0078	
1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND) DOCKETS UE-140188 TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,) and UG-140189
5) (Consolidated) Complainant,
6	vs.)
7	AVISTA CORPORATION, d/b/a)
8	AVISTA UTILITIES,
9	Respondent.)
10	/
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	PUBLIC COMMENTS HEARING, VOLUME III
16	August 27th, 2014
17	12:00 p.m.
18	11707 East Sprague Avenue
19	Spokane, Washington
20	
21	
22	REPORTED BY:
23	RACHAEL L. HALL, CCR NO. 3265
24	
25	

1		
2		ANCES: GTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION GION CHAIRMAN AND COMMISSIONERS:
3	COMMISS	
4		DAVID DANNER, Chairman (Not present.) JEFFREY GOLTZ, Commissioner
5		PHILIP JONES, Commissioner Washington Utilities and
6		Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250
7		Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1186
8	FOR COM	MISSION CONSUMER AFFAIRS:
9	1011 001	
LO		ROGER KOUCHI Policy Regulatory Specialist
11		1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 47250
L2		Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1101 360.664.4291 FAX
13		rkouchi@utc.wa.gov
L 4		
L5	FOR PUE	BLIC COUNSEL: LISA W. GAFKEN
L 6		Assistant Attorney General Public Counsel Section
L7		Office of the Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue
18		Suite 2000, TB-14 Seattle, Washington 98104
L 9		206.464.6595
20		lisaw4@atg.wa.gov
21	FOR THE	COMMISSION:
22		BRETT P. SHEARER Assistant Attorney General
23		1400 South Evergreen Park Drive SW P.O. Box 40128
24		Olympia, Washington 98504 360.664.1187 360.586.5522 FAX
25		bretts@atg.wa.gov

APPEARANCES (Continued:) FOR AVISTA UTILITIES: DAVID J. MEYER. VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs Avista Corporation P.O. Box 3727 1411 E. Mission Ave, MSC-27 Spokane, WA 99220-3727 (509) 495-4316 david.meyer@avistacorp.com

1	SPOKANE VALLEY, WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 27, 2014
2	12:00 P.M.
3	
4	
5	MR. GOLTZ: We'll call this proceeding to order.
6	This is the public hearing in the with the
7	Utilities and Transportation Commission. My name is Jeff
8	Goltz, one of the commissioners. With me is my colleague
9	Commissioner Philip Jones. Our third colleague, Chairman
10	David Danner, is on the east coast on a family event so
11	he can't be here today.
12	We do have a court reporter here today and there
13	will be a transcript of this proceeding, and so Chairman
14	Danner will read the court transcript before we render a
15	decision in this matter.
16	This is a public hearing on the proposal by Avista
17	Utilities to increase both its gas and electric rates.
18	There are two docket numbers: UE-140188 and UG-140189,
19	because there's two consolidated proceedings, one to
20	increase electric rates, one to increase gas rates and
21	some other non-rate matters that are included in these
22	proposals as well.
23	I also need to introduce Roger Kouchi, who is with
24	the Commission Consumer Affairs staff. You saw him as

you came in the door. Mr. Kouchi and his colleagues in

- the Consumer Affairs Division of the Utilities and
 Transportation Commission have as their primary job
 looking after customers of investor-owned utilities. So
 if you have a -- an unrelated to the rate case before us
 today, if you have a question about your utility bill,
- 6 about your utility service, whether it be Avista or
- 7 whether it be a land line telecommunications company, for
- 8 example, then go ahead and see Mr. Kouchi or -- yeah, and
- 9 he can get contact information and they will help you
- 10 with those issues.
- Likewise there's representatives -- and I'll

 introduce them a moment -- of Avista Utilities here. If

 you have a question about your utility service, I'm sure

 one of them would be eager to help you sort through those

 issues.
- Also, I want to thank the City of Spokane Valley
 for, once again as they do for these matters, make
 available to us at no charge to the public, their city
 council chambers for this public comment hearings.
- The purpose of this is to take public comment in the rate case filed by Avista. Also, as some of you may know, there has been a proposed settlement by all the parties to that case. So if you have comments on the underlying rate proposal or the settlement, we'd be eager to hear that today.

1	The hearing, the formal evidentiary hearing, meaning
2	the hearing that we have before the Commission, is on
3	September 23rd in Olympia. At that point we have several
4	options after that hearing. We can either approve the
5	settlement, we can approve it with conditions or we can
6	reject the settlement. If we approve it with conditions
7	or if we reject it, we will go on and have a more robust
8	evidentiary hearing as if the settlement, in case of we
9	reject it, is that the settlement were not filed. And
10	those hearings, you will see more of that in a second
11	because we have a video that explains that process.

The Utility Transportation Commission was created by the state of -- the legislative state of Washington in 1905. In 1911, we got authority to oversee the rates, services, practices of investor-owned electric and gas companies among other companies. And it's our charge in the statutory language to regulate in the public interest and also to ensure that the rates that are being charged are fair, just, reasonable and sufficient. Those are the statutory terms. Again, the video will look at that we'll examine that in a minute.

You know, it used to be at this point, either I or one of my colleagues would launch into a more elaborate discussion of what we do and somehow we said, well, let's just have a video so we say the same thing every time to

- 1 everybody and make sure we get it right.
- There's a couple of things. There's handouts out
- 3 front in the hall, and one of them is a summary of this
- 4 rate proposal and the proposed settlement prepared by the
- 5 Office of the Attorney General. So I urge you to have
- 6 that because I don't know want to go over all those
- 7 details but have that in front of you. If you don't have
- 8 that, just run out and get one and I think it will be
- 9 useful for you, or Mr. Kouchi may have to get some more.
- 10 So also in the room we have some representatives of
- 11 various parties to this rate case. We have
- 12 representatives of the Commission staff who serves as a
- 13 party, representatives of the Attorney General's Office,
- 14 Office of Public Counsel and representatives of the
- 15 utility Avista.
- 16 So at this point I would like to let them introduce
- 17 themselves and what their role is, and after the
- 18 proceeding you can feel free to contact them as well.
- So, Mr. Shearer?
- MR. SHEARER: My name is Brett Shearer. I'm the
- 21 attorney representing Commission staff in this
- 22 proceeding.
- MS. GAFKEN: My name is Lisa Gafken. I'm Assistant
- 24 Attorney General for the Office of Public Counsel.
- 25 MR. MEYER: Good afternoon. David Meyer. I'm the

\sim	\sim	\sim	_
()	()	\times	5
v	\cup	\circ	\cup

1	attorney representing Avista, and we have a number of
2	people here that can answer questions afterwards, which
3	Commissioner Goltz has mentioned, so if you can just
4	stand up if you're here for Avista. Thank you.
5	Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: So now as some more background,
7	and those who were here last year may have seen this
8	already, we did not update it, so we have a brief
9	about a 10-minute video that gives the background of the
10	Commission, background of utility rate proceedings and
11	also kind of focus the issues that we're here today to
12	hear about.
13	
14	(Video played but not reported:)
15	
16	COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Okay. So with that
17	background, we will proceed to the event of public
18	comments part of this proceeding. First, as I mentioned
19	earlier, sometimes in addition to just rates, there's
20	non-rate or related issues in rate cases. There is at
21	least one in this rate case, and it's described briefly
22	in the Attorney General's handout, also in the company's
23	handout on revenue decoupling and Commissioner Jones can

COMMISSIONER JONES: So I'm just going to take a

describe that briefly.

