combine them onto a vehicle providing
8	a diff	erent type of service?
9	Α	Yes, I believe so.
10	Q	Now, to clarify, your testimony in WAM-3T is
11	respo	onding to Mr. Roemer discussing a specific
12	insta	nce in which he received service; correct?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	Is it your recollection that Mr. Roemer was a
15	pass	enger who paid for scheduled service?
16	Α	Based on the information provided, yes.
17	Q	Have you actually confirmed his reservation?
18	Α	I have seen his reservation, yes.
19	Q	Was it for scheduled service?
20	Α	It was to a scheduled service location, yes.
21	Q	And do you agree that on the same vehicle that
22	Mr. F	Roemer was transported were door-to-door
23	pass	engers?
24	A	That's my understanding, although I didn't
25	look	at the other passengers on that trip.

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 6
--

Q Okay. You've stated in your testimony in
WAM-3T that Mr. Roemer was not, in fact, delayed as a
result of combining services. Did I paraphrase your
testimony correctly?

A I believe so, yes.

Q And you state that because the trip was completed within a projection of -- or really a time that you provided within your tariff; correct?

A And, I believe, it was also on the booking page when he made his reservation.

Q So you're saying the time estimate or time projection for the completion of the route is also on your web page?

A Yes.

Q Now, do you understand that Mr. Roemer was not the first stop the vehicle made that day? I'm sorry.

The place where Mr. Roemer was heading was not the first stop the vehicle made that day?

A That's my understanding from his testimony.

Q Okay. Do you understand that before the stop to which he was being transported the vehicle went to a stop that was not one of your flag stops in your tariff?

A That, I believe, was his testimony.

Q Okay. Do you have any information by which

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 651
1	you can contend he is incorrect?
2	A I don't have any information to support or
3	deny it.
4	Q Okay. Now, in reality, regardless of time
5	estimates for the completion of a route, had
6	Mr. Roemer not waited for a door-to-door passenger to
7	be taken to a location not listed on the flag stop,
8	you would agree with me that he would have arrived at
9	his destination sooner; correct?
10	A I would not agree with you.
11	Q You believe that taking an additional stop
12	adds zero additional time to the trip?
13	A That is not what I said.
14	Q And so if that passenger did not need to stop
15	at a new location, how is it conceivably possible that
16	it didn't take additional time?
17	A If that trip was routed differently as a
18	result of not having that passenger before Mr. Roemer,
19	there could have been additional scheduled service
20	stops prior to Mr. Roemer being dropped off.
21	Q So your point is simply it could have gone to
22	different scheduled stops first?
23	A It could have.
24	Q I'm talking about reality as opposed to
25	hypotheticals. If they didn't make an additional

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 652
1	unscheduled stop before the scheduled stop, it would
2	have taken less time; correct?
3	MR. HARLOW: Objection, asked and
4	answered. I think we spent enough time on this
5	hypothetical.
6	JUDGE PEARSON: Let's move on.
7	BY MR. FASSBURG:
8	Q Okay. Are you familiar with 480-30-369?
9	A I believe so. I don't have it in front of me
LO	yet.
L1	JUDGE PEARSON: Do you have a different
L2	citation? I don't see
L3	MR. FASSBURG: You know what, I do. I
L4	just realized, based on the actual rule, that's not
L5	what I'm looking for. I'm actually referring to
L6	
	WAC 480-30-281.
L7	WAC 480-30-281. JUDGE PEARSON: 281?
L8	JUDGE PEARSON: 281?
L8 L9	JUDGE PEARSON: 281? MR. FASSBURG: I believe so.
L8 L9 20	JUDGE PEARSON: 281? MR. FASSBURG: I believe so. MR. HARLOW: 281?
L8 L9 20	JUDGE PEARSON: 281? MR. FASSBURG: I believe so. MR. HARLOW: 281? MR. FASSBURG: 281.
L7 L8 L9 20 21	JUDGE PEARSON: 281? MR. FASSBURG: I believe so. MR. HARLOW: 281? MR. FASSBURG: 281. JUDGE PEARSON: That is "Tariffs and
L8 L9 20 21	JUDGE PEARSON: 281? MR. FASSBURG: I believe so. MR. HARLOW: 281? MR. FASSBURG: 281. JUDGE PEARSON: That is "Tariffs and time schedules, content."

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 653
1	BY MR. FASSBURG:
2	Q I'll refer you within that rule to (2) where
3	it says "Time schedules."
4	A Uh-huh.
5	Q It says "The time schedule filed with the
6	commission by an auto transportation company must be
7	filed as a separate document or as a section of the
8	company's tariff. The filed time schedule must
9	provide sufficient information to allow prospective
LO	passengers to make informed decisions regarding their
L1	travel arrangements."
L2	Did I read those correctly?
L3	A Yes.
L4	Q Under "(b) The time schedule filed by an auto
L5	transportation company that provides scheduled service
L6	must contain, but is not limited to: (i) The times of
L7	arrival at, and/or departure from, all termini; (ii)
L8	The times of arrival at, and/or departure, from all
L9	intermediate points served; (iii) The distance between
20	all points shown in the schedule; (iv) A list of all
21	flag stops at which the company will provide service."
22	I'll stop there. Did I read those correctly?
23	A Yes.

Q If you're combining door-to-door and scheduled

service, does your tariff for your scheduled service,

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

24

25

Page: 653

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 654
1	in fact, include every point at which the vehicle
2	might stop?
3	A I don't believe that's the requirement in
4	here.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: I have a question.
6	What's a flag stop?
7	MR. FASSBURG: A flag stop is a point
8	along a scheduled route at which it might stop or
9	might not stop depending on whether or not a passenger
10	is reserving service there. Just to paraphrase, the
11	rules require that if you run a route on a schedule,
12	you have to list all the stops and the times at which
13	you'll arrive and depart.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: It's kind of like a
15	city bus?
16	MR. FASSBURG: Right. They're running
17	on a flag stop service, which means they only go to
18	places people actually have reserved service. But
19	they still must list, according to this rule, every
20	place they will stop.
21	JUDGE PEARSON: For the given route?
22	MR. FASSBURG: For the given route.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Got it. Thank you.
24	BY MR. FASSBURG:
25	Q I'll move on.

If a passenger goes to your website and wants to reserve door-to-door service, is there an option on your website that states door-to-door service?

- A I am not sure what it previously said. I don't know that door-to-door service is advertised on the website to book.
- Q And if you enter the reservation portal, once someone has entered it, it will be the same portal regardless of whether they select to reserve door-to-door or scheduled; correct?
- A It could be the same, yes.
 - Q Isn't it, in fact, the same?
- A Not always, no.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. So how about back when Mr. Roemer was on that trip, did Mr. Roemer, when he entered the website, experience a portal that told him whether he could reserve door-to-door or scheduled service?
- A I'm not aware of what the portal said that he used.
- Q You're not familiar with what the Shuttle Express portal provided in February of 2015?
- A In February 2015, I believe we had over 400 different policies and procedures set up.
 - Q I'm asking about the one on your website.
 - A They're all on our website.

EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 656

Q When someone enters that reservation system
from the door-to-door page, can they not end up
reserving scheduled service based on the options they
pick in the reservation system?

A Yes. There are options for that service as well.

Q Those are actually labeled by destination type or location type; correct?

A I believe that would be correct.

Q So if someone wanted to receive door-to-door service on your website's reservations portal, they would need to know that they received door-to-door service by picking a ZIP Code; correct?

A That was the beginning process for that time, yes.

Q When they pick a ZIP Code, they would receive door-to-door service, but they don't know that from the labels; correct?

A I can't speak to what they do or don't know.

Q The labels don't state door-to-door versus schedule. They state ZIP Code versus location; correct?

A At the first page that you select the location, I believe that is correct, but that's not true later on in the system when it offers different

	Docket Nos. 10-143091 and 10-100310 (Consolidated) - Vol. VIII	12/201
	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 657	
1	pricing.	
2	Q When it offers different pricing, doesn't it	
3	simply offer a shared ride version shared ride	
4	price versus a different price?	
5	A It would be share ride, scheduled, and other	
6	options, yes.	
7	Q So it's your testimony today here under oath	
8	if someone were to enter your reservation system and	
9	select a location, that they would have the option of	
10	choosing door-to-door?	
11	A If it is a main location by us, no, they would	
12	not.	
13	Q Now, if someone were to enter into your	
14	reservation system and choose by ZIP Code a hotel that	
15	you also provide scheduled service to and reserve	
16	door-to-door service, would they pay a higher price	
17	than a person who reserved service to the same	
18	location by location type?	
19	A That is circumstantial depending on number of	
20	passengers	

passengers.

Q Let's talk about one. Would a person who reserves service to a hotel by address and ZIP Code pay more than a person who reserved service to the same location by the location name?

A For a single passenger, yes.

21

22

23

24

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 658
1	Q	Okay. Could that person end up on the same
2	vehic	ele as the hypothetical second person who paid
3	less ı	money?
4	Α	Yes.
5	Q	And do you ever offer to remit a portion of
6	the h	igher fare you charged to the door-to-door person
7	for re	ceiving the same service as the person who paid
8	for so	cheduled service?
9	Α	We have offered to adjust the reservations,
10	yes.	
11	Q	Do you offer to remit that routinely?
12	Α	Routinely is I can't say routinely. It
13	happ	ens.
14	Q	Let me ask it a little differently. You can't
15	tell th	e Commission under oath today that every person
16	who	has ever ended up paying more because they chose
17	the Z	IP Code and address as opposed to the location
18	that y	ou haven't in every occasion remitted the fare
19	differ	ence, have you?
20	Α	No, not in every occasion.
21	Q	You don't even track certain circumstances in

Q You don't even track certain circumstances in which you have a door-to-door and a scheduled passenger going to the same location going in the same vehicle, do you?

A I'm sorry. We don't track --

22

23

24

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 659
1	Q	You don't track occasions in which you have
2	that s	scenario occur, do you?
3	Α	Certainly, we do.
4	Q	If you do, then you would be able to know in
5	each	instance when to remit their fare difference for
6	havin	g received these scheduled service at the
7	door-	to-door price; correct?
8	Α	They receive the service that they had
9	requ	ested.
10	Q	But they're on the same vehicle as the other
11	perso	on, aren't they?
12	A	They certainly would be.
13	Q	With the same driver?
14	A	Uh-huh.
15	Q	They're both going to Sea-Tac to the same
16	desti	nation; correct?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	They would have all the same intermediate
19	stops	on the way; correct?
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	They are charged two different prices;
22	corre	ct?
23	Α	Both in line with the tariff.
24	Q	And you know they were on the same vehicle
25	beca	use you tracked that, as you just stated; correct?

A Yes. We have all of our customer data.

Q And you do not make it a practice to remit the difference in fares you charge to the door-to-door passenger; correct?

A Not automatically, no.

JUDGE PEARSON: I have a question. In the event that you do remit the difference, is it on Shuttle Express's own accord or because a customer recognizes what happened and asks for an adjustment?

MR. MARKS: It would be both. Our coordinators at the airport locate those. Our drivers locate those and ask the passenger if they would like to pay the reduced fare in most cases, and at that point, we remit the fare back to the customer that's different.

JUDGE PEARSON: So in most cases, the company identifies it and fixes it, but not always?

MR. MARKS: We attempt to, but in circumstances such as five passengers traveling to a scheduled service location, the fare may actually be lower for the door-to-door service. While both are offered, we provide the customer, up until the trip is complete, with the option to adjust that service to a lower fare.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. Go

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / MARKS 661	
1	ahead.	
2	BY MR. FASSBURG:	
3	Q I just want to confirm. There are	
4	circumstances where that has occurred and you didn't	
5	catch it and remit the fare; correct?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q And as you sit here today, you don't know how	
8	many times that occurred; correct?	
9	A As I sit here, no, I would not.	
10	Q I'm going to guess you've never reviewed the	
11	hearing transcript in Docket TC 09118, which was an	
12	application by I'm going to get the name wrong, but	
13	it's Whidbey Island Airport.	
14	A That was before my time.	
15	Q Are you aware I think I know the answer	
16	that in that docket Whidbey Island actually alleged	
17	that Shuttle Express was not providing scheduled	
18	service when its tariff indicated that it was going	
19	to?	
20	A I'm not aware, no.	
21	Q You've admitted that Shuttle Express makes	
22	payments to concierge staff at hotels for what I think	
23	we've alleged were unlawful commissions or rebates;	
24	correct?	
25	A That was your allegation, yes.	

		EXAMINATION BY JUDGE PEARSON / MARKS 662	
1	Q	So just to be clear on the record, you have an	
2	agree	ement with those concierges that you produced in	
3	discovery that's the Shuttle Express commission		
4	guide	elines; correct?	
5	Α	Yes.	
6	Q	You don't file those with the Commission;	
7	correct?		
8	A	That is correct.	
9	Q	And those cover a payment from Shuttle Express	
10	to the	e concierge staff for reservations made for	
11	Shuttle Express service on behalf of passengers;		
12	corre	ct?	
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	Are you aware that just last year Shuttle	
15	Expr	ess was penalized \$400 for a rule violation for	
16	having a driver who was not qualified to drive?		
17	Α	I am aware of that.	
18		MR. FASSBURG: I think we have no	
19	furthe	er questions.	
20		EXAMINATION	
21	BY J	UDGE PEARSON:	
22	Q	Okay. Thank you. I have a follow-up question	
23	just b	pecause I don't remember what you said.	
24		Going back to the discussion of the trip that	
25	Mr E	Roemer took on the Shuttle Express van, did you	

	EXAMINATION BY BEATTIE / MARKS 663
1	concede that there was a stop made on that route that
2	was not a flag stop listed for that particular
3	scheduled service in the company's tariff?
4	A Yes. My understanding of Mr. Roemer's
5	testimony conceded that he indicated there was a stop.
6	It was not a scheduled service stop prior to him, and
7	I would agree with that based on his testimony.
8	Q And you would agreed that that was not a flag
9	stop listed in the company's tariff?
10	A Based on the location, yes.
11	Q And to your knowledge, does that happen
12	routinely?
13	A To my probably occasionally, more than
14	occasionally.
15	Q Okay. So more than occasionally, Shuttle
16	Express makes stops at what you would consider flag
17	stops that are not listed in the tariff schedule?
18	A In the scheduled service route.
19	Q Right. That's what I'm talking about.
20	A Okay. Thank you.
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Beattie.
22	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Judge Pearson.
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. BEATTIE:
25	Q. I have just one line of questions for you.

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 664	
1	Mr. Marks. Mr. Fassburg in his questioning to you	
2	used the term "independent contractor." Are you aware	
3	that that is a term of legal significance?	
4	A I believe it is for employment law.	
5	Q I just want to know if you know. Answer if	
6	you do. Has Shuttle Express ever received any kind of	
7	determination, formal or informal, from the Washington	
8	State Employment Security Division, Labor and	
9	Industries, or the Federal IRS that the drivers	
10	Shuttle Express contracts with are, in fact or in law,	
11	independent contractors?	
12	A I don't know of any of that. I'm sorry.	
13	MR. BEATTIE: Okay. Thank you.	
14	I'm finished. Thank you.	
15	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	
16	Mr. Harlow, did you have anything?	
17	MR. HARLOW: Yes, Your Honor. Give me	
18	one moment.	
19	Thank you for that moment.	
20	EXAMINATION	
21	BY MR. HARLOW:	
22	Q Good morning, again, Mr. Marks. I think we'll	
23	work backwards here just because it's easier. So	
24	let's start with the \$400 penalty you mentioned for	
25	nonqualified driver.	

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 665

Can you please provide the full set of circumstances and the company's positions regarding that?

A Sure. Absolutely. Our driver compliance tracking at that time indicated that we maintained records that showed that a driver had compliant documents, had maintained their medical certificate, and maintained their licensure status as well as all the other requirements under federal and state law. The driver in question in that circumstance had obtained a new medical examination card through the FMCSA's medical examiner registry program process and had turned that into us as proof that that examination had occurred in time.

At that point, we secured the card, and our understanding at that point was that there was a 14-day period at which point that that card needed to be verified in the registry as well as the driver's license update. Unfortunately, I believe on the 14th day, that driver was inspected; and, as such, she had not provided to the state licensing department the update of the card as required and so her CDL status was revoked.

We became aware of it, immediately pulled her off the road, and required her to submit that card to

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 666
1	the Department of Licensing. As a result of both that
2	circumstance as well as communication with Staff on
3	that, we have changed our procedures and policies on
4	that to require, at the time of the license renewal,
5	proof that it has already been verified in the system
6	with updated medical information.
7	Q Thank you for that clarification.
8	You were questioned by both Mr. Fassburg and
9	the administrative law judge about the flag stop and
10	specifically one trip by Mr. Roemer, which,
11	apparently, was a combined door-to-door and scheduled
12	service; is that correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q If you would, turn back to WAM-3T at page 4,
15	beginning of line 15 on that page, and you were asked
16	by Mr. Fassburg about alternate means of transport.
17	And he asked that as a follow-up to a rule which
18	defined alternate means of transport.
19	Is it your understanding that the Shuttle
20	Express tariff that you quote, starting at line 15, is
21	limited to providing alternate means of transport as
22	defined by the rule that Mr. Fassburg cited?
23	A I'm sorry. The question was is our
24	Q Is it your understanding that the language
25	that you guote, beginning at line 15 on that page, is

that you quote, beginning at line 15 on that page, is

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 667
1	limited to providing the kind of alternate means of
2	transport as provided by the Commission's rule?
3	A No, I don't believe it's limited. It was an
4	extension of that alternate means statement in the
5	tariff.
6	Q In fact, based on the language, what's your
7	intention in terms of advising customers as to how
8	their services might be provided?
9	A Our intention is to provide advanced notice
10	through the tariff that we may combine door-to-door
11	and scheduled service passengers on a flag stop route
12	with the notification that this is not intended to
13	impact the flag stop or scheduled service as it was
14	originally requested.
15	Q Was this tariff provision reviewed by the
16	Commission Staff?
17	A It has been, yes.
18	Q Has it become effective either through
19	expressed approval or no action?
20	A It has.
21	Q Are there public interest benefits in your
22	overall operation to combining operations in the way
23	that you've described between door-to-door and
24	scheduled services?
25	A Certainly. It reduces congestion, first of

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 668

all. It also provides a more efficient way to carry passengers more efficiently, lower wait times, reducing cost to service overall. It has many benefits.

- Q Are there potentially circumstances where a customer who selects door-to-door, even though they pay a higher fare, might be dispatched in a way that they would receive a benefit for that?
 - A Absolutely.

- Q And how would that occur?
- A They could be a passenger that may be traveling somewhere similar to a scheduled service location, and instead of having to wait for other passengers on a similar route, they can be combined with that route to depart quicker and receive much more expeditious services as a result.
- Q And the administrative law judge, working backwards again, indicated that -- well, you were -- this came up in a discussion of WAC 480-30-356 about the definition of alternate means of transport, and the administrative law judge allowed that question.

My understanding is that that was based on -it was relevant why Shuttle Express believes it is
operating in compliance. Do you recall that?

A Yes.

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 669 1 Q Does Shuttle Express have any reasons to 2 believe it is operating in compliance with the law in 3 the State of Washington when it refers single-stop 4 passengers to its independent contractors on occasion? 5 A I believe we do. 6 Q And what other basis would that be? 7 A We've had conversations as a result of several 8 waiver proceedings and rule-makings with the 9 Commission where Department of Licensing has expressed 10 that their jurisdiction for a single contract may take 11 precedence over that based on the vehicle used. 12 Q Were you at the workshop yesterday afternoon 13 here in this room? 14 A Yes. 15 Q Was anyone from the Department of Licensing 16 here? 17 A Yes. There was. I believe Jody Sisk from the 18 Department of Licensing was present.

Q Do you recall what she said the Department of
Licensing -MR. BEATTIE: Objection, hearsay.
MR. HARLOW: I'm not finished with the
question, but the witness will give a moment to allow
for the objections if I can finish it.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Go ahead and

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 670
1	finish the question. Then we'll see. Don't answer
2	until
3	BY MR. HARLOW:
4	Q Do you recall what Ms. Sisk said the
5	Department of Licensing position was with regard to
6	whether a referral by an auto transportation company
7	would constitute a single contract for purposes of the
8	limousine statutes and rules?
9	JUDGE PEARSON: I'll just stop you
LO	right there, because I'm not concerned with what the
L1	Department of Licensing thinks about this.
L2	MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, I think it
L3	goes to the penalty, and one of the factors is whether
L4	the company's violation, if found, was willful or not.
L5	The company here has been talking to the Department of
L6	Licensing for many years, and the Department of
L7	Licensing has asserted jurisdiction.
L8	JUDGE PEARSON: I'll just stop you
L9	right there that what the Department of Licensing says
20	has no bearing on what the Commission believes in the
21	enforcement of its rules.
22	MR. HARLOW: I'm making my offer if I
23	can see where I'm going, but I'll finish. The
24	Department of when the limousines were transferred
25	from the Utilities Commission to the Department of

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 671

- 1 Licensing, the legislature divested this Commission a
- 2 | jurisdiction. If, in fact, the Department of
- 3 | Licensing asserts jurisdiction correctly, this
- 4 | Commission has no jurisdiction. And that's why I
- 5 think it's a good faith belief on the part of the
- 6 company when the DOL says we have jurisdiction the
- company has a good faith belief and shouldn't be fined
- 8 | for that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE PEARSON: I understand that, but I also understand that this was not a discussion that occurred until the most recent request for exemption in September of 2016. That's when the Commission first became aware of these discussions, and the violations alleged were all prior to that date. So it's not relevant now.

MR. HARLOW: Would you allow redirect on conversations with the DOL prior to December of 2016?

JUDGE PEARSON: If the Commission was not aware of those conversations, then, no, they have no bearing on this situation. The conversations should have occurred with all interested parties like they did when the petition for exemption was filed in September 2016. So any conversations that the Commission was not aware of wouldn't have any bearing

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 672
1	on that time period.
2	MR. HARLOW: I actually don't remember,
3	so if I can ask one more foundational question related
4	to that specific question.
5	BY MR. HARLOW:
6	Q Mr. Marks, do you recall any conversations
7	that you're aware of between the company and the
8	Department of Licensing in which the Utilities and
9	Transportation Commission or its Staff were involved?
10	MR. FASSBURG: We object to the
11	question. I think there's still no relevance to this.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Yeah. I would agree
13	with that. Let's move on.
14	MR. HARLOW: Let me offer proof, and
15	we'll move on. Thank you, Your Honor.
16	Q Just for clarification, we talked you
17	talked a lot on cross-examination about single-stop
18	trips by independent contractors. Do you recall that
19	line?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And when we say "single-stop trips," does that
22	bear any relationship to the number of parties on one
23	of those trips?
24	A It would indicate it was actually single
25	reservations in those, so it was a single reservation

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 673
1	with	a single stop.
2	Q	To be clear, does that mean it's a single
3	party	?
4	A	Single party that may have multiple
5	pass	engers.
6	Q	There was prior to that the significant
7	discu	ssion about safety requirements of independent
8	contr	actors relative to auto transportation drivers.
9	Do yo	ou recall that?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	In your experience, are your independent
12	contr	actors operating in what your opinion would be
13	consi	dered a safe manner?
14	A	In my experience, yes, absolutely.
15	Q	In your experience, can you recall a single
16	injury	/accident that was incurred by one of your
17	indep	pendent contractors while operating under contract
18	with \$	Shuttle Express?
19	Α	I cannot, no.
20	Q	And how many years does that go back?
21	Α	My knowledge of independent contractors and
22	their	operations to that extent would extend in excess
23	of fo	ur years.
24	Q	And, roughly, how many trips would that be?
25	Α	It would be voluminous.

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / WARKS 6/4
1	Q	Tens of thousands?
2	A	More than likely, yes.
3	Q	More than 100,000?
4	Α	Based on the information I have, yes. It
5	woul	d be in excess of that.
6	Q	Thank you. Do you recall being asked by
7	Mr. F	assburg whether you had seen data indicating
8	which	n countries Sea-Tac passengers are arriving from
9	or de	parting to?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	And what was the source of that data that you
12	said y	you had seen?
13	Α	I believe it was the census data that
14	Mr. N	forton presented as evidence in the initial
15	heari	ing.
16	Q	Do you recall seeing any meaningful number of
17	Soma	alian passengers arriving or departing Sea-Tac
18	Airpo	rt?
19	A	I don't recall any.
20	Q	Do you recall seeing any meaningful number of
21	Samo	oan passengers arriving or departing Sea-Tac
22	Airpo	rt?
23	A	I don't.
24	Q	WAM-6, which is the data request with the

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 675
1	language of I'm not sure I'm pronouncing this
2	right. Amharic? Do you recall that?
3	A I see that, yes.
4	Q Do you know what language or country that is?
5	A I'm not aware, no.
6	Q Does Shuttle Express have Spanish-speaking
7	drivers and customer service representatives?
8	MR. FASSBURG: Objection for relevance.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm sorry. Can you
LO	repeat the question?
L1	MR. HARLOW: Just read it back.
L2	(Record read back as requested.)
L3	JUDGE PEARSON: I think we've already
L4	established that they have, so you can move forward.
L5	MR. HARLOW: Certainly.
L6	BY MR. HARLOW:
L7	Q Then you were asked questions about your
L8	observations of the greeters for more than ten hours.
L9	Do you recall that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q I guess if I could characterize that those
22	questions they were challenging your ability to give
23	meaningful testimony on the adequacy of your greeter
24	staffing, what would be needed to really get a good
25	determination, a valid determination, of whether

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 676
1	SpeediShuttle's greeter staffing is adequate?
2	MR. FASSBURG: Objection. This seems
3	like a question about the weight of the evidence or
4	the sufficiency of the evidence. I don't see how this
5	helps.
6	JUDGE PEARSON: Yeah. I agree. I'm
7	going to sustain the objection.
8	Q The questions were limited to whether you had
9	personal information about the adequacy of the greeter
L0	staff. My question follow-up is: Do you have any
L1	information that is not based on personal knowledge
L2	that indicates whether SpeediShuttle's greeter staff
L3	is adequate?
L4	MR. FASSBURG: That would exceed the
L5	scope of cross.
L6	JUDGE PEARSON: And I think he answered
L7	that question and he did. He answered that question.
L8	He said he talked to two other customers who said that
L9	they weren't greeted, but he was unable to identify
20	who they were. So I think that's been asked and
21	answered.
22	Q Then we'll go backward, hopefully. Not my
23	favorite direction, but it works today.
24	You were asked if you had specific numbers
25	regarding losses to TNCs and other modes of

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 677
1	transportations, losses of Shuttle Express passenger
2	traffic. Do you recall that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do you have an opinion regarding the biggest
5	cause of Shuttle Express's decline in passenger
6	traffic since 2015?
7	A I have lots of opinions. There are
8	significant forces that have continually influenced
9	the available market for share ride services.
10	Q Can you identify the biggest single cause of
11	your decline since 2015?
12	A I think the biggest single cause of our
13	decline I would attribute to SpeediShuttle.
14	Q Is there any doubt in your mind on that based
15	on the statistical data?
16	MR. FASSBURG: Objection, leading.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: I think you can move
18	on, because I think it's well established that Shuttle
19	Express believes that SpeediShuttle is the No. 1 cause
20	for its passenger decline.
21	Q You were asked about the distinction between
22	passengers versus trips. Do you recall that?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Has Shuttle Express ever asked for actual
25	passenger data from SpeediShuttle in this proceeding?

	Docket Nos. 10-143091 and 10-100310 (Consolidated) - Vol. VIII 3/12/201
	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 678
1	MR. FASSBURG: Again, we're going to
2	object to the discovery disputes in the hearing.
3	There's no benefit for the Commission hearing about
4	this.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: I'll sustain that
6	objection. We had this discussion yesterday, two days
7	ago. Excuse me.
8	Q Okay. Moving on, you were asked about
9	non-English-speaking passengers at some length this
10	morning, and you indicated that based on discovery
11	responses from SpeediShuttle they have not had a
12	single passenger use any of their three non-English
13	web pages. Do you recall that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What's the importance, in your opinion, of
16	that fact in terms of whether they are providing the
17	service they said they would?
18	A My understanding is that the enhanced features
19	were expected to expand the market share, expand the
20	accessibility for foreign language speakers as part of
21	Mr. Morton's testimony, and it doesn't appear that

Q If you don't have Japanese, Korean, and
Chinese web portals and they have them but nobody is

that factor has come to fruition or had any advantage

to the public as a whole.

