Issues in Universal
Service and Intercarrier
Compensation:

A T-Mobile Perspective

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission July 27, 2010 Olympia, WA

Dave Conn National Director, State Regulatory T-Mobile USA Bellevue, WA

Universal Service Traditional Principles and Goals

- Consumers in all areas should have access to the same types of services
- Consumers in all areas should pay prices that are reasonably comparable
- Prices for services should be reasonable and nondiscriminatory

Universal Service Traditional Wireline Subsidy Flows

- Consumers in urban areas subsidized consumers in rural areas, and business consumers subsidized residential consumers (value of service pricing)
- Consumers of long-distance service subsidized consumers of local exchange service (separations/settlements/division of revenues, access charges)
- Consumers of "enhanced" and "vertical" services subsidized consumers of "basic" services (residual pricing for local exchange service)

Universal Service Why Did the Traditional System Work?

- Limited consumer choice of providers
- Limited consumer choice of services (limited substitutability)
- Limited consumer choice of technologies

Universal Service Why Is the Traditional System Breaking Down?

Technological Changes:

- Feasibility of cable telephony / VoIP service
- Widespread consumer availability of wireless service
- ➤ Rise of "multipurpose" networks
- ➤ General adoption of broadband service at home ("over the top" VoIP, enhanced services)
- Widespread use of PCs

Universal Service

Why Is the Traditional System Breaking Down?

Industry Changes:

- Local service, or local service substitutes, are available from carriers other than ILECs within ILEC service areas
- Traditional long-distance providers and minutes of use are being rapidly displaced with options from other carriers (wireless, interconnected VoIP, "over the top" VoIP, IM, SMS, MMS)
- Service bundles offered by all carriers
- Changing consumer preferences

Universal Service

Results of Technological and Industry Changes

- Declining numbers of traditional landlines, while network costs decline at a much lower rate
- Declining traditional long-distance minutes of use, which has been a major source of contributions to universal service
- Consumers are no longer content to simply use whatever is offered, but are searching for better alternatives

Universal Service

Incumbent Provider Challenges in the "New World"

Declining revenues from existing customers

- Losses to new providers
- Substitution of new services
- Migration to lower-cost alternatives

Limited opportunities for growth in existing services

Must compete for customers with alternative technologies and providers

Universal Service Long-Run Implications of the "New World"

- Providers who try to price "above cost" when the purchaser has alternatives, will simply drive the purchaser to those alternatives
- Attempts to export "old world" regulatory requirements to rapidly evolving new technologies will only result in a "whack-a-mole" game that regulators cannot win
- Incumbent providers must find new revenue sources from services provided by multipurpose networks

Universal Service Core Principles for Reform - 1

Define the result you want

- "Like it always was" won't work
- Target penetration/availability rates for services
- Specificity required (can't rely on "just and reasonable")

Universal Service Core Principles for Reform - 2

Focus on the consumer

- Most USF subsidies should be placed in the hands of the consumer
- Consumers should decide the services and technologies that will get those subsidy dollars
- Goal is to keep consumers on the network, not support corporate revenues

Universal Service Core Principles for Reform - 3

Know the difference between business problems and public policy problems

- ➤ In the first instance, declining revenues and customer numbers are business problems that require business solutions
- As long as customers have choices, be very skeptical of subsidies to providers
- Limited and highly targeted subsidies to providers may be appropriate if necessary to preserve penetration rates in extreme high-cost areas

Thank You!

Dave Conn

Dave.Conn@T-Mobile.com