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

years.

- minute or two to explain what decoupling is. It's
 explained both in the Avista mailer to you and the Public
- 3 Counsel handout. And the reason I'm going to explain
- 4 this to you is this is really the first time that Avista
- 5 has enjoyed this particular sort of rate mechanism.
- Decoupling is a mechanism that's intended to break
 the length between the utility's revenue requirement and
 actual energy usage. This is an issue that has been
 hotly debated around the utility commissions around the
 country for many years. Many states have adopted
 decoupling mechanisms but many states haven't. And this

has been an issue before the Commission for several

- We have authorized a decoupling mechanism both for natural gas and electricity for Puget Sound energy. And as you can see in the settlement stipulation, all parties agreed to allow decoupling for Avista and we call this full decoupling because Avista currently enjoys partial decoupling on the natural gas side.
- 20 But this is a mechanism that is a little bit
 21 complicated to understand, but you may see it on your
 22 bill in the future. So what it means is that the utility
 23 has a lot of fixed costs that it needs to get cost
 24 recovery on, but part of the bill is in the fixed charge,
 25 what we call the basic monthly charge, and part of it is

- in the volumetric charge, what we call on the electric
- 2 side it's per kilowatt hours; for natural gas, it therms.
- 3 So the more you consume in therms or kilowatt hours, the
- 4 more you're charged.
- 5 Many advocates of greater energy conservation,
- 6 environmental groups and others have advocated for
- decoupling for many years. And they do so because they
- 8 want to make the utility indifferent to greater energy
- 9 conservation. Their argument is that the more you
- 10 conserve, the better it is for the earth --
- 11 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: It's a different degree of
- 12 energy consumption.
- 13 COMMISSIONER JONES: Yes. Different from energy
- 14 consumption. Thank you, Commissioner.
- 15 So those are the arguments on one side, and then on
- the other side people are concerned about the fixed
- 17 charge going up too much. The monthly charge, they argue
- 18 that if the fixed charge goes up too much, that's unfair
- 19 to low-income customers, and it may not incent people
- 20 because we have many economists who come before us that
- 21 say the higher the price of electricity or natural gas,
- the more the incentive to conserve.
- So anyway, this is a complex subject, but suffice it
- 24 to say that we, in the settlement stipulation, all
- 25 parties including public counsel and the industrial

- 1 customers and the company and our staff have agreed to a
- 2 full decoupling mechanism both for natural gas and
- 3 electricity.
- 4 And let me just explain what this may mean because
- 5 you may see it on your bill in the future. And I'll just
- 6 talk about weather because differences in revenue
- 7 requirement may be changed by weather conservation or the
- 8 economy going up and down.
- 9 But let's say it's a colder weather because we're
- 10 forecasting in the future. If it's a colder weather than
- 11 normal, the utility will probably sell more kilowatt
- 12 hours or more therms than what is forecasted, right. So
- 13 at the end of the year there will be an adjustment or
- 14 true-up. So, probably in that case if there are no other
- 15 mitigating factors, you will -- the customer will receive
- a rebate at the end of the year because the company
- 17 enjoyed more revenues than was forecasted.
- 18 On the flip side, if it is a warmer winter than
- 19 usual -- I'm just talking about weather now -- if it's a
- 20 warmer weather than usual, the company will not receive
- 21 sufficient revenues to cover its estimated revenue
- 22 requirement. In that case you will receive a surcharge
- on your bill, so you will billed additionally for -- for
- 24 that.
- Now there are some in the settlement as I read it,

- 1 all parties agree that a decoupling surcharge cannot
- 2 exceed 3 percent annually, and then if there are any
- 3 recovered, recovered balances are carried to future
- 4 years, but if there's a credit back to the customer,
- 5 there's no limit.
- 6 So that's kind of the essence. It's a little bit
- 7 complicated to understand. It's a new mechanism of --
- 8 the parties have said five years, let's give it a try,
- 9 let's give it a pilot, but this is going to be a new
- 10 mechanism for Avista customers here in Spokane Valley and
- 11 Spokane, and I thought I would try to explain that
- 12 briefly.
- 13 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Thank you. So -- and if you
- 14 have any further questions, please ask the company or the
- 15 public counsel or the staff representatives here today
- 16 after the hearing.
- 17 So we have a number of people who have signed up.
- 18 Now, as was mentioned in the video, this is an
- 19 evidentiary proceeding. We make our decision based on
- 20 what we read, what we hear, so that's why we have a court
- 21 reporter. So when you come up to testify, we will ask
- 22 you to state your name and then spell your name so we can
- 23 make sure we get it correctly.
- 24 Also ask you to state if you're an Avista customer,
- 25 whether it's electric, gas or both, and mention if you're

- testifying on behalf of yourself or some of you will be
 testifying on behalf of an organization. Speak slowly so
 we don't have to interrupt you and the court reporter has
 to catch up.
- And also in some cases in the past, we've set a time limit on how long people can talk. Usually three to five
- 7 minutes. You can say a lot in three to five minutes. I
- 8 don't see a need to do that today because we don't have
- 9 that many people signed up but still try to be concise,
- and if the speaker ahead of you mentions something and
- 11 you agree, just go ahead and say, "Me too."
- 12 Also, if you think of something after today you
- wanted to say or wanted to get some more detail, the
- 14 record's open until September 23rd, feel free to make
- 15 some of the online comments as -- comments online, write
- 16 a letter, make a phone call, as was mentioned on the
- video, those same Web sites and addresses, phone numbers,
- 18 et cetera are in the Public Counsel handout or you can
- 19 get them from Mr. Kouchi afterwards. And if you have
- some documents you want to give us, make sure Mr. Kouchi
- 21 gets a copy so it gets into the record.
- 22 So, with that we will proceed to the public hearing.
- Because it is a hearing, we need to put you under oath.
- 24 So I would ask that all those people who have agreed to
- 25 the -- or wish to testify, even if you're just thinking