22

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 679
1	using them, does that matter that they have those?
2	MR. FASSBURG: Objection, that calls
3	for a legal conclusion.
4	MR. HARLOW: It calls for his opinion.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: What's that?
6	MR. HARLOW: I'm calling for his
7	opinion.
8	MR. FASSBURG: It's also outside the
9	scope of cross.
L0	JUDGE PEARSON: I agree that it is
L1	outside the scope, and so I will sustain the
L2	objection.
L3	BY MR. HARLOW:
L4	Q We're back to Wednesday, which is an honorary
L5	Thursday. Now, on Wednesday toward the end of the
L6	day, there was discussion about the wait times for
L7	Shuttle Express passengers versus the wait times for
L8	SpeediShuttle passengers. Do you recall that?
L9	A I do.
20	Q And let me ask you generally, first of all:
21	Do you have any reason to believe that SpeediShuttle's
22	wait times are materially less than Shuttle Express's
23	wait times for passengers?
24	A No. In fact, we don't really have a good
25	sense of them because the data provided was restricted

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 680

to just non-pre-reserved passengers.

Q And the data that is in the record was 65 percent for Shuttle Express leaving in 20 minutes or less and 77 percent for SpeediShuttle leaving in 20 minutes or less. Do you recall those two data points?

A I do.

Q Can you compare those data points and comment on whether those are representative data points?

A I don't believe they're similar at all. The Shuttle Express data cited in my testimony was from February, which is, traditionally, the slowest month of the year for us and the hardest to group multiple passengers together in an efficient way; whereas, the data provided by SpeediShuttle was from the, I believe, last quarter or last half of the prior year, which the end of the year is usually much busier than the beginning of the following year.

As I said, it also excluded data from the pre-reserved passengers, so it was a selected subset of their data.

Q Okay. Mr. Roemer testified in his direct and you were asked about it on cross here about the SpeediShuttle TV. Do you recall that?

A Yeah.

Q Apparently, it provides tourism information?

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 681
1	A That's my understanding.
2	Q Do Shuttle Express passengers have a way to
3	get that information?
4	MR. FASSBURG: Objection, relevance.
5	Outside the scope of cross.
6	JUDGE PEARSON: This is outside the
7	scope, Mr. Harlow.
8	Q Okay. Let's go to the Mercedes vehicles.
9	You were asked whether they were more
10	attractive to customers. Do you recall that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Is there another consequence to use of
13	Mercedes vehicles to the public?
14	MR. FASSBURG: Objection, exceeds the
15	scope of cross.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm going to sustain.
17	Q Well, we're moving right along here. You were
18	asked about whether or not Shuttle Express served all
19	of the North Bend ZIP Code. Do you recall that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And the answer is no. Can you describe the
22	characteristics of the portions of the ZIP Code that
23	you served in the North Bend ZIP Code versus the
24	characteristics of the areas you do not serve?
25	A My understanding of the area where our

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / MARKS 682
1	certificated service is allowed in that ZIP Code is
2	the majority of the city center and populated areas to
3	the west of North Bend, including populated areas of
4	North Bend. The restricted areas would be to the east
5	and are the more rural areas of that ZIP Code.
6	Q Thank you. And then you were asked whether in
7	hypothetically or theory SpeediShuttle would be better
8	able to compete with other modes of transportation, in
9	other words, other than regular share ride because of
10	their features and applications. Do you recall that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q You said hypothetically or whatever the
13	question was, theoretically, yes. But in reality, are
14	they demonstrating that they're better able to compete
15	with those other modes of transportation?
16	A I don't feel there's any differentiation.
17	Q What do you base that opinion on?
18	A The passengers that are requesting the service
19	are looking for the service type and the price point.
20	The features don't necessarily make a difference in
21	which service they're going with.
22	For example, with Uber, you're looking for a
23	very individual personalized small vehicle to take you
24	where you're going; whereas, a public bus, you're

looking for a cheap way to stop at all these places

Page: 683

1	along the way and have the expectation of traveling
2	with other passengers. It's a different class of
3	service entirely.
4	MR. HARLOW: Thank you, Mr. Marks.
5	Thank you, Your Honor. We're done with our
6	redirect.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you. So why
8	don't we take our 15-minute break now. I will review
9	the portions of the deposition that Shuttle Express is
10	offering, and we can reconvene at 11:30 and proceed
11	with Mr. Roemer at that time. So we'll be off the
12	record and recess.
13	(A break was taken from 11:13 a.m. to
14	11:33 a.m.)
15	JUDGE PEARSON: So let's be back on the
16	record. During the recess, I had a chance to review
17	the excerpts of Mr. Roemer's deposition transcript,
18	and while I did determine that large portions of it
19	are irrelevant and most likely not useful to me, I'm
20	going to go ahead and admit it because I don't think
21	it contains anything that is prejudicial to
22	SpeediShuttle, and I'll take what I need and leave the
23	rest behind and afford it the weight as I see fit.
24	MR. FASSBURG: And we understand your
25	ruling. We just want to make sure our objection to

	331
1	the admission is on the record.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And that is
3	noted on the record as our the objections contained
4	within the deposition transcript itself. I saw that
5	those were there.
6	MR. FASSBURG: Sure. And, obviously,
7	those are there's deposition objections, and
8	there's admissibility objections. We are objecting to
9	the admissibility.
LO	JUDGE PEARSON: Right. And recognizing
L1	all of that.
L2	So before we start with Mr. Roemer, I think we
L3	should go through the exhibit list and address the
L4	objections. I think I have I don't have your list
L5	anymore; right?
L6	MR. FASSBURG: Did you give it back?
L7	JUDGE PEARSON: I gave yours back,
L8	which is the one I need. But before we get to that, I
L9	think Shuttle, you had a partial objection to HJR-25T?
20	MR. HARLOW: I think that's the only
21	objection we have for prefiled. Whenever you're
22	ready, I'll tell who you what the objection is.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead.
24	MR. HARLOW: The objection is to the
25	testimony beginning on page 13.

	000
1	JUDGE PEARSON: Let me open it real
2	quick.
3	MR. HARLOW: HJR-25T, page 13,
4	beginning at line 1.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm sorry. Page?
6	MR. HARLOW: Thirteen.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Thirteen.
8	MR. HARLOW: See the heading "Combining
9	Door-To-Door With Scheduled Service"?
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
11	MR. HARLOW: The objection goes from
12	line one on that page to page 14, line 8 inclusive.
13	JUDGE PEARSON: Hold on. Okay. What's
14	your objection?
15	MR. HARLOW: The objection is it's
16	beyond the scope of the schedule which allowed this
17	round of rebuttal testimony. It was supposed to only
18	be on the SpeediShuttle complaint, which is
19	Docket 161257, and that complaint alleged two things.
20	One of them were the commissions paid to hotel
21	concierges. The other one was the use of independent
22	contractors to provide service.
23	And, in fact, we had, informally, among the
24	parties, confirmed that that was a limitation of this
25	round of testimony were those two topics. And the

	686
1	combining door-to-door with scheduled service is not
2	part of it.
3	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, we were
4	notified of this objection yesterday morning.
5	Obviously, we had previously filed motions to strike
6	to address issues like that.
7	What Mr. Harlow leaves out in his argument is
8	that between that time and the present, there was
9	Order 17 issued by Your Honor, which amended Order 08
10	to address the issue of service to the satisfaction of
11	the Commission. One of the as you will note, if
12	you go back to our, I believe, March 30 response to
13	that notice of intention to amend, we did not object
14	to preserving the schedule, but we made very clear
15	that we intended our rebuttal to address service to
16	the satisfaction of the Commission. That's an indicia
17	of that, and that's what that's directed to.
18	MR. HARLOW: May I, Your Honor?
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
20	MR. HARLOW: I reviewed Order 17 before
21	I reached out to them on the previous agreement, and I
22	could find nothing in there that changed the
23	procedural schedule and scope of any other rounds of
24	testimony.

JUDGE PEARSON: So I would just say

that I was not aware of any agreement between th	e
parties to limit	

MR. HARLOW: I understand.

JUDGE PEARSON: -- the scope of this testimony, nor did I believe that that was what was built into the schedule. I think that my understanding has been all along that with each round the parties would be responding to testimony and exhibits provided by the other parties in the previous round.

And it looks here like Mr. Roemer is responding to Mr. Marks's testimony. So I'm going to allow it and also because it does fit within the expanded scope of these proceedings with respect to the question of whether Shuttle Express is providing service to the Commission's satisfaction. And it is something that I'm going to take into consideration because I wasn't even fully aware of it until today when Mr. Marks testified about it and I was able to ask some follow-up questions. I didn't even understand what a flag stop was until this morning. And so that's additional information that I will be taking into consideration.

So we can move on now to -- do you have your copy of your objections to the other exhibits?

Page: 688

1	MR. FASSBURG: I do, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: I will clarify for the
3	record right now that the parties stipulated to the
4	admission of Exhibit HJR-1T through HJR-24, so those
5	are all admitted and marked accordingly. I just ruled
6	that I will admit HJR-25T. The parties have also
7	stipulated to the admission of HJR-26 and HJR-27. I
8	also ruled that I will admit HJR-28X. There is a
9	stipulation regarding HJR-29X and HJR-30X, and so I
LO	will admit those into the record and mark them
L1	accordingly.
L2	(Exhibit HJR-1T through HJR-30X were
L3	admitted.)
L4	JUDGE PEARSON: SpeediShuttle has
L5	objected to HJR-31X, which is SpeediShuttle's 2015
L6	annual report. That is a Commission document, so just
L7	as I held yesterday, I will take official notice of
L8	that. What was the basis for your objection?
L9	MR. FASSBURG: We waive the objection.
20	It's fine.
21	JUDGE PEARSON: There's stipulation
22	with respect HJR-32X, so I will admit that and mark it
23	accordingly.
24	(Exhibit HJR-31X and Exhibit HJR-32X
25	were marked.)

1	JUDGE PEARSON: There were objections
2	to HJR-33X, HJR-35X, and HJR-36X, which are similar in
3	nature, I assume, because they are all copies of
4	wholesale agreements. So do you want to take them up
5	individually or as a group? Is it the same basis?
6	MR. FASSBURG: Without the complete
7	list in front of me, Your Honor, I don't actually
8	remember which is which.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: So HJR-33X is the 2015
10	wholesale share ride rate agreement with The GO Group.
11	MR. FASSBURG: I think we don't have an
12	objection to that.
13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So I will admit
14	that and mark it as HJR-33X.
15	(Exhibit HJR-33X was admitted.)
16	JUDGE PEARSON: The parties have
17	stipulated to the admission of HJR-34X, so I will
18	admit that.
19	(Exhibit HJR-34X was admitted.)
20	JUDGE PEARSON: HJR-35X is the 2015
21	wholesale shared ride rate agreement with Travelscape.
22	MR. FASSBURG: I don't know why it's
23	offered, so I think it would be better to take it up
24	when it's offered.
25	JUDGE PEARSON: And same with HJR-36X?

	Docket Nos. TC-143691 and TC-160516 (Consolidated) - Vol. VIII	5/12/2017
	690	
1	MR. FASSBURG: Yes.	
2	JUDGE PEARSON: So we will address	
3	those later.	
4	The parties have stipulated to the admission	
5	of Exhibits HJR-37X through HJR-42X, so I will admit	
6	those and mark them accordingly.	
7	(Exhibit HJR-37X through	
8	Exhibit HJR-42X were admitted.)	
9	JUDGE PEARSON: And SpeediShuttle has	
10	an objection to HJR-43X, which is an e-mail string	
11	from Mr. Harlow to Ms. Gruber dated November 15, 2016.	
12	MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, we'll withdraw	
13	that.	
14	JUDGE PEARSON: You'll withdraw that,	
15	so that is withdrawn.	
16	There is stipulation with respect to the	
17	admission of HJR-44X through HJR-57X, so I will admit	
18	those and mark them accordingly.	
19	(Exhibit HJR-44X through	
20	Exhibit HJR-57X, were admitted.)	
21	MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, what happened	
22	with 43 is one we just thank you. Sorry.	
23	JUDGE PEARSON: That's okay.	

25

And then HJR-58X is an e-mail string --

MR. FASSBURG: It's multiple e-mail

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

691

strings. It's 224 pages of many, many e-mails, most of which are duplicative. It would be much easier to address if this hadn't been filed as 224 pages of e-mails.

My understanding is this is at least a portion of all of SpeediShuttle's response to a particular.

My understanding is this is at least a portion of all of SpeediShuttle's response to a particular data request in terms of what was responsive to what we were required to produce, but I don't think even a tenth of this is actually helpful information. And it's just problematic to have such a big chunk. I haven't been able to really go through it to the point where I can say here's what I can agree to and here's what I can't.

JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Harlow?

MR. HARLOW: There's a lot in here.

It's a wealth of information relevant to the case.

First of all, it all came out of the SpeediShuttle

18 records and files, and you'll notice every document on

19 the bottom right-hand corner has a Bates No. of SS and

then there's four digits. This was provided in

response to a data request.

And if it's important -- it's Nos. 2 and 12, and you ordered these responses. So these were pursuant to a motion to compel and an order, so you

found them relevant for that purpose. So I'm sure

	092
1	there's stuff in there this will go to the
2	weight that's isn't particularly useful. In fact,
3	there's a lot of junk. There's a lot of form e-mails.
4	There's a lot of repetition to it, but in there, there
5	are tidbits. I just want to quote you one, which is
6	Document SS0876.
7	MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I appreciate
8	that he's just arguing as to why it's admissible. It
9	seems like he could just take the pages that he wants
10	to ask Mr. Roemer questions about and offer those as
11	separate exhibits.
12	MR. HARLOW: I don't want to ask
13	Mr. Roemer questions about it at all.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: Why is it a cross
15	exhibit?
16	MR. HARLOW: Well, because that's the
17	way we get it I would ask him the foundation. But,
18	I mean, I could ask questions about it, but we don't
19	have the third day of hearing because we decided we're
20	going to finish today. So we just want to get it in.
21	This goes to whether or not the companies
22	compete directly. It goes to the question of whether
23	they're undercutting on the rates and using that to
24	compete. It goes to the question of multilingual
25	service. It goes to the question of commissions. You

	093
1	know, these things address a lot, and we want to have
2	them in the record to be able to cite them in
3	post-hearing briefs.
4	MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, it seems
5	like if he doesn't have any questions to ask about it,
6	there really isn't any point. He can say it's
7	authenticated, but that doesn't really mean there's
8	really any evidence weight that can be attributed
9	to it because there's no context from a witness.
10	MR. HARLOW: These are the records of
11	the opposing party.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Right. I understand
13	that. I'm curious why you didn't have one of your own
14	witnesses offer it.
15	MR. HARLOW: I don't even remember that
16	we had it in December. It took us I don't think we
17	did. It took us three months of discovery disputes to
18	get some of the stuff you ordered, so we probably just
19	didn't have time to read it or didn't have the
20	documents at the time.
21	MR. FASSBURG: This was produced months
22	ago.
23	MR. WILEY: December, Your Honor.
24	MR. HARLOW: Our testimony was filed
25	December 19.

	004
1	MR. WILEY: And also on April 5.
2	MR. HARLOW: It's not necessarily
3	rebuttal testimony.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: So I have actually
5	looked through this. I recall now there's a lot of
6	duplication. I do vaguely recall that.
7	MR. HARLOW: That's unavoidable. You'd
8	have to redact, you know, stuff out of long e-mail
9	strings. Some of these strings go on for 10, 20
10	pages.
11	MR. FASSBURG: Or you could have
12	submitted each individual e-mail string separate.
13	Part of the problem is it's a whole lot of unnecessary
14	information with maybe a nugget of things here or
15	there, and, frankly, if he's not going to ask
16	Mr. Roemer about it, I don't know how it's going to be
17	understood in context.
18	MR. HARLOW: I probably will have some
19	questions, but I'm not going to go through all
20	200 pages. We just don't have time.
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Why don't we just wait
22	and see if there's an opportunity for you to address
23	this, because I can't if you weren't introducing it
24	for the purposes of cross-examination, it doesn't seem
25	like it was properly offered. So if it comes up that

	695
1	you want to refer to it in your questions to
2	Mr. Roemer, we can address it then.
3	I don't think that it's necessarily harmful to
4	admit it into the record. I just don't think there's
5	a basis for it at this point in time. So can we just
6	take that up as we go?
7	MR. HARLOW: Okay.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: And then HJR-59X is an
9	e-mail to the Velloth and others from Harlow dated
10	December 8, 2016.
11	MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, this is, I
12	think, the third or fourth exhibit that is solely
13	related to discovery disputes.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: Let me see.
15	MR. FASSBURG: And, again, this is
16	e-mails between counsel. As I proposed before, if
17	Mr. Harlow wants to testify and we're going to ask him
18	questions under oath, I would think that might make it
19	appropriate. But since it isn't that's how this is
20	proceeding, it doesn't seem to be relevant as an
21	exhibit.
22	MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, if I may
23	short-circuit this, I would actually like to ask the
24	witness questions about this before we offer it. I
25	think we can wait and see on this one as well.

	090
1	JUDGE PEARSON: We can wait and see.
2	That's fine.
3	With respect to HJR-60X through HJR-72X, the
4	parties have stipulated to the admission of those
5	exhibits, and so I will admit them and mark them
6	accordingly.
7	(Exhibit HJR-60X through
8	Exhibit HJR-72X were admitted.)
9	JUDGE PEARSON: The rest of the
10	objections appear to be to SpeediShuttle's responses
11	to data requests, so and then is it safe to assume
12	you're withdrawing your objection to the 2016 annual
13	report as well?
14	MR. FASSBURG: Yes.
15	JUDGE PEARSON: So I will admit HJR-83X
16	into the record.
17	(Exhibit HJR-83X was admitted.)
18	JUDGE PEARSON: Now, with response to
19	data requests, do you have a general argument about
20	that? I see some you didn't object to and others you
21	did.
22	MR. HARLOW: I think we're going to
23	withdraw 73X.
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Seventy-three. Okay.
25	I will cross that out.

	697
1	So then we're just dealing with 74 through 82.
2	Mr. Fassburg, I'll leave it up to you whether
3	you are going to be making a general argument, general
4	objection, or if you want to take them up
5	individually.
6	MR. FASSBURG: Okay. So 74X I can't
7	say yes or no because there's two data requests and
8	responses in here. In fact, I think it's three of
9	them. Two of them have an answer; one of them
10	doesn't. I don't have an objection to ones that have
11	an answer, but there's one that doesn't. And I don't
12	know why it's in here, so I don't know how to object
13	to part of it. So I have to object to the whole.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: I see. Well, with
15	respect to those where some are answered and some
16	aren't, you know, I rejected some that were offered on
17	Wednesday because they were nonresponsive. That's
18	just simply because they're not useful. If two-thirds
19	of it is and one-third is not, there's no harm in
20	letting it in.
21	MR. FASSBURG: I don't disagree. I'm
22	just trying to save time on having discovery disputes
23	play out in this examination.
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. I'll just say

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

25

right now that I'm not going to do that. I'm not

Page: 697

	698
1	going to allow that.
2	So with respect to the data requests that are
3	responsive, we can let those in; and with respect to
4	the ones that aren't, we aren't going to reargue
5	MR. FASSBURG: Understood.
6	JUDGE PEARSON: discovery-related
7	issues.
8	And, you know, with that caveat, Mr. Harlow,
9	if well, I guess we should just take it up as we
10	go. But if there are areas where you can briefly
11	describe information that you think would be useful, I
12	can decide whether I need it or not, and we can move
13	on from there.
14	MR. HARLOW: Do the best we can. We
15	have, basically, a half day of hearing left, an hour
16	and a half of which is for the Staff witnesses. All I
17	can do is cover as much ground as possible in that
18	limited time.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Understood.
20	MR. FASSBURG: He got the deposition
21	already. He said that will save some time.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Is that true?
23	MR. HARLOW: Yes, it should save some
24	time.
25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So why don't we

1	wait until the remainder of these data request
2	responses are offered, because, typically, not every
3	cross-examination exhibit is offered. If they're not
4	offered, I don't admit them. That's just my practice
5	unless the parties stipulate they want them in the
6	record.
7	MR. FASSBURG: That makes sense. I
8	file a lot of things in case the witness didn't answer
9	the question the way I expected to.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Why don't we do that
11	with the rest of those questions.
12	And so it is just a few minutes before 12:00.
13	Would it make sense to get started for ten minutes, or
14	would you rather break for lunch now? How hungry are
15	you?
16	MR. WILEY: Is an hour possible today?
17	Forty-five was tough.
18	JUDGE PEARSON: Well, we agreed to
19	45 minutes, and you took up most of the morning.
20	MR. FASSBURG: We'll do it. I'll make
21	him.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: So if we break now, we
23	would come back at 12:40.
24	But I'll leave it up to Mr. Harlow whether you
25	want to go for ten minutes and break or rather just

Page: 700

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / ROEMER 700	
1	take	a break.	
2		MR. HARLOW: He's sitting there. Why	
3	don't	we do the direct at least.	
4		JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Roemer, if you	
5	would, stand and raise your right hand.		
6			
7	H. JACK ROEMER, witness herein, having been		
8		first duly sworn on oath,	
9		was examined and testified	
10		as follows:	
11		JUDGE PEARSON: You may be seated.	
12	EXAMINATION		
13	BY M	IR. FASSBURG:	
14	Q	State your full legal name.	
15	Α	H. Jack Roemer.	
16	Q	Please provide your business address.	
17	Α	1132 Bishop Street, Suite 2312, Honolulu,	
18	Hawa	aii.	
19	Q	Are you offering testimony today on behalf of	
20	Spee	ediShuttle?	
21	A	Yes, I am.	
22	Q	Have you caused to be filed on your behalf	
23	prefil	ed testimony identified as Exhibits HJR-1T and	
24	HJR-25T?		
25	A	Yes, I have.	

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / ROEMER 701
1	Q Are you adopting that testimony under oath
2	today?
3	A Yes.
4	MR. FASSBURG: We'll present the
5	witness.
6	MR. HARLOW: Okay. I'm ready for a
7	lunch break.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. We can do that
9	now, so it's 11:55. And we will be off the record for
10	lunch break, and we will reconvene here at 12:40.
11	(A luncheon recess was taken from
12	11:55 a.m. to 12:43 p.m.)
13	JUDGE PEARSON: We will be back on the
14	record following a recess for lunch, and I believe
15	Mr. Harlow is going to begin his cross-examination
16	MR. HARLOW: Yes, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: questions for
18	Mr. Roemer. And if you could just hold on one second,
19	I actually forgot to grab something. Let's just go
20	off the record for one minute.
21	(A break was taken from 12:44 p.m. to
22	12:45 p.m.)
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. We will be back
24	on the record. And, Mr. Harlow, whenever you're
25	ready.

1	MR. HARLOW: Thank you, Your Honor.	
2	I'm as ready as I'm going to be.	
3	EXAMINATION	
4	BY MR. HARLOW:	
5	Q Good morning, Mr. Roemer. I take it you have	
6	in front of you your prefiled testimony and exhibits?	
7	A I do.	
8	Q Okay. At this point, I'm going to start,	
9	generally, with HJR-1T and, in particular, page 44 and	
10	45, if you want to refer to anything. Also I will be	
11	referring to SpeediShuttle SpeediShuttle of	
12	Washington, LLC, yes. So when I say SpeediShuttle,	
13	I'm not referring to the Hawaiian company unless I say	
14	so. Okay?	
15	A Okay.	
16	Q Good. Now, on page 45, you talked about there	
17	are more than two providers at every Hawaiian airport.	
18	Do you recall that testimony?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q And then at line 5 you say, "We have multiple	
21	providers at every airport for the last 19 years"	
22	Do you have that in mind?	
23	A Yeah.	
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you pull the	
25	microphone closer to you. And is it turned on?	

1	MR. ROEMER: I believe it is.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Is the red light on?
3	MR. ROEMER: I can hear it.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: If you could pull it
5	closer to you and speak more directly into it just so
6	the court reporter can hear you more clearly and the
7	folks on the bridge line can hear you.
8	MR. ROEMER: Okay.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.
10	BY MR. HARLOW:
11	Q In this instance, because you're talking about
12	Hawaii, I'm going to ask you about your Hawaiian
13	company. Does your Hawaiian company have exclusives
14	at some of its airports?
15	A Exclusive what?
16	Q Exclusive agreement with the port authority
17	that provide share ride service?
18	A There is no port authority at the airports in
19	Hawaii.
20	Q The airport operator or the manager or whoever
21	manages ground transportation?
22	A We have a concession agreement with the
23	Department of Transportation Airport Division at
24	Honolulu International Airport to provide on-demand
25	shared service. We do not have an exclusive agreement

1	to provide service at any airport.
2	Q Do you have an exclusive agreement for the
3	on-demand at Oahu?
4	A We have an exclusive agreement to provide
5	on-demand share ride service at Honolulu International
6	Airport.
7	Q Thank you for the clarification.
8	If you would, please, I'm going to start now
9	in your cross-examination exhibits. Have you been
10	provided with a copy of those?
11	A Yeah.
12	Q Okay. Let's start with Exhibit HJR-32X, and
13	that's a copy of your Ground Transportation Operating
14	Agreement when I say "your," I mean SpeediShuttle.
15	Operating agreement for Seattle; is that correct?
16	A It is called the Ground Transportation
17	Operating Agreement.
18	Q And to your knowledge, is this operating
19	agreement materially different from the operating
20	agreement with Shuttle Express with the Port of
21	Seattle?
22	A I do not know what agreement Shuttle Express
23	has.
24	Q Does this agreement allow you to provide any
25	service to the public that's within the scope of your

1	certif	icate of operating authority for this
2	Com	mission?
3	Α	I do not know if this agreement even refers to
4	our c	perating authority from this Commission.
5	Q	I'm using that as a short. Does this allow
6	you t	o do everything at the airport that the
7	Com	mission does, to your knowledge?
8	Α	It allows us to provide door-to-door shuttle
9	servi	ce to and from from specifically
10	Sea-	Tac Airport.
11	Q	And does it allow you to provide walk-up
12	servi	ce?
13	A	It doesn't distinguish I don't believe
14	there	s's anything in here about walk-up service being
15	anytl	ning any different than any other kind of service.
16	Q	It doesn't prohibit you from offering walk-up
17	servi	ce?
18	Α	No, it does not.
19	Q	Does it require you to use Mercedes vehicles?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Does it require you to offer multilingual
22	servi	ce?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Is there anything in here about greeters?
25	Α	No.

1	Q	Turn, please, to Exhibit 33, HJR-33X. Is this
2	a cop	by of your wholesale agreement with The GO Group?
3	Α	This is the ticket agent agreement with The GO
4	Grou	ıp.
5	Q	And if you would, look, please, at Exhibit A,
б	the V	Vholesale Shared Ride Rates. Do you see that?
7	Α	Uh-huh.
8	Q	It's on page 3 of 3.
9	Α	Uh-huh.
10	Q	There aren't any rates for any rural areas.
11	Do yo	ou notice that?
12	Α	Yeah.
13	Q	Does The GO Group ever refer customers for the
14	rural	parts of your service area?
15	Α	They do the same the same discount
16	pres	ented.
17	Q	What does The GO Group do generally? What's
18	their	business?
19	Α	They're a travel wholesaler.
20	Q	How do they get customers?
21	Α	I don't actually know that.
22	Q	But they refer a number of customers to you;
23	is tha	it correct?
24	Α	That's correct.
25	Q	Would it be fair to say that The GO Group is

1	your No. 1 largest wholesale customer?
2	MR. FASSBURG: We're going to object.
3	I think that isn't relevant to this proceeding. And,
4	frankly, I know that how SpeediShuttle's business is
5	comprised in terms of its percentage of revenue from
6	what type of customer is information they would
7	consider proprietary and not something they want
8	disclosed to Shuttle Express.
9	MR. HARLOW: I wasn't going to ask
10	specific numbers.
11	A They're one of the two largest. I don't know
12	whether they are the largest or not.
13	MR. FASSBURG: Jack, in the future,
14	please let the judge rule on objections before you
15	answer.
16	MR. ROEMER: I'm sorry.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm going to allow it
18	just because I am curious about it myself as to which
19	is largest wholesale group.
20	BY MR. HARLOW:
21	Q What's the other one that might be the largest
22	at any given time?
23	A Expedia. All the agreements are on file with
24	the Commission.
25	Q So I want to understand. When a customer

1	comes to you through The GO Group, who handles the
2	booking and the reservations for that particular
3	transportation?
4	A The GO Group.
5	Q Okay. So when they then they have to give
6	you that reservation; correct?
7	A They have to give us the data to fulfill the
8	reservations, yes.
9	Q And do they give that data in English?
10	A They actually give it in binary form, but I
11	suppose it's English. It's a text file.
12	Q Okay. Do you know if the customer doesn't
13	speak English how would they make a reservation with
14	The GO Group, if you know?
15	A GO does business with other wholesalers. GO
16	has their own website. GO as their own apps. I don't
17	know what languages GO is available in.
18	Q All right. Thank you.
19	Is there anything in this agreement that
20	you're aware of where you can find right now that
21	talks about serving non-English-speaking tourists?
22	A Absolutely not.
23	Q Turn the page to Exhibit HJR-34X. That's some
24	pictures of some of your vans; correct?
25	A It appears to be.

