EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

FINAL BATCH HOT CUT PROCESS FORUM ISSUES MATRIX

			PROCESS ISSUES - EFFICIENC	Y	
ISSUE	ISSUE	QWEST POSITION	CLEC POSITIONS	HISTORY	RESOLUTION/RATIONALE
#	DESCRIPTION				
P-1 (see also P- 10)	Method of Initiation of a Batch Hot Cut request	The Hot Cut process is initiated by the CLEC LSRs - See also, supplemental information in "History" column	a. CLECs should be able to initiate the batch via e-mail notification that would include Central Office, project date and time, number of customer accounts, and lines involved. Qwest would respond via e-mail with the project code and a confirmation of the date which would trigger the CLEC issuing its LSR. (AT&T p. 15) b. Clarify the timing for the preorder negotiations with CLECs for submitting orders – how is the process kicked off? What is the interval for these negotiations? (MCI-Forum) In what release will the OSS modifications be included? (MCI – Forum)	1/6/2004 – Entering a "B" on the CHC Field on the LSR will identify the orders as being associated with a batch. Entering a "B" in that field will also drive CLECs to the scheduling tool where they will schedule a day for the batch to be cut. CLECs no longer a need to provide a Project ID number. This change will require OSS modifications – likely will be included in Release 16.0 (scheduled for Oct./Nov 2004)	1/6/2004: CLOSED. Qwest will modify an existing field on the LSR (the CHC field) to indicate a batch that will include reservation number and date – information will be included on FOC. If order is rejected, reservation is not lost if the order is resubmitted within the standard interval, the same reservation number can be used. Subject to OSS change that will be prioritized in the 16.0 Release and to the extent that this OSS change impacts other items in the 16.0 release, it will be addressed in CMP. 1/6/2004 - MCI agrees to CLOSE pending development and testing of the software change to ensure it works. 1/6/2004 - AT&T and McLeod agree to CLOSE.

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

-	P-2	Project Identifier for a Batch Hot Cut	The Project number is required in the LSR and consists of the letters "BHC" and the CLEC's ZCID	Clarification on how the Project number is obtained and if it is required on the LSR. (MCI – p. 12)	1/6/2004 – Based on changes discussed in P-1 above, CLECs will no longer have to provide a Project Number.	CLOSED also as to the issue of pre-order negotiations. MCI agrees to close subject to development and testing of the software change and successful negotiation of a transition plan. 1/6/2004 – CLOSED - MCI agrees to close
	P-3 See also P-23 & P-24	Can CLECs request batch cuts at any time of day?	The Batch Hot Cut Proposal is designed for Basic Installation option only Those types of sensitive circuits that require that planning need to be run through the standard hot cut process. 12/10/2003: Qwest has committed to notify the CLEC on due-date via e-mail when the batch cut begins. Batches will be completed within a relatively short period of time; therefore a coordinated installation such as is contemplated by this question is not necessary.	CLECs should be able to request batch cuts on any day, at any time of day. (AT&T – p. 19); 1/6/2004 – AT&T continues to want the ability to identify a specific window of time during the day in which Batch Hot Cuts are performed and does not want to be limited to the 3-11 window currently provided by Qwest's process. 1/6/2004 - AT&T will take the Trap and Trace proposal back to its operational people and will get back to Qwest on whether or not it will close the issue of method of notice of commencement/completion of the	12/12/2003: Request from MCI: When MCI goes into the negotiation process, can it provide a notification e-mail address at that time? QWEST RESPONSE: prior to the time a CLEC submits a batch hot cut <i>for the first time</i> , the CLEC will provide a notification e-mail address to Qwest. That e-mail will then be used by Qwest to notify the CLEC that a batch hot cut has begun for all batch hot cuts for that CLEC. CLECs do not have to include the e-mail address on LSRs. How will Qwest make sure it uses the provided e-mail for batches and other e-mail addresses for other types of order activity. QWEST to respond in writing.	1/6/2004 – IMPASSE as to AT&T regarding ability to identify a specific window of time during the day in which Batch Hot Cuts are performed. AT&T does not want to be limited to the 3-11 window currently provided in Qwest's process. 1/7/2004 – IMPASSE as to AT&T issue of whether or not the web-based status tool is the appropriate method of communication between Qwest and the CLECs on order status (See Related Issues P-23 & 24) 1/7/2004 IMPASSE as to McLeod on issue of whether or

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

		1/6/2004 – The Batch Hot Cuts will be done during a 3:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. window. 1/6/2004 – MODIFICATION TO ORIGINAL PROPOSAL - Qwest will notify the CLECs when the batch has started via the new web-based status tool. The Trap and Trace option will also identify when the batch begins. 1/6/2004 - Qwest will submit a change request to Change Management as soon as it has fully defined the requirements for the status tool. There are clarification meetings that are	batch/ status of batch. 1/6/2004 – McLeod will take the Trap and Trace proposal back to its operational people and will get back to Qwest on whether or not it will close the issue of notice of commencement/completion of the batch/status of batch. CLECs may need to plan certain batch hot cuts for customers with alarm circuits or CPE (Eschelon – p. 13)	12/17/2003: Qwest written response: The CLEC will provide the email address for their BHC-ZCID project. The QCCC will build a table unique to the Batch process with the BHC-ZCID and designated email. Therefore,, this email address will be dedicated only to these orders. 1/6/2004 – IMPASSE PENDING on e-mail notification issue as to AT&T and McLeod – both companies taking back issue of status tool and Trap and Trace as notification vehicles in lieu of e-mail or other "push" technology.	not the web-based status tool and the Trap and Trace capability is the appropriate method of communications between Qwest and the CLEC on order status. (See Related Issues P-23 & 24) IMPASSE as to Eschelon Request - regarding designating a time for customers with CPE or alarm circuits being something less than coordination that could be accommodated by the Batch Hot Cut process. 1/6/2004 – MCI agrees issue regarding e-mail notification can be CLOSED.
P-4	Can the CLECs request a "Batch Hot Cut" with coordination?	held to accommodate CLEC input prior to submitting the CR. The Batch Hot Cut process is for basic installations only. If a CLEC wants to order a coordinated installation, it should use the standard coordinated hot cut process.	The Batch Hot Cut process should include options for both a coordinated hot cut and a frame due time option. (MCI – p. 5).	CLOSED - All existing installation options, in addition to Batch Hot Cut, are available to CLECs Closed as to MCI – Sherry Lichtenberg Closed for AT&T - Rick Wolters	CLOSED - All existing installation options, in addition to Batch Hot Cut, are available to CLECs Closed as to MCI – Sherry Lichtenberg Closed for AT&T - Rick Wolters
P-5	Whether the Batch	IDLC loops are handled using	IDLC should be included in the hot	IMPASSE - as to including IDLC	12/12/2003 - IMPASSE - as to

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

Hot Cut process	the standard hot cut process.	cut process. (AT&T – p. 16-19);	loops in the batch - MCI (but MCI	including IDLC loops in the
should include loops		(MCI – p. 4); (Eschelon – p. 15);	will consider Qwest's proposal to	batch - MCI
provisioned over		(McLeod at 1)	provide the IDLC information in	
IDLC.	Orders that are provided over		advance of requirement to transition)	
	IDLC are C-Jeop'd back to the	MCI would propose building a	12/12/2003 – Remains at IMPASSE	12/12/2003 - IMPASSE - as to
	CLEC if those orders are	look-up table in Qwest OSS and		action to be taken when loops
	included in the Batch. To	reject those orders when they are		submitted by the CLECs are
	include these types of orders in a	submitted and providing	IMPASSE - as to action to be taken	provisioned over IDLC –
	Batch eliminates the efficiencies	information regarding IDLC and	when loops submitted by the CLECs	automatic move to standard hot
	the Batch process was designed	RT location. (MCI – Forum)	are provisioned over IDLC – should	cut or c-jeop of the order by
	to provide (due to requirement to		those orders automatically move to	Qwest
	roll a truck)	AT&T proposal – AT&T is not	standard hot cut or should the order	
		proposing that IDLC be a part of	be c-jeop'd back to the CLEC by	CLOSED- 12/10/2003: as to the
	Qwest will convert 10 UNE-Ps	the batch – what they are	Qwest	MCI question regarding what
	that are provisioned over IDLC	proposing is that when CLECs		rates would apply pending
	loops per day per Remote	send over UNE-P's for conversion		migration of the CLEC to UNE-
	Terminal within a Qwest	that Qwest identify whether the		Loop.
	Network Manager's area.	service is provided over IDLC,		
		have those orders drop out of the		
	Qwest will provide CLECs with	Batch and automatically convert		
	an electronic spreadsheet of all	them under the standard hot cut		
	CLEC customers, by central	process.		
	office, that are served via IDLC.			
	Timing – for those markets	If IDLC results in delay of		
	where there is a finding of "no	customer migration to UNE-Loop,	CLOSED- 12/10/2003: CLEC	
	impairment," the spreadsheet	will Qwest continue to charge	would be billed the UNE-P rate until	
	will be provided to CLECs prior	UNE-P rates until that customer	the completion and acceptance of	
	to the time when they must	can be migrated? (Gates – MCI –	then UNE-Loop service conversion.	
	transition their lines.	Forum)		
	Response to AT&T proposal – to			
	handle in that manner, requires			
	manual intervention and			

1/9/2004

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

P-6a	Whether the Batch	additional steps that impact efficiencies the Batch Hot Cut was designed to provide.	Line colitting should be included in	12/3/2003 - OPEN – Qwest and	12/12/2003 IMPASSE as to both
r-oa	Hot Cut process should include line split loops.	Line split loops are not included in the batch process, rather they are handled using the standard provisioning process.	Line splitting should be included in the hot cut process. (AT&T – p. 16-19); (MCI – p. 8-9); (Eschelon – p. 15); (Covad – p. 4);	Covad will meet to create a diagram depicting the various network connections required in a Line Splitting/Loop Splitting arrangement. – Diagrams completed	P-6a and P-6b— for MCI, Covad, Eschelon & AT&T
P-6b	Whether the Batch Hot Cut process should include UNE-P with ancillary DSL.	Requires changing the service from a non-design service to a design service – thereby eliminating some of the efficiencies the Batch process was designed to provide.	Covad agrees that this issue applies for the embedded base only and does not apply for new line splitting/loop splitting orders (Covad – Forum)	– see BHC Forum Exhibit 4	
P-7	How does the Batch Hot Cut process address situations where the end-user customer is served via exchange cable?	Batch Process will not include situations where customers are served via exchange cable because it cannot be unbundled – it is a truck side connection. CLECs can, however, use an EEL or they can collocate in the remote office. If CLECs are collocated in the remote, they can participate in the batch process	A batch appropriate process should be provided to address these situations (Eschelon MN- p. 11) Currently, Qwest rejects orders when the customer is served via exchange cable (Eschelon – Forum)	12/3/2003 OPEN - Qwest will provide a technical reference where exchange cable is defined/explained. CLECs will then review that information and provide position at next meeting of the Forum. 12/12/2003 Qwest will provide information at 12/19/2003 meeting of the Forum 12/17/2003 – Qwest Response: EX Cable - EX cable is cable that is identified due to its origination from a remote office switch. This cable cannot be assigned from the Host office as there is no assignable connection between the host and remote switches. To access EX	1/6/2004 - AT&T and MCI agree to CLOSE as to the issue of end user customers served via exchange cable not being included in the batch process.