- 1 about it, to stand and raise your right hand and I will
- 2 read the oath -- or since I can't seem to find it, I will
- 3 recite it from memory. And then we'll ask you, do you
- 4 agree with that, you simply say I do or something and
- 5 then we'll proceed.
- In this matter -- do you solemnly swear that in
- 7 this matter the testimony you are about to give will be
- 8 the truth, and do you assert that under penalty of
- 9 perjury?
- 10 PUBLIC SPEAKERS: I do.
- 11 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Thank you. We'll start from
- the beginning. Sorry for mumbling that, but I think
- 13 that's sufficient.
- 14 I'll start with Ms. Julie Honekamp to the stand.
- 15 MS. HONEKAMP: So good afternoon. My name is Julie
- 16 Honekamp, J-U-L-I-E, H-O-N-E-K-A-M-P. And I am the CEO
- 17 at Spokane Neighborhood Action Partners, also known as
- 18 SNAP. We are Spokane's community action agency.
- 19 I wanted to begin first by thanking you for making
- 20 the time to come to Spokane and to listen firsthand to
- 21 us, the Avista consumers. I know it's a trek and staff
- is here as well, and I appreciate that.
- SNAP is both an electric customer and a gas customer
- 24 at more than 20 locations within the county including in
- excess of 300 affordable housing units. In partnership

with Avista, SNAP administers the low-income rate
assistance program, LIRAP. We've worked side by side
with Avista since 2001 to help design and implement an
effective and efficient program that helps to serve over
12,000 households with LIRAP dollars across the Avista

service territory last year.

- At SNAP, we consider Avista to be a very strong community partner, a great corporate citizen and believe we have a sound and positive working relationship. In all of that Avista and SNAP work together to serve those in needs. There are times when SNAP as a community action agency must step forward to express the different perspective on behalf of those we are serving. And this is such a time.
 - Organizationally, SNAP is guided by three core principles every day, so community, respect and justice. And in the category of justice, we believe in advocating what is fair, honorable and equitable. So, like the Commission, who is charged with evaluating whether a proposed settlement is fair and just, reasonable, sufficient and in the public's best interest, SNAP is called to advocate for those living in poverty.
 - I want to begin this afternoon by sharing just some brief context about our remarks about the Spokane community that I believe underscores the level of poverty

- 1 as compared to the state as a whole. So according to the
- 2 US Census Bureau, Spokane County has an estimated 2013
- 3 population of 479,398 people.
- 4 Of the roughly 479,000 Spokane residents, 14.8
- 5 percent are nearly two full percentage points higher than
- 6 the state which equates to about 71,000 people are living
- 7 in poverty. That's 71,000 of our neighbors, colleagues,
- 8 brothers, grandchildren living in poverty. Of the
- 9 71,000, 22 percent of those are persons under 18; 14
- 10 percent are those over 65.
- In a report that was commissioned by Avista,
- 12 completed by Eastern Washington University in 2010, it
- was reported that in 2009, which was at some of our peak
- funding levels particularly for LIHEAP, SNAP only had
- 15 enough resources to serve 30 percent of the eligible
- 16 households. That leaves a remaining 70 percent of the
- eligible households, or an estimated 49,000 Spokane
- 18 low-income people do not have access to heating systems.
- 19 I believe this is a conservative estimate and it only
- 20 calculates individuals at or below the federal poverty
- 21 line. And our heating assistance programs go up to 125
- 22 percent of the federal poverty level.
- But before I get too abstract and buried in the
- 24 numbers, I want to share with you Margaret's story.
- 25 Margaret is one of the lucky 30 percent who received

1

23

24

2 married to a soldier from World War II and left her hometown in Oregon, New York for Montana. The couple had four children and eventually found their way to Spokane. Over the years, Margaret never sat idle. She worked at a hospital, in hotel management, and as the secretary at a bank and in housekeeping in establishments like Spokane Club until she finally retired at the age of 77. These days, Margaret who is 84, rents a humble apartment 9 10 in the Garry neighborhood just north of Gonzaga. Her 45-year-old home overlooks the Spokane river and she is 11 12 living on \$925 a month. 13 So when she was working, Margaret told us she 14 considered calling SNAP for help but decided not to 15 saying that, "I always felt that other people needed it 16 more than I did." Now on a fixed income, provided largely through 17 18 Social Security, Margaret has utilized SNAP energy 19 assistance as a way to cover her utility cost, 20 particularly in our cold winters. She stated, "It really 21 helped and I'm so glad it's here." For Margaret and 22 others, as you know, the support means the difference

energy assistance. Margaret shared with us that she was

25 So Margaret's story highlights for us three points.

between not having to cut back on groceries or

medications instead of covering the heat bill.

- One, that we do not support the proposed rate increase,
- 2 particularly for the basic monthly charge. We're
- 3 concerned about the rate increase as a percentage and
- 4 that we understand our low-income folks' incomes are not
- 5 going up at the same rate.
- 6 The real per capita income for Spokane County shows
- 7 that incomes have not yet returned to the pre-recession
- 8 2008 highs. And as was mentioned by ALTCEW folks last
- 9 night, our clients on Social Security in 2013 saw the
- 10 lowest coal increase since 1975. Plainly stated, incomes
- 11 are not increasing as quickly as the proposed rate
- 12 increases.
- 13 Secondly, we are really committed to looking at our
- service delivery model, as well as other design options,
- 15 with the goals of keeping people connected and serving
- 16 more households. However, we do feel strongly that any
- 17 pilot should be well-considered and financially modeled
- 18 and that additional resources may be needed to make this
- 19 pilot happen.
- 20 I'd like to close by correcting one last
- 21 misperception that there is a surplus or underutilized
- 22 money in the LIRAP program. SNAP and Avista have worked
- together to design a program that spends the LIRAP money
- down during the heating season and then allows the LIRAP
- 25 money to build back up over the summer. And because

- 1 LIRAP models, all those LIHEAP, no heating money from
- 2 LIRAP heat can be spent after June 30th.
- 3 So naturally there's a build-up. This arrangement
- 4 was agreed to with Avista jointly and has worked well,
- 5 but that also means that there are not surplus monies
- 6 there to be pulled for a pilot.
- 7 So we encourage the Commission to consider whether
- 8 the proposed rate increase is indeed just and equitable.
- 9 We also encourage you to consider additional funding for
- 10 the LIRAP program in order for all income-eligible
- 11 households to have access to the remaining connected and
- 12 for staying warm in their homes.
- I thank you for your attention and your
- 14 consideration and on behalf of the low-income folks in
- this community for being here.
- 16 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Thank you, Ms. Honekamp. Thank
- you again, you always give very thoughtful presentations
- 18 at these public hearings and we really appreciate it.
- MS. HONEKAMP: Thank you.
- 20 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: I have a couple of questions
- 21 and just heads-up to everyone else. Normally, we don't
- ask any questions here, but I have a couple of questions
- 23 because the low-income component of the rate case and
- 24 also is for settlement does implicate SNAP, I believe,
- 25 and your clients.