1	Q	And it has the GO logo on it; is that correct?
2	Α	Yes, it does.
3	Q	And that's pursuant to an agreement with GO
4	Grou	p that you'll have their logo on your vans?
5	Α	We don't actually have an agreement with GO
6	Grou	p other than the agreement you just saw. We have
7	just i	nformally agreed to put these on our vans to
8	make	e it easier for their customers to identify the
9	carri	er.
10	Q	On Wednesday you were here when Mr. Kajanoff
11	testif	ed that The GO Group has billed you \$10,000 for
12	a lice	nse agreement. Do you recall that?
13	Α	I recall Mr. Kajanoff saying that, yes.
14	Q	So you're disputing that?
15	Α	Absolutely. That's a false statement.
16	Q	You said you took a semester of law school.
17	Do y	ou remember that?
18	Α	Yes, sir.
19	Q	Did you take any trademark law?
20	Α	No, sir.
21	Q	Do you know what a trademark is in layman's
22	terms	s?
23	Α	No, sir.
24	Q	Okay. Then we'll move on.
25		Turn, please, to Exhibit HJR-35X. And this is

1	a who	olesale agreement with Travelscape; correct?
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	And then in parentheses, it says "('Expedia')"
4	in qu	otes. What does that mean exactly?
5	A	That means that the company that the agreement
6	is wi	th is Travelscape, LLC, and VacationSpot SL, and
7	the b	usiness name that they represent is Expedia.
8	Q	Do you see that phone number there, plus 44?
9	Do yo	ou know where that is?
10	Α	That's London.
11	Q	Is it common for travel wholesalers that you
12	work	with to sometimes be out of the country?
13	Α	Sometimes.
14	Q	Again, with Travelscape, they refer you to
15	custo	mer reservations in a binary file?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	This looks to me like it's perhaps the same,
18	subst	antially, if not exactly, template form as
19	Exhib	oit HJR-33X; is that correct?
20	Α	No.
21	Q	All right. How does this differ from 33X?
22	Α	Expedia has a higher discount than GO Group.
23	Q	And where do we find that, what page, first of
24	all?	
25	A	On Exhibit A.

1	Q Exhibit A.
2	A Yeah.
3	Q All right. So are pages 1 and 2 the same
4	except for the names that have been filled in?
5	A I would have to compare line by line.
6	Q Okay. Well, spare us that.
7	Turn, please, to the next exhibit, HJR-36X.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Just before you do, so
9	you didn't object to that last one even though that
10	was not an exhibit that you had stipulated to the
11	admission are you
12	MR. FASSBURG: I think that on the
13	ticket agent agreements I said let's see what he asks.
14	I didn't have an objection to the question, so I don't
15	have an objection to the exhibit.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: So I will go ahead and
17	admit that and mark it as HJR-35X.
18	(Exhibit HJR-35X was admitted.)
19	MR. HARLOW: Thank you for the
20	reminder, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
22	Then you pointed to HJR-36X?
23	MR. HARLOW: Yes.
24	BY MR. HARLOW:
25	Q This is a similar wholesale agreement to 33

1	and 3	35?
2	Α	Yeah.
3	Q	And where is Viator based?
4	Α	It's Viator.
5	Q	Viator?
6	A	Viator is based in San Francisco, California.
7	Viato	or is a wholly owned subsidiary of TripAdvisor,
8	LLC.	
9	Q	And turn to page 3 of 3 of that exhibit.
LO	Α	Uh-huh.
L1	Q	And, again, you list the mostly downtown
L2	Seatt	le and Bellevue hotels ZIP Codes. Do you see
L3	that?	
L4	Α	I think the University District is on there,
L5	and I	think there's Redmond. So, no, I don't think
L6	that's	s what it is.
L7	Q	Okay. Let's turn, next, to Exhibit HJR-37X.
L8		JUDGE PEARSON: Before we do that, that
L9	was a	another one to which you did not
20		MR. FASSBURG: Don't object.
21		JUDGE PEARSON: I will admit that and
22	mark	it as HJR-36X.
23		(Exhibit HJR-36X was admitted.)
24		MR. HARLOW: I already had that one
25	admi	tted.

1	MR. FASSBURG: You asked.	
2	MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, if I may,	
3	between now and 49, were there any others you show	
4	MR. WILEY: You withdrew 43.	
5	JUDGE PEARSON: You withdrew 43, and,	
6	otherwise, we're fine.	
7	MR. HARLOW: Okay. Thank you. Speed	
8	then accurate.	
9	BY MR. HARLOW:	
LO	Q So is this a similar wholesale agreement with	
L1	the Hilton Hotel in Seattle?	
L2	A No.	
L3	Q What is this?	
L4	A This is a commission agreement. This is a	
L5	commission-based as opposed to a discount-based	
L6	agreement.	
L7	Q So this means if the Hilton refers a	
L8	passenger, you'll pay them a commission; is that	
L9	right?	
20	A That's correct.	
21	Q And the difference between that and a	
22	wholesale agreement is the wholesaler will collect the	
23	fare and remit to you all the discounted amount?	
24	A That's correct.	
25	Q Okay. So the money flows the opposite	

1	direction; right?
2	A Yeah. But they're still acting as our agent
3	either way.
4	Q And you filed these; correct?
5	A We do. I believe the statute requires it.
6	Q Going back to the wholesale agreement, which I
7	believe were 33, 35, and 36 I forgot my question.
8	Let's keep moving.
9	Turn, please, to Exhibit HJR-38X. Do you
10	remember answering this bench request?
11	A Yeah.
12	Q And do you see in the response to "C,"
13	two-thirds of the way down the page, it starts out
14	"Every prearranged door-to-door SpeediShuttle guest is
15	met in baggage claim by a SpeediShuttle greeter with a
16	name sign."
17	Do you see that?
18	A Yeah.
19	Q Do you recall in your deposition you said,
20	"Well, of course, we don't meet every passenger." Do
21	you recall that?
22	A No. I don't recall specifically saying that.
23	Q We're going to have to come back to that so as
24	not to slow us down too much.
25	Turn, please, to Exhibit HJR-39X on page 2 of

1	9, thi	rd full paragraph, it starts out with the word		
2	"final	ly." You say "we would like to have a		
3	parki	parking space on the third level near the		
4	Trans	sportation Plaza"		
5		Do you see that?		
6	A	Yes.		
7	Q	I take it this is your request from you to the		
8	Port	of Seattle?		
9	Α	Yes.		
LO	Q	Did you get that parking place on the third		
L1	floor	of the parking structure?		
L2	Α	We did.		
L3	Q	Are you allowed to bring your Mercedes vans		
L4	into t	hat same area?		
L5	Α	No.		
L6	Q	And does this go to the staging issue you were		
L7	discu	ssing in your testimony?		
L8	Α	This has nothing to do with staging. This has		
L9	to do	with having a place for ADA customers.		
20	Q	Okay.		
21	Α	Which is a requirement of the American I		
22	can't	remember what ADA		
23		Americans with Disabilities Act.		
24	Α	The disabilities act.		
25	Q	Okay. ADA.		

1	You're familiar with the layout of the garage
2	where the share ride services go?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Tell us where your Mercedes vans go to pick up
5	passengers, how they enter and how they exit.
6	A We are allowed to pick up at Island 2A, which
7	is right outside of the third level of the parking
8	garage.
9	Q Is that do you have a name for that in the
10	industry, like an outer drive or something?
11	A The airport refers to it as Island 2A.
12	Q All right. I'm going to call it the outer
13	drive so we're talking about the same thing,
14	Island 2A.
15	JUDGE PEARSON: You're going to call it
16	the what?
17	MR. HARLOW: Outer drive.
18	JUDGE PEARSON: Outer drive, okay.
19	BY MR. HARLOW:
20	Q So this outer drive, it goes along the
21	perimeter of the parking structure; is that correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. And so this is across the private car
24	double-decker drive from the terminal. Is that a good
25	description of it?

1	A	Yeah, that will work.
2	Q	So passengers, to get there from the main
3	termi	nal, would take a sky bridge across both of the
4	drive	s actually, is that correct, at the fourth level?
5	A	Yeah.
6	Q	And then they come down to what level for
7	your	
8	Α	Level 3.
9	Q	Level 3. Now, do the Shuttle Express vans go
10	along	that drive as well?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Where do they go?
13	A	Inside the parking structure.
14	Q	Is that where they stage their vans?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And what is the height limitation of the
17	parki	ng structure where the Shuttle Express vans
18	stage	?
19	Α	I don't know what the height is. Mercedes
20	Sprir	nters are taller than the garage, but you didn't
21	ask a	about staging our vehicles at 2A. We're not
22	allow	ved to.
23	Q	So, in other words, your vehicles are too tall
24	for th	e garage, but they're not too tall for the outer
25	drive	?

1	A Correct.
2	Q Turn, please, to Exhibit HJR-40X. And is this
3	some of the communications between your lawyer,
4	Mr. Wiley, and Port of Seattle that you were referring
5	to in your prefiled testimony?
6	A I can't I can't say. It appears to be, but
7	this isn't my communication. I wasn't copied on any
8	of it. This is between it appears to be between
9	Mr. Wiley and Mr. Bintinger.
10	THE REPORTER: Who was the second one?
11	MR. ROEMER: Bintinger.
12	MR. HARLOW: B-I-N-T-I-N-G-E-R.
13	MR. ROEMER: Whom I don't know.
14	BY MR. HARLOW:
15	Q At the time this is dated, May 26, 2015, on
16	the first page, what was going on with regard to your
17	business, you're starting business, in Seattle
18	concerning the Port of Seattle?
19	You were trying to get started, right, and get
20	space and arrangements; is that correct?
21	A In May?
22	Q Yes.
23	A I believe in May, we had actually started
24	operating on May 1, unless I'm severely mistaken here.
25	Q At the time were you trying to make

1	arranger	ments with the ports that would facilitate
2	being ab	ole to take walk-up passengers?
3	A Id	on't know. I'd have to read this
4	corresp	ondence. As I said, it wasn't mine. I don't
5	know w	hat it's about.
6	Q I'll	give you a minute to skim it.
7	A Ye	es. I believe this is related to our asking
8	for space	ce in Level 3 of the parking garage to service
9	our cus	tomers and take walk-ups.
10	Q Ar	nd that would have been, in part, a request
11	for a kio	sk near the Shuttle Express kiosk; is that
12	correct?	
13	A A	request for space for a kiosk or counter,
14	yeah.	
15	Q Tı	ırn, please let's skip some here to
16	Exhibit F	IJR-44X.
17	A OI	кау.
18	Q Ar	nd this is an application to go to work for
19	SpeediS	huttle; correct?
20	A Ye	es.
21	Q Is	this a driver application or an application
22	for other	types of employees?
23	A If	it's a driver application, it's not a
24	complet	te application.
25	_ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	hat else would be included in that?

1	A	The driver application is vastly more
2	com	olicated, because there's a whole bunch of
3	infor	mation we're required to obtain. This may be an
4	initia	l expression of interest that someone could
5	subn	nit perhaps.
6	Q	Turn, please, then, to Exhibit HJR-49X. Is
7	that a	a complete driver's application?
8	Α	I can't say it's complete. It's definitely
9	more	complete than what I just saw. Yes.
10	Q	All right.
11	A	It's more complete.
12	Q	And what are these application forms used for?
13	Α	For applicants for open positions.
14	Q	All right. How does an applicant get one of
15	these	?
16	A	Either there's something on our website, but
17	l'm n	ot sure what you pulled from our website. This
18	is no	t on our website, I don't think. I think this
19	prob	ably you have to pick up at our office. If you
20	calle	d us, that's what we would tell you, to come in
21	and	get an application.
22	Q	All right. And is this what the company
23	initial	ly relies on to decide whether or not to hire a
24	partio	cular applicant, review of this form?
25	Α	This form is reviewed to determine whether or

25

1	not to have an applicant come in for a job interview.
2	Q Is there anything in this application that you
3	can find that indicates whether the applicant does or
4	does not speak a language other than English?
5	A No, there is not.
6	MR. HARLOW: Excuse me a moment. We
7	need to backtrack a little bit to Exhibit HJR-45X.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Did you say 45?
9	MR. HARLOW: 45X.
10	Q Okay. Is this a portion of your website where
11	prospective employees can get information about
12	openings with the company in Seattle?
13	A It appears to be according to the date on this
14	as of March 23, 2017.
15	Q And if you turn to page 2 of 4, do you see
16	that gray bar at the top that says "Call center
17	agents"?
18	A Uh-huh.
19	Q And is that, like, a pull-down where if you
20	click on that let's go down to dispatchers. You
21	see how there's nothing below "dispatchers" other than
22	the next gray bar, which is "airport greeters"? Do
23	you see that?
24	A Yeah.
25	Q So if you click on "call center agents," that

1	gray l	oar, it will open up that text that's between
2	"call o	center agents" and "dispatchers"; correct?
3	A	I suppose.
4	Q	If you turn to the next exhibit, HJR-45X, you
5	see w	ve've pulled down the dispatcher descriptions? Do
6	you s	ee that?
7		JUDGE PEARSON: Do you mean 46?
8		MR. HARLOW: 46X. Thank you, Your
9	Hono	r.
LO	Α	Okay.
L1	Q	Is that correct?
L2	Α	It appears to be.
L3	Q	And then the same thing with Exhibit 47X,
L4	which	shows the airport greeters and those openings?
L5	Α	Uh-huh.
L6	Q	And the same thing with 48X, it shows the
L7	descr	iptions and qualifications for drivers. Do you
L8	see th	nat?
L9	Α	Okay.
20	Q	Okay. Now, with regard to all four of those
21	exhib	its, 45X through 48X, do any of them, with regard
22	to the	se four types of jobs call center agents,
23	dispa	tchers, airport greeters, and drivers do any
24	of the	se say anything about desiring or having
25	qualif	ications to speak a language other than English?

1	A	No.
2	Q	We can turn, then, to Exhibit 51, HJR-51
3	no, 5	0X.
4	A	I'm sorry. Did you say 50 or 51?
5	Q	Fifty. This appears to be correspondence
6	Α	Did you say 50 or 51?
7	Q	Fifty. I misspoke when I said 51. It's 50.
8		This appears to be correspondence between your
9	comp	pany and a potential wholesale customer; is that
10	corre	ct? Is that correct? I can't hear you.
11	Α	I'm looking at it
12	Q	Oh, okay.
13	Α	to make sure I answer your question
14	appr	opriately.
15	Q	Absolutely.
16	A	It is correspondence between our company and
17	an ex	cisting customer in Hawaii letting them know about
18	my S	eattle operation.
19	Q	Is that company Orbitz?
20	A	This particular one is to Orbitz.
21	Q	That's an internet travel agent, if you will?
22	A	Orbitz no longer exists.
23	Q	It was at the time?
24	Α	Yeah.
25	Q	Turn, please, to page 2 of 6, the middle

	1	
1	e-ma	il.
2	Α	Uh-huh.
3	Q	And then the third line of the body of the
4	e-ma	il, it says, "This is your first opportunity in
5	deca	des to choose another company's services" Do
6	you s	ee that?
7	A	Okay.
8	Q	Is this other company that is being referred
9	to Sh	uttle Express?
10	A	I don't know. I didn't write this.
11	Q	Can you think of any other company this would
12	be re	ferring to?
13	A	No, but I didn't write it.
14	Q	The description goes on to say "since only
15	one o	company has been permitted to operate in the
16	entire	e King County service area for thirty years."
17		In your experience, does that describe Shuttle
18	Expre	ess?
19	A	Yeah. I believe it probably does.
20	Q	Okay. So would it be fair to say that you're
21	seeki	ng to have Orbitz refer its wholesale business to
22	Spee	diShuttle instead of Shuttle Express?
23	Α	Was that a question?
24	Q	Yes.
25	Α	Could you repeat it? I didn't hear the

1	question.
2	MR. HARLOW: Okay. I'll ask the court
3	reporter to read it back, please.
4	(Record read back as requested.)
5	A No.
6	Q Why not?
7	A It would be fair to say that it's seeking to
8	give Orbitz the opportunity to sell SpeediShuttle
9	service. It's not seeking to have them stop selling
LO	Shuttle Express service. There's nothing in here
L1	about exclusivity.
L2	Q Does the witness have available to him his
L3	deposition transcript?
L4	MR. FASSBURG: I think probably not.
L5	MR. ROEMER: I think probably not.
L6	MR. HARLOW: Thank you for making it
L7	available to him.
L8	Q Could you please turn to page 184. We're now
L9	going back to my earlier question that I said I would
20	defer about greeters.
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Page what?
22	MR. HARLOW: Page 184.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Where are the page
24	numbers?
25	MR. HARLOW: That's not in our exhibit.

1	MR. FASSBURG: I was actually trying to
2	search for it. We object because he didn't provide
3	notice.
4	MR. HARLOW: Notice of what?
5	MR. FASSBURG: The rules require if you
6	intend to use parts of a deposition you need to
7	provide notice in advance. We agreed amongst
8	ourselves that if you were going to provide an exhibit
9	with deposition pages, that would be your notice.
L0	MR. HARLOW: This is impeachment, Your
L1	Honor. I asked him if he remembered in this
L2	deposition saying they don't greet all prearranged
L3	passengers. And I expected him to say yes, but he did
L4	not. So I'm impeaching his testimony.
L5	JUDGE PEARSON: But you don't have I
L6	don't have that in front of me.
L7	MR. HARLOW: Okay. I guess we need to
L8	probably publish it, then, and give you a copy.
L9	MR. FASSBURG: I think that's a
20	violation of WAC 480-07-410(4) which provides the rule
21	for when you can use depositions at hearings.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Let me refer to that
23	rule.
24	MR. HARLOW: I'm trying to myself.
25	JUDGE PEARSON: 480-07-410?

1	MR. FASSBURG: 410, part 4.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Part 4.
3	MR. FASSBURG: Correct.
4	MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, I just don't
5	think this applies. This is basic cross-examination.
6	If a witness gives an answer that's inconsistent with
7	their deposition testimony or any other external
8	evidence that you can provide that's admissible, you
9	can impeach them with it. This is offered for
10	impeachment purposes.
11	JUDGE PEARSON: So as I read this, it
12	does say that the party must attach give notice and
13	attach the portions of the deposition that the party
14	proposes to offer in the form of exhibits marked for
15	identification. And then it says "If portions of a
16	deposition are admitted into evidence, other parties
17	may offer additional portions of the deposition when
18	necessary," but I would take that not to mean you,
19	but to mean other parties for the purpose of balancing
20	representation of the testimony.
21	MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, I don't mind
22	doing this post-hearing in accordance with this rule.
23	We were not expecting to have to make this an issue.
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Well, so
25	MR. HARLOW: It's directly contrary to

1 his testimony, and we wouldn't validate it on cross. 2 JUDGE PEARSON: You're saying in his 3 testimony he said that SpeediShuttle -- that customers 4 were greeted every time, and you're trying to 5 establish that he's given inconsistent answers? 6 MR. HARLOW: His answer is: Generally, 7 yes. 8 Question: But not always? Answer: Not always. 10 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 11 MR. FASSBURG: And, Your Honor, that 12 was not his testimony. That was a bench request, and 13 that was a different point in time. So, for example, 14 if they had greeted every passenger at the time they 15 answered the bench request, it could be true no matter 16 what his deposition answer was. 17 JUDGE PEARSON: Right. I agree with 18 you. I also think that it's in the record in several 19 places that SpeediShuttle has conceded that there are 20 times when customers aren't greeted, when they're not 21 greeted by a greeter. So I accept that as true, that 22 not every single customer is met with a greeter for 23 various reasons. 24 I know Mr. Roemer has provided explanations 25 where sometimes it's because of the actions of the

1	customers. Sometimes it's miscommunication, that
2	there are different circumstances, but I would
3	MR. HARLOW: Sometimes Mr. Roemer says
4	one thing, and sometimes he says another. We're
5	trying to make the record as complete as possible.
6	JUDGE PEARSON: I think we should move
7	on from this, because I get the point that you're
8	trying to make. But as Mr. Fassburg noted, where they
9	said "every," it was in response to a data request and
LO	not testimony provided under oath.
L1	MR. FASSBURG: And, Your Honor, I
L2	object to the side bar comments. I didn't malign the
L3	witnesses of Shuttle Express. I'd actually move to
L4	strike his comment from the record.
L5	JUDGE PEARSON: Which was it?
L6	MR. FASSBURG: Sometimes Mr. Roemer
L7	says one thing; sometimes he says another.
L8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Well, I don't
L9	think
20	MR. HARLOW: He said one thing on the
21	stand five minutes ago, and he said another thing in a
22	deposition.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: I get it. I can take
24	it all with a grain of salt.
25	MR. FASSBURG: I appreciate that, Your

1	Honor, but in this proceeding, my client has been
2	called a liar repeatedly in a way that's uncalled for.
3	And we'd hope that won't continue today.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And I agree with
5	that, so let's just move forward.
6	BY MR. HARLOW:
7	Q Okay. Moving forward, I think we're moving to
8	Exhibit 51X now, HJR-51X. I think I'm going to skip
9	this one. It's already in the record.
10	Turn, please, to Exhibit 56X, HJR-56X. Now,
11	on the very first page, the very first substantive
12	line, are you with me? It says: We do provide
13	on-demand service in Honolulu Airport (exclusive
14	concession agreement), Kona Airport (exclusive
15	concession). Do you see that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Is this exclusive referenced in this exhibit
18	the one that you referred to a few minutes ago on
19	cross without the exhibit?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And, apparently, you have this same thing or
22	something similar in the Kona Airport?
23	A It's similar. It's not the same.
24	Q Okay. Let's go ahead and go to
25	Exhibit HJR-58X.

1		JUDGE PEARSON: This is the doozy?
2		MR. HARLOW: This is the one we were
3	reser	ving.
4		JUDGE PEARSON: The very long 224-page
5	exhib	oit.
6		MR. HARLOW: It's not admitted right
7	now,	still a work-in-process, thanks to my assistant.
8	Q	If you would, turn, please, to page 4 of 24.
9	Α	To what page?
10	Q	Page 4 of 224.
11	Α	Okay.
12	Q	And do you see in the last line of the second
13	full pa	aragraph it says, "Oh yeah We have greeters
14	with	signage at each of the baggage claims"?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. And turn, next, please, to page 15 of
17	224.	
18	Α	Fifteen?
19	Q	Yes.
20	Α	Okay.
21	Q	Do you see under "Arrival Procedures" it says
22	"Gue	sts will be greeted in baggage claim with a name
23	sign	on arrival and directed to their shuttle"?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	And if you would, turn, please, to page 51 of

1	224.	
2	Α	Okay.
3	Q	Okay. This appears to be an e-mail from Susan
4	Slapp	pey, Costco Travel, to Lee Collins. Who is Lee
5	Collir	ns?
6	Α	Lee Collins was vice president of sales and
7	mark	eting.
8	Q	And she says "Hi Lee,
9		"Once you get things going, hopefully you will
10	add r	more zip codes"
11		Do you see that?
12	Α	Yeah.
13	Q	Do you know why she would have said that?
14	Α	I do. Because I talked to her.
15	Q	Okay. So why did she say that?
16	Α	Because she lives in Issaquah, and she wanted
17	to kn	ow what the fare was from her house.
18	Q	She said "I see you don't service
19	Issac	uah" Where did she get that impression?
20	A	I have no idea.
21	Q	Would it have been your fare card that's
22	Exhib	oit A to a bunch of those wholesale agreements we
23	looke	ed at earlier?
24	Α	This is Costco Travel. I don't think we
25	look	ed at anything for Costco Travel.

1	Q Would you agree then Exhibit 35X, page 3 of 3,
2	Exhibit A, you don't show Issaquah as a destination?
3	A Exhibit 35?
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Page 35?
5	MR. HARLOW: HJR-36X. Sorry. I gave
6	the wrong one.
7	A Those are different companies. That's Viator.
8	MR. HARLOW: I'm sorry. I'm
9	multitasking here. Did the witness answer?
10	(Record read back as requested.)
11	Q Well, each of the wholesale agreements that we
12	provided, you had an Exhibit A. Was Costco wholesale
13	offered a similar agreement with an Exhibit A?
14	A Costco Travel, not Costco wholesale. Costco
15	Travel does not sell Seattle, so they had no interest
16	in our rates.
17	Q Turn, please, to page 72 of 224 in Exhibit
18	HJR-58X again.
19	A Okay.
20	Q Do you see later or earlier in this string
21	there's an e-mail from Oliver Krieg to Lee Collins,
22	"Hi Lee,
23	"One more thing. I'll need instructions of
24	what guests need to do when arriving at the port.
25	"Will they also have meet & greet?"

1		Do you see that?
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	And do you offer meet and greet at the port?
4	A	Uh-huh.
5	Q	At the cruise port?
6	A	I don't know if this was for the cruise port.
7	Q	Turn to page 74 and the second full paragraph.
8	It say	s: A client is trying to book round-trip
9	shutt	le transfers in Seattle between SEA and the
LO	cruis	e port.
L1		Does that give you the context to understand
L2	that?	
L3	A	Yeah. There it is. Okay. And your question?
L4	Q	So at this time was SpeediShuttle offering
L5	greet	ers at the cruise port for the return trip to the
L6	airpo	rt?
L7	Α	I don't know the date of this. July 14. I
L8	don't	know when we started positioning greeters at the
L9	cruis	e port, so I can't answer your question
20	spec	ifically for this date.
21	Q	Thank you for attempting that. Turn, please,
22	to pa	ge 76 of 224.
23		About 40 percent down the page, it says it's
24	an e-	mail from Marcela at GTA Travel to Lee Collins
25	agair	Do you see that?

1	A Yeah. This is all part of the same e-mail
2	chain. You're aware of that; right?
3	Q I don't have marked where it starts and ends.
4	A You're in the same e-mail chain as you were
5	here. This is all the same people. It's all the same
6	company. So go ahead.
7	Q Right. Right. And let's just for the
8	record, when you're soliciting business from the
9	wholesale travel providers, there were often a lot of
10	e-mail exchanges over a period of days, maybe weeks or
11	even months; is that correct?
12	A That's correct. It's not a slam dunk.
13	Q And let's go to the root of this 224-page
14	exhibit. These were provided to us in response to
15	Data Requests 2 and 12. Do you remember those
16	requests?
17	A There's so many requests. I couldn't tell you
18	specifically what 2 and 12 said.
19	Q In general, they were requesting and the
20	administrative law judge ordered documents be provided
21	that show whether SpeediShuttle is or is not
22	implementing its business model as presented to the
23	Commission.
24	A Okay.
25	Q Does that help you out?

1	A I'll accept that for the moment.	
2	Q Okay. All right. So while we're still on	
3	page 76, about two-thirds of the way down, Marcela is	
4	saying, "The existing bookings I will leave with	
5	Shuttle Express. I am sure once I send the advert	
6	announcing New Low Rates for Shared Transfer available	
7	now in Seattle, clients will cancel and rebook with	
8	SpeediShuttle. But at least it will be their choice	
9	and there will be no bad blood."	
10	Do you see that?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q And, in fact, do you know if her wholesale	
13	travel business had customers who had previously	
14	booked with Shuttle Express	
15	A I have no idea.	
16	Q and rebooked?	
17	A GTA is a part of a huger company. I have no	
18	idea what they have and don't have.	
19	Q To your knowledge and recollection, did	
20	SpeediShuttle, either you or other people you talked	
21	with in the company, discuss with wholesale providers	
22	what they would, could, or might do with existing	
23	bookings with Shuttle Express once you commenced your	
24	service in Seattle?	
25	A No.	

1	Q No?
2	A To my knowledge, no.
3	Q To your knowledge, no. But that could be
4	reflected by some of these e-mails; isn't that
5	correct?
6	A I don't know that you could draw that
7	conclusion. This is coming from Marcela, not from
8	SpeediShuttle. So I don't
9	Q Let me ask you I'll let you finish.
10	MR. FASSBURG: Actually, I have an
11	objection. I've been letting this go a little while
12	because I was curious where it was going. I don't see
13	how this relates to any of his direct testimony. This
14	isn't appropriate cross-examination testimony.
15	MR. HARLOW: Let me get a question. My
16	next question should make it pretty clear.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: I was starting to
18	wonder myself where we were going, so go ahead.
19	BY MR. HARLOW:
20	Q So your company produced this in response to
21	Data Request 2 and 12 about how this meets the
22	business model. And in your opinion, how do these
23	224 pages show whether or not SpeediShuttle was
24	implementing its business model as presented in this
25	application to this Commission?

