PROPOSED RULE MAKI	CR-102 (June 2012) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making					
Agency: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission						
 Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR <u>14-15-04</u> Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1). 	; (Original Notice Supplemental Notice to WSR Continuance of WSR				
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject) This rulemaking proposes amending rules and eliminating requirements in existing rules in WAC 480-120, Telephone Companies, WAC 480-121, Registration and Competitive Classification of Telecommunications Companies, WAC 480-122, Washington Telephone Assistance Program, WAC 480-123, Universal Service, WAC 480-140, Commission General – Budgets, and WAC 480- 143, Commission General – Transfers of Property. This rulemaking also proposes establishing damage reporting requirements in accordance with the 2011 amended underground utilities law, Chapter 19.12.02 RCW. Docket UT-140680						
Hearing location(s): Commission Hearing Room 206 Second Floor, Richard Hemstad Building 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. Olympia, WA 98504-7250	Submit written comments to: Name: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Address 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250 e-mail records@utc.wa.gov. Please include "Docket UT- 140680" in your comments. fax (360) 586-1150 by (date) January 6, 2015					
Date: <u>February 12, 2015</u> Time: <u>1:30 p.m.</u>	Assistance	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact				
Date of intended adoption: <u>February 12, 2015</u> (Note: This is NOT the effective date)		ilar by <u>January 29, 2015</u> <u>86-8203</u> or (360) <u>664-1132</u>				
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:						

The telecommunications industry has undergone substantial technological and competitive changes in just the last few years. Washington consumers and businesses now have multiple choices of providers for their telephone services, and as a result the Incumbent local exchange carriers have experienced significant declines in customers and local telephone service revenues. This proposal addresses the changes that have occurred in the telecommunications marketplace since 2007, the last time the Commission revised its rules. In addition, this proposal establishes damage reporting requirements in accordance with the 2011 amended underground utilities law by requiring facilities operators to report damage events to the Commission with 45 days, with specific descriptive data about the event.

Reasons supporting proposal: See above.

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 19.122.053, RCW 19.122, RCW 80.01.040 and RCW 80.04.160		Statute being implemented: N/A			
Is rule necessary because of a:	_		CODE REVISER USE ONLY		
Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION:	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED		
DATE			DATE: December 02, 2014		
December 2, 2014			TIME: 9:52 AM		
NAME (type or print)					
Steven V. King			WSR 14-24-097		
SIGNATURE			WSR 14-24-097		
12- V F	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
TITLE Executive Director and Secretary					
(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)					

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:					
None					
Trone					
Name of pi	oponent: (person or organization) N	Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	Private Public Governmental		
Name of ag	gency personnel responsible for:				
D (//	Name	Office Location	Phone		
	William Weinman				
•	ionSteven V. King		(360) 664-1115		
	Steven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1115		
		ement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or has r section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?	a school district		
🗌 Yes.	Attach copy of small business econ	nomic impact statement or school district fiscal impact state	ement.		
	A copy of the statement may be obta Name:	ained by contacting:			
	Address:				
	phone ()				
	fax () e-mail				
57					
🖂 No.	Explain why no statement was prepa	ared.			
		than minor costs. Because there will not be more than minor in s not required under RCW 19.85.030(1).	creases in costs		
resulting not	in the proposed rule changes, a SDE15 is				
Is a cost-b	enefit analysis required under RC	W 34.05.328?			
🗌 Yes	A preliminary cost-benefit analysis	s may be obtained by contacting:			
Name: Address:					
	phone() fax ()				
	e-mail				
🛛 No:	Please explain:				
The Commission is not an agency to which RCW 34.05.328 applies. The proposed rules are not significant legislative rules of the sort referenced in RCW 34.05.328(5).					