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

		cables as Unbundled Loops a	
		collocation must be established at	
		the remote location.	
		12/19/2003 – Further Qwest	
		Response – Qwest will post a	
		diagram of this situation prior to the	
		next Forum.	
	Provide URL that contains a listing	1/6/2004 – Qwest provided Exhibit	
	of the locations for all Qwest	8 – which is a diagram of EX cable.	
	remotes sites (Clauson – Eschelon		
	– Forum)	Qwest will clarify in which remote	
		collocation arrangements CLECs	
		can use the batch hot cut process.	
		12/10/2003: The principle question	
		coming from discussion at the	
		Forum involved exchange cable and	
		was: in a situation involving a	
		host/remote switch relationship	
		where an umbilical connects the host	
		to a remote switching unit in the	
		same Wire Center, can the Batch	
		Hot Cut process be used? The	
		answer depends on whether the	
		premise that houses the remote	
		switch is in a wire center where	
		there is sufficient capacity such that	
		no field technician dispatch is	
		required. If a field dispatch is	
		required, the Batch Hot Cut process	
		may not be used; if not, it may be	
		used so long as the prerequisites for	

1/9/2004

Transcripts for all Batch Hot Cut Forums are located under the "Forum Transcripts and Exhibits" pulldown menu at: http://www.gwest.com/wholesale/training/tradeshow/batchhotcutarchive.html

6

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

P-8 W
P-8 W

1/9/2004 7

Transcripts for all Batch Hot Cut Forums are located under the "Forum Transcripts and Exhibits" pulldown menu at: http://www.gwest.com/wholesale/training/tradeshow/batchhotcutarchive.html

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

	applies if an outside technician dispatch is required.	facilities that do not require an outside technician dispatch. It is Qwest's position that the efficiencies gained in the batch hot cut process would be lost if outside technician dispatch is required.	case to situations that do not require an outside technician dispatch, this is still an open issue for Eschelon	to pursue this position in light of discussion at 12/2/2003 meeting of the Forum. Eschelon will provide feedback at the next meeting of the Forum.	including in the batch those orders that require an outside technician dispatch.
P-9	How does the Batch Hot Cut process address CLEC-to- CLEC migrations? CLEC-to-ILEC? CLEC UNE-P to UNE-L (same CLEC) CLEC UNE-P to UNE-L (different LEC)	Qwest will utilize the same overall Batch Hot Cut process in each situation to the extent that conversion volumes are sufficient to qualify as a batch. Batch Hot Cut Process does not apply to situations in which a facilities-based provider has overbuilt Qwest facilities. This situation would be a New order and, therefore, not considered as a candidate for the Batch Hot Cut Process. For conversions from UNE-L to UNE-L (different CLECs) – Qwest would only move the facility from one CLECs collocation to the other CLECs collocation and this would be included in the batch hot cut process. For CLEC to ILEC conversions	Qwest needs to explain how it will incorporate CLEC-to-CLEC migrations into a batch project. (AT&T – p. 19) Qwest must define "sufficient volumes" for CLEC-to-CLEC migrations. (MCI – p. 7) CLEC to CLEC migrations must also be included in the Batch Hot Cut process, in addition to Qwest Retail to UNE-L; Resale to UNE-L; Centrex/1FB to UNE-L. (McLeod – p. 1)		1/7/2004 - CLOSED - MCI – agrees to close this issue – Sherry Lichtenberg AT&T – agrees to close this issue – Rick Wolters McLeod – agrees to close this issue – Patty Lynott

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

		(winback) –will not be included			
		in the batch process.			
P-10	Order placement	CLECs submit their orders for a	1, CLECs should be able to use	MCI agrees to close as to the first 3	12/12/2003 - MCI agrees to
(See	methods, flow-	Batch Hot Cut using the existing	either EDI or GUI to submit	sub-issues (in CLEC Position	close as to the first 3 sub-issues
also P-	through and service	LSR process, with the "BHC"	orders. 2 , CLECs should not be	column)	(in CLEC Position column)
1)	order accuracy	plus CLEC ZCID project	required to manually generate		
		number, whether that is through	spreadsheets. 3, Orders should	McLeod agrees to close the first 3	12/12/2003 - McLeod agrees to
		the GUI or EDI interface.	flow through. (MCI-p. 5 & 9);	sub-issues pending discussion of	close the first 3 sub-issues
			(McLeod at 2)	scalability issue.	pending discussion of scalability
		Qwest response to sub-issues in			issue.
		CLEC Position Column:	4. Efficient submission will likely		
			require development of new		12/12/2003: IMPASSE as to
		1. CLECs can use either EDI or	ordering capabilities – sending	12/3/2003 - On Sub-Issue 4 -	ESCHELON issue (#4) –
		GUI to submit batch hot cut	individual LSRs for multiple	Eschelon will take back and	regarding alternative to
		orders.	orders should not be considered a	determine if it will continue to	submitting individual LSRs e.g.,
			"batch." . (Eschelon p. 12)	pursue asking for an alternative to	spreadsheet functionality.
		2. CLECs will not be required	Clarification by Eschelon -	submitting individual LSRs e.g.,	
		to manually generate	Eschelon would like Qwest to put	spreadsheet functionality.	
		spreadsheets	in place an interface to the GUI	12/12/2002	
		A D . 1 H . G . G .	and EDI for Batch Hot Cuts that	12/12/2003 - Given discussion at	
		3. Batch Hot Cut Orders are	would take the place of LSR-by-	last Forum, Qwest position is that	
		flow-through eligible. Batch	LSR entry. Or, in the alternative,	taking a mechanized process and	
		Hot Cut LSRs will flow-through	give CLECs the capability of	taking a step backward to a manual	
		in accordance with existing	sending an electronic spreadsheet	spreadsheet or to re-mechanize in	
		flow-through standards.	directly to the QCCC. (Eschelon –	another fashion is not something	
		A TI LCD .	Forum)	Qwest is willing to make a part of	
		4. The LSR process is		the Batch Hot Cut Process.	
		appropriate for use with the			
		Batch Hot Cut process. Because			
		of quality and cost issues, Qwest			
		will not pursue development of			
		an interface.			

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

P-1	1 Order in which lines are cut over	To achieve efficiencies, the process does not permit CLECs to determine the order in which lines are cut over.	CLECs should be able to specify the order in which lines are cut over e.g., customers with multiple CSRs (Eschelon – p. 13 MN p 9)	12/3/2003 - OPEN - Eschelon will take back to determine if they are going to continue to pursue this capability. 12/12/2003 - Eschelon continues to request this capability. Because CLECs must use the related PON process to submit such LSRs, Qwest should develop a spreadsheet for the batch so that related PONs are worked consecutively. Eschelon believes a PON format could be developed to indicate sequence for this purpose. 12/12/2003 - the efficiencies gained in the Batch Hot Cut Process include	12/12/2003: IMPASSE as to Eschelon issue regarding CLEC ability to determine the order in which lines are cut over in the batch.
P-1	2 Impact on Batch Hot	The "migrate by TN" capability	Batch Hot Cut process should	permitting the central office technician to perform work in the most efficient and effective way possible; specifying the order in which lines are cut over, when those lines may be on multiple blocks on the frame, reduces the efficiencies of the process. 12/3/2003 - OPEN – pending Qwest	1/6/2004 – IMPASSE as to MCI
	Cut Process of migration by telephone number	covered by CMP CRs SCR061302-01 and SCR022703-18 address only	allow CLECs to "migrate by telephone number." (MCI – p. 10)	take back on technical capability issues associated with making TN migration capability available for	issue of not providing CLECs with the capability, in the Batch Hot Cut process, to "migrate by
	change request	orders where the "to" product is	MCI views this as a critical	batch hot cuts.	telephone number and SANO"

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

	UNE-P.	requirement (MCI – Forum)		
			12/10/2003 Qwest Response: There	
			is no technical limitation precluding	
			Qwest from modifying the edits in	
			IMA to allow CLECs to enter TN	
			and SANO for UNE-P to UNE-Loop	
			conversions. Systems changes to	
			accomplish this modification are	
			required to go through Change	
			Management. MCI submitted a	
			CMP CR (SCR120403-01)	
			requesting this change on December	
			4, 2003.	
			There is, however, a technical	
			limitation that would prevent	
			migration by TN from UNE-Loop to	
			UNE-Loop as in that instance, the	
			TN does not reside in Qwest	
			databases.	
			12/12/2003: Further Qwest	
			response: This CR would be eligible	
			for inclusion in IMA Release 16.0	
			which is tentatively scheduled for	
			August 2004. To have it declared as	
			a <i>regulatory</i> CR, requesting party	
			must provide the docket number and	
			verbiage from the regulatory order	
			in that docket that mandates the	
			activity.	
			QWEST WILL TAKE BACK the	

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

				questions of whether or not it	
				considers this a regulatory mandate?	
				If so, would Qwest oppose the	
				change? Is it an option for the CR to	
				be escalated in its implementation to	
				a release sooner than IMA 16.0 via	
				the CMP exception process?	
				1 1	
				1/6/2004 – As to MCI's question	
				about whether Qwest will support or	
				oppose the "migrate by TN" CR as a	
				regulatory change – Qwest will	
				embrace the spirit of a Commission	
				order that may, at the end of the 9	
				month proceeding, direct such a	
				change –it cannot support "migrate	
				by TN" as a <i>regulatory</i> change	
				because in order to be a <i>regulatory</i>	
				change, the requirement must have a	
				docket number and order associated	
				with it. Qwest is not including the	
				"migrate by TN" in its current Batch	
				Hot Cut proposal because it is not a	
				capability that is specific to batch	
				hot cuts. However, Qwest will not	
				oppose the MCI CR SCR061302-01	
				and SCR022703-18 (that deal with	
				`	
				the TN SANO capability generally)	
D 12-	D O	D-4-1, H-4 C-4 - 14 - 11 1	O	in the CMP.	1/C/2004 MOLATRE C 1
P-13a	Does Qwest take	Batch Hot Cut orders will be	Qwest must process batch orders	12/3/2003 OPEN – Qwest will take	1/6/2004 – MCI, AT&T, Covad
See also	orders for a Batch Hot	processed by due date as	on a first in-first out basis and must	back questions around what is	& AT&T agree to close 13a.
P-1, P-2	Cut on a first come	assigned by Qwest OSS.	send both electronic and on-line	involved in the negotiations process	
and P-3	first served basis?		notification to CLEC within one	and what happens if the CLEC fails	

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

		1/6/2004 – Due date scheduler will facilitate taking orders on a first come-first served basis.	day of reject or if busy CFAs are found. (MCI p. 12)	to place their orders – do they lose their slot? Will Qwest assess some sort of penalty?	
P-13b	If Qwest will miss a due date, will jeopardy notice issue?	Yes. A jeopardy notice will be sent to CLEC on the day of the cut. If there is a Qwest jeopardy in a batch that takes the batch below 25, the entire batch will not be jeopardized.	Priority levels may be designated so that CLECs are aware of which services are in jeopardy due to the processing of a Batch Hot Cut. (Eschelon – p. 15)	12/3/2003 - OPEN	1/6/2004 – MCI, AT&T, Covad and McLeod agree to CLOSE 13 b.
P-14	How will the spreadsheet in Qwest's proposal be prepared and used? How will it be distributed? How will it be synchronized with CLEC LSRs and Qwest service orders?	The systems within QCCC will electronically produce a spreadsheet for internal Qwest use. Spreadsheet will also be distributed electronically to CLECs for use as a tool for the cut. The systems within QCCC will synchronize the LSRs with the service orders. 1/6/2004 – MODIFICATION TO ORIGINAL PROPOSAL – Spreadsheet is no longer relevant. Qwest will now notify the CLECs when the batch has started via the new web-based status tool. The Trap and Trace option will also identify when the batch begins.	AT&T supports the use of an electronically prepared spreadsheet developed by Qwest's OSS based on information supplied on batch project LSRs. AT&T opposes manually created spreadsheets. (AT&T – p. 9) Eschelon believes that the spreadsheet process will result in LSRs falling out for manual handling, and thereby increase the likelihood of hot cut trouble. (Eschelon – p. 16)	12/3/2003 OPEN	1/6/2004 – AT&T, McLeod, Covad and MCI agree to CLOSE this issue.