1 MR. FASSBURG: I'll repeat my 2 objection. This doesn't relate to any of his direct 3 testimony. Discovery, when you're responding, 4 sometimes there's a judgment call. This is close 5 enough we just have to produce it. That's actually 6 sometimes the attorney who does that. 7 We ask the client to overproduce, and we try 8 to identify what we think we must produce to make sure 9 that we haven't withheld things inappropriately in 10 someone else's view. To ask the witness why they were 11 produced actually requires some degree of attorney 12 work product because I'll tell you we screened -- we 13 asked SpeediShuttle to produce as much as you can 14 find. We'll make determinations on what needs to be 15 produced. 16 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Understood. 17 MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, Mr. Roemer 18 testified for dozens of pages on how they're 19 supposedly meeting the business model. What I will 20 argue in post-hearing brief, if this is admitted, is 21 this shows not that they're doing anything special or 22 unique, rather they're soliciting customers, wholesale 23 customers, away from Shuttle Express. 24 MR. FASSBURG: You can argue that with 25

or without additional testimony on this subject.

1	JUDGE PEARSON: So here's my suggestion
2	and question: This is a 224-page document.
3	MR. HARLOW: I'm ready to offer it
4	again, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: I think it's not
6	realistic to ask Mr. Roemer which portions of this
7	224-page document support his testimony. You're
8	right. He did offer a lot of testimony about how
9	SpeediShuttle is adhering to its proposed business
L0	model.
L1	If you have questions about specific excerpts
L2	and you want to ask how that reconciles with his
L3	assertion that SpeediShuttle is adhering to his
L4	business model, that's one thing. To ask him to point
L5	to which places in this 224-page document to support
L6	his testimony, that's just too much. It's too much to
L7	ask of him. So if you have more specific questions, I
L8	will let you ask those.
L9	MR. HARLOW: Maybe we can't sit here
20	and go through this in the hearing this afternoon.
21	Let me try this as a subject to check maybe.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: What do you mean? For
23	the entire
24	MR. HARLOW: I'll pose the question
25	then.

1	JUDGE PEARSON: Again
2	MR. HARLOW: Subject to check, there's
3	no discussion about providing multilingual services to
4	these wholesale customers?
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Why don't you ask it in
6	that way, in a more conclusive way, rather than
7	broader. Narrow it in on whether those specific
8	things are in there or not. He can answer yes or no
9	subject to check or that he doesn't know. I think
10	that's fair.
11	BY MR. HARLOW:
12	Q Okay. Mr. Roemer, will you accept, subject to
13	check, that these numerous e-mail strings don't
14	discuss the provision of wholesale service in a
15	language other than English?
16	A This e-mail chain is 22 pages long. It begins
17	in February. There's absolutely no way, without
18	reading all 20 pages over a period of five months,
19	that I could tell you one way or the other whether
20	this e-mail chain ever mentions foreign greeters. I
21	just couldn't tell you.
22	MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, I think we
23	ought to offer it. If they can find something in
24	there about non-English, they'll put it in their
25	brief: otherwise. I'll say in my brief, you know, in

1 their solicitation to wholesale customers, it's all 2 English. It doesn't matter where the customers come 3 from. 4 MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, that seems 5 to flip the rules about when discovery is admissible 6 on its head, and he's suggesting, well, I can't quite 7 prove it does what I say it does, so why don't you 8 admit it and then I'll prove it later. That's not how 9 the rules work. 10 And, again, I objected initially exactly to 11 these sort of problems. It's 224 pages of a lot stuff 12 that he hasn't demonstrated all of which could be 13 conceivably relevant or is relevant. He's touched on 14 pages up to 82, not all of them, and he hasn't 15 addressed what's in the middle. I think it's fine 16 that he asks the questions that he did. If he has 17 some more specific questions about specific pages that 18 are not cumulative, I think we can continue with that. 19 But to ask what he's asking just seems a bit much. 20 MR. HARLOW: I got a thousand pages of 21 these. We trimmed it way down. They're clearly 22 authentic. They were produced by the other party. 23 There's not going to be a lot of weight given to it, 24 but I think the record needs to show what we've been

saying, which is they're not really marketing to

25

1	non-English speakers, particularly on the wholesale
2	side.
3	MR. FASSBURG: If that's really the
4	point, I think he's asking the wrong questions.
5	Because he probably should ask do some of these
6	wholesalers focus on multilingual customers or
7	non-English-speaking customers.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So in light of
9	all of that, I will go ahead and admit it and mark it
10	as HJR-58X with the caveats that I gave earlier. I
11	have looked through it all, so I'm familiar with
12	what's in there. I know most of it is irrelevant, so
13	I will afford it weight accordingly. And it will
14	likely be limited, but because I don't want parse
15	through it right know.
16	(Exhibit HJR-58X was admitted.)
17	MR. FASSBURG: I appreciate it, Your
18	Honor. Frankly, I don't think there's anything
19	damaging in here. It's just a waste of my time to
20	have to know.
21	JUDGE PEARSON: I understand. I expect
22	that if Mr. Harlow wants to rely on it in his
23	post-hearing briefing, he will cite to the specific
24	examples, and we can then disregard the rest. That
25	would be my expectation.

1	MR. HARLOW: Of course. I'm not going
2	to expect you to read all 224 pages.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: But I already did.
4	That's the thing.
5	MR. HARLOW: I hope it was a sleep aid
6	and nothing more. Let's move on to HJR-59X.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: This is another one to
8	which SpeediShuttle has not yet stipulated to the
9	admission. So do you want to wait and see what the
10	purpose what it's being offered before
11	MR. HARLOW: Yeah. I'm getting ahead
12	of myself. I have some questions ahead of that.
13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
14	MR. HARLOW: So we'll come back to
15	that.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
17	BY MR. HARLOW:
18	Q I need to find the right exhibit. It's
19	actually HJR-60X.
20	JUDGE PEARSON: So just so everyone
21	knows, I Googled where Amharic is spoken and it's
22	Ethiopia.
23	Q Mr. Roemer, did you know where Amharic was
24	spoken?
25	A Yes.

1	Q	Good for you.
2		Let's start with the total number of employees
3	Spee	diShuttle has had through September 9, 2016, and
4	it say	s 142. Do you see that?
5	Α	Yes.
6	Q	What's your current employee count?
7	Α	I don't know.
8	Q	How many payroll checks do you issue every
9	mont	h?
10	Α	I don't know.
11	Q	Who does know that?
12	A	Seattle management would know.
13	Q	Seattle management?
14	A	Yeah.
15	Q	What's that?
16	Α	The general manager in Seattle would probably
17	know	how many checks they issue.
18	Q	You are responsible, generally, for the
19	finan	ces in the company; isn't that correct?
20	Α	I'm not the payroll clerk.
21	Q	Okay.
22	A	I'm the chief financial officer of
23	Spee	diShuttle.
24	Q	Who prepares the financial statement every
25	mont	h?

1	A	The accounting department and SpeediShuttle.
2	Q	Do you review them and approve them?
3	A	I do.
4	Q	Okay. Do the financial statements reflect
5	empl	oyee costs?
6	Α	They reflect wages and salaries. They don't
7	say t	he number of employees and I've never seen
8	finan	cial statements that do.
9	Q	All right. Do you have in your mind what the
LO	curre	nt monthly employee cost is?
L1	A	No, I don't. I would have to I would have
L2	to pu	ıll a financial.
L3	Q	You'd have to what?
L4	A	I would have to pull the financial statements.
L5	Q	Did you bring that with you?
L6	A	No, I did not.
L7		MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, may I approach
L8	the w	ritness?
L9		JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
20		MR. FASSBURG: Before you ask
21	ques	tions, I want to put on the record he's handed
22	Mr. F	Roemer a document that was subject to a
23	confi	dentiality agreement, and I think it's necessary
24	that v	ve discuss how this is going to be handled.
25	Beca	use we agreed he could ask guestions about it, but

1	I think the understanding were we weren't going to
2	submit specifics into the record. If there's a
3	disagreement on that, I think we probably should sort
4	it out before we ask any questions.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Do I not get to see it?
6	MR. FASSBURG: That's something I don't
7	know the answer to.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: I bet it wouldn't mean
9	much to me.
LO	MR. HARLOW: The answer is I can't give
L1	it to you, but you can order it to be provided to you.
L2	MR. FASSBURG: Well, I don't know
L3	obviously, you have the right to order that, but I
L4	don't think that was part of the agreement we had when
L5	we gave this to Shuttle Express, which I'll remind
L6	you, by the way, we asked for repeatedly an attorneys'
L7	eyes only provision, and they wouldn't agree to it.
L8	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm just wondering if
L9	it gets to the point where I can't follow what's going
20	on. If I could look at it while he's referring to it
21	and then give it back to you
22	MR. FASSBURG: What I'd really like to
23	do is ask my client his opinion on that before we
24	answer the question.
25	MR. HARLOW: And while we're doing

1	that, Your Honor, we're underscoring the difficulty
2	that this particular industry under 81.68 has because
3	of the lack of protective orders. I was going to
4	suggest maybe we could try to follow the
5	confidentiality rules, because we can't get protective
6	orders, I don't think we can go there.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Right.
8	MR. WILEY: So we're not trying to
9	impede you from any knowledge on this. It's just how
LO	we protect the data that we're concerned about.
L1	JUDGE PEARSON: You mean from being
L2	publicized in a transcript?
L3	MR. WILEY: Correct.
L4	JUDGE PEARSON: Do you want a short
L5	break, because I can take a bathroom break?
L6	MR. FASSBURG: Yes.
L7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Let's do that
L8	for four minutes and come back at 1:50.
L9	(A break was taken from 1:46 p.m. to
20	1:50 p.m.)
21	JUDGE PEARSON: We'll be back on the
22	record.
23	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, thank you for
24	the break. We've had a chance to consult with our
25	client. What we would propose and I've handed out

1	a copy to Mr. Beattie and I will give you one, and
2	what we want to avoid is it being produced into the
3	record.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
5	MR. WILEY: So if we can get it back
6	after the series of questions, that's what we propose.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Thank you.
8	Can I just ask a broad question?
9	MR. WILEY: Sure.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Is this the document
11	that Mr. Kajanoff often refers to in his testimony
12	vaguely without going into estimates?
13	MR. FASSBURG: The one that's not the
14	estimate, but the one that is from SpeediShuttle.
15	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes.
16	MR. FASSBURG: Yes, that's the one.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: That he compares to his
18	estimate?
19	MR. FASSBURG: Correct.
20	MR. HARLOW: And some of the numbers
21	are in Mr. Kajanoff's responsive testimony as well.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: You mean Mr. Roemer's?
23	MR. HARLOW: Excuse me. Mr. Roemer's.
24	Thank you.
25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Okay.

1	MR. HARLOW: Okay. Whenever you're
2	ready.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm ready.
4	MR. HARLOW: We're on the record then.
5	BY MR. HARLOW:
6	Q Mr. Roemer, you've been handed a yellow
7	document on yellow paper that's at the top titled
8	"SpeediShuttle Washington, LLC, Income Statements" and
9	then in parentheses "1," which, I guess, is the
10	footnote. Do you have that?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And it shows a financial statement of some
13	sort in the left two columns for 12 months ended
14	April 30, 2016; correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And the far right two columns, it says five
17	months ended September 30, 2016; correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q And the two columns are a dollar amount
20	under each of those main headings are a dollar amount
21	and a percentage; correct?
22	A Uh-huh.
23	Q And there are various categories of expenses
24	and revenue down the left; correct?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And one of those categories	
2	MR. HARLOW: Can I give the name of the	
3	categories?	
4	MR. WILEY: Yes.	
5	Q One of those categories is "Driver Wages and	
6	Benefits"; correct?	
7	A Correct.	
8	Q From that, looking at the figure for five	
9	months ended September 30, 2016, is well, first of	
10	all, do you know what your drivers are paid?	
11	A Do I know what they're paid?	
12	Q They're paid \$15 an hour currently; is that	
13	correct?	
14	A No. They're paid they're paid Seattle	
15	minimum wage for companies with less than 500	
16	employees. I don't know what that is today. I don't	
17	do the day-to-day payroll.	
18	Q When did you when did your company stop	
19	paying \$11 an hour and start paying Seattle minimum	
20	wage?	
21	A Excuse me?	
22	Q When did your company stop paying \$11 an hour	
23	and start paying Seattle minimum wage?	
24	A Is that sort of like when did you stop beating	
25	your wife? We have always paid	

1	Q There's evidence in the record
2	THE REPORTER: I couldn't hear your
3	question.
4	Q There's evidence in the record that you paid
5	\$11 an hour, and you, in your responsive testimony,
6	said you were paying, I think, \$15 an hour, but,
7	certainly, minimum wage.
8	A No. I think you're confused, sir.
9	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, if I could
10	object to the form of the question. Because I do wage
11	and hour litigation, the Seattle minimum wage has
12	changed over the past few months by year.
13	JUDGE PEARSON: Right.
14	MR. WILEY: And I can't say right now
15	what it is, but I can tell you it's been staggered for
16	three years and it has moved up.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: I have seen that in the
18	garbage cases that have come through.
19	A It was \$11 an hour when we began operating in
20	Seattle.
21	Q I'm sorry. I couldn't hear that.
22	A It was \$11 an hour when we started operating
23	in the city in the state of Washington. It was
24	\$11.
25	Q What is the wage in Sea-Tac for employees who

1	work	in that municipality, if you know?
2	Α	It's \$14 and about 14 and a half. I'm
3	not -	- that's not quite right.
4	Q	Okay.
5	Α	But it's pretty close.
6	Q	So for the five months ending September
7	Α	Did I say 14 and a half? Fifteen and a half.
8	Q	Thank you. So for the five months ending
9	Septe	ember 30, 2016, were the drivers' wages in the
LO	range	e of around 15, 16 dollars an hour, something like
L1	that?	
L2	Α	Drivers?
L3	Q	Drivers.
L4	Α	No.
L5	Q	What were their wages?
L6	Α	Their wages would have been the Seattle
L7	minir	num wage at the time for all time except the time
L8	that t	they spent within the city limits of the City of
L9	Sea-	Гас, which would have been paid \$15.48. I think
20	it's 1	5.48. I don't know. But I know you're going to
21	try to	impeach me because it's off by a dime.
22	Q	No, I'm not trying to get an exact number.
23	Α	Yes, you are.
24	Q	I'm trying to get some idea of how many if
25	you c	an tell from this income statement, roughly, how

1	many employees you would have had in that period of
2	May through September of 2016.
3	A I don't know how you could. They're paid by
4	the hour. Then you got overtime, and you got which
5	is time and a half. And I know your position is that
6	we don't pay our people according to the law, but we
7	do. And so, you know, people work more than 40 hours,
8	they get time and a half, and you can't use a
9	financial statement to determine the number of
LO	employees.
L1	Q All right. As I understand this 142, that was
L2	a cumulative total of employees. In other words, 142
L3	individuals had worked for the company from its
L4	inception to the date of this answer, which was
L5	September of 2016. Am I understanding that right?
L6	A That was the request that was made.
L7	Q So I am understanding that right?
L8	A We responded to the request that was made, so
L9	that's what it is.
20	Q So as of September 9, 2016, that doesn't mean
21	you still had 142 total employees; correct?
22	A No.
23	Q It would have been some lesser number?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Okay. Same question with regard to the 42 who

1	spea	k a language other than English, that 42 would
2	have	not been a total then employed as of September 9,
3	2016	; is that correct?
4	Α	That wasn't the data request that was asked.
5	Q	Is my statement correct?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. Again, it would have been some lesser
8	numb	per than 42; is that correct?
9	Α	That's correct.
10	Q	I count 11 greeters in Exhibit HJR-60X. Do
11	you a	accept that, subject to check?
12	Α	Yeah. I'll accept it, subject to check.
13	Q	And one of those greeters, at some point in
14	time	prior to September of 2016, spoke Chinese;
15	corre	ct?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And is that Chinese-speaking greeter still
18	worki	ing for the company?
19	A	I don't know.
20	Q	One spoke Hindi. Is that greeter still
21	worki	ing for the company?
22	A	I happen to know the answer is no, because I
23	knov	www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.ww
24	Q	Okay. How about the three Samoan two
25	Samo	pan-speaking greeters, are they still working for

1	the c	ompany?
2	A	I don't know.
3	Q	How about the three Spanish-speaking greeters,
4	are th	ney still working for the company?
5	Α	I don't know.
6	Q	Do you know how many Ethiopian passengers come
7	into S	Sea-Tac Airport and seek ground transportation?
8	Α	I don't know.
9	Q	Do you know how many Samoan travelers come
10	into S	Sea-Tac Airport and seek ground transportation?
11	A	I don't know.
12	Q	Do you know if you've ever carried a
13	Soma	ali-speaking passenger to or from Sea-Tac Airport?
14	A	I don't know.
15	Q	Do you know how many let me start over.
16		Who handles the greeter staffing for your
17	comp	pany?
18	A	We've had different people responsible for it
19	at dif	ferent times.
20	Q	Who currently handles it?
21	A	Leah Bischoff is technically responsible for
22	the g	reeter staff today.
23	Q	What was the first name? I didn't catch that.
24	A	Leah.
25	Q	That's a woman then?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. So Ms. Bischoff, do you discuss
3	staffi	ng with her?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Are you responsible for the financial
6	overs	sight of the greeter department?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Who is?
9	Α	Leah Bischoff.
10	Q	Describe to me the process, as you understand
11	it, for	greeting a pre-reserved passenger who arrives
12	at Sea-Tac Airport and is reserved to take on one of	
13	your	shuttles somewhere.
14	Α	I don't understand the question.
15	Q	Well, how did they meet how does a greeter
16	meet	their passengers?
17	Α	Well, they track flights. We have the flight
18	infor	mation for most customers. The greeter tracks
19	the f	light. We know what baggage claim they're at.
20	The	greeter will go to that baggage claim with a sign
21	and v	wait for the passenger.
22	Q	What if the passenger only has carry-on
23	lugga	age, how do you greet them?
24	Α	We greet at baggage claim. We say that in our
25	confi	irmation request. We say that in we say that

1	in the original application. We say that in the
2	original hearing. We greet at baggage claim.
3	Q And do your customers always put their flight
4	information into a reservations?
5	A Depending well, it's required if you book
6	on the website. It's required if you call the call
7	center, but it's not required if you book through a
8	wholesaler.
9	Q So how do you greet a wholesale passenger?
LO	A I didn't say it was provided.
L1	Q How do you greet a wholesale passenger if they
L2	didn't provide the flight information?
L3	A We don't. We can't.
L4	Q So once the passenger connects with the
L5	greeter with the sign or whatever, what happens next?
L6	A The greeter the greeter will then walk them
L7	over to Island 2A.
L8	Q All right. Do they does the greeter as
L9	soon as the passenger is there with their baggage, do
20	they immediately leave for 2A, or do they wait for
21	other passengers sometimes?
22	A If there are other passengers coming off the
23	same flight, they're going to wait until they have
24	them all.
25	Q And what's the average wait time at baggage

1	claim	for all the passengers on that flight to
2	Α	I have no idea. We don't track it.
3	Q	Do your greeters ever aggregate passengers
4	from	different flights?
5	A	I don't know.
6	Q	How long does it take the greeter to get from
7	bagg	age claim, once all the passengers they're waiting
8	for ar	re together, over to Island 2A?
9	Α	However long it takes to walk over.
10	Q	Do you know about how long that is?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Do you know how long it takes the greeter to
13	come	e back to meet other passengers?
14	Α	However long it takes to walk back over.
15	Q	Do you know how many passengers an hour a
16	greet	er can handle on average?
17	A	That depends on how many of them are on the
18	same	e flight.
19	Q	Do you know how many flights an hour a greeter
20	can h	nandle on average?
21	A	No, I don't.
22	Q	Do you know how many greeters the company has
23	today	?
24	A	No, I don't.
25	Q	What's the range in the number of greeters the

1	comp	any will have over a period of a year?
2		MR. FASSBURG: Object to the form. You
3	said t	he number of greeters they have over the course
4	of a y	ear, as in aggregate or as in staff?
5		MR. HARLOW: On the available for
6	work	at any given time.
7	A	Available?
8	Q	Do you understand the question? If not, I'll
9	rephra	ase it.
10	Α	I do not understand the question, because it's
11	like d	o you mean just a raw number of how many people
12	there	are out there somewhere, whether they're working
13	or no	t?
14	Q	We talked about this a little bit at the
15	depos	sition, and let me put it in that context and that
16	may h	nelp. So you indicated that the number of
17	greete	ers the company has on the payroll varies
18	accor	ding to the season. Do you recall that?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And when would you have when would the
21	comp	any have the most greeters on payroll?
22	Α	During cruise season.
23	Q	And that runs from May to September; correct?
24	A	Yeah.
25	Q	And when would the company have the least

1	greet	ers on payroll?
2	Α	Outside of cruise season.
3	Q	So the rest of the year?
4	Α	Yeah. I don't know which months, no.
5	Q	Is there a particularly slow time in the
6	trave	I business in Seattle?
7	A	Yeah, the winter.
8	Q	Okay. December, January, February, those
9	times	5?
LO	A	November, December, January, February.
L1	Q	How many baggage carousals are there at the
L2	Sea-	Tac Airport?
L3	A	I don't know. Seventeen.
L4	Q	Would you accept, subject to check, there are
L5	16?	
L6	A	Yeah, sixteen.
L7	Q	Does the company ever staff zero greeters at a
L8	time	frame during the day?
L9	A	Zero greeters?
20	Q	Yes.
21	Α	Not while the airport has got incoming
22	flight	ts, no.
23	Q	Do you recall at your deposition stating that
24	the c	ompany in the winter shuts down its dispatch at
25	midn	iaht?

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And is that still the case?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And is that consistent with your tariff, in
5	your	understanding?
6	Α	I don't believe our tariff mentions anything
7	abou	it dispatch times.
8	Q	Doesn't your tariff say you'll provide service
9	24 h	ours a day?
10	A	You didn't ask whether we provided service 24
11	hour	s a day. You asked whether dispatch closed
12	betw	een after midnight.
13	Q	How do you provide a service to a customer if
14	there	's no dispatch?
15	Α	Well, the dispatchers don't provide the
16	servi	ice. The drivers do.
17	Q	So how does a customer get a driver if there's
18	no di	spatcher?
19	A	The customers don't usually arrange the
20	drive	ers. That's done by our dispatch team.
21	Q	Let's say I'm on a flight that's scheduled to
22	arrive	e at 11:00 p.m. and I'm delayed to 1:00 a.m. and
23	I've b	ooked one of your shuttle vans. How do I
24	conn	ect up with a driver?
25	Δ	There will be a greeter there.

1	Q	So the greeters go after midnight?
2	Α	Oh, yeah.
3	Q	And how does the greeter get the van to come
4	from	the holding area to the Island 2A?
5	A	They use a telephone actually.
6	Q	Okay. There was discussion with our witnesses
7	Wedr	nesday about serving mostly tourists. Do you
8	recal	that testimony?
9	Α	I gave testimony about
10	Q	No.
11	Α	tourists?
12	Q	No. It was our witness.
13	Α	You'd have to be more specific.
14	Q	I was asking if you recall I think it was
15	ques	tions by Mr. Beattie whether it would be logical
16	for a	company that serves just tourists or focused on
17	touris	sts to serve primarily hotels. Do you recall
18	that?	
19	Α	I don't recall the specifics.
20	Q	Does your company mostly serve tourists?
21	Α	You know, we don't actually have statistics
22	like t	hat, but I would guess it's the vast majority
23	of ou	r transfers are to hotels. They're probably
24	touri	sts, although they could be people who live in
25	hote	s.

1	Q On May 9 we had a discovery conference with
2	the administrative law judge here. I don't believe
3	you were on it, but your counsel said that one of the
4	ways to get the profitability was, quote, efficiency.
5	Do you know what he was talking about?
6	MR. FASSBURG: I'm going to object.
7	He's asking a question about a statement that I made
8	on a phone conference. Why doesn't he just ask
9	Mr. Roemer about something he knows or something he's
LO	said.
L1	MR. HARLOW: I just asked him what he
L2	knew what efficiency was about. That's exactly what I
L3	asked.
L4	MR. FASSBURG: If he wants to restate
L5	the question
L6	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you restate the
L7	question, because I'm not following.
L8	MR. HARLOW: Sure, sure.
L9	BY MR. HARLOW:
20	Q Does the company have any plan to become
21	profitable by somehow becoming more efficient?
22	A Yes.
23	Q So, specifically, what would that be?
24	A We are not we are not comfortable providing
25	our competitor with our business plans for how we

1 operate our company so that they can try and copy our 2 plans, because they seem to be unable to compete 3 themselves. 4 Suffice it to say, we've been through this 5 exercise enough times now that we've talked about 6 variable costs and we've talked about average variable 7 costs and we've talked about average variable costs 8 being something that varies by trip and not by 9 customer. 10 Notwithstanding, you're claiming that one 11 additional customer will raise the cost maybe by a 12 quarter, but the way share ride works is the variable 13 cost per trip. The average variable cost per trip 14 doesn't change as you add customers to the trip. 15 That's how you run a profitable business. 16 So I would invite you to check our annual 17 reports that were filed last year and this -- in 2015 18 and 2016 with the WUTC and do the math. 19 MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, I don't 20 believe that answer was responsive to the question. 2.1 MR. ROEMER: Good. 22 JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead. 23 MR. FASSBURG: I just want to say what 24 Mr. Harlow is referring to is my statement on the 25 phone and is trying to get Mr. Roemer who doesn't know

1	my statement on the phone. I'll explain my statement,
2	which I think Mr. Roemer is alluding to, and this is
3	why I said on phone that I know Mr. Roemer doesn't
4	want me to disclose the details. What he is alluding
5	to is passengers per trip. That's how you become
6	profitable, period.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: I think that answers
8	the question.
9	BY MR. HARLOW:
10	Q All right. Let me ask a follow-up. Was that
11	the only efficiency that you contemplate to get to
12	profitability is having more passengers per trip?
13	A Again, we're not comfortable sharing our
14	business plans
15	MR. HARLOW: Object, Your Honor. I
16	don't want to hear the speech again or answer or
17	refuse to answer.
18	JUDGE PEARSON: It was just a yes-or-no
19	question, so you can just give him a yes or a no.
20	A Okay. Would you ask the question again,
21	please.
22	MR. FASSBURG: I'll ask the court
23	reporter to read it back, please.
24	(Record read back as requested.)
25	A No.

1 Q So what other efficiencies do you contemplate 2 to get to profitability? 3 MR. FASSBURG: I'm going to object to 4 any more detailed questions about their specific 5 business plans for profitability. Mr. Roemer has been 6 pretty clear. We have been pretty clear all long. We 7 believe these are overt attempts to obtain 8 SpeediShuttle's proprietary business information, and 9 he couldn't get any more proprietary than how exactly 10 they plan to make money, so I don't think that's an 11 appropriate question. 12 MR. HARLOW: I think we need to go back 13 and revisit the direct testimony, because he goes on 14 page after page about the economies of scale and how 15 they're going to achieve profitability. There's 16 absolutely no detail, and we're entitled to cross on 17 that. And I'm sorry. We're in a public forum, and 18 that's just the price of being a regulated company. 19 MR. FASSBURG: I actually think he 20 should refer to the testimony. I believe the 21 testimony he's referring to is where he says that 22 Shuttle Express keeps crying wolf, saying the sky is 23 falling, and that they're going to fail because 24 they're currently losing money is an improper

assertion because you can shrink the size of your

25

company to change the scale.

So given a set of passengers and a number of vehicles, there are certain efficiencies. If you reduce the number of vehicles, you have more passengers per trip. This wasn't about SpeediShuttle's plans to become profitable but poking a hole in the assertion that just because you're losing passengers you will fail. So I don't see how this line of questions addresses his testimony.

JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Harlow, I'll ask you to refer to specific portions of Mr. Roemer's testimony if you want to ask questions about that, but I think that you're treading close to topics that have been excluded from this proceeding, which includes the company's financial fitness and things of that nature that we've already said we're not going to revisit and, frankly, just aren't on the table right now.

We wouldn't take them up at the application hearing either, if you recall, because that's no longer a subject that incumbent carriers can bring. It's something that Staff considers when evaluating the company's financials.

