Docket No. UE-144160 - Vol. I

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. Pacific Power & Light Company

April 23, 2015



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

ESCANO SE ESTADO ESTADO

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



```
Page 1
                                                                                                                                                        Page 3
                  BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
                                                                                                      OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, APRIL 23, 2015
                                                                                       1
              UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
                                                                                       2
                                                                                                               10:02 A.M.
                                                                                       3
       WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
                                                                                                                -000-
                                         ) DOCKET UE-144160
       TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,
                                            ) Pages 1-13
                                                                                                           PROCEEDINGS
                                                                                       5
             Complainant,
                               )
                                                                                       6
                                                                                                    JUDGE MOSS: Good morning, everybody. My name is
                                                                                       7
                                                                                             Dennis Moss. I'm the administrative law judge with the
       PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY, )
                                                                                       8
                                                                                             Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We are
             Respondent.
                                                                                      9
                                                                                             convened this morning in Docket UE-144160, which is a tariff
                                                                                     10
                                                                                             filing by Pacific Power & Light Company, updating the Company's
                PREHEARING CONFERENCE - VOLUME I
                                                                                     11
                                                                                             avoided cost tariff, Schedule 37.
               ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE DENNIS MOSS
                                                                                     12
                                                                                                    I note from the Commission's notice of prehearing
                                                                                     13
                                                                                             conference that I'm looking at right now that this matter was
                      10:02 A.M.
                                                                                     14
                                                                                             actually filed originally with an effective date of January 9th
                     April 23, 2015
                                                                                     15
                                                                                             of this year. It has been extended a couple of times.
           Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
                                                                                     16
                                                                                             apparently, with ongoing discussions -- at least with Staff and
          1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Room 206
                 Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
                                                                                     17
                                                                                             perhaps other parties as well -- to resolve such differences as
                                                                                     18
                                                                                             may separate people at this point on the issues raised by this
                                                                                     19
                                                                                             docket.
       REPORTED BY: SHELBY KAY K. FUKUSHIMA, CCR #2028
       Realtime Reporting, LLC
                                                                                     20
                                                                                                    So I suppose the starting point after we take
       1325 Fourth Avenue
                                                                                     21
                                                                                             appearances will be to discuss with you all where we are today
       Suite 1840
                                                                                     22
                                                                                             in terms of issues. I understand what a couple of issues were,
       Seattle, Washington 98101
       206.287.9066 | Seattle
                                                                                     23
                                                                                             at least at the time the Commission entered its complaint and
       360,534,9066 | Olympia
                                                                                     24
                                                                                             order suspending the tariff, and we'll see where things stand in
       800.846.6989 | National
                                                                                     25
                                                                                             that respect.
       www.buellrealtime.com