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

		change request to Change Management as soon as it has fully defined the requirements for the status tool. There are clarification meetings that are held to accommodate CLEC input prior to submitting the CR.			
P-15 (See also P- 16)	Can a CLEC modify a pending order for electronic provisioning?	Once an order is placed into a batch carrying a committed due date, CLECs may modify the pending order by notifying Qwest via a supplemental order identifying the change.	What happens when a CLEC needs to issue an interim order to make a change on an existing customer's account which is pending in a batch?	1/6/2004 – Qwest follow-up - Two situations (1) if a CLEC's existing UNE-P wants to submit a feature change while the account is pending in a batch hot cut, the CLECs can submit an order requesting such a feature change. (2) In those cases where there is an order pending in a batch hot cut, and the customer requests a provider change, then Qwest will perform the provider change and remove the pending order from the batch order. (See also P-16) 1/7/2004 – MCI question - If there is a change in status to a pending migration during the time the LSR for the migration is in the queue, and another order came in adding line splitting to that service – will the order for line splitting be added or rejected? QWEST RESPONSE: If a disconnect request is pending	1/7/2004 – MCI agrees to CLOSE 1/7/2004 – McLeod agrees to CLOSE 1/7/2004 – AT&T agrees to CLOSE 1/7/2004 – Covad agrees to CLOSE.

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

				against a UNE-P account, any requests to modify that account will be rejected.	
P-16 See also P-15	While a customer's line is in the Batch Hot Cut provisioning	1/6/2004 – Related to P-15 See Qwest position in P-15 above.	Clarify what happens in the batch process where the customer has churned over to another carrier	12/3/2003 OPEN - Qwest will take back the question of having the capability of permitting the	1/7/2004 – MCI Agrees to CLOSE.
	stream, can the customer switch to another carrier?		during the pendency of the batch order. (AT&T- p. 20)	subsequent order on a customer's line be able to process rather than having the customer move to the	1/7/2004 – McLeod agrees to CLOSE
			The process should not allow any order activity against a customer's account while it is in the Batch Hot	loop and then over to the new provider.	1/7/2004 – AT&T agrees to CLOSE
			Cut process. (MCI – p. 12)	1/6/2004 – See P-15 above.	1/7/2004 – Covad agrees to CLOSE.
P-17 (See also P- 18, P-19 & P-28)	Should the process allow for same day pair changes?	Due to the re-design of the circuit and time constraints to get the design accomplished, same day pair changes will need to follow the standard hot cut process.	Permitting the CLEC to change the CFA if there is no dial tone on the day of the cut should be allowed. (Eschelon – p. 17)	12/3/2003 OPEN - Qwest will take back – investigate whether the process can be modified to permit CLECs to change the CFA on the day of the cut in the event they receive a jeop notice of a no dial tone condition. 1/7/2004 – Qwest position is that it will not permit same day CFA changes for the reasons stated in the Qwest Position column.	1/7/2004 – Based on Qwest's counter-proposal, AT&T agrees to CLOSE this issue. Still have an issue on whether the webbased status tool is the appropriate method of notification. (See Issues P-3 & P-24) 1/7/2004 – Based on Qwest's counter-proposal, McLeod agrees to CLOSE this issue.
				1/7/2004 – AT&T believes this issue is at impasse since Qwest is unwilling to do a dial tone check on DVA date coupled with Qwest's position that it will not permit same day CFA changes (which is a	1/7/2004 – Based on Qwest's counter proposal, McLeod agrees to CLOSE this issue. 1/7/2004 – Based on Qwest's counter proposal, Covad agrees

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

	solution for CLECs to remedy a no	to CLOSE this issue.
	dial tone situation on the day of a	
	cut)	
	,	
	1/7/2004 – McLeod position is that	
	there should be an exception process	
	that Qwest and the CLECs can work	
	through to work the order on the day	
	of the cut in the event of defective	
	CFAs. McLeod will provide an	
	order of magnitude as to when this	
	happens.	
	1/7/2004 – Qwest will take back and	
	discuss over the morning break to	
	see if there is an alternative solution.	
	1/7/2004 – afternoon session –	
	Qwest response: based on CLEC	
	concerns, Qwest's counter-proposal	
	is that, in a 7-day interval –order	
	submittal on day 0 and FOC also on	
	Day 0 if Order is received before	
	7:00 p.m. CLECs will have	
	translations work complete by	
	midnight on Day 1 the Record Issue	
	Date (RID), Qwest will perform its	
	pre-wire work on days 2-3, will test	
	for dial tone, and will notify the	
	CLECs, via the web-based status	
	tool of any "no dial tone" situations.	
	If the "no dial tone" situation is as a	
	result of translations, then CLECs	

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

		have until the end of Day 6 to	
		remedy. If the "no dial tone"	
		situation is as a result of a defective	
		CFA, CLECs have until 7:00 p.m.	
		on Day 4 to supplement their order	
		with the new CFA to permit the	
		order to remain in the batch. On	
		Due Date, Qwest will perform a dial	
		tone & ANI test and, if there is no	
		dial tone at that time, the order will	
		go into a customer not ready	
		jeopardy status and will be removed	
		from the batch.	
		(Counting for interval purposes	
		begins on Day 1.) SEE BHC	
		Forum Exhibit 10 for timeline	
		diagram.	
		1/7/2004 – MCI - Subject to seeing	
		the process in flow-chart form, MCI	
		supports this new proposal as good	
		process.	
		process.	
		1/7/2004 AT8-T monition is that	
		1/7/2004 – AT&T position is that	
		this is an improvement from a dial	
		tone check and CFA change	
		perspective. AT&T needs to take	
		back the issue of how the	
		requirement to have translations	
		established by midnight on Day 1 is	
		something that can be	
		accommodated by AT&T's	
		accommodated by AT&T S	

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

				processes.	
				As to these modifications and their impact on the status tool, AT&T doesn't want to deal with different systems and interfaces – prefer to keep things on EDI from a statusing perspective. The web-based status tool requires CLECs to check 100% of the orders, e-mail, conceptually, requires CLECs to query orders only on an exception basis. 1/7/2004 – McLeod finds that the new proposal works well to resolve the Dial Tone and CFA issues. McLeod still wants to discuss the interval associated with the Batch. 1/7/2004 – AT&T will have the switch translations done within the	
				1/7/2004 – MCI also finds the new proposal acceptable.	
				1/7/2004 – Covad finds the new proposal acceptable.	
P-18	What is the	12/19/2003 – In response to	Pre-wiring must have at least one		12/19/2003 – CLOSED
(See	appropriate time to	CLEC feedback during the	(1) day lead time from the batch		Sherry Lichtenberg on behalf of

1/9/2004 18

Transcripts for all Batch Hot Cut Forums are located under the "Forum Transcripts and Exhibits" pulldown menu at: http://www.gwest.com/wholesale/training/tradeshow/batchhotcutarchive.html

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

also P- 17, P-19 & P-28)	pre-wire the CLEC CFA?	Forum, Qwest has agreed to move the pre-wire of the CLEC CFA to the Design, Verify and Assign date	project due date. (AT&T – p. 8)		MCI agrees to close. Karen Clauson on behalf of Eschelon agrees to close. Rick Wolters on behalf of AT&T agrees to close.
P-19 (See also P- 17, 18, & P-28	What is the appropriate timing for Qwest to perform a dial tone check?	Qwest proposes that technicians perform test for dial tone on the day of the cut and require CLECs to remedy any "no dial tone" situation within one hour.	Performing this test on the day of the cut leaves insufficient margin for error. One hour is often not sufficient time to resolve a lack of dial tone issue. (AT&T – p. 9) MCI requests dial tone check 2 days prior to cut. (MCI – pp. 10 & 12) Eschelon wants the dial tone check 48 hours in advance, and believes the one hour window to remedy issues may be insufficient. (Eschelon – p. 17)	12/19/2003 – IMPASSE as to 48 hour dial tone check 1/7/2004 – Re-opened – CLECs want Dial Tone check done at due date minus 2 and that it would be a reasonable compromise, if that check is performed, to automatically pull the order from the batch if there is no dial tone on the date of the cut (no 2 hr. window for CLECs to remedy on day of cut) Qwest agreed to take back over the morning break 1/7/2004 – See Qwest counter-proposal at Issue P-17	1/7/2004 – Based on Qwest counter-proposal (See Issue P-17), AT&T agrees to CLOSE this issue. 1/7/2004 – Based on Qwest counter-proposal (See Issue P-17), MCI agrees to CLOSE this issue. 1/7/2004 – Based on Qwest counter-proposal (See Issue P-17), McLeod agrees to CLOSE this issue. 1/7/2004 – Based on Qwest counter-proposal (See Issue P-17), Covad agrees to CLOSE this issue.
P-20	Requirement for Central Office Technician to call RCMAC to work the disconnect of the UNE-P line	The Central Office Technician does not call the RCMAC today. This is not customer service impacting. As long as the port has been set and the CLEC performs its LNP work, the customer will have the ability to receive calls even if the disconnect work at the RCMAC	Proposed Batch Hot Cut process eliminates the central office technician's call to the RCMAC to work the disconnect – results in customer working on two switches for a period of time – and inability to receive calls. (Eschelon MN P. 12)	12/3/2003 OPEN 1/7/2004 – today the CO technician does not call RCMAC to execute the disconnect order. Eschelon's statement that the customer is served by two switches for a period of time is inaccurate. Qwest presets its triggers and when the CLEC	1/7/2004 – MCI agrees to CLOSE. 1/7/2004 – AT&T agrees to CLOSE. 1/7/2004 – McLeod agrees to CLOSE.