MR. HARLOW: All right. We'll try to move on then and tie it more directly, and some of my upcoming questions should do that.

1	BY M	IR. HARLOW:
2	Q	I'm going to go back to the income statement,
3	the y	ellow piece of paper that's not in the record.
4	Do yo	ou see that in front of you again?
5	Α	Yes.
6	Q	I'd like you to compare it to Exhibit HJR-1T
7	at 15	<u>.</u>
8	Α	Which line?
9	Q	Fifteen.
10	Α	Okay.
11	Q	Do you see the figure losses of 55 cents for
12	every	dollar for the first 12 months and 14 cents per
13	dolla	for the subsequent five months?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	Would you agree that those figures, 55 cents
16	and 1	4 cents, corresponds with figures on the income
17	state	ment?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And if it's all right with confidentiality,
20	can I	ask you what figures do those correspond to?
21	Α	Well, it would be net income divided by
22	revei	nue.
23	Q	Okay. And it's true
24	Α	Or the other way around. Sorry.
25	Q	So it's in the percentage column at the bottom

1	speci	fically?
2	Α	Well, it's the same result, yeah.
3	Q	Okay. And it's true, is it not, that the 55
4	and t	he 14 are both after-tax effect; is that correct?
5	A	Yeah.
6	Q	Has SpeediShuttle the tax, excuse me, would
7	be fe	deral income tax; correct?
8	Α	Actually, it's federal and state income tax,
9	but y	res.
10	Q	Is there state income tax in Washington?
11	A	No. But there is in Hawaii, a rather
12	signi	ficant one.
13	Q	These financial statements are only for
14	Wash	nington; right?
15	A	The income tax effect is generated in both the
16	fede	ral level and in Hawaii.
17	Q	SpeediShuttle of Washington, has it ever paid
18	any f	ederal income tax?
19	A	Has it ever paid any Speedishuttle of
20	Wasl	nington is a limited liability company. Limited
21	liabil	ity companies do not pay income tax.
22	Q	So you're saying the income tax effect flows
23	throu	gh, what, to the members?
24	Α	Correct.
25	Q	Okay. So the company itself has not received

1	any r	eimbursement of income taxes from the IRS;
2	corre	ct?
3	Α	From the IRS?
4	Q	Yes.
5	Α	The company does not pay taxes to the IRS.
6	Q	Okay. What I'm trying to get at is this
7	incon	ne tax provision, is it real or is it just a
8	book	keeping entry?
9	Α	Well, it's absolutely real.
10	Q	It's not revenue to the company, is it?
11	Α	It's tax benefit to the company that flows
12	from	the members.
13	Q	Isn't it a tax benefit to the members?
14	Α	That flows to the company. You can look at it
15	any v	way you want.
16	Q	How does it flow to the company?
17	Α	Did you ask a question?
18	Q	How does the income tax benefit flow to the
19	comp	pany from the members?
20	Α	The members fund it to the company.
21	Q	Are the members required to fund the company?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Let's turn to page 56 of your testimony,
24	line 5	. There's a discussion of the combined loss.
25	Do yo	ou see that?

1		MR. FASSBURG: Can you refer to which
2	testim	nony, just so I can be clear?
3		MR. WILEY: It's HJR-1T.
4	Q	And you see the figure 702,000 on the 190
5	excus	se me. 1,988,000. Do you see that?
6	Α	Yeah.
7	Q	Okay.
8	A	I see that.
9	Q	All right. The 1,988,000
LO		MR. HARLOW: Can I say on the record,
L1	gentle	emen, what that's the sum of?
L2	Α	Well, you just want to put this data into the
L3	recor	d. We understand what you're doing, but I let my
L4	lawye	ers handle that.
L5		MR. HARLOW: What I'm trying to do is
L6	know	if we get into the record the revenue amounts
L7	total r	revenue top line broken out by the 12 months
L8	ended	d April 30 and the five months ended September 30
L9	since	we have the sum. Is there any confidentiality
20	issue	of breaking out the two numbers separately?
21		MR. FASSBURG: I'd like to limit the
22	entry	of numbers into the record as much as possible
23	simply	y because that was the agreement that we had. So
24	if I ca	n figure out why you need to do it, it would be
25	more	helpful.

1	JUDGE PEARSON: That was my question.
2	I'm
3	MR. HARLOW: We need to do it because
4	we never could get monthly or quarterly.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Where are you going
6	with this?
7	MR. HARLOW: Where I'm going with this
8	is we can compare this to the annual report, which
9	also has total revenue for calendar year. And then we
10	can see what the company's revenues were outside of
11	the summer peak season.
12	MR. WILEY: We have a bench request for
13	that very question in terms of passengers.
14	JUDGE PEARSON: For passengers. But
15	what's the purpose of this?
16	MR. HARLOW: Well, I'll tell you what
17	the purpose is: We can tell then if I give you
18	these numbers, I put them in the record.
19	MR. FASSBURG: You don't have to use
20	the numbers.
21	JUDGE PEARSON: Just tell me why.
22	MR. HARLOW: We can tell what
23	percentage of their revenues came in the four months
24	of the peak season and what percentages came in the
25	rest of year.

1	JUDGE PEARSON: But why does that
2	matter?
3	MR. HARLOW: That tells us what's
4	happened. Because Mr. Roemer testified that we're
5	close to profitability, and he did it based on the
6	five months, which are the five peak months of the
7	company's revenues. And that way we can see what
8	their losses were for the rest of the year, which
9	counters the fact that they're getting close to
10	profitability or break-even. They're not close.
11	They're losing money like crazy.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm just not clear
13	about what you're trying to accomplish with this
14	information. The company has repeatedly stipulated
15	that they're not yet at profitability. They're still
16	in their first two years of operation. If that's what
17	you're trying to establish, that's
18	MR. HARLOW: They've also repeatedly
19	said we're working our way. We're getting there.
20	We're getting to profitability. So, therefore, they
21	conclude it's not predatory, but the fact is
22	JUDGE PEARSON: If this goes to your
23	service below cost argument, I need you to make a
24	clearer path to get there.
25	MR. HARLOW: Okay. Let's jump ahead.

1	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, if I could
2	respond, you made perfectly clear repeatedly in your
3	rulings that the Commission it does not help the
4	Commission to know the company's their start-up
5	loss period or our start-up loss period. They're both
6	acknowledged in a matter law, in Seattle Express case,
7	in testimony, and in our case.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Right. That's why I'm
9	saying we need to cut to the chase.
LO	MR. HARLOW: That's Mr. Wiley's mantra
L1	that this is just the start-up loss. We're trying to
L2	show with actual data, instead of just high-level
L3	conclusory self-serving testimony, we're trying to
L4	show with actual data this is not a start-up loss.
L5	Their losses are actually increasing. Their
L6	passengers counts are down. Their trips are down.
L7	Their revenues are flat. They're going nowhere with
L8	this. They're losing money.
L9	JUDGE PEARSON: We'll have the data
20	about the passenger counts. We know that's coming,
21	and that will tell us whether the passengers counts
22	are increasing or not.
23	MR. HARLOW: It's kind of spotty. I
24	mean, it helps us.
25	JUDGE PEARSON: Are you alleging that

1	there are discrepancies between this income statement
2	and what they reported to the Commission in their
3	annual report?
4	MR. HARLOW: No. I'm alleging
5	Mr. Roemer's testimony that claims that they're going
6	through efficiencies or through economies of scale,
7	according to his prefiled testimony. What I am trying
8	to show is they don't have efficiencies. They don't
9	have economies to scale.
10	MR. FASSBURG: And, Your Honor, I'll
11	point out and we aren't going to re-conduct
12	discovery disputes here today was my understanding.
13	But I asked through a series of interrogatories to
14	Shuttle Express for them to articulate exactly what it
15	is about the fares they consider to be predatory
16	through fact and law.
17	Mr. Harlow didn't respond on time. He waived
18	all of his objections, and yet he refused to respond
19	to my request. And this particular theory that he
20	seems to be espousing at the moment is not part of
21	what he actually did respond with. The factual basis
22	that he provided has nothing to do with these facts.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
24	MR. HARLOW: Now that's in the record
25	because we handled it informally.

1	JUDGE PEARSON: Well, my understanding
2	is that you were going to attempt to make a showing
3	that they are pricing their services so low that they
4	can't possibly achieve profitability, not that it has
5	to do with number of passengers or efficiencies, but
6	that it has to do with the cost of providing service
7	versus what they're charging their customers.
8	MR. HARLOW: This issue is intertwined,
9	as Mr. Wood's stricken testimony said, with
10	sustainability, and we've kind of had different
11	rulings on that. But at one point there's a ruling
12	that says the stainability of the two companies
13	providing the same service is an issue, and that's
14	consistent with 480-30-140, which also says
15	sustainability is part of it.
16	JUDGE PEARSON: That's different.
17	MR. FASSBURG: That's part of
18	THE REPORTER: One at a time, please.
19	MR. HARLOW: That's why he's testified
20	in his responsive testimony, hey, you know, our losses
21	went from 55 cents to 14 cents, but the 14 cents is
22	cherry-picked. It's the five most profitable months.
23	Even in those months, they didn't make a profit.
24	But the other seven months I don't know
25	what the number is, but they probably lost 55 cents

1 again in the other seven months. That financial data is available to the company. It would be available to 3 the Commission except we keep dancing around 4 proprietary claims, which I don't know any other 5 company that does this. 6 I don't know why we're wasting so much time 7 trying to guess at what is happening with that company 8 financially when we have good anecdotal data that 9 they're losing money and will lose money until one of 10 the companies fails, and we could get actual financial 11 data. 12 Mr. Roemer submits quarterly financials to 13 their bank, to their lenders. All we'd have to do is 14 get a bench request getting those in, and you could 15 compare year over year what's going on. It's this 16 hide-the-ball theme, Your Honor. It's so frustrating 17 to me. 18 I've never done -- I've done rate cases, and 19 it's like the telephone company coming in and saying 20 we need a rate increase. 21 Give us the data. 22 No, that's proprietary. 23 I mean, we're not going to get a good decision 24 out of this or well-founded decision if we don't have

25

our data.

1 MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I'd like to 2 respond to a few of the things he said. One of which, 3 I think he just characterized your rulings on 4 discovery as hide the ball. If we make objections and 5 you sustain them, that's not called hide the ball. 6 That's called you're asking for something that's 7 relevant. 8 He had an opportunity more than once to make a 9 succinct or long argument, whichever it may be -- my 10 point is not about the length. He could have made as 11 articulate and well thought out an argument as he 12 would have desired to in a motion to compel to put 13 this before you a long time ago. 14 We understood this was going to be one of his 15 large contentions in this hearing because of the 16 exhibits that he filed. He is trying to litigate 17 through the hearing the discovery disputes all over 18 again. 19 I think you are actually on to something 20 yesterday that seems extremely instructive as to how 21 prices compare to cost, and I'd like to -- I'd like to 22 bring up something that I've dropped in the past, 23 which is we actually asked Shuttle Express to help us

do a fare comparison between the companies so the

Commission would be informed by doing a cost-to-fare

24

25

1	ratio. We proposed it based on various ZIP Codes, but
2	Shuttle Express did not want to provide SpeediShuttle
3	financial information. They only wanted it to be a
4	one-way street.
5	Your thought that I actually think cuts right
6	to the heart of it is what are the passengers per trip
7	that it requires to be profitability. That is an easy
8	way to compare which company has what fare-to-cost
9	ratio versus the other one without the actual details.
10	And I know Mr. Roemer is prepared to give an answer to
11	that.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: And I'm prepared to ask
13	it.
14	MR. FASSBURG: I would ask, in
15	fairness, because you asked that of Mr. Kajanoff after
16	my cross was over, that you do the same.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: I planned to wait, yes.
18	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: So I think that that
20	makes a lot sense. Going back to what you said,
21	Mr. Harlow, about the consistency of prior rulings,
22	we've always said that we would address the issue of
23	the stainability of two providers offering the same
24	service because that's what the WAC addresses.
25	But we won't entertain the idea that the

1 market can only sustain one provider under any 2 circumstances, which is what some of Mr. Wood's 3 testimony and some of Mr. Marks's testimony was 4 attempting to argue, that the market can ever only 5 have one provider, period, whether that service is 6 different and the same. And that is outside the scope 7 of this proceeding. 8 So I just want to clarify that that's 9 consistently been my holdings and the Commission's 10 holdings, but I also understand that that's a really 11 kind of precarious line between the two, and it's easy 12 to cross over when you're talking about sustainability 13 of two providers and to sustainability as a whole, and 14 so that's why I think this whole -- all the financial 15 stuff is -- it's hard to, I guess, hone in on exactly 16 what's relevant and what's needed here and easy to 17 start kind of treading over into areas that we've 18 already decided we aren't going to visit. 19 So I just want you to keep that in mind and 20 try to stay focused on -- like, Mr. Fassburg, it's the 21 issue of the cost of providing services versus the 22 fares. It's too difficult, I think, this early in the 23 company's operations to conclude that, because they're 24 not making a profit, that must mean that they're

pricing predatorily, because the record, clearly,

25

1 shows that Shuttle Express had losses for the first 2 few years as well. 3 So I just -- I don't know that that's the way 4 that you're going to get there, because those losses 5 would probably be there for any company in their first 6 few years of operation. So if you could focus more on 7 the cost of providing service versus the fares that 8 are being recovered, that would be a lot more helpful to me. So if we can proceed with that in mind -- and 10 I don't even remember what we were actually talking 11 about. 12 MR. FASSBURG: It started out with a 13 question about can we get into some of the details on 14 what constitutes total revenue, and I think we needed 15 an explanation of what he's trying to use with it 16 before we could agree. 17 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So --18 MR. HARLOW: I take it you didn't 19 agree? 20 MR. FASSBURG: As of right now, no. 21 JUDGE PEARSON: So given everything 22 I've just said, if you could maybe start your question 23 over, keeping all of that in mind, and what it is that 24 I'm looking for to be able to make a decision. 25

1	BY MR. HARLOW:
2	Q Okay. Well, let's just get on the record what
3	HJR-1T, page 56, line 5 means. It refers to a
4	combined 17-month loss. Do you see that?
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Did you say page 55?
6	MR. HARLOW: Page 56. If I said
7	page 55, I was mistaken.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: What line is it?
9	Q Line 5, combined 17-month lease, \$702,000?
LO	A Yes.
L1	Q And is that \$702,000 drawn from the income
L2	statement that's not in the record?
L3	A Yes.
L4	Q And how is it how would it be drawn from
L5	the income statement? Mathematically, how would you
L6	do it?
L7	A You would add the two period the loss of
L8	the two periods together.
L9	Q So that would be the bottom line of the
20	financial statement?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. And then it goes on to say 1,988,000 in
23	revenue. Is that also derived from the income
24	statement?
25	Δ Yeah That's the total of the ton line

1	Q	That's the total of the top line. Total
2	rever	nue. Okay.
3		And you, in your testimony, used the 55 cents
4	and t	he 14 cents loss per dollar for the beginning
5	for th	e first 12 months ending in September. So
6	that's	a whole year period; correct?
7	Α	I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
8	Q	The 55-cent loss per dollar is a whole-year
9	perio	d; is that correct? It's 12 whole months?
10	Α	It is 12 full calendar months.
11	Q	Right. Okay. So that deals with seasonal
12	varia	tions, but it doesn't deal with your start-up
13	perio	d; correct?
14	Α	Our start-up period hasn't finished yet.
15	Q	It certainly doesn't deal with the four months
16	wher	e you weren't operating before May; correct?
17	Α	No. That's not what you said. It includes
18	12 fu	Il calendar months.
19	Q	Right. And you began operating in May; is
20	that o	correct?
21	Α	That's correct. May 1.
22	Q	Did you have very many passengers in May?
23	A	We had passengers in May.
24	Q	Did you have very many?
25	Α	I don't know what that means.

1	Q All right.
2	A And I don't know how many we had.
3	Q Did you have even a third of the passengers in
4	May in 2015 that you had by 2016?
5	A I don't know.
6	Q Well, we don't have your passenger data yet.
7	We had your trip data.
8	MR. FASSBURG: I'd like to point out
9	the judge sustained objections to data requests for
10	the passenger data whereas the trip data was obtained
11	from a third-party.
12	MR. HARLOW: If we keep sustaining
13	objections to all passenger data and all financial
14	data, we'll never get this into the record. I'm
15	trying to work around as best I can.
16	MR. FASSBURG: I understand. I'm
17	sorry. Go ahead.
18	JUDGE PEARSON: We will have passenger
19	counts once they answer the bench request.
20	MR. FASSBURG: He's talking about
21	MR. HARLOW: I'm talking
22	THE REPORTER: I can only take one at a
23	time. Go ahead.
24	MR. FASSBURG: If I understand
25	correctly, Mr. Harlow is talking about a monthly

1	count. You haven't agreed that we were required to
2	produce that, and he is comparing information in terms
3	of saying we have this versus that. He's comparing
4	something that you said we didn't have to produce to
5	something we didn't produce.
6	MR. HARLOW: Can we discuss this off
7	the record for a minute?
8	JUDGE PEARSON: With all of us?
9	MR. HARLOW: With all of us, yes.
LO	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. We'll go
L1	off the record.
L2	(A break was taken from 2:36 p.m. to
L3	2:59 p.m.)
L4	JUDGE PEARSON: Just to summarize, we
L5	took a recess where we discussed whether it would be
L6	useful to obtain monthly passenger counts from
L7	SpeediShuttle. And I wanted to ask Mr. Beattie: Do
L8	you know if that information would be useful to
L9	Commission Staff in any way?
20	MR. BEATTIE: Could you say that one
21	more time, please?
22	JUDGE PEARSON: If the monthly
23	passenger counts would be useful to Staff.
24	MR. BEATTIE: Okay. The answer is no.
25	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.

1	That's what I thought, and it won't be useful
2	to me either. So I want to just reiterate what I said
3	off the record, which was that, consistent with the
4	bench request that I issued on Wednesday, I'll just
5	take additional data from SpeediShuttle in the form of
6	seasonal comparison of passenger counts for 2015 and
7	2016. So the passenger counts between May and October
8	of 2015 and also 2016 separated out from the other
9	periods of the year.
10	MR. ROEMER: By month?
11	MR. WILEY: No.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: By chunk.
13	MR. ROEMER: I just wanted to make sure
14	we're clear.
15	MR. FASSBURG: I would appreciate that
16	you clarify that period. Before you do, I did have
17	one more comment to make we were discussing outside,
18	which is specifically this still doesn't seem to get
19	to the cost versus revenue issue. This is literally
20	only passenger trends, and so it really doesn't inform
21	on the predatory issue.
22	The question you had about passengers per trip
23	to be profitable really gets straight to the
24	particular allegation of fares below cost. I
25	understand that they have attempted on numerous

1	occasions to create a new allegation out of their
2	original allegation, but that is the allegation they
3	made, fares below cost.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Right. I agree with
5	you. I think that that other information is more
6	useful. I think that having the passenger counts for
7	the specific periods of time will just go to the
8	question of whether SpeediShuttle is improving its
9	business over time or if they're losing business as
10	Shuttle Express alleges. It just kind of shows a
11	year-over-year comparison, and it's more accurate when
12	it's compared seasonally as opposed to on an annual
13	basis, but I don't need it broken down month by month.
14	I don't see how that would be useful to me in any way.
15	Keeping that in mind, you can proceed with
16	your cross-examination.
17	MR. FASSBURG: But for us, one more
18	time, will you repeat the months for which we're
19	providing those chunks?
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. So let's say
21	January 1 through April 30 and then May 1 through
22	October 31 is what I believe is the busy season; is
23	that correct? Or is it through September 30?
24	MR. FASSBURG: Which is it? I don't
25	know.

1	JUDGE PEARSON: Is it through
2	September 30? That's what Mr. Kajanoff said.
3	MR. ROEMER: I know we provided
4	multiple things.
5	MR. FASSBURG: She wants to get the
6	busy season separated from the slow season. What's
7	your opinion on what is the busy season?
8	MR. ROEMER: May 1 through September 30
9	probably.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: So the second chunk of
11	passenger numbers will be May 1 through September 30
12	for both years, and then the third chunk being
13	October 1 through December 31. We'll just separate it
14	out that way.
15	MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I want to
16	put this on the record, just because we would like it
17	to be known. We aren't agreeing to this. Obviously,
18	it's a bench request, but we don't have to agree. And
19	you don't have to care if we agree, but I know that
20	Mr. Roemer actually can't make a decision on whether
21	SpeediShuttle is able to disclose that information
22	because it isn't in his authority to make the
23	decision. And the person who can is on an airplane.
24	So what we'd like to propose is at least some
25	opportunity to come back and readdress this if he's

1	told me cannot, because Mr. Roemer doesn't have the
2	authority.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Well, the
4	Commission has the authority to ask for it. I can
5	give you time.
6	MR. FASSBURG: Of course, you do. I
7	just want to save Mr. Roemer from getting in trouble
8	with his boss.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: You can blame me. And
LO	how much time do you think do you need a week or
L1	two weeks? I don't need it
L2	MR. FASSBURG: I don't know.
L3	JUDGE PEARSON: within the next few
L4	days. We often give up to two weeks to respond to
L5	bench requests.
L6	MR. FASSBURG: The response won't take
L7	two weeks. The answer from his boss will take until a
L8	plane lands.
L9	MR. ROEMER: Well, the response will
20	take more than
21	MR. FASSBURG: I meant I'm sorry. I
22	didn't mean we can give the response when the plane
23	lands. I meant he'll know.
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Let's set a due date
25	what's realistic for the company?

1	MR. WILEY: A week from today? You
2	tell me.
3	MR. ROEMER: If he says okay.
4	MR. WILEY: Yeah, that's what I'm
5	saying.
6	MR. ROEMER: Then, yeah, because I have
7	to reconstruct that whole other year.
8	MR. WILEY: How is this different from
9	Wednesday's bench request? You've asked for a third
10	chunk; right? Isn't that how it's distinguished?
11	JUDGE PEARSON: Right. I'm breaking
12	the year out over busy versus slow seasons.
13	MR. WILEY: We had it for the two
14	chunks. We didn't have it for the third.
15	JUDGE PEARSON: Right.
16	MR. ROEMER: We had it for what you
17	asked for yesterday.
18	JUDGE PEARSON: If you have that today,
19	you can give that to me today. The remainder of the
20	information you can provide a week from today. That's
21	fine.
22	MR. WILEY: That would be the
23	November/December period in 2015 and 2016?
24	JUDGE PEARSON: Yeah. And, really, I
25	think, I want to be just comparing those busy seasons,

1	the May through end of September, 2015, 2016, those
2	numbers.
3	MR. ROEMER: You want May through
4	September of each year? That's less work than getting
5	all the different components.
6	JUDGE PEARSON: That's fine. That's
7	fine.
8	MR. HARLOW: How has that changed?
9	JUDGE PEARSON: I just need the busy
LO	seasons for the two years to see how the passenger
L1	count has grown.
L2	MR. HARLOW: What about the January to
L3	April?
L4	JUDGE PEARSON: They're still providing
L5	that. I think Mr. Roemer has that today. He has a
L6	percentage for me anyway; right?
L7	MR. WILEY: Yes.
L8	MR. HARLOW: Both those periods are for
L9	both years?
20	MR. FASSBURG: There's no January to
21	April 2015.
22	MR. HARLOW: Okay. Thank you.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So, Mr. Harlow,
24	if you're ready to move on.
25	MR. HARLOW: I may not be ready, but

1	the clock says I have to, so I will.
2	BY MR. HARLOW:
3	Q Just a couple more on financials, and then
4	we'll try to move to a new topic.
5	First of all, Mr. Roemer, with regard to the
6	income statement and the 55 cents per dollar of
7	revenue loss that is net of that's after the
8	provision for income taxes, without giving the number,
9	would you agree that the that comparable number
10	before income taxes is a higher number? In other
11	words, the loss is greater than the after-tax loss?
12	A That would be expected, yes.
13	Q And the same would be true for the 14 cents
14	after-tax loss for the five months ending September 30
15	of 2016?
16	A That would be expected, yes.
17	Q And, Mr. Roemer, given that there's been
18	there appears to be no growth in door-to-door service
19	out of the airport generally, how does SpeediShuttle
20	intend to grow its passengers moving forward?
21	A I'm sorry. I don't accept the premise.
22	Q Okay. Okay. So at your deposition, you
23	indicated that certain functions for SpeediShuttle of
24	Washington are now being performed out of Hawaii. Do
25	you recall that?

1	A	I do.
2	Q	And, specifically, I believe, you identified
3	acco	unting?
4	Α	Specifically, I identified that we no longer
5	had a	a controller
6	Q	Okay.
7	Α	in Seattle.
8	Q	Where are the accounting functions performed
9	for S	peediShuttle of Washington?
10	Α	They're performed in Seattle, and some of them
11	are n	ow performed in Hawaii.
12	Q	And what about human resources?
13	Α	Hiring and firing, annual reviews, interviews,
14	adve	rtising, all done in Seattle.
15		THE REPORTER: Mr. Roemer, please speak
16	into t	he mike.
17		MR. ROEMER: Yeah, I'm sorry.
18	Q	What human resources functions have been
19	trans	ferred to Hawaii then?
20	A	I don't think any I don't think I said any
21	huma	an resources functions have been transferred to
22	Hawa	aii. All I said was that we terminated the
23	cont	roller in February of this year.
24	Q	Where do your employment applications go for
25	Seatt	de?

1	A	Seattle.
2	Q	What about your salary, which company pays
3	your	salary?
4	Α	Well, I don't work for I don't work for
5	Seat	tle. I work for Hawaii.
6	Q	Okay. So you're paid by Hawaii?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	But you are the CFO for Seattle; correct?
9	Α	Yeah. I'm the CFO for all of the companies.
10	Q	Okay. Where is your call center handled,
11	Hawa	aii or Seattle?
12	Α	Seattle.
13	Q	Of all hours of the day?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	For those functions that have been transferred
16	to Ha	waii, would those be in your financial statements
17	for S	eattle?
18	A	The financial statement, yes. Absolutely.
19	Q	And how is that done?
20	A	These financial statements was before we got
21	rid o	f the controller. We got rid of one position.
22	Q	You're still producing financial statements
23	interr	nally and providing financial statements
24	quart	erly to your lenders; correct?
25	Α	That's correct.

1	MR. FASSBURG: Objection. He's already
2	answered it, but I don't think we need to talk more
3	about other financial statements.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Sustained.
5	Q You provided the Commission, from this
6	unadmitted income statement, the losses for the
7	company for just a five-month snapshot of 2016;
8	correct?
9	A No. I provided GAAP financial statements for
LO	a full 12-calendar-month period and for a stump period
L1	from that date forward to the date these were
L2	provided.
L3	Q But, I mean, let me ask it a different way.
L4	The loss of 14 cents per dollar of revenue was for a
L5	five-month period in 2016; is that correct?
L6	A Yeah. It was the period from the beginning
L7	from the end of our first 12 full months of operation
L8	to the date this was provided.
L9	Q Do you have financial statements that reflect
20	the company's income or loss for the entire period of
21	2016?
22	MR. FASSBURG: Objection, same
23	objection I just made. He's getting into things that
24	are outside of this. What's the point of that?
25	There's no relevance.