- 1 So just a couple of things. First of all, the
- 2 energy project was a party to this case, so can you
- 3 describe the relationship between SNAP and the energy
- 4 project?
- 5 MS. HONEKAMP: Sure. So the energy project
- 6 represents the low-income providers that administer the
- 7 LIRAP program in our community of which I believe there
- 8 are six or seven. So we speak with them frequently but
- 9 not all the time do all six or seven fully agree, so they
- 10 have to kind of wrestle us and get our opinions and carry
- 11 the greater group consensus forward.
- 12 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: So the energy project did sign
- off on this proposed settlement?
- MS. HONEKAMP: Correct.
- 15 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: You said you were opposed, SNAP
- was opposed to the rate increase --
- MS. HONEKAMP: Uh-huh.
- 18 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: -- but you didn't -- you're
- 19 silent on whether you support this settlement. Do you
- have a position on settlement?
- 21 MS. HONEKAMP: You're going to pin me down here,
- 22 aren't you?
- 23 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Well, you can say no.
- 24 MS. HONEKAMP: You know, I will actually say no.
- 25 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Okay.

25

```
1
            MS. HONEKAMP: So -- I mean, while I support the
        energy project and their work, I guess I would still like
 2
        to see the rate of increase for rates remain consistent
        with what incomes are going up at, and I do believe that
 5
        additional funding for low-income would be helpful.
             COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Okay. So -- then so are you
 6
        the only active agency in Avista's territory that --
            MS. HONEKAMP: No.
 8
            COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Okay. You said there were six
 9
10
        total?
            MS. HONEKAMP: Uh-huh.
11
12
             COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Okay. So let me ask you
13
        also -- but you're the largest one I, believe.
14
            MS. HONEKAMP: We are.
15
             COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: And part of the settlement in
16
        addition to some adjustments for the funding levels
17
        increases not as much as you would like --
18
            MS. HONEKAMP: Sure.
19
            COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: -- I understand.
20
             There is also a proposal to -- subsequent to the
21
        settlement's approved, subsequent to their approval, to
22
        undertake a process to consider modifications to the
23
        LIRAP program. Are you supportive of that?
24
            MS. HONEKAMP: I am.
```

COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: And would you -- would SNAP

- 1 have the resources to be able to participate in that in
- 2 some meaningful way?
- 3 MS. HONEKAMP: We definitely hope so.
- 4 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Okay. Thank you. That's all I
- 5 have.
- 6 MS. HONEKAMP: Thank you.
- 7 COMMISSIONER JONES: I have a couple of questions,
- 8 too. So you mention pilot, and you just responded to
- 9 Commissioner Goltz saying that you would support this
- 10 process as a process equals pilot?
- 11 MS. HONEKAMP: I support the exploration of
- different rate designs, and I think it's quite a
- 13 complicated issue and I was really pleased to see the two
- 14 goals established. And I guess I would ask for
- 15 clarification, and whether it's directly to you or
- 16 through Chuck, making sure that those two goals, is that
- 17 the correct order which is keeping folks connected as
- 18 being the first goal, and secondly, serving more
- 19 households. Some designs make work to achieve one but
- 20 not the other, so it would be great to have clarification
- 21 on that.
- 22 COMMISSIONER JONES: Why don't you check with Chuck
- 23 Ebert on this because I haven't read this process with
- the third-party facilitator in detail, but yeah, I think
- 25 it would be best to check directly with him.

- 1 MS. HONEKAMP: Okay. We'll do that.
- 2 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: And if you have any few more to
- 3 add, to get them in by the 23rd.
- 4 MS. HONEKAMP: We can.
- 5 COMMISSIONER JONES: Just a couple more. You
- 6 mention 49,000 people in Spokane County are under the
- 7 federal poverty line.
- 8 MS. HONEKAMP: Correct.
- 9 COMMISSIONER JONES: Do you have households for
- 10 that? What do we divide by to get households?
- MS. HONEKAMP: You know roughly 2.4, I believe.
- 12 COMMISSIONER JONES: So if I do the math, 49,000 --
- 13 because of course all of the LIHEAP weatherization
- assistance is per household, right? So that's about
- 15 20,460 households.
- 16 MS. HONEKAMP: The caveat to that being that is
- 17 again federal poverty line and these programs go to 125
- 18 percent of FPL, so there's still another group of them
- 19 there.
- 20 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. And we at the Commission
- 21 have had hearings on low income, whether it's in both
- 22 weatherization assistance, heating assistance in the
- past, and I think you participated or you or know about
- 24 those. But I'd be curious, and if you have anything, you
- could submit it for this record or another docket, but

- 1 have you weighed in on the FPL issues, the definition of
- 2 federal poverty line and whether 125 percent is
- appropriate, 150 percent? There are a lot of arguments
- 4 about this.
- 5 MS. HONEKAMP: We have not, but we can sure give
- 6 that some thought as an agency and submit something.
- 7 COMMISSIONER JONES: I think that would be helpful.
- 8 And then finally you ask here in Point No. 3, if
- 9 there were full utilization of these 20,500 households in
- 10 Spokane County, how much would that cost?
- 11 MS. HONEKAMP: Yeah. And we've got the
- 12 back-of-the-cocktail-napkin answer, I will be happy to
- delve in and submit it as well.
- 14 COMMISSIONER JONES: Could you, please?
- 15 MS. HONEKAMP: I could.
- 16 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. Thank you.
- 17 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Okay. Thank you very much.
- 18 So this is going a little slower than I thought.
- 19 That's not that we've got lots of time, but we have this
- at lunch hour. Some people may be only available during
- 21 the lunch hour so anyone who is signed up who needs to
- 22 run off at one o'clock or before -- so we will take them
- out of turn, otherwise we're just going to proceed
- through here.
- Okay. So we'll go with the next which is Mr. Ken