                                                                   Page 2
                                                                                                                                                       Page 4
                 APPEARANCES
                                                                                      1
                                                                                                    But let's take appearances, and we'll start with the
 2
      ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
                   DENNIS MOSS
                                                                                      2
                                                                                             Company.
 3
                   Washington Utilities and 
Transportation Commission
                                                                                      3
                                                                                                    And you are not known to me, sir, so I look forward
 4
                   1300 South Evergreen Park Drive SW
P.O. Box 47250
                                                                                             to meeting you.
                                                                                      4
 5
                   Olympia, Washington 98504-7250
360.664.1136
                                                                                      5
                                                                                                    MR. TILL: This is Dustin Till on behalf of
                                                                                      6
                                                                                             PacifiCorp.
      FOR PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY:
                                                                                      7
                                                                                                    JUDGE MOSS: And the last name again?
                   DUSTIN T. TILL
                   Senior Counsel
825 Northeast Multnomah Street
                                                                                      8
 8
                                                                                                    MR. TILL: Till; T-i, double "I."
                   Suite 1800
Portland, Oregon 97232
                                                                                      9
                                                                                                    JUDGE MOSS: Thank you. And you're with the Company?
 9
     503.813.6589
dustin.till@pacificorp.com
FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY COALITION:
                                                                                     10
                                                                                                    MR. TILL: Yes, sir.
11
                                                                                                    JUDGE MOSS: Yes. Okay. All right. Very good.
                                                                                     11
                   IRION SANGER
                  Sanger Law
1117 Southeast 53rd Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97215
12
                                                                                     12
                                                                                                    All right. Mr. Sanger, who are you representing
13
                                                                                     13
                                                                                            today?
                   503.756.7533
                                                                                     14
                                                                                                    MR. SANGER: Irion Sanger, representing the Renewable
     irion@sanger-law.com
FOR BOISE WHITE PAPER:
15
                                                                                     15
                                                                                            Energy Coalition.
                   JESSE E. COWELL
16
                  Davison Van Cleve
333 Southwest Taylor Street
                                                                                                    JUDGE MOSS: Renewable Energy Coalition. All right.
                                                                                     16
17
                   Suite 400
Portland, Oregon 97204
                                                                                     17
                                                                                                    You're not representing anybody today?
                                                                                     18
                                                                                                    MR. COWELL: Your Honor, procedurally...
18
                   503.241.7242
                  jec@dvclaw.com
                                                                                    19
                                                                                                    JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Cowell?
19
     FOR COMMISSION STAFF:
CHRISTOPHER M. CASEY
                                                                                    20
                                                                                                    MR. COWELL: Procedurally, I just didn't want to do
20
                   Assistant Attorney Genera
                                                                                    21
                                                                                            anything I shouldn't be doing, but for Boise White Paper,
21
                   1400 South Evergreen Park Drive
                  Southwest
P.O. Box 40128
                                                                                    22
                                                                                            Melinda Davison and Tyler Pepple are the attorneys appearing.
22
                                                                                    23
                                                                                            I'm just coming up to monitor, but I'm not officially on the
                   Olympia, Washington 98504-0128
23
                   360,664,1189
                                                                                    24
                                                                                            notice for our petition.
                   ccasey@utc.wa.gov
                                                                                    25
                                                                                                    JUDGE MOSS: All right. Fine. And I did have an
25
```