1	MR. HARLOW: From the very beginning,
2	Your Honor has ruled that you wanted to know whether
3	the company what their costs are and what their
4	revenues are and do the revenues exceed the costs.
5	And I haven't even asked whether they do for 2016.
6	I'm just trying to find out if they have that data.
7	It's a preliminary question.
8	MR. FASSBURG: I don't see the
9	relevance of it. It seems to be leading to something
10	that is outside of this particular financial
11	statement, which isn't going to be helpful to anyone
12	because that information isn't here.
13	MR. HARLOW: Well, no. It's going to
14	be helpful to you because you show only 14-cent loss
15	on the five most profitable months of the year. I'm
16	sorry. I'm getting a little angry, Your Honor. And
17	I'm trying to find out if we might get a full 12-month
18	snapshot for 2016, a full calendar year, which does
19	not have a seasonal variation that they're showing and
20	taking full advantage of and hiding behind
21	confidentiality for what the real number is for 2016.
22	MR. FASSBURG: It sounds like an
23	address an issue that's already been addressed in
24	the discovery conference that we had off the record
25	recently, the informal discovery conference by which

1	Mr. Harlow insisted we were required to provide
2	supplemental data response information, and you
3	informed Mr. Harlow that was not your interpretation
4	of the rule.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: That's correct. So,
6	again, I just want to circle back to what we talked
7	about earlier. I do think that the most useful
8	information to me here is going to be Mr. Roemer's
9	answer to the question that I asked Mr. Kajanoff
10	yesterday about at what point does a trip become
11	profitable and how many passengers does it take in a
12	van in order for a given trip to become profitable.
13	And if we compare the number for SpeediShuttle to the
14	number for Shuttle Express, it will give us a good
15	idea about cost of service versus fares.
16	MR. HARLOW: I'm sorry. Because it was
17	two whole days ago. Do we have some kind of bench
18	request out to both companies to get that information?
19	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Kajanoff answered
20	that question for me, and what I was told is that
21	Mr. Roemer is prepared to answer it today. So I will
22	have that information.
23	MR. HARLOW: Let's ask it now.
24	MR. FASSBURG: We objected to that
25	because we weren't permitted the opportunity to cross

1	Mr. Kajanoff about that. We've already discussed that	
2	today. The judge said she is going to ask the	
3	question.	
4	JUDGE PEARSON: And I'll wait until	
5	you're done.	
6	MR. HARLOW: Okay. I will defer to	
7	Your Honor then.	
8	BY MR. HARLOW:	
9	Q Given the Commission's 7 percent profit	
10	limitation or the 93 percent operating ratio, does	
11	SpeediShuttle expect to recoup its start-up losses,	
12	and, if so, how?	
13	A I'm not sure I understand the question or	
14	Q Do you know how the Commission sets rates and	
15	the 93 percent operating ratio and how that works?	
16	A I'm not at all familiar with what you're	
17	talking about. We have filed our tariff. Our tariff	
18	has been approved by the Commission. We have flexible	
19	fares. We're nowhere near the top of those, but	
20	that's really governed by competition.	
21	Q All right. Without knowing how the Commission	
22	sets rates, do you expect to recoup the company	
23	start-up losses, and, if so, how?	
24	A We expect the higher passenger counts will	
25	continue to grow, that our passengers per trip will	

1	contir	nue to grow, and that will in our experience,
2	in our 20 years of experience in this business, that	
3	is hov	v one makes a profit.
4	Q	It's your experience in Hawaii?
5	A	That's our experience in the share ride
6	transp	portation business.
7	Q	Which is primarily in the four islands in
8	Hawai	i; correct?
9	Α	It is, the share ride transportation business.
10	Q	Off the record we discussed your passenger
11	load fa	actors, number of passengers per trip. Do you
12	recall	that?
13	A	No.
14	Q	Okay. Based on your annual report, we've
15	calcula	ated a passenger load factor of about
16	3.8 pa	ssengers per trip for 2016. Can you accept
17	that, s	ubject to check?
18	A	Yeah. Subject to check, I'll accept that.
19	Q	What's your passenger load factor in Hawaii,
20	let's sa	ay Oahu?
21		MR. FASSBURG: I'm going to object.
22	That's	completely irrelevant. In past attempts to
23	obtain	information related to Hawaii, Your Honor,
24	you've	sustained those objections, and I don't think
25	that is	a subject that needs to be discussed here

1	today.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: I will sustain that.
3	MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, he just
4	testified the basis for his assumption about how they
5	were going to get profitable was increasing
6	passengers, and that's all based on the Hawaii
7	experience.
8	MR. FASSBURG: He didn't say it was
9	related exactly how they operate in Hawaii. He said
10	it's based on his experience operating in Hawaii, and
11	he didn't say Hawaii. Mr. Harlow did.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Let's move on,
13	Mr. Harlow.
14	BY MR. HARLOW:
15	Q Okay. Do the losses of SpeediShuttle of
16	Washington benefit the Hawaiian company or the
17	Hawaiian operations in some manner?
18	A I'm sorry. I don't understand the question.
19	Q We'll move on. Let's talk a little bit about
20	one of your features you use to try to distinguish
21	your service, which is the Mercedes vans.
22	Would you agree that the annual cost of a
23	Mercedes van is \$12,000 more than the Fords, as
24	Mr. Kajanoff has testified?
25	A No.

1	Q Why is that?
2	A I don't know the basis of that number.
3	Q Do you know how much your vans cost?
4	A I do.
5	Q How much?
6	A I know how much they cost.
7	MR. FASSBURG: I'm going to object to
8	the request for that specific detail because I
9	understand they have an agreement negotiated with the
LO	dealership that is not something other providers
L1	necessarily are able to obtain based on the volume
L2	that they purchased, and I don't think that it's
L3	something I know that's something they consider to
L4	be highly proprietary and not willing to disclose.
L5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can sustain
L6	that, because I don't think that it's particularly
L7	useful information for my purposes anyway. You can
L8	ask questions about the fair market value of those
L9	vans, if you like, if that's something anyone can find
20	on the internet.
21	MR. HARLOW: I think he testified the
22	fair market value when they were done with them is
23	zero, so I'm not sure that's going to help us.
24	MR. ROEMER: Excuse me?
25	MR. FASSBURG: If Mr. Harlow is

1	testifying, I think we should cross-examine
2	Mr. Harlow. There hasn't been any testimony from
3	Mr. Roemer
4	MR. HARLOW: I'll strike that. It's
5	not important. It was only for a little moment of
6	levity. That's all.
7	BY MR. HARLOW:
8	Q Okay. If you would, turn, please, to your
9	prefiled testimony at page 52, HJR-1T, and at line 13.
10	"How do you propose the Commission should examine your
11	fare structure in this proceeding?"
12	Your answer was: "I suggest it use the same
13	method it used to initially approve our approved
14	tariff in the first place, and I have full confidence
15	in the staff's ability to perform that."
16	Do you see that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Did the Staff examine your fare structure in
19	this proceeding as in accordance with your documents?
20	A I presume they did. We filed our tariff, and
21	they spent some time and they approved our tariff.
22	Q When did you file the tariff?
23	A We would have filed the tariff with our
24	original application.
25	Q So they haven't done an investigation in this

1	rehearing proceeding; is that correct?
2	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I object to the
3	form.
4	MR. HARLOW: Wait a minute. Wait a
5	minute. Who's defending this witness? I don't
6	believe I get two objections from two lawyers.
7	Mr. Fassburg is handling this. I object to that.
8	MR. WILEY: We're alternating.
9	MR. HARLOW: I object to that.
LO	MR. WILEY: We're not double-teaming.
L1	We're just alternating.
L2	MR. FASSBURG: I adopt the objection,
L3	but we're one ranger, one rider.
L4	JUDGE PEARSON: Can your repeat what
L5	you said, Mr. Harlow?
L6	MR. HARLOW: I'd ask the court reporter
L7	to read it back, please, because I'm not sure I can.
L8	(Record read back as requested.)
L9	JUDGE PEARSON: And you objected to the
20	form of the question?
21	MR. FASSBURG: We're objecting because
22	the tariff was filed in the application docket, which
23	is the same docket. This is a rehearing, so the
24	tariff has been investigated by Staff when it was
25	approved in the docket.

1	JUDGE PEARSON: Do you want to rephrase
2	your question?
3	MR. HARLOW: Not really. The question
4	and answer was forward-looking, and I'm trying to find
5	out if anything happened after he said he was
6	confident the Staff was going to do this.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: So just to ask you:
8	Are you asking if Staff revisited the tariff because
9	of the petition for rehearing, if it went back and
LO	questioned its own work and double-checked it?
L1	MR. HARLOW: Exactly.
L2	JUDGE PEARSON: That might be a
L3	question better reserved for Staff.
L4	BY MR. HARLOW:
L5	Q Let me ask you: Do you know if Staff has
L6	investigated your financials at all since you began
L7	operating in the state?
L8	A You would have to ask Staff. Not that we know
L9	of, but you would have to ask Staff.
20	Q Would you accept, subject to check, that the
21	load factors for SpeediShuttle, according to
22	calculations from your 2015 annual report, were about
23	three passengers per trip?
24	A I don't think they were that high. I could be
25	wrong.

1	JUDGE PEARSON: Could you come closer
2	to the microphone?
3	A I don't think they were that high, but I could
4	be wrong.
5	MR. FASSBURG: Sounds like a no.
6	Q If you want to look at the annual report, it's
7	HJR-31X.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: This is for 2015;
9	correct?
10	MR. HARLOW: Correct.
11	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. What page is
12	that on?
13	MR. HARLOW: Well, you'll find trips
14	and passengers on, yes, page 6, 6 of 11.
15	Q If you want to use a calculator or just do
16	mental math.
17	A It's about three. It looks like it's about
18	three.
19	Q I think we established that for I think you
20	agreed for 2016 it was about 3.8?
21	A Yeah. It's well over three.
22	Q So how did the company get from 3.0 or
23	about 3 to about 3.8?
24	A That would be carrying that would be
25	carrying more passengers per trip.

1	Q Okay. And how do you get more pass	engers on a
2	2 trip?	
3	A Well, there are 11 seats on a shuttle	. So if
4	you have three seats on average occupied	d, that means
5	on the average you have eight seats avail	able to add
6	6 more passengers.	
7	Q Is one way to do that to have the passe	engers
8	8 wait a little longer until there's a fourth passe	nger,
9	9 for example?	
10	A That may be what Shuttle Express d	loes. That's
11	not our business plan. That's not our mo	del.
12	Q Is that one way you could do it?	
13	A I suspect you could probably do that	t by
14	telling them they got to wait an hour until	you fill
15	up the van.	
16	Q Do you agree that if, hypothetically spe	eaking,
17	you've got two carriers serving ZIP Code 981	101 and
18	each of them is running a van and each of the	em trying
19	to get the three or four passengers per van,	you agree
20	that if they were one company that the vans	can be
21	dispatched faster than if there are two compa	anies?
22	22 A No.	
23	Q How can two companies dispatch vans	s to the
24	same service area at the same speed?	
25	A I don't agree with your premise that	there are

1	only two companies providing service to downtown
2	Seattle.
3	Q So your theory is you just have to get
4	passengers out of taxis and into your vans; is that
5	right?
6	A No. I don't agree that the only competition
7	is taxis.
8	Q Do you recall in your direct testimony
9	discussing that if your certificate were canceled
10	customers in let's say residents or visitors to
11	North Bend would not be able to get service to that
12	entire ZIP Code? Do you recall that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And do you recall that we asked you how many
15	passengers you had served to North Bend? I don't
16	remember the time period. Do you recall getting that
17	question in discovery?
18	A Yeah, I do recall getting that question.
19	Q And do you recall indicating that
20	SpeediShuttle, for the time period in question, did
21	not transport any passengers to or from that ZIP Code
22	in North Bend?
23	A I don't recall the answer. I mean, it is what
24	it is.
25	Q Will you suspect, subject to check, the answer

	Zeolectice: Te Trees taile to Test to (Sellecticated) vol. viii	0, 12,20
1	was zero?	
2	A Yeah. I'll accept, subject to check, that the	
3	answer is zero.	
4	Q What percentage of your passengers are	
5	round-trip passengers as opposed to one-way?	
6	MR. FASSBURG: I'm going to object. I	
7	believe that is probably proprietary. If he tells me	
8	he can answer it, then I'll let him answer it.	
9	MR. HARLOW: Proprietary is not a	
10	grounds for objection.	
11	MR. FASSBURG: Well, it is, because I	
12	think that there's way too many detailed questions	
13	that are probing to how SpeediShuttle gets its	
14	passengers, how it makes its money. This is all	
15	information Shuttle Express could very well use to try	
16	to take that information and turn its losses around by	
17	competing with SpeediShuttle using SpeediShuttle's	
18	strategies. I don't think that's fair to	
19	SpeediShuttle. That's not what this proceeding is	
20	about.	
21	MR. HARLOW: I don't think it's fair to	
22	us. We're going to see in every page in their	
23	post-hearing brief how we didn't meet our burden of	
24	proof. The reason is they won't give us the data.	

25

They won't answer questions. They refuse. Again, I'm

1	really getting tired of this charade.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Roemer, are you
3	comfortable answering that question just on a
4	percentage?
5	MR. ROEMER: I actually don't know the
6	answer, Your Honor
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Well, there you go.
8	MR. ROEMER: off the top of my head,
9	but I could venture probably a pretty good educated
10	guess. But I don't do that, because he will
11	immediately demand backup and because Shuttle Express,
12	on their own volition, stopped providing round-trip
13	discounts. Now it's about, well, let's see whether
14	the round-trip discount needs to come back by looking
15	at SpeediShuttle. This is why they're digging in this
16	information. It's all so they can compete with us.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Harlow, I don't
18	understand where you're going with the question or why
19	it's relevant.
20	MR. HARLOW: It may go nowhere, Your
21	Honor. It depends on what the answer is. But the
22	data that we have seen, particularly from the annual
23	reports, suggests that the company is making a lot of
24	one-way trips and they're deadheading. The reason we
25	suspect that is because the average revenue per

1	passenger is \$17.65. It's barely more than their
2	cheapest fare, which is to Seattle 98101.
3	MR. ROEMER: Object to that. That's
4	false. Object.
5	MR. FASSBURG: Well, first of all
6	MR. HARLOW: It's an offer of proof.
7	MR. FASSBURG: It's not an offer of
8	proof.
9	MR. HARLOW: Secondly, we know their
LO	mileage per trip from their annual report, and it's
L1	about 30 miles.
L2	MR. FASSBURG: I'm waiting for
L3	Mr. Harlow to take the oath.
L4	JUDGE PEARSON: I just want to know
L5	MR. HARLOW: You want to know where
L6	it's going?
L7	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes. Why is it
L8	relevant?
L9	MR. HARLOW: Why is it relevant?
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Yeah.
21	MR. HARLOW: Because it goes to the
22	cream-skimming argument. We think somewhere around 85
23	or 90 percent of all of their traffic is to Seattle,
24	to Seattle hotels and piers, and they're not really
25	serving the whole county.

1 MR. FASSBURG: As much as I would love 2 to reciprocate and be a witness here in this 3 proceeding, I'll just point out that, although I don't 4 have the details to provide him today, passengers 5 choose whether to reserve service or not, not 6 transportation companies. 7 If he is arguing that because they have more 8 passengers to downtown Seattle -- there's a lot of different explanations for that. He can argue about 10 it in his brief. He's already put all the evidence 11 that he wants to. I don't know why this requires any 12 more time on this. 13 JUDGE PEARSON: Well, I just don't 14 understand why the round-trip issue is relevant or 15 not, because it's not as though people fly into 16 Seattle, go to a hotel, and then stay there forever. 17 They, obviously, get back to the airport at some point 18 in time, even if they get on a cruise ship, unless 19 they fly home from another location. I just don't see 20 why one-way trip versus round-trip is relevant, so 21 let's move on from that. 22 MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, I really 23 don't mean to pinch Mr. Harlow. I'd like him to have 24 as much time as he needs for questions that he needs

to actually ask, but it's 3:30. That's why I really

25

1	hope	we can move along.
2	•	MR. HARLOW: We're almost done. If you
3	didn't	have objections, we would be done. I'll move
4	on.	Thave especialle, we weard so delie. The move
5		IR. HARLOW:
6		All right. Turn, please, to Exhibit HJR-33X,
7		6 of 9, and you can see that it has the total
8		nue, gross interest, and total number of
9		engers carried. Do you have it in front of you?
10	•	Yeah, right here.
11		So would you accept, subject to check first
12		
		you can calculate your average revenue per
13	passe	enger by dividing the passengers into the gross
14	rever	nue; isn't that correct?
15	A	That should work.
16	Q	And would you accept that your average revenue
17	per p	assenger is about \$17.65?
18	A	Yes. I would accept that it's probably that.
19	Q	What is your fare to downtown Seattle for a
20	single	e passenger?
21	Α	\$15.99, so about 10 percent less.
22	Q	Sir, what is your fare to downtown Bellevue
23	for a	single passenger?
24	Α	\$22.
25	Q	And what is your fare to, say, Issaquah for a

1	single	e passenger?
2	Α	Without pulling tariff, I couldn't tell you.
3	Off tl	ne top of my head due to the less populated
4	areas	s, I couldn't do it.
5	Q	Do you know about how many miles it is from
6	Sea-	Tac Airport to Seattle ZIP Code 98101, downtown
7	Seatt	le?
8	A	It's about 15 miles, maybe a little less. It
9	depe	nds on where.
LO	Q	Do you see the number of trips on page 6 of 9
L1	there	?
L2	A	Uh-huh.
L3	Q	And so if you turn to page 4 of 9, you can see
L4	your	total miles; is that correct?
L5	Α	Okay.
L6	Q	And so from that, you can calculate the
L7	avera	age miles per trip; correct?
L8	Α	Okay.
L9	Q	I don't have that in front of me, and I won't
20	make	e you do it on the stand.
21		But let me ask you this: Do those total miles
22	includ	de only trips that have passengers onboard?
23	Α	No. We don't we don't play games with
24	num	bers like we were yesterday.
25	Q	So if a van gets fully loaded in the airport.

1	it goes up to Seattle and there's people waiting at
2	the airport but nobody in Seattle, the van may come
3	back empty; is that correct?
4	A That shows a real misunderstanding of our
5	business.
6	Q I'm asking if that happens or can happen?
7	A Well, it can happen.
8	Q Okay. But then those miles, even when it's
9	empty, would still be in this total operating miles in
10	HJR-33X; is that correct?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q Why does the company price 12 ZIP Codes at an
13	equal amount per person?
14	A I'm sorry. We submitted our tariffs with our
15	original application, and they were reviewed and
16	approved by Staff.
17	Q Some of the ZIP Codes here there's a different
18	fare for the second person; isn't that correct?
19	A Some ZIP Codes are priced at what is called
20	(inaudible) in the industry, and some are priced at
21	share ride.
22	Q And why did the company file a tariff that
23	took a different approach for difference ZIP Codes?
24	A It really has to do with load factor. And,
25	vou know, it's too bad Shuttle Express needs us to

1	tell them how to operate their business, but I have to
2	tell them, so I will.
3	In high density areas where you're unlikely to
4	have a single passenger in the area, it makes sense to
5	do a per person fare. In lower density areas, like
6	neighborhoods in Bellevue, you're very likely to end
7	up with a single passenger.
8	So the way you weight the fare is and
9	Shuttle Express does exactly the same thing. The
LO	first passenger pays a relatively high fare, and the
L1	second persons pays a nominal amount of money. And,
L2	yes, we do that same thing in Hawaii.
L3	MR. HARLOW: Bear with me, Your Honor,
L4	I'm trying to wrap up here. I want to make sure I
L5	don't leave anything out.
L6	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
L7	Q Turn, please, to Exhibit HJR-66X, and you see
L8	it indicates that 85 percent of your walk-up
L9	passengers in that particular date or period are to
20	the downtown Seattle area?
21	A At the time of the data request, yes.
22	Q Okay. Do you know if at the time of the data
23	request that same percentage would have been true for
24	reserve passengers?
25	A It wasn't requested, and we didn't try and

1	figure it out.
2	Q Do you have any estimate based on your
3	knowledge and workings in the company?
4	A No. I don't have any estimate that I would be
5	able to provide backup for in your follow-up
6	questions, so no.
7	Q Let's turn back to your prefiled testimony.
8	I'll get you a page in a minute. Starting at page 54,
9	HJR-1T, you're answering questions about
LO	Mr. Kajanoff's pro forma. You call it a financial
L1	statement. Mr. Kajanoff wasn't allowed to use your
L2	actual financial statement that you had provided;
L3	isn't that correct?
L4	A Pursuant to a confidentiality agreement
L5	between the parties, I believe that is correct.
L6	Q You indicate at line 18 "Shuttle Express asked
L7	us to produce a financial statement for May 1, 2015
L8	through December 31, 2015 and a second covering
L9	January 1, 2016, through September 2016."
20	And you criticize that; is that correct?
21	A I said it's not GAAP, but go ahead.
22	Q So, in other words, you didn't think it
23	conformed to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles?
24	A I know it doesn't.
25	Q Did Shuttle Express ask for that data in your

1	prefiled testimony?
2	A They asked for everything.
3	Q Let's take a look at Exhibit HJR-59X.
4	MR. FASSBURG: We're going to reassert
5	our objection to this and ask
6	MR. HARLOW: I haven't asked the
7	question. I haven't offered the exhibit or asked a
8	question. Let me do that, and I'll give you a chance
9	to make your objection.
LO	MR. FASSBURG: I'm speaking, and I'd
L1	like to make my objection at the time that I'm making
L2	it as opposed to you telling me when I can object.
L3	Now that we have a little bit more of a
L4	context, he's, again, going through discovery
L5	disputes. The first testimony that he read related to
L6	Mr. Roemer criticizing the request that they made.
L7	That's a discovery issue. Sure, the parties argued at
L8	discovery a little bit in their testimony, but this is
L9	still a discovery dispute regardless of where it is in
20	the testimony. And this e-mail is, again, attorney to
21	attorney.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Harlow, I'll let
23	you ask your question or explain where you're going
24	with this.
25	MR. HARLOW: My question was going to

1	be because he just said something to the effect
2	that they ask for everything, my question was going to
3	be: Have you seen Exhibit HJR-59X? That's the first
4	question.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: And then where are you
6	going from there?
7	MR. HARLOW: The next question is: Is
8	that the other financial data they asked for besides
9	what you said was presented in your prefiled
LO	testimony?
L1	MR. FASSBURG: Again, this is a
L2	discovery dispute. I don't understand why we need to
L3	do this today.
L4	MR. HARLOW: It's not a discovery
L5	dispute. It goes to the credibility of the witness.
L6	He pretends like we asked for non-GAAP information.
L7	In fact, we not only asked for several times of
L8	GAAP-consistent information, we also said we could
L9	accept something consistent with GAAP or a monthly
20	spreadsheet. We left it up to them to produce
21	something that they would agree would conform to GAAP.
22	MR. FASSBURG: This is still discovery.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: I also don't find it
24	relevant for my purposes, so I'd like you to just move
25	on.

	EXAMINATION BY BEATTIE / ROEMER 819
1	MR. HARLOW: We'd like to be done with
2	cross of this witness.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
4	MR. HARLOW: No further cross at this
5	time.
6	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you.
7	Mr. Beattie, do you have questions for
8	Mr. Roemer?
9	MR. BEATTIE: Yes, thank you.
LO	EXAMINATION
L1	BY MR. BEATTIE:
L2	Q Mr. Roemer, does SpeediShuttle Seattle provide
L3	auto transportation service using non-owned vehicles?
L4	A No.
L5	Q Does SpeediShuttle Seattle provide auto
L6	transportation service using nonemployee drivers?
L7	A No.
L8	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you. No further
L9	questions.
20	MR. FASSBURG: May we take a
21	five-minute break before redirect?
22	JUDGE PEARSON: I have questions. Can
23	I go first or do you need
24	MR. WILEY: Absolutely.
25	MR. FASSBURG: We don't need a break

	EXAMINATION BY JUDGE PEARSON / ROEMER 820
1	for that.
2	EXAMINATION
3	BY JUDGE PEARSON:
4	Q Okay. So, Mr. Roemer, I'm going to ask you
5	the same question that I asked Mr. Kajanoff yesterday,
6	which is: On average for your lowest cost trip, which
7	I assume would be to downtown Seattle as it is for
8	Shuttle Express
9	A It's not, but I'll answer it.
10	Q It's not?
11	A No. Theirs isn't either, but I'll answer it
12	in that respect because it is the majority of
13	everybody's trips.
14	Q Okay. So at what capacity, meaning number of
15	seats sold, does that trip become profitable for
16	SpeediShuttle?
17	A About four.
18	Q Okay. And then my other question was: Are
19	you able to get information when I was looking at
20	one of the data request responses that related to the
21	number of SpeediShuttle employees and the number of
22	employees that spoke languages other than English, are
23	you able to get information about the actual total
24	number of SpeediShuttle employees today, not

25

cumulative, but how many employees SpeediShuttle has

	EXAMINATION BY JUDGE PEARSON / ROEMER 821
1	today and then get me an updated list of the employees
2	who speak languages other than English that looks just
3	like the list you provided in response to the data
4	request? So it would just list their position and the
5	language that they speak, no other identifying
6	information, because that would be helpful to me.
7	A We can get it. We're going to have to poll
8	the employees, because we don't
9	Q That's not information that you keep in their
10	employee file? It's not something you find out when
11	you're hiring them?
12	A No, no.
13	Q Okay. So if you can do that for me, I will
14	label that Bench Request No. 3. And what would be a
15	sufficient amount of time for you to get that? Do you
16	need a couple of weeks for that one?
17	MR. WILEY: I would think at least;
18	right?
19	MR. ROEMER: Sorry?
20	MR. WILEY: Wouldn't you need at least
21	two weeks?
22	MR. ROEMER: First, I got to get a
23	roster.
24	MR. WILEY: Want to say three weeks?
25	MR_ROFMER: Make it two_L want this

	822
1	over with.
2	MR. WILEY: I think we all do.
3	MR. ROEMER: I want this over with, and
4	either we're operating in Seattle or not. I just want
5	it done.
6	JUDGE PEARSON: So I'm looking for,
7	again, just current numbers. I don't want cumulative
8	numbers.
9	MR. ROEMER: I understand.
10	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
11	MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, could we
12	revisit the total year losses? We've got revenue in
13	the annual report. We know that there are 3.83 load
14	factor already, so they should be very close to
15	breaking even, which he's writing about the four being
16	the breakeven point. Can we test that by getting the
17	cost for 2016? We already have the revenues, just one
18	more data point.
19	MR. FASSBURG: Your Honor, we're done
20	with testimony except for redirect. The push for more
21	and more information becomes more and more
22	prejudicial.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Yeah, I agree. I don't
24	need that data. I feel pretty strongly that the
25	Commission's process for approving tariffs is set up

	EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / ROEMER 823
1	so that pricing can't be predatory, so I don't need
2	all of this extra information. I think I have what I
3	need at this point and with the additional information
4	that I'll get, and I'm going to leave it at that.
5	So I think that's all that I have for
6	Mr. Roemer. Do you have any redirect?
7	MR. FASSBURG: We have a short
8	redirect, but we'd like to take a quick break first.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Let's do that. How
LO	long? Five minutes fine?
L1	MR. FASSBURG: Five minutes is fine.
L2	JUDGE PEARSON: We'll be off the record
L3	for five minutes.
L4	(A break was taken from 3:46 p.m. to
L5	3:57 p.m.)
L6	JUDGE PEARSON: All right. Let's be
L7	back on the record.
L8	And, Mr. Fassburg, you can proceed with
L9	redirect.
20	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you.
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. FASSBURG:
23	Q Mr. Roemer, you were asked some questions
24	earlier about whether you greet all of your guests.
25	Does SpeediShuttle do anything to monitor greeters to

		EXAMINATION BY FASSBURG / ROEMER 824
1	avoid	missing greets?
2	Α	Yes, we do.
3	Q	You were also asked, with respect to the bench
4	reque	est, whether you track the languages of employees.
5	Who	did you mean by you?
6	Α	Me.
7	Q	Does someone at SpeediShuttle in Washington
8	actua	lly have an idea of the languages its employees
9	speal	< ?
LO	Α	Yeah. The various supervisors know who speaks
L1	what	language.
L2	Q	You were asked some questions about your
L3	whole	esalers and whether or not the passengers could
L4	reser	ve service in different languages. Do your
L5	whole	esalers or, rather, do some of your wholesalers
L6	actua	Illy focus on tourism from other countries?
L7	Α	Yes.
L8	Q	Are some of those wholesalers customers that
L9	are lo	ong-standing of SpeediShuttle Hawaii?
20	Α	Almost all of them.
21	Q	Do those customers know your business model
22	witho	ut you telling them?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	You were also asked some questions about your
25	applic	cation, your job application, forms for

O	1	
O	Z	J

323		
SpeediShuttle's website. What has been the more		
successful way of recruitment for SpeediShuttle, its		
website or job advertising?		
A Job advertising.		
MR. FASSBURG: We have no further		

MR. FASSBURG: We have no further questions.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. And just circling back, I realized that I forgot to follow-up with respect to the bench request from Monday. You said you did have that information?

MR. ROEMER: I do have it. It's on my phone, and my lawyers told me if I pull out my phone to read it to you that I then have to give my phone to Mr. Harlow.

MR. FASSBURG: What we cautioned him -- MR. HARLOW: We have a phone.