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

		has not completed.		executes its subscription to port will occur.	1/7/2004 – Covad agrees to CLOSE.
P-21a	If one line is removed from the batch, and that line is part of a multi-line customer, should all lines for that customer be removed from the batch?	Multi-line customers have related orders on a single LSR. If one of the lines has no dial tone and CLEC is unable to resolve the no dial tone issue, all related orders on the LSR for the multi-line customer will be pulled from the batch. CLECs will receive a jeopardy notification and must submit a supplemental LSR to work the orders.	AT&T believes the entire customer should be removed from the batch. (AT&T – p. 10).	12/3/2003 OPEN 1/7/2004 – AT&T would be willing to close if Qwest is willing to document the process that is followed when one order falls out on an LSR and that it is the CLECs responsibility to work back when there are a series of related orders. Qwest accepts and will commit to documenting the process	1/7/2004 – AT&T agrees to CLOSE 21a.
P-21b	Impact on batch of trouble on one single line within a batch	Qwest has considered the issue based on Comments from CLECs on 12/1/03 and will agree to move the orders through the Batch Hot Cut process so long as there were at least 25 lines in the original batch and at least 20 qualified lines remain in the batch. Note that Qwest is unable to split LSRs.	Qwest must clarify its process when multiple customers are in the batch, and one customer's order must be cancelled. (Eschelon – p. 14) If a single order falls out of the batch process, leaving fewer than 25 lines in the order, can Qwest automatically process the LSR(s) under the "basic" process rather than rejecting or jeoping the entire batch and requiring CLEC to resubmit the order with new due dates? (MCI – Forum) Same question as MCI above – but	CLOSED as to what happens when one order must be cancelled within a batch - Qwest has considered the issue based on Comments from CLECs on 12/1/03 and will agree to move the orders through the Batch Hot Cut process so long as there were at least 25 lines in the original batch and at least 20 qualified lines remain in the batch. Note that Qwest is unable to split LSRs. 12/3/2003 OPEN – Qwest to take back the question of whether the orders that fall out of the batch hot cut process can be automatically processed using the standard hot cut process.	12/12/2003 - 21b CLOSED as to what happens when one order must be cancelled within a batch - Qwest has considered the issue based on Comments from CLECs on 12/1/03 and will agree to move the orders through the Batch Hot Cut process so long as there were at least 25 lines in the original batch and at least 20 qualified lines remain in the batch. AT&T, MCI, Covad, McLeod & Eschelon agree to close. 1/7/2004 – MCI will not pursue automatic processing of order that fall out of the batch process.

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

		what if a single order is removed from a batch of 50 orders? (Eschelon – Forum)	12/17/2003 Qwest response: Automatic processing is not feasible given the efficiency, timeliness and cost parameters of the Batch Hot Cut process. 12/19/2003 – MCI – Tim Gates will take back whether it wants to continue to pursue some sort of automatic processing for orders that fall out of the batch process 1/7/2004 – MCI has discussed and will not pursue automatic processing CLOSED	MCI agrees to CLOSE issue 21b.
21c	What happens when there is an error in the Qwest database, then how does Qwest handle the situation and what ability does the CLEC have to get the batch through?	What if CLEC has done the checks into the RLDT or other tools and yet their order becomes jeopardized because IDLC is present, but wasn't noted in the database? (Eschelon – Forum) 12/12/2003: MCI question - How does the Raw Loop Data Tool get updated?	12/10/2003: The jeopardized order would not be processed as a part of the batch. However, Qwest has modified its position to allow for the remainder of qualified lines in the batch to continue to be processed so long as at least 20 lines remain in the batch. While IDLC information is available in the RLDT, in some rare instances, the IDLC may not appear in the RLDT. If the information is missing, the CLEC has the capability of notifying Qwest of the missing information via the RLDT.	12/12/2003 – Eschelon IMPASSE on 21c– as to handling of orders that are jeopardized as a result of inaccurate or incomplete information in the Qwest database. 12/12/2003 - CLOSED as to MCI question—The RLDT regularly dips into LFACs and gets updates. If a manual look up is requested by the CLECs, then LFACs, and consequently, RLDT, are updated with information discovered in the

1/9/2004 21

Transcripts for all Batch Hot Cut Forums are located under the "Forum Transcripts and Exhibits" pulldown menu at: http://www.qwest.com/wholesale/training/tradeshow/batchhotcutarchive.html

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

				Eschelon—as to handling of orders that are jeopardized as a result of inaccurate or incomplete information in the Qwest database.	manual look-up. Qwest would update the systems automatically; the CLEC does not have to take further action to affect the updates.
P-21d	What cure period applies for Customer Not Ready situations?	12/19/2003 - After the single order that has trouble in the batch is jeopardized, the Jeopardy notices for that order would follow the standard process that exists. The four-hour window applies. The below URL provides information on the CNR process. http://www.qwest.com/wholesal e/clecs/provisioning.html	When jeopardy notice is provided for a Customer Not Ready (CNR) - will the four-hour window be imposed? Will the order be cancelled if the CLEC doesn't correct or get another order into the batch? (Clauson – Eschelon – Forum)	12/10/2003 as modified in 12/12/2003 Forum: 12/3/2003 OPEN – Qwest will take bake the question of whether the 4- hour window applies. 12/19/2003 – Qwest will take back providing a response the specifically address how a Customer Not Ready situation will be handled. 1/7/2004 – Qwest provided an explanation of the process for addressing a Customer Not Ready Situation. Based on explanation – AT&T, MCI, McLeod and Covad agree to CLOSE 21d	1/7/2004 – AT&T, MCI, Covad & McLeod agree to CLOSE 21d
P-22	Does the process have a step to prevent premature disconnection of a line in a "no dial tone" condition?	Yes – if there is a no dial tone condition, and the line is part of a multi-line customer, all lines on the LSR will be jeop'd and the cut will not occur. If the customer has multiple CSRs,, then it is the responsibility of the CLEC to make sure the LSRs	Is there a process that would automatically remove a multi-line customer from the batch in the event there is trouble found on a single line within that customer's multi-line group? (AT&T – p. 10) Provide the CLECs with the	AT&T thinks may be able to close this issue if Qwest can provide the citation to the documentation that describes what happens when a single line on a single LSR is found to have no dial tone. Qwest will take back – providing the	1/7/2004 – AT&T agrees to CLOSE 1/7/2004 – MCI agrees to CLOSE

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

	associated with each other are	citation to PCAT or other	CLECs with the citation to PCAT or	
	related.	documentation on what happens	other documentation on what	
		with a single LSR and also what	happens with a single LSR and also	
		happens when there are related	what happens when there are related	
		lines on multiple LSRs and a	lines on multiple LSRs and a single	
		single line for a multi-line	line for a multi-line customer	
		customer experiences no dial tone	experiences no dial tone	
		(Lichtenberg – MCI and Finnegan-		
		AT&T – Forum)	Qwest will also take back – whether	
		,	CLECs using an RPON to relate the	
			orders results in the orders falling	
			out for manual handling	
			12/10/2003: The material is not	
			contained in the PCAT. LSRs and	
			RPON relationships are discussed	
			generally in the IMA User's Guide	
			Once the BHC process is finalized	
			Qwest will ensure that the	
			underlying documentation contains a	
			reference to this material along with	
			the detailed documentation on the	
			BHC process itself.	
			12/12/2003 – OPEN - Qwest will	
			take back providing detail on exactly	
			where information can be found for	
			a single LSR situation with multiple	
			lines as well as multiple LSRs with	
			RPONs.	
			12/12/2003 – OPEN – Qwest will	
			take back the question of whether	

1/9/2004

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

				using RPONs to relate orders causes the orders to fall out for manual handling. 12/17/2003 – Qwest Response - Yes, the LSR containing a RPON will drop for manual handling within the service order processing group. The RPON field is populated by the CLEC with data that assists them in internally tracking PON's for whatever reason they deem necessary. The RPON field is often populated when the LSR involves a reuse of facilities, multiple requests for the same location, due date. Only the LSR containing the RPON indicator in a RPON relationship will drop for manual handling. 12/19/2003- Qwest will clarify whether a CLEC will be able to verify on their PSON whether the order is included in the Batch. 1/7/2004 – Qwest provided explanation regarding what CLEC is able to determine based on information on the PSON. Based on that discussion AT&T & MCI agree	
				that discussion AT&T & MCI agree to close.	
P-23	Timing for notifying	Qwest proposes to notify the	Notification upon completion of	12/3/2003 OPEN –	1/7/2004 – IMPASSE as to
(See	CLECs when a batch	CLECs when all lines in the	the entire should occur prior to	1/7/2004 – MCI would like Qwest to	timing and method of notice to

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

also P-3	is completed	batch have been completed and	completion of the entire batch	take back the suggestion of having	CLEC of batch completion
and P-	_	will do so via an electronic	(AT&T-p.7)	the Status Tool perform updates	
24 and	Method of notice to	spreadsheet. In addition, Qwest		more frequently than 30 minutes.	
S-1)	CLECs	currently sends FOCs and SOCs	Completion of a cut should trigger		
		to CLECs electronically.	an electronic SOC within 10		
			minutes of the cut.		
		Further, Qwest changes the			
		status within EDI within one (1)	Qwest must send EDI provisioning		
		hour of completion of the service	and completion notifications to		
		order activities to identify that	close out the LSR. (MCI – p. 13)		
		LSR requests are completed.			
			Batch Hot Cut process should not		
		Qwest will also notify the	result in all-day hot cuts (Eschelon		
		CLECs when the batch has	– p. 17)		
		started via the new web-based			
		status tool. The Trap and Trace			
		option will also identify when			
		the batch begins.			
		1/6/2004 - Qwest will submit a			
		change request to Change			
		Management as soon as it has			
		fully defined the requirements			
		for the status tool. There are			
		clarification meetings that are			
		held to accommodate CLEC			
		input prior to submitting the CR.			
P-24	How will CLECs	Qwest proposes to notify the	The CLEC needs accurate	1/6/2004 – Today, this would be	1/7/2004 – IMPASSE as to the
(See	know which orders in	CLECs when all lines in the	information regarding the exact	IMPASSE for AT&T as to existing	issue of whether a web-based
also P-3	the batch were	batch have been completed and	lines that were cut over. (AT&T –	proposal that has CLECs pulling	status tool is sufficient to
& P-23)	completed?	will do so via an electronic	p. 11)	information from Qwest as opposed	provide information to the
		spreadsheet. In addition, Qwest		to the information being pushed to	CLECs on status or is an e-mail

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

currently sends FOCs and SOCs	the CLEC by Qwest. The issues are	or other type of "push"
to CLECs electronically.	(1) notification via the website when	technology appropriate.
to effect checarimounly.	there is a no dial tone issue	teemiology appropriate.
Further, Qwest changes the	discovered by Qwest and (2)	
status within EDI within one (1)	notification via the website of the	
hour of completion of the service	initiation and completion of the	
order activities to identify that	batch.	
LSR requests are completed.	baten.	
LSK requests are completed.	1/7/2004 – MCI supports the web-	
1/6/2004 - Qwest will also notify	based system proposal, particularly	
the CLECs when the batch has	if it can use it in conjunction with	
started via the status tool. The	Trap and Trace. MCI looks forward	
Trap and Trace option will also	to working with Qwest on the	
identify when the batch begins.	requirements document associated	
1/C/2004 Owest will submit a	with the proposed status tool as part	
1/6/2004 - Qwest will submit a	of the clarification meetings	
change request to Change	provided for in CMP. MCI believes	
Management as soon as it has	the status tool needs to be developed	
fully defined the requirements	as an "API" so that information can	
for the status tool. There are	be shared back and forth.	
clarification meetings that are	1/7/2004 ATROTT 1	
held to accommodate CLEC	1/7/2004 – AT&T does not want to	
input prior to submitting the CR	commit at this time to a "pull"	
	solution as Qwest currently	
	proposes and preclude some sort of	
	"push" technology such as some sort	
	of EDI message or something	
	through the IMA GUI.	
	1/7/2004 – McLeod would like	
	Qwest to take the issue back of	
	enhancing EDI to provide for	
	capability to notify CLECs when a	