	Page 5		Page 7
. 1	earlier communication from Mr. Pepple that he would be	1	talking and, hopefully, can reach some accommodation. What we
2	unavailable today. And so I appreciate you being here to fill	2	do is complicated, and you all are well equipped to address
3	in, if you will, but you can participate or not as you see fit.	3	these sorts of issues on your own. And, oftentimes, when you
4	MR. COWELL: Thank you.	4	bring us an agreement, it's one we find to be acceptable and in
5	JUDGE MOSS: All right. Very good.	5	the public interest, so I'll encourage that process to continue.
6	And for Staff?	6	But in the meantime, I suppose we should you say
7	MR. CASEY: Christopher Casey here on behalf of	7	you have a procedural schedule that's been discussed?
8	Commission Staff.	8	MR. TILL: Yes, we do, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE MOSS: All right. Very well. Mr. Casey, I	9	JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Do you want to hand that up or
10	think this is the first time you've appeared before me as well,	10	read it out loud or whatever you want to do.
11	so	11	MR. TILL: Why don't I read it out loud. It's got my
12	MR. CASEY: It is.	12	chicken scratch here, so
13	JUDGE MOSS: I have reached the age where I'm	13	JUDGE MOSS: That will be fine. Just slow enough so
14	beginning to see a lot of new faces in the hearing room, which	14	I can take notes.
15	is maybe a good thing or maybe not. I'm not so sure.	15	MR. TILL: So we have on May 7th, a motion to admit
16	Is there anyone on the teleconference bridge line who	16	certain documents into the record.
17	wishes to enter an appearance this morning?	17	JUDGE MOSS: All right.
18	All right. I gather not, then, so let's just start.	18	MR. TILL: And those are background, stipulated, and
19	And I'll invite you all to speak as you wish on the topic, but	19	the factual documents the parties have exchanged.
20	I'll just direct my question to the Company, initially, which	20	JUDGE MOSS: Great.
21	is: Where do we stand in this docket? What issues are	21	MR. TILL: We have a second settlement conference
22	outstanding at this point that I might need to consider?	22	scheduled for May 15th. I don't know if that needs to appear on
23	MR. TILL: Well, Judge, I think the biggest issue	23	the procedural schedule.
24	today is resolving a procedural schedule. The parties have	24	June 12th, we would have the filing of what we're
25	conferred and have an agreed-upon procedural schedule. The	25	referring to as "policy declarations," so sworn statements, not
***************************************	. Page 6	teen nine nittiinen	Page 8
1	Page 6 parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye	1	Page 8 in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a
1 2		1 2	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a
	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye	ł	
2	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified	2	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really
2 3	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the	2	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th.
2 3 4	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed	2 3 4	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be
2 3 4 5	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update.	2 3 4 5	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th
2 3 4 5 6	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that	2 3 4 5 6	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements
2 3 4 5 6 7	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order.	2 3 4 5 6 7	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: — and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is looked at in other state jurisdictions, so we've been exchanging	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs. JUDGE MOSS: September 12th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is looked at in other state jurisdictions, so we've been exchanging information. There are some more concrete proposals that have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs. JUDGE MOSS: September 12th. MR. TILL: Correction. I'm sorry. September 11th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is looked at in other state jurisdictions, so we've been exchanging information. There are some more concrete proposals that have been sent to Staff and to the Renewable Energy Coalition as well	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs. JUDGE MOSS: September 12th. MR. TILL: Correction. I'm sorry. September 11th. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. September 11th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is looked at in other state jurisdictions, so we've been exchanging information. There are some more concrete proposals that have been sent to Staff and to the Renewable Energy Coalition as well that we'll be discussing after this prehearing conference.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs. JUDGE MOSS: September 12th. MR. TILL: Correction. I'm sorry. September 11th. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. September 11th. MR. TILL: October 2nd would be response briefs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is looked at in other state jurisdictions, so we've been exchanging information. There are some more concrete proposals that have been sent to Staff and to the Renewable Energy Coalition as well that we'll be discussing after this prehearing conference. JUDGE MOSS: Very good. There's a lot of discussions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs. JUDGE MOSS: September 12th. MR. TILL: Correction. I'm sorry. September 11th. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. September 11th. MR. TILL: October 2nd would be response briefs. JUDGE MOSS: Was that the 7th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is looked at in other state jurisdictions, so we've been exchanging information. There are some more concrete proposals that have been sent to Staff and to the Renewable Energy Coalition as well that we'll be discussing after this prehearing conference. JUDGE MOSS: Very good. There's a lot of discussions going on today that involve my dockets with Pacific Power, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs. JUDGE MOSS: September 12th. MR. TILL: Correction. I'm sorry. September 11th. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. September 11th. MR. TILL: October 2nd would be response briefs. JUDGE MOSS: Was that the 7th? MR. TILL: October 2nd.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is looked at in other state jurisdictions, so we've been exchanging information. There are some more concrete proposals that have been sent to Staff and to the Renewable Energy Coalition as well that we'll be discussing after this prehearing conference. JUDGE MOSS: Very good. There's a lot of discussions going on today that involve my dockets with Pacific Power, so we'll see how that goes. And Ms. Siores is here to help out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: — and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs. JUDGE MOSS: September 12th. MR. TILL: Correction. I'm sorry. September 11th. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. September 11th. MR. TILL: October 2nd would be response briefs. JUDGE MOSS: Was that the 7th? MR. TILL: October 2nd. JUDGE MOSS: 2nd. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is looked at in other state jurisdictions, so we've been exchanging information. There are some more concrete proposals that have been sent to Staff and to the Renewable Energy Coalition as well that we'll be discussing after this prehearing conference. JUDGE MOSS: Very good. There's a lot of discussions going on today that involve my dockets with Pacific Power, so we'll see how that goes. And Ms. Siores is here to help out with all the technical details and all that stuff that's hard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: — and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs. JUDGE MOSS: September 12th. MR. TILL: Correction. I'm sorry. September 11th. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. September 11th. MR. TILL: October 2nd would be response briefs. JUDGE MOSS: Was that the 7th? MR. TILL: October 2nd. JUDGE MOSS: 2nd. I'm sorry. MR. TILL: Yes. And November 29th suspension date. JUDGE MOSS: November 29th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is looked at in other state jurisdictions, so we've been exchanging information. There are some more concrete proposals that have been sent to Staff and to the Renewable Energy Coalition as well that we'll be discussing after this prehearing conference. JUDGE MOSS: Very good. There's a lot of discussions going on today that involve my dockets with Pacific Power, so we'll see how that goes. And Ms. Siores is here to help out with all the technical details and all that stuff that's hard for mere mortals to understand. So all right. Very well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs. JUDGE MOSS: September 12th. MR. TILL: Correction. I'm sorry. September 11th. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. September 11th. MR. TILL: October 2nd would be response briefs. JUDGE MOSS: Was that the 7th? MR. TILL: October 2nd. JUDGE MOSS: 2nd. I'm sorry. MR. TILL: Yes. And November 29th suspension date. JUDGE MOSS: November 29th. MR. TILL: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is looked at in other state jurisdictions, so we've been exchanging information. There are some more concrete proposals that have been sent to Staff and to the Renewable Energy Coalition as well that we'll be discussing after this prehearing conference. JUDGE MOSS: Very good. There's a lot of discussions going on today that involve my dockets with Pacific Power, so we'll see how that goes. And Ms. Siores is here to help out with all the technical details and all that stuff that's hard for mere mortals to understand. So all right. Very well. Does anybody else wish to speak to the issues, or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs. JUDGE MOSS: September 12th. MR. TILL: Correction. I'm sorry. September 11th. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. September 11th. MR. TILL: October 2nd would be response briefs. JUDGE MOSS: Was that the 7th? MR. TILL: October 2nd. JUDGE MOSS: 2nd. I'm sorry. MR. TILL: Yes. And November 29th suspension date. JUDGE MOSS: November 29th. MR. TILL: Correct. JUDGE MOSS: That's good to know always.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	parties are also engaged in settlement conversations with an eye to hopefully resolving both of the issues that were identified in the Commission's report, the wind integration charge, and the manner in which capacity is addressed in the Company's proposed avoided cost update. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. And those are the two issues that I had discerned from the Commission's complaint and order. I gather there's been no progress on discussion of those issues since the date of that order? MR. TILL: The parties, Your Honor, have exchanged some background information on the manner in which capacity is looked at in other state jurisdictions, so we've been exchanging information. There are some more concrete proposals that have been sent to Staff and to the Renewable Energy Coalition as well that we'll be discussing after this prehearing conference. JUDGE MOSS: Very good. There's a lot of discussions going on today that involve my dockets with Pacific Power, so we'll see how that goes. And Ms. Siores is here to help out with all the technical details and all that stuff that's hard for mere mortals to understand. So all right. Very well. Does anybody else wish to speak to the issues, or does anybody else have additional comment on what issues are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	in the form of question-and-answer testimony, but more of a narrative declaration of policy because these issues are really policy issues versus fact-intensive issues. So that would be June 12th. July 14th would be Staff and REC responses, July 24th would be rebuttals and cross-answering statements JUDGE MOSS: Okay. MR. TILL: and August 14th would be a BAP-style hearing, if necessary. JUDGE MOSS: Oh, really? Okay. MR. TILL: September 12th would be initial briefs. JUDGE MOSS: September 12th. MR. TILL: Correction. I'm sorry. September 11th. JUDGE MOSS: Okay. September 11th. MR. TILL: October 2nd would be response briefs. JUDGE MOSS: Was that the 7th? MR. TILL: October 2nd. JUDGE MOSS: 2nd. I'm sorry. MR. TILL: Yes. And November 29th suspension date. JUDGE MOSS: November 29th. MR. TILL: Correct.