- 1 Vorhees.
- 2 MR. VORHEES: Good afternoon. My name is Ken
- 3 Vorhees. That's V, as in Victor, O-R-H-E-E-S. I'm the
- 4 chief financial officer for Spokane Industries. Spokane
- 5 Industries is a electric and gas consumer. I'm here just
- 6 to really speak on the electric. And we're not
- 7 residential, so we are on Schedule 25, so we are a large
- 8 consumer of electricity.
- 9 And the point I want to make is that -- for a little
- 10 background, Spokane Industries is a 60-year-old
- 11 family-owned business here in Spokane Industries. We're
- 12 family-owned, 300 employees, about 275,000 square feet
- out in the Spokane industrial park.
- 14 And I also want to comment that Avista is a good
- 15 company to work with. You know, we respect them, we have
- 16 a good working relationship with them. We are dealing in
- a high-power environment and so, you know, we work
- 18 together and have a healthy respect for their
- 19 capabilities.
- 20 It was advertised in the press release back down --
- 21 10 days or so, August 18th, that the Schedule 25
- 22 consumers would have an average rate of 1.9 percent
- increase and it was to build up for the infrastructure
- 24 improvements, et cetera, that Avista is working on. And
- 25 we told them we were a manufacturer, we build things.

- 1 We totally believe in improving infrastructure certainly
- 2 for reliability purposes, supply purposes. It serves the
- 3 whole community.
- But -- and thankfully, I'll just say also to
- 5 Avista's credit, they brought it to our attention that an
- 6 average 1.9 percent increase is -- it's an average and
- 7 different people are impacted differently. And so I was
- 8 able to obtain, I believe it's the settlement, proposed
- 9 settlement rate sheet, which is the third page of the
- 10 handout that I passed through.
- And I took those rates and applied them against our
- 12 rates for both the month of July using actual consumption
- 13 and also the month of February for actual consumption
- 14 because we're a manufacturer and our usage fluctuates on
- a month-to-month basis depending on seasonality,
- depending on business levels.
- 17 And lo and behold the proposed rate increase
- 18 actually for July would be a 6.1 percent increase. The
- 19 increase for February would have been a 7 and a half
- 20 percent increase. And the reason for that is because of
- 21 a substantial increase of the demand charge.
- 22 And so that fixed base rate -- and I don't have
- any idea if that relates at all to the conversation of
- 24 decoupling, but that fixed base rate, Spokane Industries,
- 25 the way I look at it, must be at the low end of the

- 1 Schedule 25 consumption band, and so that fixed rate
- number is the \$6,000 per month increase or \$72,000 a
- 3 year.
- 4 And when we put that against our annual monthly
- 5 bills, that's a -- I'll just say a 6 or 7 percent
- 6 increase. That's way more than 1.9 percent average,
- 7 which just leads me to believe then those that are at the
- 8 high end of Schedule 25 consumption are maybe seeing a 1
- 9 percent increase os something lower.
- 10 So we believe that, you know, 6 or 7 and a half
- 11 percent-type rate increases are not necessarily fair or
- 12 appropriate, and we'd like the Commission to take a look
- 13 at, you know, strictly as Schedule 25, but obviously
- 14 there would have been some design to generate a certain
- amount of revenue coming out of Schedule 25 and trying to
- 16 balance that across all the users who are in Schedule 25,
- 17 I don't think that there's that many users in that group,
- 18 but that it somehow get a better balance so those of us
- 19 like Spokane Industries that are at the low end of
- 20 consumption of that schedule have such a large increase.
- 21 That would be my comments.
- 22 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Well, thanks very much. A lot
- of the -- I don't have any questions. I do just have a
- 24 comment that one of the advantages of these public
- 25 hearings and the timing of them for securing of merits is

- 1 that we get ideas for things that we then can ask the
- 2 company when we have a public hearing. So I just want to
- 3 put the company on notice that I will ask about these
- 4 questions that Mr. Vorhees has raised about Schedule 25,
- 5 so maybe at the hearing you'll be -- have someone be
- 6 prepared to answer those questions.
- 7 MR. VORHEES: Okay. Thank you.
- 8 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: So next, Ms. Carolyn Pickett.
- 9 MS. PICKETT: Hello. Thank you. I forget I'm not
- 10 tall. Thank you. My name is Carolyn Pickett. I live in
- 11 Spokane County.
- 12 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: That's P-I-C-K-E-T-T?
- 13 MS. PICKETT: It's P-I-C-K-E-T-T. And the first
- thing I'm going to do is make -- that I say this
- 15 testimony is in good faith respectfully, however, I am
- 16 going to jump down to one of the last things I wrote on
- my paperwork.
- 18 Avista, when I called them, they called me or I got
- 19 ahold of them somehow, and they wanted me to change the
- 20 paperwork in the office because my husband had passed
- 21 away in 2009 and I left it in the paperwork that it was
- 22 his estate. They wanted everything they could find out
- about me, and they sent me a whole packet of information.
- I wouldn't even give my Social Security to the next-door
- 25 neighbor, why would I give it to Avista, and they wanted

- it all in writing. And just after that, they -- they --
- 2 rip off from somebody they got a lot of their
- 3 information.
- 4 My husband told me when he was alive -- he was an
- 5 electrical engineer and he also worked at the hydroplant
- 6 where they get their electricity from. He told me never
- 7 to hook into computers. He knew all about them, and he
- 8 said they can be broken and cracked -- and whatever they
- 9 call the word -- very easily, and it's been obvious. So
- 10 I surely am not going to give all my background
- information even to Avista, and when I call them, they
- 12 call -- they tell me they're in Boise, Idaho, and I'm
- going, how do I know that? The last people I talked to
- were in the Philippines.
- 15 So this is -- there's too many people in between
- 16 that I'm not going to give back any information like
- 17 that. And all I wanted them to do was to -- if I needed
- 18 them, was a tree had blown out of the ground and was
- 19 across lines but it didn't break any of them, and I had
- friends come out and we got it all off the line and never
- 21 needed them, but they said they had to have all that
- information with them -- I was the person, not my
- departed husband.
- Okay. Now, as for this testimony, one, I'm opposed
- 25 to a rate increase. I do not think it is a just thing to