MR. FASSBURG: What we cautioned him is that if he brings written materials that are not part of the record, usually, that means the attorney gets to ask them questions about what else is there, and so we cautioned him not to do that.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So let's just put a due date on it. Let's just make it next Friday along with Bench Request No. 2. If you get it to me sooner than that, great, but I will put 5/19 on there

	EXAMINATION BY BEATTIE / YOUNG 826
1	as the actual due date.
2	MR. FASSBURG: Thank you.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So we are ready
4	to call the next witness, who I believe, Mr. Young,
5	you'll be going first?
6	If you could, please stand and raise your
7	right hand.
8	
9	MICHAEL PATRICK YOUNG, witness herein, having been
LO	first duly sworn on oath,
L1	was examined and testified
L2	as follows:
L3	
L4	JUDGE PEARSON: Please be seated.
L5	Mr. Beattie, do you have any preliminaries?
L6	MR. BEATTIE: Is Mr. Young going to
L7	remain seated next to me?
L8	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. I'm so used to
L9	Staff staying right here. Sure. Why don't you come
20	on over.
21	MR. BEATTIE: Whatever you fancy.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: Ready to go?
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. BEATTIE:
25	Q Good afternoon. Would you please state your

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / YOUNG 827
1	full na	ame for the record.
2	Α	Michael Patrick Young, Y-O-U-N-G.
3	Q	Did you file testimony in this proceeding,
4	MY-1	T?
5	Α	I did.
6	Q	Do you have any corrections to that testimony?
7	A	No.
8		MR. BEATTIE: Okay. Your Honor, we
9	offer	MY-1T. I believe it's already been stipulated
10	in.	
11		JUDGE PEARSON: It has been stipulated,
12	but let me just memorialize that for the record. I	
13	will admit exhibit the exhibit marked MY-1T into	
14	the re	ecord.
15		(Exhibit MY-1T was admitted.)
16		JUDGE PEARSON: And who's going first?
17	Mr. H	larlow?
18		MR. HARLOW: I would think we would.
19		JUDGE PEARSON: Yes.
20		MR. HARLOW: Thank you, Your Honor.
21		EXAMINATION
22	BY M	IR. HARLOW:
23	Q	Good afternoon, Mr. Young. If you would,
24	pleas	e turn to page 3 of MY-1T and the lines 16 to 18.
25	You	say "Staff believes that SpeediShuttle's

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / YOUNG 828	
1	competition with Shuttle Express is a welcome and	
2	lawful development."	
3	Do you see that?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Due to you're not a lawyer, are you not,	
6	Mr. Young?	
7	A That's correct.	
8	Q So you have no personal training or knowledge	
9	in the law to conclude that it's a lawful development;	
10	is that correct?	
11	A Other than my interpretation of the WACs and	
12	RCWs that we use here.	
13	Q What is the touchstone for the term "welcome"	
14	that you use in line 17? Is that the public interest?	
15	A I'm not sure I understand.	
16	Q Why does Staff welcome SpeediShuttle's	
17	competition?	
18	A In the rule-making in 2013 that established	
19	the flexible fares, Staff agreed to streamline filing	
20	processes and return for lowering the barrier to entry	
21	in the market. And this is the first time that that's	
22	been tried, so we now have another company. And for	
23	us, that's a chance to evaluate whether our change in	
24	rules is going to be effective or not.	
25	Q While speaking for yourself, do you believe	

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / YOUNG 829	
1	that Staff should lower the barrier below the		
2	statuto	ory requirements?	
3	Α	No. We have to live within the statute.	
4	Q	Are you familiar with RCW Title 81,	
5	Chapt	er 68?	
6	Α	A little bit.	
7	Q	How about Section 40?	
8	Α	I don't have that in front of me.	
9	Q	Okay. Let me, if I may, just read a portion	
10	of it. "	The Commission may, after notice and an	
11	oppor	tunity for hearing, when the applicant requests a	
12	certific	cate to operate in a territory already served	
13	by a c	ertificate holder under this chapter, only when	
14	existin	ng auto transportation company or companies	
15	servin	g such territory will not provide the same to	
16	the sa	tisfaction of the commission"	
17		Oo you recall that passage?	
18	Α	Yes.	
19	Q	So would you welcome would the staff	
20	welco	me competition if it violated that provision of	
21	the sta	atute?	
22	Α	I would have to say no.	
23	Q	All right. So how would you decide when	
24	servic	e in the same territory should be welcomed	
25	becau	se it's consistent with that statutory provision	

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / YOUNG 830	
1	as opposed to inconsistent?	
2	MR. FASSBURG: Objection.	
3	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. As opposed	
4	to what?	
5	MR. HARLOW: As opposed to inconsistent	
6	with that provision?	
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Can you read me back	
8	that question?	
9	(Record read back as requested.)	
L0	Q So is your understanding of that statute that	
L1	the company can't offer exactly the same service in	
L2	the same territory unless the existing certificate	
L3	holder is not serving to the satisfaction of the	
L4	Commission?	
L5	A That's my understanding.	
L6	Q So does that mean you welcome the competition	
L7	from SpeediShuttle because you believe it's a	
L8	different service?	
L9	A I believe that that determination was made in	
20	the application hearing.	
21	Q And on what basis do you understand that	
22	determination was made?	
23	A I believe in the fact that the judge issued a	
24	certificate to SpeediShuttle.	
25	Q And what made it a different service, in your	

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / YOUNG 831	
1	opinion?	
2	MR. BEATTIE: Okay. I'm going to	
3	object, because this is definitely outside the scope	
4	of his direct testimony.	
5	MR. HARLOW: Let's move on then,	
6	because he's struggling with it anyway.	
7	Q In preparing your testimony in this	
8	proceeding, did you do any independent investigation	
9	since, say, the filing of our petition last May?	
10	A By investigate, what do you mean?	
11	Q Did you do you said in your testimony that	
12	you read some prefiled testimonies. Did you do	
13	anything beyond that to come to your conclusions that	
14	this is a welcomed amount of competition?	
15	A No. Again, I was referring to the order that	
16	was R572 in the rule-making.	
17	Q So you never rode on SpeediShuttle, for	
18	example?	
19	A No.	
20	Q You never went out to the Sea-Tac Airport to	
21	see if they actually had greeters; is that correct?	
22	A I did not go to the airport, no.	
23	Q Did you ever try to book something on the	
24	website and see if bookings comports with their	
25	tariff?	

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / YOUNG 832
1	Α	No. Nothing in their tariff related to
2	anytl	ning, any reservations in another language or
3	gree	ters or anything of that nature.
4	Q	Okay. You didn't try the WiFi or any other
5	mear	ns?
6	Α	Actually, we were given the opportunity to try
7	that	and I did.
8	Q	When was that?
9	Α	I don't recall the exact date. They brought a
LO	van I	nere to the Commission.
L1	Q	Which year was that?
L2	Α	I believe that was I want to say last
L3	sumi	mer.
L4	Q	Okay. So they turned it on for you, I take
L5	it?	
L6	Α	Yes.
L7	Q	Good. Did you do any financial analysis of
L8	Spee	diShuttle since their original application?
L9	A	Not beyond the initial financial review that
20	we d	o for all applications.
21	Q	And initial financial review is based on a pro
22	forma	a financial statement?
23	A	Yes, as submitted in the application.
24	Q	Were you able to look at this yellow piece of
25	nane	r that we didn't make in the record but ask

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / YOUNG 833
1	ques	tions about it?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And did you have any maybe you compared it
4	with t	he pro forma? Maybe not? Maybe you remembered
5	the p	ro forma?
6	Α	No. I did not do any other comparison.
7	Q	Okay. So you don't know if their actuals,
8	which	n you looked at, match the pro forma or not?
9	Α	I do not.
10	Q	Okay. Did you review the financial statements
11	of Sh	uttle Express in connection with your
12	inves	tigation in this case?
13	A	Not with this, no.
14	Q	Do you have any opinion one way or the other
15	on wl	nether the competition that you welcome is
16	susta	inable in the long run?
17	A	I don't have an opinion on that, no.
18	Q	Do you know what it costs to provide service
19	using	your Mercedes van as opposed to a Ford van?
20	Α	Not off the top of my head, no.
21	Q	Do you know what it costs to provide greeters
22	to ev	ery passenger, assuming that's being done?
23	Α	I'm not familiar with that, no.
24	Q	Do you know how long it takes to walk across
25	the s	ky bridge from the baggage claim to Island 2?

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / YOUNG 834
1	Α	Based on my personal experience.
2	Q	About how long does that take?
3	A	I would say a minute to two minutes.
4	Q	A minute to two minutes.
5		Do you know how long it takes to gather
6	toget	her all the people on a particular flight at
7	bagg	age claim by a greeter, just any hypothetical
8	greet	er?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Do you know how long it typically takes
11	baggage to come off of a flight at Sea-Tac Airport	
12	from	personal experience?
13	A	Yes. It's variable based on my personal
14	expe	rience.
15	Q	What's the range, in your experience?
16	Α	Anywhere from the time I arrive there to 20,
17	30 m	inutes later.
18	Q	Do you think it would be in the public
19	intere	est if, as a result of this competition, either
20	SpeediShuttle or Shuttle Express were to cease doing	
21	share	e ride business to Sea-Tac Airport?
22	A	Well, it would be my position that if one of
23	the c	ompanies ceased operation that would be their
24	decis	sion based on their management and would not be
25	heca	use of anything the Commission has done or not

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / YOUNG 835	
1	done.	
2	Q What if it were based on financial constraints	
3	of the competition?	
4	A Again, I think that's the purview of the	
5	company management to	
6	Q Let's put aside the cause. Would it be in the	
7	public interest, for whatever reason, for one or both	
8	of those companies to cease providing share ride	
9	services?	
10	MR. BEATTIE: Objection, asked and	
11	answered.	
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Harlow, I'm not	
13	sure what exactly you're getting at.	
14	MR. HARLOW: Well, he qualified it by	
15	saying that's the decision of the company. That's not	
16	my question. My question all three times was would	
17	that be in the public interest.	
18	JUDGE PEARSON: If there were no longer	
19	shared ride service available?	
20	MR. HARLOW: From one or both of the	
21	companies, yes.	
22	JUDGE PEARSON: You can go ahead and	
23	answer that.	
24	A Although I find it unlikely that both	
25	companies would cease business on the exact same day,	

б

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / YOUNG 836		
assuming my assumption would be that the less		
efficient operator would go out of business. In any		
event, the certificate would be available for other		
providers.		
Q Would it be in the public interest if one or		
both of the companies limited their service to		
downtown Seattle, including the piers and Bellevue,		
and didn't serve the outlying areas?		
A I don't know for certain.		
Q You have no opinion on that?		
MR. BEATTIE: Objection, asked and		
answered.		
JUDGE PEARSON: I'm going to sustain		
that. He answered your question.		
Q Would it be in the public interest for the		
wait times for share ride passengers to go up for		
well, for any reason?		
A I don't think that would have any effect on		
the public interest.		
Q Would it be in the public interest if either		
company needed to raise its fares to be to become		
more sustain profitability?		
A It could be.		
Q What basis could it be?		
A If it enables the company to provide better		

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / YOUNG 837
1	service to the public.
2	Q All good things being equal, would you prefer
3	to not have the fares go up?
4	MR. BEATTIE: Objection, relevance.
5	What Mr. Young personally prefers, I don't see how
6	that has any bearing.
7	MR. HARLOW: I meant the public
8	interest.
9	JUDGE PEARSON: I'll sustain the
10	objection.
11	MR. HARLOW: No further questions.
12	Thank you, Mr. Young.
13	MR. WILEY: A few brief questions, Your
14	Honor.
15	EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. WILEY:
17	Q Good afternoon. Thanks for all your patience
18	through this long day.
19	You were asked by Mr. Harlow if the Staff
20	would support, quote, lowering the barrier, unquote,
21	for entry under 81.68.040. You talked about the
22	statute, but you didn't talk about the rules at all.
23	I think you acknowledged that in 2013 the
24	rules for entry in auto transportation changed, did
25	they not?

EXAMINATION BY WILEY / YOUNG 838

A Yes.

Q And you also alluded to the order of the Commission that you had relied upon in answering some of the questions. That's Order 04 in this proceeding. I'm going to hand you Order 04 and ask that you read the footnote on that page 3 that continues on to page 4, please. Can you read that into the record, please.

A Oh, sure. Yes. "On September 21, 2013, the Commission amended its rules governing the Commission's review of applications for authority to operate a passenger transportation company in Washington. The changes clarify and streamline the application process for companies speaking to provide such service, give companies rate flexibility, and promote competition in the auto transportation industry."

Q Do you take it by that indication that the Commission is currently favoring expanding competition in this field?

MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the leading nature of this. This is friendly cross. The witness is on the same side as Mr. Wiley's client. I'd rather not have leading questions here.

		EXAMINATION BY WILEY / YOUNG 839
1		MR. WILEY: Your Honor, it's directly
2	relate	ed to the cross that to the extent he's added
3	issues that weren't addressed in the direct testimony,	
4	so I think it's a fair question.	
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I'll allow it.	
6	A	Would you repeat that, please?
7		MR. WILEY: Can I have that read back,
8	pleas	se?
9		(Record read back as requested.)
10	Α	I would have to say yes.
11	Q	Were you aware that in that rule-making policy
12	state	ment that you alluded to that there was also a
13	refere	ence by the Commission to its interpretation of
14	RCW	81.68 in light of the rules?
15	A	I might be if you
16	Q	I'll hand this to you. The highlighted
17	parag	graph.
18	A	Right.
19		Okay.
20	Q	Yes or no?
21	Α	I've read this, yes.
22	Q	And it does refer to RCW 81.68 and the
23	stanc	lards evolving under that statute, does it not?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	Thank you.

EXAMINATION BY WILEY / YOUNG 840

You also testified in your testimony about flexible fares, and I would assume that's an area that you know something about based on your job?

A Yes.

Q Do you believe that in a flexible fare environment that making a case for below-cost rates would be more difficult than in a conventional standard rate case analysis?

A Yes, I do.

Q To your knowledge, has anyone, other than this complainant, ever filed a complaint against another company for, quote, below-cost rates since flexible fares were implemented in 2013?

A Not that I'm aware of.

Q One final question I have for you. You talked about the restriction, the possibility of a, quote, business model, unquote, restriction, which you indicated at page 4, line 3 of your testimony.

My question to you is whether -- you indicated, did you not, that the enforceability of such a restriction would be very difficult?

A Yes.

Q To your knowledge, are common carriers with unrestricted certificates allowed to discriminate amongst classes of customers?

EXAMINATION BY JUDGE PEARSON / YOUNG 841

A Not as	s to ra	ice. no.
----------	---------	----------

Q And as to service, if their permit is unrestricted, are they allowed to discriminate?

EXAMINATION

A I would say no, but --

MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your Honor.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.

BY JUDGE PEARSON:

Q I have a question for you, Mr. Young. And if it is too involved, I can turn it into a bench request. So Mr. Roemer discussed using the average variable cost as an appropriate comparison between the incremental cost of service and the fare charged for the service charged by each company.

And Mr. Kajanoff, through his testimony, he testified that SpeediShuttle's actual expenses were significantly greater than his pro forma estimates and appears to support using total cost as the appropriate comparison.

So when you, in your capacity, are determining appropriate rates for auto transportation companies, is there a standard method or formula for calculating rates? Do you use one or the other, either average variable cost or total cost?

EXAMINATION BY BEATTIE / YOUNG 842 1 A In our current rate-setting process, we use 2 total cost. 3 Q Okay. And so did SpeediShuttle submit its 4 tariff under an average variable cost presentation, or 5 did you evaluate them using a total cost presentation? 6 A I don't believe that we've done a rate case 7 for SpeediShuttle. I believe that they've been under 8 the flexible fare rules since they started, and so we 9 have not had an opportunity to look at that. 10 Q Okay. Do you have an opinion about which is 11 more appropriate, the average variable cost versus the 12 total cost? 13 A Since the Commission policy is to set rates 14 using an operating ratio of 93 percent, then I would 15 say total cost would be more appropriate. 16 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you. I 17 think that is the only question that I have for you. 18 So, Mr. Beattie, do you have anything? 19 MR. BEATTIE: Yes. Thank you, Judge. 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. BEATTIE: 22 Q Mr. Young, Mr. Harlow asked you about whether

Staff has undertaken any investigation of -- and then

he listed a whole host of possible investigation

topics. I want to know from you, with respect to

23

24

25

	EXAMINATION BY BEATTIE / YOUNG 843		
1	SpeediShuttle, are you aware of any customer		
2	complaints or other customer inquiries that would lead		
3	staff	staff to investigate let's take things one at a	
4	time	multilingual greeter service first?	
5	Α	A No. I did check with our Consumer Division,	
6	and I	'm not aware of any complaints along those lines.	
7	Q	Have customers made any inquiries of the	
8	Commission, to your knowledge, about SpeediShuttle's		
9	fares	?	
10	Α	Not that I'm aware of, no.	
11	Q	Okay. Have customers made any inquiries of	
12	the Commission about SpeediShuttle's accessibility		
13	language accessibility?		
14	Α	Not that I'm aware of.	
15	Q	Have customers made any inquiries of the	
16	Com	mission about Mercedes vans?	
17	Α	Not that I'm aware of.	
18	Q	WiFi service?	
19	Α	No.	
20	Q	Okay. Mr. Harlow also asked you about your	
21	opinion about whether SpeediShuttle was offering the		
22	same	e service as Shuttle Express. Do you remember	
23	that?		
24	Α	Yes.	
25	Q	And you said something to the effect that	

	EXAMINATION BY JUDGE PEARSON / YOUNG	844
1	determination was made already; correct?	
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Is Staff recommending that the Commission	
4	revisit its same service determination in this	
5	proceeding?	
6	A No.	
7	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you, Mr. Young.	
8	Judge Pearson, I have no further questions.	
9	JUDGE PEARSON: I do have one other	
LO	question. Sorry about that.	
L1	EXAMINATION	
L2	BY JUDGE PEARSON:	
L3	Q I made a statement earlier before the break	
L4	that it was my opinion, from what I know, so I would	
L5	like your opinion on this, that the flexible fare	
L6	structure is set up in a way that would prevent any	
L7	sort of predatory pricing. Is that accurate in your	
L8	opinion?	
L9	A I believe so, yes.	
20	Q Okay. Can you explain that to me a little bit	
21	more about why that is?	
22	A The base fare was set on cost, which is a	
23	traditional 93.7 operating ratio, and then the	
24	flexible fare is a certain percentage allowed above	
25	that So no matter how you look at it the fare is	

	EXAMINATION BY BEATTIE / PRATT 845	
1	set on cost somehow. So while there might be some	
2	wiggle room here and there, overall, there would not	
3	be nothing.	
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you, and I	
5	don't have anything further. Okay. You may step	
6	down, and we will call Mr. Pratt.	
7		
8	DAVID PRATT, witness herein, having been	
9	first duly sworn on oath,	
10	was examined and testified	
11	as follows:	
12		
13	JUDGE PEARSON: You may be seated.	
14	Mr. Beattie.	
15	MR. BEATTIE: Thank you.	
16	EXAMINATION	
17	BY MR. BEATTIE:	
18	Q Would you please state your full name for the	
19	record.	
20	A Yes. My name is David Pratt.	
21	Q Thank you. Mr. Pratt, have you filed written	
22	testimony DP-1T and DP-6T in this docket?	
23	A Yes, I did.	
24	Q Do you have any corrections to those	
25	testimonies?	

	EXAMINATION BY BEATTIE / PRATT 846	
1	A No, I don't.	
2	Q And are you still sponsoring Exhibits DP-2	
3	through DP-5?	
4	A Yes.	
5	MR. BEATTIE: Okay. Thank you. At	
6	this time, Your Honor, we would offer DP-1T and DP-2	
7	through DP-5 and DP-6T.	
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Do we have any	
9	objections?	
10	MR. HARLOW: None, Your Honor.	
11	JUDGE PEARSON: From SpeediShuttle?	
12	MR. FASSBURG: No.	
13	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So I will admit	
14	DP-1T and DP-6T into the record, and I will take	
15	official notice of DP-2 through DP I'm sorry.	
16	DP-2, DP-4, and DP-5, which are all Commission	
17	documents, and then I will admit DP-3 into the record	
18	as well.	
19	(Exhibit DP-1T, Exhibit DP-3, and	
20	Exhibit DP-6T were admitted.)	
21	MR. BEATTIE: Okay. Thank you. I	
22	think Mr. Pratt is available for cross.	
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Mr. Harlow?	
24	MR. HARLOW: Yes. I have in my	
25	exhibit list, I have cross-exhibits of 7X to 11X, and	

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 847
1	I can't find them. And I'm not sure why, but I think
2	some of them, maybe all of them, are officially
3	noticed.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: They are all related to
5	Docket 120323, and several of them have made their way
6	into this proceeding already.
7	MR. HARLOW: So they're admitted or
8	noticed?
9	JUDGE PEARSON: Yes, I will take
LO	official notice of all of those.
L1	MR. HARLOW: Thank you. I appreciate
L2	the clarification there.
L3	EXAMINATION
L4	BY MR. HARLOW:
L5	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Pratt, almost evening.
L6	You, as well, are not an attorney; is that
L7	correct?
L8	A I'm sorry. I didn't
L9	Q You as Mr. Young, you're not an attorney;
20	correct?
21	A No, I am not.
22	Q At DP-1T, page 11, you used the term "bought"
23	with regard to Shuttle Express and their independent
24	contractor contract. Do you recall that testimony?
25	A Which line are you referring to, please?

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 848 Q I believe it's line 17. 1 2 JUDGE PEARSON: It's line 16 in the 3 copy that I'm looking at. 4 A Yes, I do recall that. 5 Q Okay. Were you able to review the Shuttle 6 Express independent contractor contract? 7 A Not officially, no. 8 Q Were you able to review it unofficially? 9 A I was given an opportunity to take a look at it for a very short period of time, but when I 10 11 requested it in my data request, it was refused. And 12 then, as I recall, Shuttle Express tried to make a 13 deal with me to let me look at it during a hearing if 14 I would hand it back at the end of the hearing. 15 MR. HARLOW: Can we go off the record 16 for a minute, Your Honor? 17 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. (A break was taken from 4:26 p.m. to 18 19 4:28 p.m.) 20 JUDGE PEARSON: We will be back on the 21 record. 22 MR. HARLOW: I think we'll move on to 23 the next question. Thank you for the off-the-record. 24 BY MR. HARLOW: 25 Q Have you had occasion to talk to Gene Eckhardt

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 849
1	or Penny Ingram about the meeting that Mr. Kajanoff	
2	testif	ed he had with them?
3	Α	No, I have not.
4	Q	Ms. Ingram is on a leave. Is that a medical
5	leave	e, if I may ask?
6	A	I'm not exactly privy to that information, but
7	l beli	eve so.
8	Q	Do you know if she's able to take calls from
9	peop	le?
L0	Α	I'm not aware of that, no.
L1	Q	Is Mr. Eckhardt still around Olympia?
L2	Α	You know, I have to say I haven't talked to
L3	Gene	Eckhardt since he left the agency, so I'm not
L4	fami	iar.
L5	Q	Okay. Did you make any attempt to locate him
L6		
	with i	egard to Mr. Kajanoff's testimony?
	with I	regard to Mr. Kajanoff's testimony? No, I did not.
L7 L8	_	,
L7	A Q	No, I did not.
L7 L8	A Q	No, I did not. Do you know if Staff made any attempt to
L7 L8 L9	A Q subp	No, I did not. Do you know if Staff made any attempt to oena Mr. Eckhardt?
L7 L8 L9 20 21	A Q subp	No, I did not. Do you know if Staff made any attempt to oena Mr. Eckhardt? I don't believe so.
L7 L8 L9 20 21 22 23	A Q subp A Q	No, I did not. Do you know if Staff made any attempt to oena Mr. Eckhardt? I don't believe so. Turn, please, to DP-6. JUDGE PEARSON: 6T? MR. HARLOW: 6T, thank you.
L7 L8 L9 20 21	A Q subp A Q	No, I did not. Do you know if Staff made any attempt to oena Mr. Eckhardt? I don't believe so. Turn, please, to DP-6. JUDGE PEARSON: 6T?

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 850

1 | material distinction."

I'm curious about that term "I no longer."

Did you then consider multi-stop versus single-stop to be a material distinction?

A I believe I did back in the 2012 case, and the detail of that would be, in the 2012 case, we were investigating use of independent contractors. And I will say that that issue got muddied by the limousine issue that you were raising by single-stop, multi-stop, by limousine operators' authority to make single-stop or single-contract.

It got muddied by the term "rescue service," and, in hindsight, I realized that single-stop or multi-stop had nothing to do with that case. It was about the use of independent contractors, period. And so, in hindsight, if I were able to return to that, I would probably add those single-stop violations to my charges back in 2012.

Q Thank you for that.

You understand that Shuttle Express has more than one line of business; correct?

A Yes, I do.

Q And one of those lines of business is auto transportation; correct?

A Yes.

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 851
1	Q	And another line of business is brokering
2	indep	endent contractor limousine services; correct?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	There's no corporate separation. It's all
5	done	around the same corporation; correct?
6	Α	That's my understanding.
7	Q	Please turn to page DP-6T, which you already
8	have	, and turn to page 2. At lines 4 to 6, you say
9	"In ea	ach case, the company has used non-owned vehicles
10	and r	non-employed drivers to provide auto
11	trans	portation service"
12		In your layman's, nonlawyer view, what makes a
13	servi	ce an auto transportation service?
14	A	Well, I would say it would start with when the
15	servi	ce is originally booked and the customer contacts
16	the c	ompany and requests or reserved a reservation for
17	a sha	ared-ride service or even a single-ride service
18	throu	ıgh a company vehicle.
19	Q	It starts with that. Where does it end?
20	A	It ends when the customer has been delivered
21	to the	eir destination.
22	Q	And do you have an understanding of what makes
23	a limo	ousine service?

A Please clarify the question for me.

Q I'm working on that. Would a limousine

24

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 852
1	service operate the same way from the booking to the
2	transportation as the transportation company?
3	A In the context of, yeah, they would take a
4	reservation, pick up a customer, deliver them to their
5	destination, yes.
6	Q And just looking at the service, the
7	transportation, how would you distinguish between the
8	auto transportation service and the limousine service?
9	A Well, I guess, it's one initial way would be
10	to determine who did the customer book the reservation
11	with. Was it with the auto transportation company, or
12	was it with the limousine company?
13	Q What if you don't know that, if you just know
14	what the service is that's being provided?
15	A So I'd ask you to repeat that question,
16	please.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: Excuse me. If you're
18	on the bridge line, please mute your phone.
19	MR. HARLOW: I'd ask the court reporter
20	to read it back, please.
21	(Record read back as requested.)
22	MR. BEATTIE: Objection, relevance.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: I'd sustain.
24	MR. HARLOW: Give me a minute, Your
25	Honor. That caught me by surprise.

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 853 1 Q Turn, please, to page 8 of DP-6T. You're 2 discussing --3 JUDGE PEARSON: Page what? I'm sorry. 4 Which page? 5 MR. HARLOW: Page 8, line 8. 6 Q You respond to Mr. Wood's testimony about 7 limousine drivers, and you allege he misquoted you. 8 You say "...'I have heard that Shuttle Express 9 primarily relies on limousine drivers who are licensed 10 by the Department of Licensing." 11 And then you say you had testified "...I 'know 12 very little about the drivers in the vehicles they 13 operate." 14 Do you see that testimony? 15 A Yes, I do. 16 Q Okay. So are you familiar with the Department 17 of Licensing? 18 A Yes, I am. 19 Q Were you here at the workshop yesterday; 20 correct? 21 A Yes. 22 Q With Ms. Sisk, I believe? A What? 23 24 Q Ms. Jody Sisk? 25 Yes.

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 854
1	Q	So do you have familiarity with the State's
2	regul	ations of limousine services?
3	Α	Generally, yes.
4	Q	And what kind of services do they regulate, in
5	your	understanding?
6	A	They regulate limousine services, which are
7	cons	idered luxury car service.
8	Q	And is there some point in their offering that
9	they	might cross over the line from limousine and
10	somehow come under your regulation, apart from the	
11	booki	ing?
12		MR. BEATTIE: Objection. Who is
13	"they	"?
14		MR. HARLOW: A limousine operator.
15		JUDGE PEARSON: You're asking can
16	you r	estate your question?
17		MR. HARLOW: He's offered an opinion
18	that S	Shuttle Express is operating as an auto
19	trans	portation company, even though limousine
20	licens	sed limousine carriers are providing the
21	trans	portation. And I'm trying to understand how he
22	reach	nes that conclusion. So far the only thing we've
23	got is	the booking. If it's
24		MR. BEATTIE: Your Honor, I have to cut
25	him c	off. I didn't object to relevance. I didn't

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 855
1	understand the question. If you could just restate
2	the question, maybe that would move us along.
3	BY MR. HARLOW:
4	Q The question is: Could a limousine operator
5	licensed by the Department of Licensing do something
6	that, in your belief, would bring it under the
7	jurisdiction of the Commission as auto transportation
8	service?
9	A Possibly. And I say there could be a scenario
LO	where that could happen, yes.
L1	Q And what would that scenario have to look
L2	like?
L3	A I guess what I'd say first is, more than
L4	likely, they would cross over into our charter
L5	regulation rather than auto trans regulation.
L6	Limousines, under the Department of Licensing laws,
L7	can seat up to 14 passengers.
L8	When a vehicle goes over eight passengers, it
L9	could become a charter regulated by the Commission, or
20	it can be a limousine up to 14. And those companies
21	can be choose to be regulated as a charter company or
22	a limousine. When it goes above 14 passengers in that
23	vehicle, it automatically falls under charter, but not
24	auto trans.