1/9/2004

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

				batch begins in addition to the status information already provided via EDI. 1/7/2004 - Qwest response is that it has already explored enhancing EDI and found that the update intervals associated with updating status in EDI would likely be longer than those associated with Qwest's webbased status tool proposal. 1/7/2004 - McLeod will continue to evaluate the Trap and Trace option. McLeod position is that the webbased status tool is, in large part, a duplication of information that CLECs obtain today via EDI (with the exception of the jeopardy notices)	
P-25	Impacts of Batch Hot	Order flow will not change from	Clarify the impact to LIDB/CNAM	12/3/2003 - OPEN - MCI wants	12/12/2003 – CLOSED based on
	Cut on Databases and	the existing process,	databases when customers move	written response from Qwest on the	additional discussion during
	directory listings (e.g.,	consequently, databases will not	from UNE-P to UNE-L (MCI – p.	timing for the 911 unlock. When	12/12/2003 Forum and Qwest's commitment to note
	LIDB, CNAM etc.)	be impacted	8)	does it happen? Qwest will take back to provide written response, but	911activities on Batch Hot Cut
				also advised within the Forum that	flow diagram and providing
				the 911 unlock will be batched and	detail concerning those activities
				processed to the PSAP at 6:00 p.m.	in the underlying Task List,
			Migration of directory listings "as	on the day of the cut. It is the same	MCI believes this issue can be
			is" should be allowed. (MCI -	process used today.	CLOSED.
			Forum)	12/10/2002 011 1 1 :	MOI : 1 d :
			MCI also manufacture in its	12/10/2003: 911 unlock is sent in a	MCI agrees to consider the issue
			MCI also recommends examining	batch format to the PSAP for all	of migration of directory listings

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

			any existing processes to see if	orders completed as of 6pm on the	"as is" CLOSED. – Sherry
			additional procedures are required	day of completion. This is the same	Lichtenberg
			to address what happens in the	process as used today.	
			event Qwest receives a trap and		
			trace request from a PSAP in		
			between the time the order has		
			been cut and when the 911 unlock		
D 26	T (1 1 1)		is processed	12/2/2002 OPEN O 1 1 1 1	1/7/2004 1467
P-26	Is there an escalation	Qwest's current escalation	An escalation process should be	12/3/2003 OPEN - Once the batch	1/7/2004 – MCI agrees to
(See	process for Batch Hot	process will be used for the	developed for the Batch Hot Cut.	hot cut process is finalized, training,	CLOSE
also	Cuts?	Batch Hot Cut process	including addressing multiple	methods and procedures and	1/7/2004 ATOT
S-1)			escalation tickets and priority for	documentation concerning the batch	1/7/2004 – AT&T agrees to
		Any issues prior to the day of the	resolution (Eschelon – p. 14)	hot cut process, how orders will be	CLOSE
		cut go through the ISC	F-1-1-1	associated in the batch, etc. will be	1/7/2004 - C1
		(Escalation Center). On the day	Eschelon wants to ensure that	provided to the centers within Qwest	1/7/2004 - Covad agrees to
		of the cut, Qwest will provide	escalation process will address	that are involved in the cut process.	CLOSE
		CLEC a spreadsheet on	multiple escalation tickets and that	CLEC documentation concerning	1/7/2004 Mal and a man to
		completion of the batch and will	escalation centers are trained in the	the process will also be created and	1/7/2004 – McLeod agrees to
		provide a timeframe prior to	components and implications of a	CLEC training made available	close.
		closing out the orders where the CLECs can call the ISC and	batch process. (Eschelon – Forum)	Owest will take healt the issue of	
				Qwest will take back the issue of	
		work through issues associated with orders in the batch. This		whether CLEC employees can be	
		process will be documented in		trained along with Qwest employees	
				12/19/2003 – Qwest evaluated this	
		CLEC-facing documentation as a part of the Batch Hot Cut		request and did not see the benefit of	
		Process.		having CLECs participate in Qwest	
		1100055.		employee training. In this process,	
		1/7/2004 – REVISED -Any		the CLEC personnel and the Qwest	
		issues prior to the day of the cut		personnel will have different work	
		go through the ISC (Escalation		functions and consequently there	
		Center). Qwest will provide		would not likely be synergies or	
		CLEC status on completion of		benefits associated with joint	

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

		the batch via the new web- based status tool and will provide a timeframe prior to closing out the orders where the CLECs can call the ISC and work through issues associated with orders in the batch. This process will be documented in CLEC-facing documentation as a part of the Batch Hot Cut Process.		training.	
P-27a	Level of Mechanization - status tool	See remarks in "History" column regarding commitment to develop web-based status tool	Qwest should develop an electronic system to provide real-time order status information (MCI – p. 5)	12/3/2003 - OPEN – Qwest is investigating this issue. 1/7/2004 – Qwest has committed to develop a status tool. Based on that commitment, MCI is willing to close this issue as it pertains to the status tool	1/7/2004 – CLOSED as to issue of providing a mechanized status tool and scheduling tool MCI agrees to close.
P-27b	Level of mechanization – electronic scheduling tool	See remarks in "History" column regarding Qwest's commitment to develop an electronic due date scheduling capability for batch hot cuts.	Establish an on-line real time electronic due date scheduling reservation system that allows CLECs to select hot cut times and dates. (MCI – pp. 9-10)	12/3/2003 OPEN – Qwest is investigating this issue. 1/7/2004 – Qwest has committed to develop an electronic due date scheduling reservation system that will permit CLECs to select hot cut dates. Based on that commitment,	1/7/2004 -AT&T agrees to close on the mechanization issue raised in the initial CLEC comments.

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

				MCI is willing to close this issue as	
				it pertains to the scheduling tool.	
P-27c	Level of	According to the International	Automated or robotic frames	On the issue of automated or robotic	12/19/2003 – IMPASSE as to
	mechanization –	Engineering Consortium (IEC)	should be evaluated (MCI – p. 3)	frames – see information in Qwest	the issue of evaluating
	automated or robotic	on URL:		Position column.	automated or robotic frames.
	frames	http://www.iec.org/online/tutoria			
		ls/frames/topic04.html			
		There is no viable solution for			
		robotic distribution frames at			
		this time			
		"While simple in concept, this			
		type of solution never quite			
		matured into a viable technology			
		for automating distribution			
		frames. That is because pure			
		mechanical, robotic solutions			
		have reliability and maintenance issues due to their moving parts.			
		This limits their effectiveness in			
		larger COs or in environments			
		where significant churn is			
		experienced."			
		"Perhaps the biggest "show			
		stopper" for robotics, and all of			
		the other copper automation			
		technologies previously			
		examined for frame applications,			
		has been scalability."			
P-28	Troubleshooting and	The process provides that	How does Batch Hot Cut process	12/17/2003 – Qwest Response - The	1/7/2004 – CLOSED – based on
(See	acceptance of multiple	CLECs are notified if there is	address troubleshooting on	CLEC is notified via email of	Qwest counter-proposal as
also P-	loops – specifically,	trouble on a line within the	multiple loops within the current	trouble on the line(s), when the	discussed at Issue P-17.

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

17, 18	sufficiency of one-	Batch. The one-hour	one hour window provided?	email is sent to the CLEC, the hour	
& 19)	hour window to	notification begins at the time	(Eschelon MN – p. 12)	timeframe starts for the orders	1/7/2004 - AT&T agrees to
Í	resolve trouble and to	that notice is provided. CLECs		contained on the e-mail notification.	CLOSE.
	accept orders	can also do work up-front to		The CLEC then has 1 hour to	
		minimize the likelihood of	12/19/2003 – Eschelon believes	resolve the trouble(s) and provide	1/7/2004 – MCI agrees to
		trouble at the time of the cut.	this is related to P-19 and, since	information back to the QCCC. If	CLOSE
			Qwest has not yet closed on P-19,	no response, the QCCC follows the	
			Eschelon disagrees that the 1-hour	standard jeopardy process and the	1/7/2004 – McLeod agrees to
			window is appropriate to resolve.	CLEC will need to supplement the	CLOSE
			(Eschelon – 12/19/2003 Forum)	LSR(s) with a new due date.	
					1/7/2004 – Covad agrees to
			AT&T and MCI believe e-mail	12/19/2003 – OPEN on 1-hour	CLOSE
			notification is inappropriate given	window- pending CLEC discussions	
			that the CLEC only has a 1-hour	to explore what an appropriate	
			window to resolve. MCI believes	period may be. Also OPEN as to	
			that this issue may be mitigated if	issue of method of notification.	
			there is some sort of on-line		
			statusing tool made available to the	1/7/2004 – Qwest counter-proposal	
			CLECs. (AT&T & MCI – Forum)	as discussed in Issue P-17 eliminates	
				the opportunity to cure on Due Date.	
				AT&T, MCI, McLeod and Covad all	
				agree that the opportunity to cure on	
D 20	C 1: .: C	D (1 1 1 1	TT 21 1 1 1 1 1 1	due date can be eliminated.	1/7/2004 D. ID A CCE
P-29	Coordination of	For systems changes, the product	How will changes agreed to in the	12/10/2003 – OPEN	1/7/2004 – IMPASSE – as to
	systems changes as a	of the Forum and the State	Forum be synched up with and	12/19/2003 - Qwest provided	MCI issue regarding the need for
	result of the Forum &	Proceedings will go to CMP as	incorporated into the CMP?	explanation that the CMP process	any system changes coming out
	State proceedings	Regulatory Change Requests.	(Lichtenberg – MCI – Forum)	for regulatory changes is for all	of the batch hot cut proceedings
	with CMP	As such, the changes will be		CLECs and Qwest to vote to see if	in the states to be classified as
		incorporated into releases above the line and will not be		they agree that the systems changes	regulatory changes. MCI does
				are indeed a regulatory change. Qwest will agree to abide by the	not agree, in this situation, that
		prioritized.		spirit and intent of the regulatory	the CMP process is the appropriate forum to address
				orders issued by state Commissions	systems changes associated with
				orders issued by state Commissions	systems changes associated with

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

				and the existing CMP processes as they pertain to the Batch Hot Cut process. MCI believes this issue needs to remain OPEN. 1/7/2004 – Qwest proposes that this issue be resolved by stating that changes agreed to in this forum and ordered by state commissions will be incorporated into the CMP process as regulatory changes. 1/8/2004 – McLeod concerned about system enhancements for BHC being included in the CMP given the number of Change Requests that are currently pending – McLeod position is that the enhancements associated with BHC should be moved outside CMP as a separate release. 1/8/2004 – Covad recommends	Batch Hot Cut given that the systems changes must be in place in order for the Batch Hot Cut process to exist.
				1/8/2004 – It is Qwest's position that the changes that result from the TRO will be handled through the normal CMP process	
P-30	Process flow – steps	Qwest cannot accommodate MCI's request because steps 3-9	On Exhibit 3 (Proposed Batch Hot Cut Provisioning Flow) MCI	12/10/2003 – OPEN 12/12/2003 – CLOSED - MCI	12/12/2003 – CLOSED - MCI agrees to close this issue