- do at this time because there are many people unemployed.
- 2 Employment hasn't changed since the last time I talked
- 3 here. And there are too many unemployed people, and SNAP
- 4 has already told you how many they are taking care of.
- 5 Those people have a hard time paying the bills that they
- 6 have.
- 7 And every time anybody gets a rate increase from the
- 8 callers, you know, all this down the line, the grocery
- 9 store in the neighborhood, now rate increases by Avista,
- 10 anybody that wants a rate increase, they just put that on
- 11 the consumer. The consumer is being taken advantage of
- 12 because they can't really tell you, no, because you're
- going to shut off their lights if they do.
- Rate increases are inflationary, and they are not
- 15 going to make it any better for anybody else. So these
- 16 companies that have -- and the last time they got a rate
- 17 increase, they put the pocketed -- the money for the CEOs
- 18 of Avista, and now they're gone and we have a whole bunch
- 19 of new people as board members there. Most of them don't
- 20 even live in Spokane.
- 21 They are big corporations, and they've got the idea
- 22 that they're going to help the public by making charts on
- a piece of paper that tell them that it will be 1.1 or
- 24 1.5. And mathematics wasn't my key point in school, but
- I do know when I have been taken advantage of when

- somebody's got more money than I have to give them.
- 2 There was another paper in -- and what they do is
- 3 they fill the packet of information to us from Avista
- full of papers. And one of them I got recently that I
- 5 was looking at and they were taking benefit for 125 years
- 6 of doing so much for Spokane. Well, I've got the paper
- 7 from the library that tells me who really does, who
- 8 really owned that property and where it went and how it
- 9 is.
- And I went down to the big park that they had taken
- 11 out all the parking into City Hall. You have to park in
- 12 a parking meter along the street or across the street in
- a parking garage thing, and I'm saying they are not
- looking out for the little guy. They are looking out to
- 15 make it look like they are doing something for Spokane,
- 16 and that park was there for years. They cleaned off the
- 17 brush that was there, but it's not been changed since way
- 18 back when.
- 19 And so I'm very opposed to what they have put out
- in their -- and I also wonder why if they've got so much
- 21 caring for money, why aren't they putting less paper out
- 22 to the public in those bills that they send us.
- The Avista board do not represent Spokane County
- 24 residents. The present board is made of person all over
- 25 the county, I've said that. The raised rate would go

- against all residents, and the landlords would pass this
 on to their people that live in these apartment buildings
- 3 and duplexes, et cetera and so forth, they would be --
- 4 have to pay the rate. And it can -- as the SNAP person
- 5 told, it can be very expensive for some of those people.
- The people, residents of Spokane County, will be
 asked to pay more for the least expensive form of
 electricity in the whole county. Water is the least
 expensive form of making electricity. And another thing
 most people don't know is the return of sewage water,
 reclaimed water they call it, you know, a purple pipe
- that goes right into the river, that comes from all the sewage water. So they get more running through that dam
- now further on the river than they probably had before,
- so they even have -- can generate more power that way.
- 16 Maybe they should let people know where they're
- 17 really getting the water from so that they know what kind
- of water is being put into the river and the fact that
- 19 they have more water going through that it must generate
- some more energy than they were getting before.
- 21 I said this before and I say it again: The CFL
- 22 light bulbs that were given away free by Avista were not
- free. The people just don't realize how dangerous those
- things are and how they can burn up the ground wire and
- not shut off the fuses to your house and burn your house

- 1 down.
- 2 One person I knew was away from home, he came home
- 3 and that bulb had broken and he just got in the house in
- 4 time to keep it from going and causing -- starting a
- 5 fire. So they aren't giving anybody something for
- 6 nothing. In fact, it's very dangerous, the materials in
- 7 that, because mercury and chloride are gassed off into
- 8 the home.
- 9 People are not aware of this harm, and even some of
- 10 the Avista people were using them, and they looked at me
- 11 really strange. Where did you get that information?
- 12 It's on American Thinkers in the computer, and I was sent
- that information so that I could sit and read it
- thoroughly.
- 15 The -- and I'm --
- 16 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Ms. Pickett --
- MS. PICKETT: -- going to say one more thing. This
- 18 admin -- this -- this board is controlled by the
- 19 administrative act, was done in 1948, '49, to keep from
- 20 having tyranny -- tyranny and syndicate control by
- 21 systems.
- 22 And I'm going to let the next person do their thing
- because I've said all I've got written down here. You
- 24 can reread it if you want to because I made sure that
- 25 you've got plenty copies. Thank you.

- 1 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Thank you very much.
- Next we have Ms. Rose Marie Larson.
- 3 MS. LARSON: My name is Rose Marie Waldram-Larson.
- 4 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Can you spell those for the
- 5 court reporter, please?
- 6 MS. LARSON: When I married Mr. Larson in 1986, I
- 7 kept my maiden name, so I am legally Rose Marie Waldram.
- 8 That's W-A-L-D, like David, R-A-M, like Mary, Larson, L,
- 9 like Larry, A, like Albert, R, like Roger, S, like Sam,
- 10 O, like Orville, N, like Nelly.
- 11 I'm going to be brief because what I've already
- 12 heard is pretty much what I would possibly have said to
- 13 you anyway, but I will explain myself to you so that in
- 14 the future when I'm somewhere -- and for the most part
- 15 I've been the last nearly 20 years at the Board of Health
- 16 meetings. When I'm somewhere doing my
- 17 watchman-on-the-wall thing, that you will remember that
- 18 all I'm obligated to do is sound a warning. If you don't
- 19 pay attention to it, then whatever happens is on your
- 20 head, not mine.
- 21 I am in my 75th year. I have nothing in common with
- 22 my chronological peers except when I look in a mirror and
- then say, gosh, I don't feel that way. I've been doing
- 24 Bloomsday for over 30-some years. I don't train for it.
- 25 I just do it. I've raised for the last 23 years a

1.5

remind you.