Q Let's go back to the booking. As I understand

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 856
1	your testimony, you're saying that because Shuttle
2	Express initially booked 40,000 people as auto
3	transportation and then asked them if they wanted to
4	switch to limousine service that, even though they
5	were carried by a limousine operator, you still
6	consider them to be providing a transportation service
7	because of the original booking? Am I understanding
8	that right?
9	MR. BEATTIE: Objection, facts not in
10	the record. The data request response from Shuttle
11	Express said something vague about the customer being
12	switched to an independent contractor, and now
13	Mr. Harlow is having Mr. Pratt to accept that the
14	company asked the customer if the customer wanted this
15	to occur. And I don't believe that that is accurate
16	or states facts that are in the record.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Harlow, do you want
18	to rephrase your question?
19	MR. HARLOW: Yes. I would just take
20	out if it wasn't in his prefiled testimony, I would
21	just ask the question without that lead-in.
22	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm sorry. What?
23	MR. HARLOW: I would just ask the
24	question without that lead-in. Let me try to ask a
25	foundational guestion.

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 857

JUDGE PEARSON: Go ahead.

BY MR. HARLOW:

Q Mr. Pratt, have you said in a data response or in the record somewhere that it's your opinion that if it's booked by auto transportation that that characterization of the service continues even if it's later provided by a limousine carrier?

A I don't think that was exactly how I characterized it, but I can describe what I believe there.

Q State it in your own words, please.

A What I believed was that when I came to Shuttle Express's facilities to interview the staff, which was Mr. Marks, Mr. Kajanoff, to get a tour of the facility and a tour of the dispatch center, I was told that the company reaches out and contacts the customer and says we'd like to switch you to a limousine. Are you okay with that? The customer did not initiate it.

So for me, I looked at it and said the customer booked an auto trans when -- they can go to your website, and they can choose either auto trans service or they can choose luxury limousines. And they chose auto trans, and then my belief is, for economic gain, the company chose to move them to a

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

Page: 857

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 858
1	limo. And, of course, they didn't complain because
2	they're being upgraded, but they did not request it.
3	They asked if it would be okay.
4	Q Is that based on your testimony at page 5 of
5	Exhibit DP-1T?
6	A I'm sorry? Page 5?
7	Q Page 5.
8	A Yes, it is.
9	Q All right. And at lines 2 2 through 4, you
10	say the original trips were not canceled and the
11	credit card payments were not refunded?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Would it be your opinion that if the original
14	trips were canceled and the credit card refunded and
15	they were rebilled, then, the same fare and carried by
16	the limousine carrier, that that would no longer be
17	auto transportation? Is that your opinion?
18	A Well, I don't think I would look at it that
19	black and white and that simple. I think I would try
20	and look at the totality of the transaction, and one
21	piece of it, certainly, would be that the credit card
22	receipt was canceled and then rebooked.
23	If it was rebooked at the same fare, I would

kind of question that as to whether or not that was

still in auto trans. But, in general, I think if the

24

Page: 859

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 859

transaction were canceled and redone, it would lean more towards that way, but I would have to look at the specific situation.

And, again, I would have to look at the intent of the passenger to try and determine was this a passenger request or is this another attempt by the company to ship their riders from auto trans vehicles to limousine carriers.

Q Let me ask you another hypothetical to try and understand this. Let's pretend it's not Shuttle Express. It's another limousine carrier, and let's say they booked four unrelated passengers, maybe they intended to book -- transport them in four independent vehicles, but let's say they notice they're all going to same place and decide to combine them into one vehicle. Would that still be a limousine carriage in your mind, or does that become auto transportation?

A It would be kind of hard to get 490 people in one limousine.

- Q No, I said four.
- A Oh. I'm sorry.
- Q I need to speak louder. I'm losing my voice.
 - A Well, limousines operate under a different rule. Limousines operate under a single contract rule, and so if those four people booked a trip that

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 860

way, yes, it would be a limousine still.

Q The hypothetical is they booked independently, and the limousine carrier put them together because their itinerary was close. But they're unrelated separate contracts.

A You're asking me to interpret DOL's laws, and so I don't know the answer to that.

Q I'm asking you to interpret your laws. Do you view that as coming under your jurisdiction as auto transportation?

A Under your scenario, if a -- if four customers booked four trips with a limousine company, it would never be under my jurisdiction, because we don't regulate limousines.

Q And what makes it a limo that makes you decide I'm not going to serve jurisdiction?

A Oh, boy. A whole source of factors. One, a limousine is defined by law, and I don't have that right in front of me. But there is a definition of a limousine, including the features, the quality of the vehicle, the type of service they provide is one of the distinctions of it, and one of the big distinctions is a single contract, luxury vehicle.

Q If an auto transportation company providing shared ride service, let's say Shuttle Express, if

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 861	
1	they can't fill a van, let's say they can only get one	
2	passenger, so they make a trip with one passenger in	
3	their own van with their driver, is that an auto	
4	transportation service, or could that be something	
5	else?	
6	A That's an auto transportation service.	
7	Q Why would you say that's an auto	
8	transportation service?	
9	A Because it was an auto transportation company	
10	that accepted a reservation, so it's an auto	
11	transportation service.	
12	Q What if some business colleagues in the same	
13	firm jointly hire a limousine to take them to	
14	different hotels in a shared vehicle, would you view	
15	that as an auto transportation service or a limousine	
16	service?	
17	MR. BEATTIE: Objection, relevance.	
18	JUDGE PEARSON: I'm going to sustain.	
19	You're asking him what are, essentially,	
20	jurisdictional riddles. It's not really getting us	
21	anywhere.	
22	MR. HARLOW: Let's move on.	
23	Q We've kind of touched on this, but I have one	
24	wrap-up question. Can the nature of the	
25	transportation as auto transportation of the limousine	

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 862
1	can it ever switch back from one to the other after a
2	booking?
3	A I'm not sure I understand the question,
4	because I didn't get the first part about I don't
5	understand a bit about when you're saying a limousine
6	as an auto transportation. That doesn't I'm not
7	putting that together.
8	Q I mean, the problem we have, just to set the
9	stage here, is Shuttle Express, as we talked about in
10	the beginning of this cross, does both.
11	A Correct.
12	Q So can the character of the transportation
13	itself ever change after the original booking, in your
14	mind?
15	A Yes, I'm sure it could.
16	Q And how would that how could that be done,
17	hypothetically?
18	MR. BEATTIE: Asked and answered.
19	MR. HARLOW: Let's move on.
20	Q In your investigation, did you give any
21	consideration to what the impact on the public
22	interest would be if Shuttle Express didn't use
23	limousines for single-stop services?
24	A No, I didn't.
25	Q So you didn't consider how it would impact the

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATE 863	
1	wait times?		
2	Α	No.	
3	Q	You didn't consider how it would impact the	
4	long-	term ability to serve the suburban or rural	
5	areas	s?	
6	Α	No. My focus was trying to determine whether	
7	there	was violations of the rule.	
8	Q	Let's turn to your conclusion and your	
9	recor	nmendation of a penalty of over a million dollars.	
10		Did you consider, if that is assessed, where	
11	that r	money would come from?	
12	A	The penalty money?	
13	Q	Yes.	
14	A	Well, it would come from the company.	
15	Q	Does the company, to your knowledge, have a	
16	millio	n dollars sitting in a bank that isn't needed to	
17	make	e payroll, cover fuel?	
18	Α	I have no knowledge of Shuttle Express's	
19	finan	cial assets.	
20	Q	So you don't have any knowledge whether they	
21	could	I make it on cash flow or profits, if any?	
22		MR. WILEY: Your Honor, I know I'm out	
23	of ord	der, but I'd like to interpose an objection for	
24	the re	ecord. I think this is entirely irrelevant and	
25	speci	ulative to this witness's direct and rebuttal	

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATE 864
1	testimony.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: I agree.
3	MR. HARLOW: His testimony was that it
4	had to hurt the company, and I want to know if he
5	knows has any basis to know if it's hurting the
6	company or putting it out of business.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: I think that Mr. Pratt
8	walked through the factors that Staff considered when
9	determining a recommendation for penalty amount, and
10	one of those factors is the size of revenue of the
11	company. And the penalty recommendation is in
12	proportion to that.
13	If you want to ask him questions about that,
14	go ahead. If you wanted to make an argument about
15	mitigation of the penalty and financial hardship of
16	your client, you should have done that through one of
17	your client's witnesses when you had the opportunity.
18	MR. HARLOW: I think we did.
19	BY MR. HARLOW:
20	Q All right. Let's look at the factors then,
21	DP-1T, Factor 11, the size of the company, which is on
22	page 13.
23	A Yes, sir.
24	Q You looked at the 2016 annual report; correct?
25	A Yes.

		EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 865
1	Q	Did you look at the trends of the company and
2	its siz	ze?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And what did you find?
5	Α	Well, as my testimony shows there that the
6	com	oany reported 4.9 million miles traveled that year
7	and S	9.3 million in gross interstate revenues.
8	Q	My prior question was about the trend, and you
9	said y	you had looked at those.
LO	A	The trend?
L1	Q	What's the trend in the company size in its
L2	miles	traveled and its gross interstate operating
L3	rever	nues? Did you look at that?
L4	A	Are you talking about in previous years?
L5	Q	Yes.
L6	Α	I don't have that data right in front of me,
L7	so I r	eally don't know.
L8	Q	And you didn't look at it in your
L9	inves	tigation; correct?
20	Α	I probably looked at the annual report from
21	the p	revious years, yes.
22	Q	But you have no recollection of what they
23	show	ed?
24	Α	No. I'm sorry. I don't.
25	Q	Would you accept, subject to check, that the

	EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 866
1	trends have been declining year over year for three or
2	four or five years?
3	MR. BEATTIE: Object to the form.
4	Trends? Which trends?
5	MR. HARLOW: The trends of miles
6	traveled and gross interstate operating revenues as
7	found in the testimony.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: Mr. Harlow, I'll just
9	remind you that the company admitted yesterday that in
10	the last couple years it started excluding the miles
11	and the revenue for its independent contractors. So
12	bearing that in mind, do you want to pursue this
13	question?
14	MR. HARLOW: Bearing your comment in
15	mind, no. I'll withdraw it. Let's go to safety.
16	BY MR. HARLOW:
17	Q Do you recall you testified about the safety
18	of an auto transportation company compared to a
19	limousine?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Did you look at actual safety records or just
22	look at the regulations?
23	A Of who? Who are you talking about did I look
24	at?
25	Q Of either Shuttle Express auto transportation

EXAMINATION BY HARLOW / PRATT 867 or limousine companies.

A I definitely looked at the safety profile and the record of Shuttle Express. My primary duties are to manage the safety program, and so I'm very aware of the safety program of Shuttle Express. I did not look at any of the records of the -- of what you're terming the limo drivers, because I don't know what they were. I was never given the names. I was rebuked when I asked for them. I would have been happy to look at that to kind of make an assessment, but I was not given the names of any of the operators.

Q Were you here for the testimony yesterday -- I can't remember which witness -- who said -- I think it was -- it may have been today. Mr. -- was it you? Mr. Marks today. And I asked him whether their limo operators have ever had an injury accident in his knowledge and experience. Do you recall that?

A Again, unless I know exactly which limo operators you're talking about, I can't comment on whether or not they've had accidents or not.

- Q You have no reason to doubt that testimony that they've had no accidents?
 - A Correct. I have no reason to doubt that.
- Q Do you believe that limousines, in general, as regulated by the Department of Licensing, are unsafe?

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / PRATT 868
1	A Not in general, no.
2	MR. HARLOW: Okay. Your Honor, one
3	moment.
4	I have no further questions, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.
6	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, just a few.
7	It's getting late, I know.
8	EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. WILEY:
10	Q First of all, Mr. Pratt, I want to thank you.
11	Without the Staff's investigation, the complainant,
12	SpeediShuttle, would not have gotten any evidence in
13	this matter, say, for one response which is HJR-19 in
14	this proceeding.
15	MR. HARLOW: Objection to that
16	statement.
17	Q In this proceeding. I want to hand you it and
18	ask you to look at it.
19	JUDGE PEARSON: What was it that
20	Mr. Wiley just said? I was reading one of my own
21	MR. HARLOW: Mr. Wiley just trashed my
22	client.
23	MR. WILEY: I didn't trash the client,
24	Your Honor. I said thanks to the Staff's
25	investigation we were able to build facts under our

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / PRATT 869
1	complaint. We were unable to get them from the
2	respondent except for one answer to a data request
3	that I'm handing him.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: That's not any more
5	inflammatory than what you've said about them
6	providing information.
7	BY MR. WILEY:
8	Q So, Mr. Pratt, I'm handing you HJR-19, which
9	is SpeediShuttle's Data Request No. 1. Have you seen
LO	this before?
L1	A Yes, I have.
L2	Q And could you just read the last read the
L3	question and the last sentence, please.
L4	A Okay. The question was: When was the last
L5	time you provided rescue service or service to an
L6	airport passenger subject to WUTC jurisdiction by an
L7	independent contractor and/or a driver who was not an
L8	employee of Shuttle Express?
L9	The last line of the response is well, the
20	whole answer here we go, one long line: Without
21	waiving the foregoing objection, Shuttle Express
22	states that it last provided rescue service on
23	January 13, 2014, in accordance with WUTC
24	Docket TC 132141 during the temporary and conditional

exemption authorized under the above docket.

EXAMINATION BY WILEY / PRATT 870

Q Based on your investigation in 2017, is that statement, in your opinion, true?

A I have to say that I'm -- the term "rescue service" is a term that has been used in the past by the company. I will say that when I visited with them in -- I think it was in March of this year they told me they did not use that term anymore.

I know that it was the Commission's expectation at the final hearing on -- or when they got the waiver in -- it would have been September of last year that they had quit using independent contractors to perform rescue service, and the company did commit to it. I believe they simply just quit using the term "rescue service," and they just changed it to "luxury upgrade."

Q So under that terminology, the answer would be no?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And the question also does ask what -- and/or a driver who was not an employee of Shuttle Express; correct?

A Correct.

Q So that would describe anybody who's an independent contractor?

A Yes.

EXAMINATION BY WILEY / PRATT 871

Q Okay. Now, I want to go back to a couple of your answers, and I don't want to garble us on cancellation and all that kind of scenario because I'm not sure I tracked it all.

But I did want to ask you: If a customer orders a share ride and the trip is canceled and the customer has a complaint against the auto transportation share ride provider, if the trip is canceled, for instance, wrong rates or charges or vehicle didn't have brakes, they didn't want to go on the vehicle, would the Commission lose jurisdiction by virtue of that cancellation by the auto transportation company over that complaint?

A No.

Q And, therefore, it really shouldn't matter if the customer canceled or if it was merely switched to an independent contractor for the Commission to retain jurisdiction over that customer's complaint; correct?

A Correct. If we believed that it was still an auto transportation trip, yes.

Q You mentioned in a response to Mr. Harlow's questions and in your testimony that you were not -- that you were not provided access to the names of the independent contractors in your current investigation; correct?

EXAMINATION BY WILEY / PRATT 872 1 A That was correct. 2 Q And isn't it true that in 2013, as I review 3 the record, in that enforcement proceeding, you were, 4 in fact, provided names of the independent 5 contractors? 6 A Yes, we were. 7 Q Was that inconsistency ever explained to you 8 by Shuttle Express? Why did they give you the names 9 in 2013 and not give them to you in 2017? 10 A Well, I'm not sure I asked them the question 11 in that way, but I did kind of press a little bit 12 about those names and was simply told that they 13 preferred not to give up the names because they felt 14 it would be damaging to their business or to the 15 relationship between the limousine company and Shuttle 16 Express. 17 Q And maybe to their position in this 18 proceeding? Is that possible? 19 MR. HARLOW: Objection, leading. 20 MR. WILEY: I can move on, Your Honor. 21 JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. 22 Q Mr. Pratt, just a couple guick guestions on 23 your testimony, which was very comprehensive. I don't 24 need to go over it. 25 On page 11 of DP-1T, you make the following

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / PRATT 873
1	statement at line 8, and I just it raises a
2	question in my mind. That's why I'm asking you to
3	focus on it. It says, "The waiver applications
4	provide further evidence that Shuttle Express knows
5	the rules."
6	A Yes.
7	Q That is in reference to the recent
8	September 2016 waiver request or exception request;
9	correct?
10	A That is one of them, but Shuttle Express has
11	had two waivers from 480-32-213. They had one waiver
12	that began December 12, 2013, and ran for 30 days, and
13	they had a second waiver, which began on September 13
14	of 2016, which is currently in effect.
15	Q Following that statement, I have to ask you:
16	If they believed that their single-stop service was
17	completely lawful, why, to your understanding, did
18	they petition for another exemption from the
19	Commission?
20	A I think that's a question better asked to
21	Shuttle Express.
22	Q Finally
23	MR. HARLOW: It's on the record. The
24	waiver was for multi-stop, and the penalty is for
25	single-stop.

	EXAMINATION BY WILEY / PRATT 874
1	MR. WILEY: I think my question assumed
2	that, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
4	BY MR. WILEY:
5	Q So in answer to my recent question and the
6	clarification, for your from your standpoint,
7	whether you call it rescue service, luxury upgrade,
8	multi-stop, or single-stop, can an auto transportation
9	company provide that with nonemployee drivers on
10	non-owner-operator equipment excuse me. On
11	non-company-owned equipment without getting an
12	exemption granted by the Commission?
13	MR. HARLOW: Object to the extent he's
14	asking for a legal opinion, which the question seems
15	to go to.
16	MR. WILEY: He's chief of enforcement,
17	Your Honor.
18	JUDGE PEARSON: I'll allow it, because
19	Mr. Pratt has made a recommendation to that fact.
20	A I would say absolutely not.
21	Q And, finally, going to page 5 of DP-6T,
22	lines 1 and 2 excuse me, 1 through 5. You say
23	there "Distinguishing single stop service from multi
24	stop service obscures the primary issue here, which is
25	Shuttle Express's ongoing disregard of the vehicle and

	EXAMINATION BY JUDGE PEARSON / PRATT	875
1	driver rule. As I discussed above, Shuttle Express	
2	violated WAC 480-30-213 by operating vehicles it does	
3	not own and by using drivers it does not employ, and	
4	whether the trip was single stop or multi stop does	
5	not affect the violations that Staff has alleged."	
6	Did I read that correctly?	
7	A Yes, you did.	
8	Q And is that still your view today?	
9	A Absolutely.	
10	MR. WILEY: No further questions, Your	
11	Honor.	
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.	
13	EXAMINATION	
14	BY JUDGE PEARSON:	
15	Q I have a couple of questions for you based on	
16	things that have come up today that I don't have	
17	didn't have a particular understanding of before today	
18	and that I would like your opinion on.	
19	So you were here earlier today when we talked	
20	about the combined schedule and door-to-door service	
21	and what they described I guess it's kind of a	
22	two-part question.	
23	They have a portion of their tariff that says	
24	it's alternate means of transport, and within that,	
25	they describe that they reserve the right to combine	

	EXAMINATION BY JUDGE PEARSON / PRATT 876
1	those two services. Is that permissible in your view?
2	A You're getting into an area that's a little
3	out of my expertise. It's more a tariff side, I
4	believe.
5	Q The Mike Young side?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. I can ask him.
8	A So I don't my opinion is I don't think
9	that's a problem as long as there's no auto trans
10	vehicles, but I think that's probably more of a
11	customer choice.
12	Q Okay. And so this one is, I think, more
13	appropriately addressed to you. Can you explain to
14	me, because I don't believe this was addressed in your
15	testimony, why the commission payments that were the
16	subject of the SpeediShuttle complaint are consistent
17	with Commission rules, if that's what you believe? Or
18	is that also a question for Mr. Young?
19	A Could you ask me that one again? I'm not
20	Q What SpeediShuttle alleged were unlawful
21	commissions in its complaint. Is that Mr. Young's
22	purview or yours?
23	A That would be the other side of the house. We
24	did have a discussion when we opened this up and

determined that that was not my expertise. It's more

	EXAMINATION BY JUDGE PEARSON / YOUNG 877
1	of a financial matter.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. I can turn back
3	to him.
4	So, Mr. Beattie, do you have any redirect for
5	Mr. Pratt?
6	MR. BEATTIE: I do not.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So you may step
8	down.
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY JUDGE PEARSON:
11	Q And then, Mr. Young, you can stay where you
12	are if you want to pull the microphone closer to you.
13	And I will remind you that you're still under oath. I
14	will ask you the question about the combined service.
15	Is it permissible to do that in Staff's view?
16	Is that consistent with Commission rules?
17	A I'll be honest. I don't know, and I would
18	like to do more research on that.
19	Q Okay.
20	A I did look at the tariff, and it was filed in
21	the tariff that the Commission allowed.
22	Q There have been many instances where the
23	Commission has allowed rule violations to go through
24	tariff, so that's why I would like a little more
25	information about that.

EXAMINATION BY JUDGE PEARSON / YOUNG 878

And then what about stopping at flag stops that are not listed for that route in the company's tariff? I know that was brought up, and the company admitted that it does that.

A That would certainly -- without doing further research, I would say that would probably be a violation of the tariff.

Q Okay. And then how about with respect to the allegation about the payment of commissions, Staff didn't address that. Does your silence mean that there's no issue with it?

A Staff did not think there was an issue with it.

JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. Thank you.

So if Staff could look into that issue and maybe bring it up in post-hearing brief, that would be a fine place to do it to address the combined service and whether that's consistent with Commission rules and the stopping at flag stops not listed in the company's tariff.

MR. HARLOW: Your Honor, we did some discovery on this, and we didn't admitted it because it wasn't in the prefiled testimony. But I don't know what they're going to say after their research, but if they say something that's inconsistent with their data

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

	0/9
1	request responses, how do we get that in? Can we put
2	that in our reply brief?
3	JUDGE PEARSON: Did they have all of
4	the information available to them that came out today
5	at the time they responded to the data requests?
6	MR. HARLOW: I don't know.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: So
8	MR. WILEY: We're having trouble
9	recalling those data requests. If counsel would
LO	provide the reference numbers as well, that would help
L1	us. I'm just not it may have been Staff, and
L2	that's why I don't remember.
L3	JUDGE PEARSON: We could also do this
L4	in a bench request as opposed to waiting for the
L5	post-hearing brief if Staff wants to take a couple
L6	weeks and provide me with an opinion. Why don't we do
L7	that. That will give Shuttle Express a better
L8	opportunity to respond in its briefing.
L9	So, Mr. Young, how much time do you think you
20	would need to research that?
21	MR. YOUNG: I would like a couple
22	weeks.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
24	MR. YOUNG: If not longer.
25	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, would you have

	000
1	any objection to us calling if we want a recap of the
2	bench requests and don't think our notes are fully
3	accurate?
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Not at all. In fact, I
5	could send an e-mail to all the parties probably on
6	Monday
7	MR. WILEY: That would be great.
8	JUDGE PEARSON: just recapping all
9	of these.
LO	MR. HARLOW: Thank you.
L1	JUDGE PEARSON: And I will say I would
L2	be happy to give you three weeks to do that just
L3	because I'll be gone for the week of the 22nd.
L4	MR. YOUNG: That would be adequate.
L5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So that would be
L6	May is that getting too close to the briefing?
L7	MR. HARLOW: I wanted to go off the
L8	record and discuss briefing with you when you have the
L9	next opportunity.
20	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay.
21	MR. HARLOW: We can do that now if you
22	want.
23	JUDGE PEARSON: Yeah, let's do that now
24	before we set a due date for this.
25	THE REPORTER: Do you

	00 1
1	JUDGE PEARSON: We can be on the
2	record. That's fine.
3	MR. HARLOW: We had some discussions
4	with both the other parties off the record about
5	possibly a short extension of the briefing time, one
6	or two weeks. It seems like it partly was just my
7	workload, partly personal, partly I don't know when
8	we're going to get our transcript, and now we've got
9	post-hearing filings coming in.
10	So I think we need to push it out, and what I
11	would suggest is that after we get your recap Monday
12	that the parties schedule a conference call and do it
13	by e-mail and we try to agree on a short extension of
14	time that will enable, not only to fit with
15	post-hearing filings, but the transcript, people's
16	vacations, which I'm sure are coming up, and work
17	conflicts. And, hopefully, we can reach a consensus.
18	MR. WILEY: Your Honor, of course, I
19	want to accommodate this. We want to accommodate
20	this. I do think that I referenced some in my
21	original proposal to you when you accepted, I think I
22	had nuched those brief dates. And I recall the last

²⁴ June 30.

one the reply brief was July 14. Right now it's

So I would say let's take a look back at those

23

	002
1	as maybe points of reference, and that would seem to
2	fit with what Mr. Harlow was originally saying. I
3	don't know about the opening brief what we proposed,
4	but I remember July 14 was the reply brief.
5	JUDGE PEARSON: Okay. So
6	MR. WILEY: It's in your order.
7	JUDGE PEARSON: I'd prefer to set it
8	now rather than have another conference call after
9	this. We could push the first round of briefs
10	what's the due date now? June 9?
11	MR. WILEY: June 9.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: Is this the first round
13	you're concerned with or the reply round or both? Do
14	you want to push
15	MR. HARLOW: If you move the first one,
16	you have to move the second one.
17	JUDGE PEARSON: Right. So if you want
18	to move the first round out to June 30 and the second
19	to be due July 14?
20	MR. HARLOW: I wouldn't even move it
21	that far necessarily.
22	MR. WILEY: Well, yeah, I think we
23	might need more than
24	JUDGE PEARSON: More than two weeks in
25	between?

Docket Nos. TC-143691 and TC-160516 (Consolidated) - Vol. VIII 5/12/2017 883 MR. WILEY: Yeah. 1 2 THE REPORTER: I can only take one at a 3 time if we're on the record. 4 MR. HARLOW: Can we do this off the 5 record? 6 JUDGE PEARSON: Sure. Let's go off the 7 record briefly. 8 (A break was taken from 5:11 p.m. to 9 5:12 p.m.) 10 JUDGE PEARSON: We're back on the 11 record following a brief recess, and the parties have 12 agreed to a revised post-hearing briefing schedule. 13 The first round of simultaneous briefs will be due on 14 June 19, and the second round of simultaneous reply 15 briefs will be due on July 14. 16 So given that, I'd like to give Mr. Young 17 until June 2 to answer my bench request if that works 18 for the parties. 19 MR. YOUNG: Uh-huh. 20 MR. FASSBURG: We're back on the 21 record? 22 JUDGE PEARSON: We're back on the 23 record. Does anyone have anything further?

MR. HARLOW: I know it's been a long

day. I want to thank you and the court reporter for

24

	884
1	sticking it out for so long.
2	JUDGE PEARSON: Sure.
3	MR. WILEY: Thank you.
4	JUDGE PEARSON: Thank you all for
5	coming, and please someone provide the court reporter
6	with the paper copies of the exhibits.
7	MR. FASSBURG: We have a full set that,
8	I believe, the intention was to leave them all. I'm
9	only concerned I took out one or two here or there.
10	MR. HARLOW: We have duplicate copies
11	of every one, so I don't want to haul them to D.C.
12	JUDGE PEARSON: We are adjourned.
13	Thank you.
14	THE REPORTER: Would you like to order
15	a copy of the transcript?
16	MR. FASSBURG: Yes.
17	MR. HARLOW: Yes. I guess we do.
18	(The proceedings adjourned at
19	5:14 p.m.)
20	
21	* * * *
22	
23	
24	
25	

	885
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF KING
5	
6	I, Nancy M. Kottenstette, a Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington,
8	do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the
9	proceedings on May 12, 2017, is true and accurate to
10	the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.
11	I do further certify that I am a disinterested
12	person in this cause of action; that I am not a
13	relative of the attorneys for any of the parties.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
15	hand and seal this 30th day of May, 2017.
16	
17	
18	Nancy M. Kottenstette, RPR, CCR 3377
19	runoy w. rottonototto, ra ra, cora corr
20	
21	
22	
23	