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

		are flow-through. Step 3 is the	recommends that Qwest move step	agrees to close this issue.	
		receipt of the LSR. The LSR	10 (Order		
		would then need to be input into	Verification/Qualification) to occur		
		the SOP (Step 5), then designed	immediately after current step 3.		
		(Step 9) before verification of	There is no reason to proceed		
		the order by QCCC occurs (Step	further if the order does not qualify		
		10).	for the BHC process (Gates – MCI		
		- /-	– Forum)		
			SCALABILITY – VOLUMES		
SC-1 (See	Can Qwest's	The Batch Hot Cut process is	Qwest needs to provide more	12/3/2003 OPEN - For SC-1 in total,	1/7/2004 – IMPASSE as to
also SC-	proposed process	scalable, which will allow Qwest	evidence to establish this point.	Qwest will take-back – providing parties	whether Qwest's proposed
5)	handle anticipated	to meet current and future	(AT&T - p. 12)	with the assumptions that form the basis	process can handle
	volumes?	demand.	1 /	for its proposed volume estimates. Qwes	
		In considering volumes that may	Qwest needs to identify the	will also provide additional information	1
		have to be handled by the Batch	number of batches that it can	on assumptions for staffing levels for the	
		Hot Cut Process, Qwest has	handle per Central Office, per state	anticipated volumes.	
		considered the embedded base as	and per region. (Eschelon – p. 17)	•	
		well as incremental growth			
		similar to what AT&T has	Qwest must clarify the		
		suggested. An additional	maximum/minimum line volumes		
		assumption is that in the event	per day per CLEC for the Batch		
		there is a finding by state	Hot Cut (McLeod – p. 1)		
		commissions of impairment,, or	, , ,		
		in markets where Qwest does not	The daily line count threshold that		
		seek to challenge the	Qwest can manage for its retail		
		presumption of impairment, then	unit must be provided, and parity		
		Qwest will continue to provide	established. (McLeod – p. 2)		
		UNE-P. All of these	• • •		
		assumptions will form the basis	Are there limitations on the	Qwest is not placing limits on the number	-
		for the volumes that can be	number of UNE-P to UNE-L lines	of UNE-P lines that can be migrated for a	
		anticipated.	that can be migrated for a single	single account.	
		_	account? (MCI – Forum)	-	
		Qwest will be prepared in the			

1/9/2004

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

	near future to put forward	Clarify the relationship of and	
	numbers based on those	impact of batch process on non-	
	assumptions.	batch orders and other services.	
	1	(Eschelon MN p. 10)	
		1 /	
		AT&T believes should assume	
		worst case scenario for	
		development of the process upper	
		limit. AT&T thinks that adding an	
		incremental growth number using	
		analogous number e.g., customers	
		will move their local service about	
		as much as they move their long	
		distance service approximately	
		2.6%. Churn for CLECs is	
		approximately 4.6-5.2% Churn rate	
		should also include winback rates	
		for Qwest. AT&T concerned	
		about Qwest's ability to handle	
		volumes. Wants Qwest to share	
		some of its analysis on why it	
		believes it can keep up with the	
		demand AT&T believes its	
		appropriate to look at embedded	
		base of UNE-P and UNE-L	
		customers, add some incremental	
		growth number to account for the	
		churn, include additional staff to	
		handle IDLC, and then explain	
		how the process will handle.	
		(AT&T – Forum)	
		(111001 – 1 orum)	
		Scalability is an issue for McLeod	
		Scarability is all issue for Micheou	

1/9/2004

			and very interested in how Qwest will gear up for the volume. (McLeod – Forum)		
SC-2	What is the impact of performing Batch Hot Cuts for multiple CLECs in same CO.	In a given CO, Qwest will perform batch cuts for up to 100 lines. The 100 lines can be associated with one or more CLECs. This number is over and above the normal workload. 1/7/2004 Qwest will work with multiple CLECs in the same central office if the sum of the CLECs batches does not exceed 100 lines. Today, Qwest has no formal limitations that it places on the number of basic or coordinated hot cuts. Generally, Qwest and the CLECs pre-plan to ensure a smooth transition.	a. Will Qwest work with Multiple CLECs in the same central office on the same day if the sum of the CLEC's batches does not exceed 100 lines? (AT&T p. 12) b. Is there a limit on the number of CLECs that can migrate 100 lines in a central office in a day? (AT&T p. 13) How will Qwest deal with multiple CLECs in a single CO? What is the maximum number of Batch Hot Cuts it can do in a single day per CO? (MCI – p. 7, 8) c. How will prioritization be determined so that multiple carriers can submit a Batch Hot Cut while not freezing out non-batched cuts or freezing out particular COs?	12/3/2003 OPEN	1/7/2004 - Sub-issues a, b, and c (as identified in the CLEC position column) are CLOSED for AT&T, MCI, Covad & McLeod Sub-issue d - AT&T agrees to close; MCI agrees to close; McLeod agrees to close; McLeod agrees to close. Sub-issue e – McLeod agrees to close. Sub-issue e – McLeod agrees to close this sub-issue, but believes it is still open as to the issue of scalability (See SC1 & SC-5) Covad agrees to CLOSE AT&T agrees to CLOSE MCI agrees to CLOSE
		Batch Hot Cuts are an additional option over and above standard hot cut volume	(Eschelon – p. 14) d. If restrictions are placed on CLECs ability to complete Batch Hot Cuts in any wire center at any time, how will comparable restriction apply to Qwest retail? (Eschelon – p. 14)		

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

		Restrictions, if any, will be applied in a non-discriminatory manner.	e. McLeod would like clarification around what the "normal workload" is as well as an understanding of exactly how the new batch hot cut process and the normal workload will be resourced. (McLeod – Forum)		
SC-3	Same CLEC, multiple Collocations in the same CO	So long as conversions are for the same CLEC, CLECs with multiple collocations in the same CO can use the Batch Hot Cut process for such conversions	Will Qwest allow CLECs that had two different collocation arrangements in the same CO to include facilities in each of the arrangements on the same project? (AT&T p. 13) For the same CLEC with multiple RSID/ZCID how will Qwest handle?	the question of whether the process will accommodate the same CLEC/operating entity with multiple RSIDs or ZCIDs and multiple ICAs combining their orders into a batch. 12/10/2003: If a CLEC is operating under multiple ZCIDs, that means that they are operating under multiple ICAs and, therefore, their orders must be handled as separate batches. 12/12/2003 – If a CLEC is operating under a single interconnection agreement but has multiple RCIDs or ZCIDs, their orders must be handled as separate batches. CLOSED – AT&T agrees to close. MCI agrees to close.	12/12/2003 - CLOSED – AT&T agrees to close. MCI agrees to close.
SC-4	Staffed vs. Un- staffed COs	The Batch Hot Cut process will be available in any central	Are batch projects limited to central offices with full-time staff?	CLOSED – AT&T agrees to close this issue. – Rick Wolters	CLOSED – AT&T agrees to close this issue. – Rick
		office, whether staffed or un-	(AT&T p. 13)		Wolters

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

		staffed.			
SC-5 (See also SC-1)	Staffing levels	Resources will be added and/or shifted as the final Batch Hot Cut process requires. Qwest will use its standard recruiting, hiring and training practices to staff the work activities required. In those locations where Qwest has sufficient volumes, there will be dedicated personnel assigned to batch activities. For other locations, the staff will not be dedicated only to batch work, but during batch activity, will be focused on that work.	Describe the number of additional people Qwest must add to meet the increased hot cut demand. (AT&T p. 13) Plans for recruiting, hiring and training personnel (AT&T p. 14) Qwest must identify and dedicate Qwest personnel to the Batch Hot Cut process (Eschelon – p. 15) By dedicated, Eschelon means resources that will be working solely on batches.	12/3/2003 OPEN – See also SC-1	1/7/2004 – IMPASSE as to level of detail required regarding plans for staffing by Qwest to address batch hot cut activity
SC-6	Order accuracy		Qwest should develop a mechanism to create accurate service orders from the batch, and batch hot cut orders should flow-through. (Eschelon – p. 13)	1/8/2004 - Qwest position is that order accuracy is more appropriately dealt with in Long Term PID Administration. 1/8/2004 - AT&T and Covad agree to close this issue and will take up their concerns regarding performance metrics in other sections of the Disputed Issues matrix.	1/7/2004 – MCI agrees to CLOSE this issue. 1/7/2004 –AT&T is willing to close as to SC-6. 1/7/2004 – Covad agrees to close 1/7/2004 – McLeod agrees to close
			VOLUMES		
V-1 (See SC-1 & SC-5)	The basis on which Commissions can arrive at a maximum volume	Qwest proposed formulas provide the basis on which Commissions can arrive at maximum volumes.	Qwest's proposed formulas do not account for churn and winback activity.	12/3/2003 - OPEN - See SC-1 1/8/2004 – McLeod position is that the formula covers the embedded base, but	1/8/2004 – Parties agree to CLOSE this Issue as it is covered in Issues SC-1 and SC-5.

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

of hot cuts to be	The Number should be broken out	does not account for new growth	
performed across	on a state-by-state basis. (AT&T –		
the Qwest region	p. 13)	1/8/2004 – MCI position is that the	
		formula assumes that the movement will	
		occur over a 21 month period – and does	
		not account for the situation where a	
		CLEC may want to move faster than the	
		21 month period. Further, it appears that	
		the formula is completely dependent on	
		the successful negotiation of a transition	
		plan. There may also be certain central	
		offices where the CLECs may want to	
		move more quickly that may conflict with	
		the 21 month time period as Qwest has	
		proposed.	
		1/8/2004 – Covad cannot say that it	
		agrees completely, but is encouraged that	
		Qwest's numbers appear to show that	
		Qwest has sufficient resources to handle	
		the volumes. The assumptions appear	
		reasonable.	
		1/8/2004 – Qwest can add to its	
		assumptions the "net add" of the current	
		UNE-Loop activity to reflect the growth.	
		With that addition, McLeod would agree	
		that the assumptions are appropriate.	
		MCI would agree that the calculations are	
		correct based on the assumptions. MCI	
		does not believe that the conclusions	
		Qwest is drawing from those numbers is	

1/9/2004

				necessarily correct.	
V-2	Per Central Office limit on Batch Hot Cuts	Qwest proposes a limit of 100 lines per Central office	What is the appropriate limit on a per central office basis – should there be a limit? (Eschelon – Forum)	1/8/2004 – Qwest position is that the most efficient configuration is for 2 technicians performing 100 conversions during an 8-hour shift. 1/8/2004 – AT&T – accepting that premise – is there a reason why you have to limit it to two technicians? Qwest Response: two technicians is optimum given the concentration of wires on the frames where this work is being performed and is the most efficient way.	1/8/2004 - MCI would agree to CLOSE this issue based on an agreement that the parties are not foreclosed from mutually agreeing, during the transition planning process for the embedded base, to the possibility of doing more than 100 lines per CO on an exception basis, Further, planning can include new customers may also be included in the
				frames where this work is being performed and is the most efficient way. Further, the 100 lines per CO permits Qwest to complete the migration well within the transition period. 1/8/2004 – If, in the transition meetings, MCI or other CLECs want a more rapid transition in certain central offices that met certain characteristics, would Qwest be willing to do more than 100 lines? 1/8/2004 - Qwest is not precluding that sort of discussion, however, the volumes	include new customers may
				appear to demonstrate that Qwest can handle the transition in addition to day-to-day activity within the 100 line per CO limit.	1/8/2004- Covad agrees to CLOSE this issue based on an agreement that the parties are not foreclosed from mutually agreeing, during