- grandchild who was made homeless by a severe auto accident that disabled her mother.
- My background, my education for the last 50 years, I
 have been self-educated in order to survive because I am,

 quote, toxic allergic to fluorine-bearing compounds, in
 other words, fluorides, and that has been medically
 established by double blind testing for both myself and
 my now 50-year-old son who lives in Portland, Oregon,
 which recently voted against fluoridation, if I may
 - My background of education is in nutrition,
 biochemistry, immunology and a little smattering of
 astrophysics and quantum physics, which are the sciences
 that if you delve into it prove that there is a God.
 - There was a world-renowned atheist who committed suicide some years ago because the more he studied in those two sciences, the more he came to realize that he had been wrong. It's too bad someone didn't get to him with a salvation message, we might still have the benefit of his expertise.
 - My husband and I are retired now. We are on fixed income. We live in a 50-year-old manufactured home that, according to the aerial photographs, they thought just because we put a roof over it, they raised our taxes because they thought we'd built a new house. We finally

- 1 had -- my husband went down there several years in a row
- 2 to make them aware of that. They finally sent out an
- 3 inspector and they saw the I.D. number on the
- 4 manufactured home and we now have a more sensible tax
- 5 rate.
- 6 We are -- I am a certified master composter. I
- 7 recycle virtually everything thanks to Mrs. Pickett who I
- 8 learned that from, but we still have problems, and so
- 9 whatever you do relative to making your decisions on
- 10 behalf of the people who I'm representing here today, we
- just merely ask that you at least consider putting
- 12 yourselves in our shoes when you make those decisions.
- 13 Thank you.
- 14 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Thank you very much,
- 15 Ms. Larson.
- 16 Next is Wendal Fryar -- Wendla?
- MS. FRYAR: It's actually Wendla Fryar, and that's
- spelled W-E-N-D-L-A, and last name, F-R-Y-A-R.
- 19 Okay. So you know my name. I work for ALTCEW,
- serve vulnerable adults over which would include the
- 21 population of the elderly, disabled and low-income in
- 22 Spokane. I myself have been in Spokane for the majority
- of my life and personally have family and friends who
- 24 will be greatly impacted by your increases. Getting the
- 25 basic needs met -- their basic needs met which include

- 1 electricity is an everyday struggle, and I personally
- 2 have seen that going into their home and looking at the
- 3 people I serve.
- We're also -- I would just ask that you please
- 5 reconsider the rate increases based on impact that they
- 6 will have on this vulnerable community. Thank you.
- 7 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Thank you very much.
- 8 Lynn Kimball.
- 9 MS. KIMBALL: Hello. My name is Lynn Kimball,
- 10 L-Y-N-N, K-I-M-B-A-L-L, and I'm also here on behalf of
- 11 Aging and Long-Term Care of Eastern Washington. You've
- 12 heard lots of statistics from us between today and
- 13 yesterday, last night, at the City Hall, so I'll try not
- 14 to repeat too many of them.
- 15 We really -- when we look at the rate increase, and
- 16 I know we provide testimony year after year, the reason
- we do it is just the impact it has on the people we
- 18 serve. Low-income seniors, low-income vulnerable adults,
- 19 people with disabilities throughout our service region.
- 20 Last year we served almost 9 -- over 9,000 people in
- 21 our five-county region, and of those, almost 4,000 were
- living in poverty. So when we hear of rate increases, we
- 23 know it has an impact on the people we serve in their
- 24 day-to-day life.
- 25 You received some information yesterday about the

- 1 elder economics security index. Please do take that in
- 2 account when you look at the impact of increases. The
- 3 poverty level isn't the only measure of what real poverty
- 4 is.
- 5 And as Julie stated, 14.8 percent of persons are
- 6 below poverty in Spokane County. We know according to a
- 7 2010 data from the Office of Research with Department of
- 8 Social and Health Services that elder economic security
- 9 index, 17 percent of seniors in Spokane County were
- 10 living below the elder economic security index standard.
- 11 So that's --
- 12 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: So what was the percentage
- 13 again?
- MS. KIMBALL: 17 percent. So that's what you need
- 15 to just make basic needs, you know, food, medicine,
- housing, electricity, transportation.
- 17 So increases add up. We know over year after year
- 18 increases, incomes don't keep pace so please do keep this
- 19 in consideration and the impact on vulnerable adults in
- 20 consideration when you examine rate increases.
- 21 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Can you just -- Aging and
- 22 Long-Term Care of Eastern Washington, is that a
- 23 nonprofit? Is that a government agency?
- 24 MS. KIMBALL: We are intergovernmental agency, so we
- are a council of government with Spokane County, city of

- 1 Spokane, Ferry, Stevens, Pend Oreille and Whitman County.
- 2 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Okay. Thank you.
- 3 And I don't have the first name. I have initial "A"
- 4 Pitstick. You will have to spell that one for us.
- 5 MS. PITSTICK: I always do. So my name is Alyssa
- 6 Pitstick, spelled A-L-Y-S-S-A, P, as in Paul, I-T, as in
- 7 Tom, S, as in Sam, T, as in Tom, I-C-K. And I always
- 8 have to spell both of them anywhere I go.
- 9 So first of all, I apologize for being late, and
- 10 second of all, just for clarification I actually came
- 11 today with a question more than a comment, so I was
- doubly disappointed that I was unable to arrive on time
- 13 because I had hoped your presentation might have answered
- 14 my question. So if it did and I ask it, I again
- 15 apologize.
- 16
 I have a doctorate in theology, a master's at --
- from Gonzaga in philosophy and an undergraduate degree in
- 18 mathematics and science. I was teaching in Michigan and
- 19 I came back to Spokane to care for my mom who became ill
- 20 very quickly. She spent a year in and out of the
- 21 hospital and passed away last year and this is how I came
- 22 to be, once again, a resident of Spokane.
- I am unemployed for the second year. Hiring
- 24 academia can be a cutthroat market, particularly in a
- 25 highly specialized field, so I am currently still

- 1 unemployed. So I wanted to be clear that I don't have a
- business degree. I don't fully understand utility
- 3 ratings and how that works, so part of my questions if
- 4 they're simply ignorant, but I wanted to explain how I
- 5 understood the brochure that we received.
- 6 So I understand from this that I'm an energy --
- 7 excuse me -- I'm an electricity and gas customer of
- 8 Avista. I live in the city of Spokane. I understand
- 9 from this brochure that my residential rate, the basic
- 10 charge would go up \$7.00 for electricity and \$4.00 on
- 11 natural gas.
- 12 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: That's the original proposal.
- 13 There's been a proposed settlement where the basic charge
- would go up \$0.50 for electric and \$1.00 for gas.
- 15 MS. PITSTICK: Instead of \$7.00 and \$4.00, that's
- much more reasonable.
- I guess, you know, that -- my concern was about
- 18 the enormous rate increase that was proposed, and I would
- 19 still like to make my comment and question because I
- think they're still perhaps relevant to the process.
- 21 So prior to pursuing the humanities, I did work for
- 22 an environmental consulting firm in Spokane and from that
- gained a great appreciation for business, small business
- ownership, and how businesses fix their rates and their
- 25 cost to customers.

1	So in principle I am not against rate increases. I
2	understand sometimes they are appropriate and necessary
3	for the maintenance of, you know, infrastructure and
4	replacement of necessary machinery, and that sort of
5	things, as well as the appropriate role of profit in
6	business and providing goods and services to the
7	community, so I'm not in general against rate increases.