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

					the transition planning process for the embedded base, to the possibility of doing more than 100 lines per CO on an exception basis. Further, planning process may also include consideration of new acquisition customers. 1/8/2004 – AT&T will take this issue to IMPASSE and supports McLeod's suggestion that the batch size per Central Office of 200 lines.
V-3	Size of a "batch"	The batch must include at least 25 lines for a specific CLEC in one central office.	CLECs should be allowed to determine a minimum or maximum amount of orders to send per batch, per CO. (MCI – p.7) Qwest should specify the assumptions and exceptions made per batch. (Types of orders, volume limits, etc) (McLeod – p. 1) Clarify definition of batch/number of lines. (Eschelon – Forum)	12/3/2003 - OPEN	1/8/2004 – IMPASSE as to the issue of minimum batch size 1/8/2004 – McLeod position is that, particularly for new customers, there should be no minimum number necessary for CLECs to be able to take advantage of batch hot cuts and a cheaper price. 1/8/2004 – AT&T position
			How many current orders would trigger the "batch" process as defined in the proposal. In other	12/10/2003 - Qwest does not currently track orders in this manner, and has no way of tracking this information since	is that 25 should not be the minimum batch size. AT&T proposes there be no

1/9/2004 40

Transcripts for all Batch Hot Cut Forums are located under the "Forum Transcripts and Exhibits" pulldown menu at: http://www.gwest.com/wholesale/training/tradeshow/batchhotcutarchive.html

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

	words, how often does a single CLEC submit orders for 25 mass market loops in a single wire center on a single day? Single week? What percentage of the offices in the state incur this type of volume? (Peter Healy TDS Metrocom – Forum)	CLECs do not currently "batch" their orders for submission, except where they desire conversion on a project-managed basis. 12/12/2003 –Qwest has UNE-P arrangements in 91% of the central offices in its territory. In a large percentage of those offices there are 25 or more UNE-P arrangements. Qwest will	minimum batch size 1/8/2004 – MCI position is there should be no minimum batch size per CLEC or generally
	12/19/2003 – MCI response – MCI position is that limit of 100 per CLEC per CO is the <i>minimum</i> limit.	take back question of what is that percentage. 12/19/2003 – generic example in a state with 130 offices, 75 have UNE-P in them; of that 75, less than 25% of them have fewer than 25 UNE-P arrangements, 6 offices have 1,000 or more. Qwest will provide more detail at the January forum. Steve Pitterle - from TDS Metrocom has additional questions before agreeing to close. 12/19/2003 –looking for response from Qwest regarding the percentage requested above. MCI is not willing to agree to close at this time. MCI is not willing to say that the appropriate maximum number of lines per CO is 100 unless there is some actual, technical explanation as to why there is a limit.	

1/9/2004

				MCI will take back the question of what MCI's proposed minimum/maximum numbers are for this purpose. McLeod will take back the question of what McLeod's proposed minimum/maximum numbers are for this purpose. Qwest will take back the request for additional support behind Qwest's 100	
				batch limit <i>and</i> the CLEC proposal to	
				require less than a 25 minimum number	
				as it pertains to unstaffed offices	
V-4	"Orders" vs.	The batch process will include	Clarify which will be used when	CLOSED	12/3/2003 - CLOSED
	"Lines" when	up to 100 lines per day in a	sizing and addressing Batch Hot		
	addressing sizing	single central office.	Cut limitations – lines or orders.		
			(MCI – p. 8)		
			SEAMLESSNESS		
S-1	How will Qwest	See P-23 - P-26	a. Outages must be managed	12/3/2003 - OPEN – See also P-23 and P-	Sub-Issue S-1a – CLOSED
(See also	manage customer		quickly and efficiently $(AT&T - p)$.	26	McLeod, MCI, AT&T &
P-23 &	service impacts and		5)	1/8/2004 – Qwest's new proposed status	Covad agree to close.
P-26)	outages during		11 20 4 4 211 4 1	tool would provide CLECs with status on	
	batch hot cut		Identify steps that will be taken to minimize adverse end user	their orders thereby permitting the CLECs	Sub-Issue S1b – CLOSED
	process?		impacts. Are there preventative	to complete the orders or, should they need to pull orders from the batch for	McLeod, MCI, AT&T & Covad agree to close.
			measures contemplated? (Eschelon	some reason, the status tool provides a	Covad agree to close.
			MN – p. 4 & p. 10)	hot-link e-mail address that CLECs can	
			By outage, McLeod is referring to	use to send such a request to Qwest.	
			both those instances when	CLECs also have the existing escalation	
			customers are unable to receive	process available to resolve issues as	
			calls <i>and</i> those instances when	well.	
			customers have no dial tone.		

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

	(McLeod – 12/19/2003 Forum)		
	(
	b. Provide a response to AT&T's		
	request for e-mail notification on	12/3/2003 - OPEN	
	initiation of a batch. (Finnegan –	12/3/2005 OTEN	
	AT&T – Forum)	12/10/2003 - Qwest has considered	
	·	AT&T's request and agrees that it will	
		now provide CLECs with an email	
		notification on the initiation of the batch.	
		12/19/2003 – From AT&T's perspective,	
		believe that with response above and	
		discussion during 12/19/2003 Forum	
		AT&T believes there is agreement in	
		principle. Parties understand that,	
		similar to the process followed during the	
		271 workshops, agreements reached	
		during the Forum will ultimately be documented in SGAT and, once it has	
		gone through the CMP, in PCAT	
		language.	
		1/7/2004 AT&T position is that it is still	
		investigating the Trap and Trace proposal	
		of Qwest and still questions whether a	
		web-based status tool is sufficient to provide information to the CLECs on	
		status or is an e-mail or other type of	
		"push" technology appropriate. Parties	

1/9/2004 43

Transcripts for all Batch Hot Cut Forums are located under the "Forum Transcripts and Exhibits" pulldown menu at: http://www.gwest.com/wholesale/training/tradeshow/batchhotcutarchive.html

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

				will discuss this issue in the context of Issues P-23 & P-24 and so this Issue can be closed.	
S-2	Interval for the batch – from CLEC initiation of a request to due date for the batch	Intervals for the embedded base of UNE-P depends on the Batch Hot Cut process agreed to in the Forum and the transition plans ordered by the Commission. For new Batch Hot Cuts, the interval will be negotiated.	a. Batch Hot Cut intervals cannot be individually negotiated on a project-by-project basis. There must be a standard interval (e.g., 6 days). (AT&T – p. 14) a. CLECs should not be required to "negotiate" the provisioning date for a Batch Hot Cut in advance. Interval should be five (5) business days to minimize the amount of time a customer is held in a limbo state. (MCI – p. 5, 6 & 11) a. Assumption is that the current 5-day interval will be the interval for the new Batch Hot Cut process	As to Sub-Issue S-2a (as noted in CLEC position column): 12/3/2003 - OPEN - Qwest will take back – parameters for an interval and also whether or not there is a way to schedule without requiring negotiations 1/8/2004 – Qwest position is that the "meaningful opportunity to compete" standard as decided in the 271 proceedings should be the basis on which intervals are set. For Batch cuts for the embedded base, the volumes involved and the fact that CLECs already have the customer so there is not a customer acquisition issue, the 7-day interval is appropriate and meets the "meaningful opportunity to compete standard."	1/8/2004 – IMPASSE as to the issue S-2a - parameters for the interval - should the intervals for the batch hot cut process be the same as the intervals for the standard provisioning process? 1/8/2004 – CLOSED as to Issue S-2b – based on Qwest commitment to a scheduling tool. AT&T, MCI, McLeod, Covad agree to close. 1/8/2004 – S-2c – IMPASSE – as to the issue
			(McLeod – pp. 1 &2) a. Eschelon wants definition on what the interval is for the batch	1/8/2004 – AT&T wants interval for the Batch process to be same as for the one-by-one hot cuts (5 business days). With	of a different batch interval for new customers vs. embedded base customers
			hot cut process. For new hot cuts, a standard interval critical. (Eschelon – Forum)	no minimum batch size, this is consistent. Conditional upon cost.	1/8/2004 – S-2d – CLOSED
			b. AT&T wants to "get out of the negotiation business." For	1/8/2004 – McLeod position is that a 4-5 business day interval is appropriate. CLECs have committed to have their	1/8/2004 – S-2e CLOSED

1/9/2004

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

translations complete on Day 1 and transition of the embedded base AT&T suggests scheduling it out McLeod would commit to have any every day for weeks at a time. If it defective CFAs supplemented by Day 3. is done that way, and Qwest has all Interval is conditional upon cost. the "CLEC balls in the air" and is scheduling the work accordingly, 1/8/2004 – Covad is not prepared at this is there still a need for negotiation? time to specify an interval – tentatively 6 (AT&T - Forum)days. Interval is conditional upon cost b. Rather than make negotiation 1/8/2004 - MCI position is that 5 the rule, may be easier to have business day interval is appropriate. MCI negotiation as the exception for would also agree to have any defective both the embedded base and "new" CFAs supplemented by Day 3. Interval is customers. (AT&T – Forum) conditional upon cost c. For CLEC new acquisition Sub-Issue S-2c customers coming from Qwest to 12/12/2003 Qwest will take back issue of the CLEC, today on average for a whether there could be a different interval residential customer McLeod can for "new customers" vs. embedded base. convert to McLeod service in 7-8 calendar days, primarily because of 1/8/2004 – Qwest is not willing to have a the 5 day Qwest interval for the different interval for "new customers" vs. UNE-Loop. Concern about embedded base whether the batch hot cut process is even a viable option for "new" customers. (McLeod – Forum) d. MCI wants a timeline containing the intervals and what happens when. (MCI – Forum) e. MCI also wants Qwest to

1/9/2004

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

			consider development of a		
G 2	D 11.11.		scheduling tool. (MCI – Forum)	12/2/2002 OPENI	1 /0/2004 CLOCED
S-3	Responsibility for		Qwest should issue the LNP order	12/3/2003 OPEN	1/8/2004 – CLOSED –
(See also	issuing LNP Order		when the cut is complete and	1/0/2004 G 1 1 1 1 0 2 0	AT&T, MCI, Covad &
Q-2)			provide immediate notification to	1/8/2004 – See related issue Q-2. Qwest	McLeod agree to close.
			the CLEC when it occurs. (MCI –	is not willing to perform LNP porting	
			p. 13)	activity for the CLEC. Qwest is	
				proposing CLECs use the web-based	
				status tool and Trap & Trace capability	
				to identify when hot cut activity is	
				completed so that they can submit their	
				own number port activation to the NPAC.	
			RATES/PRICE		
R -1	Rate structure for	The final Batch Hot Cut process	Detailed rate information needs to	12/3/2003 OPEN	1/8/2004 – See also R-2 –
(See also	new batch process	must be defined before Qwest	be provided including the cost		IMPASSE as to what is the
R-2)	and timing for	can create an appropriate cost	structure and cost studies used to	1/8/2004 – Given the changes made to the	appropriate rate structure
	development of the	study. The proposed Batch Hot	develop the rate (AT&T – p. 3);	process during the Forum – Qwest will	
	structure	Cut Process does not include	(MCI - p. 3).	need to incorporate the changes into its	
		costs associated with Coordinated		cost studies for the process as it now	
		Installation.	Consideration of rates for	stands. Qwest plans on filing a cost study	
			coordinated installation. (Eschelon	with its testimony based on Qwest's	
			-p.11)	proposal as it exists today along with a	
				recommended price based on that study.	
			What are the benefits of the new		
			process? How are efficiencies		
			quantified in Qwest's proposed		
			process (AT&T & MCI - Forum)		
R-2	What is the	The NRC for the Batch Hot Cut	Qwest needs to make significant	12/3/2003 OPEN –	1/8/2004 – See also Issue
(See also	appropriate	process should reflect the	reductions in its hot cut NRCs to		R-1 – IMPASSE – as to
R-1)	TELRIC-based	forward-looking cost Qwest will	make UNE-L a viable alternative	1/8/2004 – see discussion in "History	what is the appropriate
	price for the Batch	actually incur to provide Batch	for serving mass market (AT&T -	Column for Issue R-1 above.	TELRIC-based rate.
	Hot Cut process?	Hot Cuts. The proposed	p. 4)		
		process, as currently designed			

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

		realizes efficiencies gained as a	Qwest must specifically quantify		
		result of performing work in a	all proposed NRCs involved in the		
		batch manner.	Batch Hot Cut process. (McLeod		
			- p. 1)		
			POST-CUT QUALITY		
Q-1 (See S-1)	Is there a process to "throw-back" a customer to its prior service	Qwest will send CLEC an electronic spreadsheet on the due date after the hot cuts have been completed. The spreadsheet will contain a deadline time when Qwest will begin running its completions out of the WFA/C system. If the CLECs identify issues with their lines, CLECs will contact the QCCC before the deadline set. Then the order would stop, it would be cut back to the Qwest switch, translations would not run and Qwest would send a jeopardy notice to the CLEC. CLEC would then supplement the order and follow the standard hot cut process.	There should be such a process. (AT&T - p. 20) (Eschelon – p. 14) (McLeod – p. 2) (MCI – p. 11) If there does happen to be a degradation of service associated with going from UNE-P to UNE-L, if there is no longer a UNE-P option, what then? (McLeod – Forum) Auto Completions from WFA – is it done in batches or by individual orders? (Clauson – Eschelon – Forum)	12/3/2003 OPEN 1/8/2004 – parties agree this issue is addressed in Issue S-1 12/10/2003: Order automation checks for completions in WFA every 3 minutes and	1/8/2004 –CLOSED – MCI, AT&T, McLeod & Covad agree to close as this issue is addressed in Issue S-1
				issues a request to the SOP to do completions. 12/12/2003 – CLOSED as to Eschelon's	
				issue regarding WFA auto completions.	
Q-2 (See	LNP activity	Qwest sets LNP triggers prior to	Qwest must submit the number-	12/3/2003 OPEN – See also S-3	1/8/2004 – CLOSED.
also S-		the due date. CLEC subscription	port activation order to NPAC		AT&T, MCI, Covad &
3)		activity triggers the porting.	within 10 minutes after the Batch	1/8/2004 – Qwest is not willing to	McLeod agree to close.

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

			Hot Cut is completed, with notice to the CLEC after successful completion of each step. (MCI – p. 12-13)	perform LNP porting activity for the CLEC. Qwest is proposing CLECs use the web-based status tool and Trap & Trace capability to identify when hot cut activity is completed so that they can submit their own number port activation	
				to the NPAC.	
			SS TESTING/PERFORMANCE M		
T-1	Should Qwest provide some demonstrable method to show that the Batch Hot Cut process works.	No. There is no such requirement in the TRO. The State Commissions shall establish and implement a batch hot cut process by July, 2004. Development of a test and incorporating a test as AT&T envisions takes an extensive amount of time that the timeframes provided by the FCC do not provide.	Process must be thoroughly tested to guarantee operational readiness. (AT&T – p. 21) AT&T recommends that there be some sort of testing process that uses existing Qwest customers, subject to monitoring by the State Commissions. (AT&T) The test would have Qwest become a CLEC in its own territory establish a collocation, insert the required equipment, backhaul to a second CO, where it would have the backhaul connected to the second switch. Test would use Qwest's existing retail customers, and would test the 911 process, the LNP process etc. As the test was performed, there could be independent observations by the State Staffs to see how Qwest is performing. (AT&T – Forum)	12/3/2003 OPEN – OPEN - Qwest will take back – possibility of proposing some demonstrable vehicle/evidence to show that the process works. 12/19/2003 – Closed as to Rick Carter of the Oregon PUC question as to whether there a current work operation that could simulate a Batch Hot Cut that would illustrate Qwest's competency in this type of activity e.g., existing hot cut process bulk cuts to DLC etc. Qwest will take back – suggestions made by Don Gray from the Nebraska Commission and Rick Carter from the Oregon Commission regarding alternatives to testing e.g., PROCESS metrics 12/10/2003: Response to Don Gray (Nebraska Commission) suggestion	1/8/2004 – IMPASSE as to the issue of whether there is a requirement for Qwest to provide demonstrable proof that its proposed Batch Hot Cut process works prior to state commission approval.

1/9/2004

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

Subject to further discussion, expect that it would provide 100 cuts per day for a series of days. (AT&T – Forum) Qwest would have to send orders to itself via the GUI. (AT&T – Forum) The test does not have to be set up and completed prior to implementation of the process. The State Commissions can approve and implement the proces and then perform the test. (AT&T – Forum) AT&T doesn't think the system or process will be the problem – the problem will be that you have a lo of human touch-points that create the opportunity for error. AT&T wants to see volumes of the level that could be expected with the process and some way to show that the process works under those volumes	
AT&T believes it is appropriate to test the actual process – once the process is developed – it should go through the test. As to the notion	

1/9/2004

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

that there is something out there today that is a proxy – AT&T doesn't want to pursue that. Not suggesting that the testing has to be a part of any process proposal that the Commissions will approve – it can be done serially after the Commissions have issued their decisions. (AT&T 12/19/2003 Forum)	
Commercial testing must be an integral part of any approval process. (MCI – pp. 5 & 6) MCI does not believe a third party test is required. There must be metrics put in place and the commercial operation with the ability to look at performance and with distinct performance metrics and remedies is the way to go. It is commercial day-to-day activity that is monitored and that there are sanctions/penalties for non-performance. (MCI – Forum)	
MCI concerned that the ancillary processes that surround the batch hot cut process can handle the volumes that a batch process may bring about. Not something within	

1/9/2004

^{**}NOTE: Shaded items are closed issues.

EXHIBIT NO. ____ (DP/LN-2) Docket No. UT-033044 January 23, 2004

 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
the control of Qwest, however, will	
be an issue that may directly	
impact customers. So MCI	
believes must work through the	
process, the process must be	
measurable and then see what	
happens in the commercial world	
once the process is implemented.	
If there are problems that are	
impacting end-user customers,	
there must be a way to stop the	
process until the issues are	
addressed. (MCI – Forum)	
addressed. (We1 - 1 ordin)	
Process must be tested prior to	
implementation. (Eschelon – p.	
16)	
Forbolous in interest of in continu	
Eschelon is interested in getting	
more information regarding	
AT&T's testing proposal using	
Qwest retail customers. (Eschelon	
– Forum)	
Qwest should be required to test	
any proposed Batch Hot Cut	
process before a Commission	
makes a finding on whether	
CLECs are impaired in switching	
mass-market customers. Qwest	
must also ensure that associated	
vendors (numbering administrator,	
E911 administrator, etc.) can	

1/9/2004 51

Transcripts for all Batch Hot Cut Forums are located under the "Forum Transcripts and Exhibits" pulldown menu at: http://www.gwest.com/wholesale/training/tradeshow/batchhotcutarchive.html

			handle any increased loads. (McLeod – p. 2)		
T-2	Performance measures for new Batch Hot Cut process	Long Term PID Administration is the appropriate forum to develop new performance measures associated with the Batch Hot Cut process. Further, there is not the same 9-month deadline associated with developing performance measures. 12/3/2003 - Qwest would be willing to discuss Process Measures – but these are different than Performance Measures. 12/19/2003 - Specifically as to the MCI request – the PIDs that impact analog loop provisioning and repair processes are publicly available. Which metrics will be impacted by the Batch Hot Cut process as well as those that need to be developed for the Batch Hot Cut Process is more appropriately addressed by those experts in the LTPA	Performance measures should be developed simultaneously with the process to permit Qwest's performance to be evaluated. (Eschelon – p. 15); MCI – p. 9. Need to have basic metrics and sanctions in place when the process is implemented. Eschelon cautions against delay in development of the metrics. (Eschelon – Forum) Metrics need to be incorporated into the various state PAPs. PIDs must be developed in parallel with the process development. (AT&T – Forum) Measure of things like how many order rejected for CFA or customer losing service on the day of the cut or how many cuts done per day. Need a description of the metrics or measurements relating to the accuracy and seamlessness of LNP transactions. (MCI – p. 10) MCI requests that Qwest provide the current PIDs that do apply to	12/3/2003 OPEN - Qwest will take back – providing PROCESS metrics that will be incorporated into the process (Per request of Don Gray) Qwest will take back – identifying those PIDS that apply to the activities associated with the proposed Batch Hot Cut process as well as those that do not apply. (MCI Request) 12/10/2003: Qwest would be willing to work with the Long Term PID Administration (LTPA) facilitator, state commission staff members and CLECs to facilitate expeditious completion of BHC PID development in LTPA once the Batch Hot Cut Process is complete. 12/19/2003 – OPEN –as to MCI issue regarding identifying those existing PIDs that apply to the Batch Hot Cut process. Based on discussion during the 12/19/2003 Forum, MCI will take back the Action Item to provide a response regarding its position on the appropriate forum for development of Performance Measures during January face-to-face Forum.	1/8/2004 – McLeod recommends the issue be CLOSED with the understanding that the issue was whether there are measures in place today that can be used, with further measures to be developed in the Long Term PID Administration once the process is finalized. AT&T agrees to CLOSE based on the above understanding. MCI agrees to CLOSE based on the above understanding. Covad agrees to CLOSE based on the above understanding.

1/9/2004

	Qwest is not willing to provide the PIDs for discussion in this Forum – the appropriate place for discussion and development is the LTPA.	the Batch Hot Cut Process and any PIDs that you think do not apply to the Batch, but do apply to Basic or Coordinated or other installation methods. 12/19/2003 – Forum - AT&T believes that all existing PIDs, with the exception of OP-4 and OP-13, would apply to the Batch Hot Cut process.	having development of BHC metrics being made a high priority in the Long Term PID Administration. Further, for those PIDs that are not modified, then Batch Hot Cut activity will be included in those measures e.g., analog loop measures. Finally, process oversight exists today that evaluates any problems that may arise to determine the cause and takes steps necessary to rectify the problem to improve the process. In today's world, with no batch hot cut exclusions, which PIDs would cover batch hot cut activity? If you look at general analog loop provisioning PIDs at the very least, OP-4 and OP-13 would not apply to batch hot cut activity. If you look at what the FCC focuses on it is commitments met and new installation service quality. Those PIDs would capture batch hot cut activity.	
--	---	--	--	--

1/9/2004