On the other hand, when a business proposes a rate increase of what we were initially told, having had this experience, I have to wonder about how that business is being operated that it comes to the point of needing to ask for such a drastic rate increase all at once.

So -- and again, perhaps some of this has been addressed in the settlement process, but as a generally well-educated consumer, that's a question that came to me as I was looking at this pamphlet, that what is going on in the business model that you have to ask for a nearly 100 percent increase on your basic charges.

Given the current economic climate and, you know, the frequent talk about the top 1 percent, the question then, of course, crossed my mind what are the top three to five administrative officials of Avista earning and how much of this rate increase goes to salary increases.

I wish to second as well the comments made by the very well-spoken SNAP person. I have known people who

- 1 have been served by their programs and have seen that,
- 2 yeah, the federal poverty line is kind of a dart on the
- 3 dartboard. What that really means would bear
- 4 reexamination, and one way we propose that be done is
- 5 that the people who are making those sort of salaries
- 6 consent to live for a year at that level and see if they
- 7 might not support an increase to what that means then.
- 8 So that's my comment such as it is. If you can
- 9 provide any clarification or refer me to how I might
- 10 understand better the change in the settlement in
- 11 relation to their salaries. Thank you.
- 12 COMMISSIONER JONES: Sure. First of all, if you
- have a copy of this that describes the basic contours of
- 14 the settlement, speak to Ms. Gafken there or speak to
- 15 Mr. Veigh, a representative of the company, the rate
- increase is much lower.
- 17 In response to your two basic questions: Talk to
- 18 the company about the basic monthly charge. Were you
- 19 here when I tried to explain decoupling?
- 20 MS. PITSTICK: I was. I didn't understand it.
- 21 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: It's not your fault.
- 22 COMMISSIONER JONES: Many people don't understand
- it, but yeah, how shall I respond to that. Talk to
- Mr. Veigh in the company, but basically the idea of
- decoupling is to enable the company to recover its fixed

- 1 charges --
- 2 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: Fixed cost.
- 3 COMMISSIONER JONES: Fixed cost -- through a basic
- 4 monthly charge as opposed to what we call a volumetric
- 5 charge. Do you understand what a volumetric charge is?
- 6 MS. PITSTICK: Yeah. You pay on by how much you
- 7 use.
- 8 COMMISSIONER JONES: For example, per kilowatts and
- 9 therms.
- 10 So because of declining usage in gas and perhaps
- 11 declining usage in kilowatt hours in Avista, we are
- seeing, not only in this state but around the county,
- utilities wanting to increase their assurance that they
- 14 will have their fixed charges including aging
- infrastructure and others replaced.
- 16 So that would be my surmise. I haven't read their
- 17 full direct testimony, other than the case is about this
- 18 big, but I will do that.
- MS. PITSTICK: My condolences.
- 20 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: And also there's a handout out
- in front on utility decoupling.
- MS. PITSTICK: Okay.
- 23 COMMISSIONER JONES: So that -- you may want to talk
- 24 to the company about that, but that would be my basic
- 25 understanding of your first question.

- 1 Your second question was on executive compensation.
- 2 This has been brought up in many Avista rate cases over
- 3 the past 10 years or eight years. I don't know how much
- 4 of the increase is due to executive comps. There are
- 5 many layers of executive compensation. Avista has
- 6 covered employees under the unions. They have
- non-covered employees. They have incentive plans for
- 8 their executives, and then they have their top five
- 9 executives, as you know, that go to the SEC. These are
- 10 all reported to the SEC. And you can get all that
- information online for how much Mr. Morris,
- 12 Mr. Vermillion and their top five make.
- 13 So again, I haven't read the full testimony yet to
- see how much goes to them, but we did ask the company to
- 15 submit an executive compensation report to us last, what,
- 16 two years ago. It was in the previous case. It details
- how much the shareholders pay for and how much the rate
- 18 payers pay for from both the basic wage compensation of
- 19 the top five, plus all the fringe benefits, you know,
- invested stock, insurance, all these other things that
- 21 they get.
- 22 So I think that is a public document. You could get
- a copy of that. Mr. Kouchi would know how to get that.
- 24 But for this specific case, I must confess I haven't read
- 25 all of it yet and all the direct testimony. My guess is

- 1 that not much would be toward executive compensation,
- 2 that more would be due to operations and maintenance of
- 3 the dams, wage increases for both union employees and
- 4 non-union, so the wage increases would be much greater,
- 5 the overall revenue requirement would be much greater for
- 6 the employees, I would think, but again, that's all
- 7 subject to confirmation.
- 8 MS. PITSTICK: Okay, sir, yes, if I can. I thank
- 9 you very much for giving me those resources and I
- 10 understand how it's a larger company, you know, executive
- 11 compensation is going to have smaller role perhaps.
- 12 Again, my experience with small business in which I
- think because of the immediate invest -- personal
- investment of the executives where they say, gee, my
- 15 business -- you know, I need to double my prices to my
- 16 clients, maybe I need to cut back on my own salary in
- 17 order to make my business more stable, and that's the
- 18 model and example I have in my mind. So thank you very
- 19 much.
- 20 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. Thank you.
- 21 COMMISSIONER GOLTZ: So that concludes the people
- 22 that have signed up on the sign-in sheets.
- Is there anybody else who, after hearing all this,
- 24 wishes to give some comments?
- 25 So I have taken Phil's, I found it, so I won't

Τ	fumble it this time.
2	Okay. With that, I want to thank for taking time
3	out of your day to come and again help us out with this.
4	I think as usual we have heard some very perspective
5	very good perspective and heartfelt comments and some
6	really good concrete information that will help us as we
7	sort through this. The record will be open until
8	through September 23rd. Feel free to comment online or
9	over the phone and see Mr. Kouchi afterwards if you need
10	that contact information.
11	Again, we will have a hearing in Olympia on
12	September 23rd, and we will after that decide if further
13	process is needed or what decision we'll make.
14	So thank you again and have a great rest of your day.
15	Thank you.
16	(Proceedings concluded at 1:17 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

0124	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
3) ss. COUNTY OF Spokane)
4	
5	I, RACHAEL L. HALL, do hereby certify that
6	pursuant to the Rules of Civil Procedure, that I
7	transcribed the oral proceedings in the foregoing matter;
8	and that the foregoing transcript pages constitute a
9	full, true and correct record of such oral proceedings
10	and of the whole thereof.
11	
12	Witness my hand this 4th day of September, 2014.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	RACHAEL LOUISE HALL
21	CSR, CRR NO. 3265
22	
23	
24	
25	