Page 9

we're looking at trying to get this done in a reasonable amount of time.

JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Well, certainly, from my perspective, that's a reasonable schedule consistent with, I believe -- I can't speak to all of these dates sitting up here today. I didn't print out a calendar before I came in this morning, which I should have done. But in any event, this looks workable from my perspective, and are all the parties on board with this?

MR. SANGER: So, Your Honor, I did not communicate with any of the parties about this, but I didn't hear back until recently.

The hearing date will work for us, but only if it's in the afternoon.

JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Well, it sounds to me -- let me stop for half a second and offer a commentary.

It sounds to me as if this case is either going to settle, or it's going to be one that will be resolved more or less on the basis of a paper record.

I see heads nodding in the affirmative from all counsel who have appeared today, so that means that the hearing should be brief. And it's basically oral argument. And you referred to it as a "BAP-style hearing." That, for the record, being a brief adjudicative proceeding under the Administrative

Procedure Act, which is a somewhat more relaxed process than the

JUDGE MOSS: As necessary?MR. SANGER: Yeah.

JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Maybe I'll even note that in the schedule, which we'll set up that we will revisit this at some point.

Page 11

Page 12

I'm thinking at this juncture that I will not schedule a status conference, although that might be a good idea given what you all are thinking in terms of settlement negotiations and one thing and another, but rather than do that, I think I'll just rely on you all to report to me if you make sufficient process -- or I'm sorry -- sufficient progress to make that an appropriate thing to do and perhaps forestall the need for some of the process we've outlined today. And that might come at the time of the policy declarations, June 12th, or it might come up in some other context if you all just achieve settlement terms.

So, you know, put that on your tickler list of something that -- you know, Contact Judge Moss and let him know to have a status conference, if it's appropriate to do so. And, you know, we can always do something like that telephonically, if it's inconvenient for parties to be here, or what have you.

I'll put myself in the spirit of this type of proceeding, which is, again, a little bit less formal than perhaps some of the other matters we're dealing with at the Commission, and I want to open the door to that sort of dialogue

Page 10

with me, keeping all parties apprised, of course, of any communication with me that goes beyond mere procedure.

All right. Is there any other business we need to conduct today? I'll adopt this schedule, unless there's some objection.

Any other business?

All right. Well, we didn't set a record this morning, but that was mercifully brief, I suppose, from everyone's perspective.

I thank you all for being here. I look forward to working with you to bring this docket to a satisfactory conclusion in the near term, hopefully. Thank you very much. We're off the record.

(Proceeding concluded at 10:14 a.m.)

cross-examination and so forth.

I gather that's not contemplated?

Someone needs to confirm or deny for the record.

MR. TILL: That's my understanding at least, so...

MR. SANGER: Yeah, mine as well, Your Honor.

JUDGE MOSS: Okay.

Mr. Casey?

full-blown APA adjudication, in which we would have

MR. CASEY: Mine as well. I think these next settlement conferences will provide some further information and clarity of where we'll go from there.

JUDGE MOSS: Okay. All right. Now, you have provided dates. I mean, in a sense, this is another way I would handle this. In the context of a full-blown APA adjudication would be to say, Well, we're going to apparently resolve this on cross-motions for summary determination, which is somewhat similar to what you've got in mind here, although I gather you're not contemplating such formal motions, but rather would present the issues as you've described and then brief me, which is fine. I'm good either way. I'm drawing the analogy for purposes of being clear as to how we're going to proceed.

MR. SANGER: And also, Your Honor, it might be possible after our policy directions that the parties decide that the briefs are not necessary, so the initial and response briefs are -- we're putting them in the schedule as necessary.

	Page 13		
1	CERTIFICATE	,	
2	021111101112		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)		
) ss		2000
4	COUNTY OF KING)		
5			
6 7	I, SHELBY KAY K. FUKUSHIMA, a Certified Shorthand Reporter		
8	and Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to		
9	the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.		
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal		
11	this 4th day of May, 2015.		
12			0000000000
13			000000000
14	SHELBY KAY K. FUKUSHIMA, CCR		
15	SHELBT KAT K. FUKUSHIMA, CCR "		
16	My commission expires:		
	June 29, 2017		
17			
18			
19			
20	ϵ		
21 22			ĺ
23			
24			ı
25			ĺ
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
			92,000
		,	
			ı

4 (Page 13)

A	attorneys 4:22	Christopher 2:20	conversations 6:1	docket 1:4 3:9,19		
a.m 1:14 3:2 12:14	August 8:8	5:7	Correct 8:21	5:21 12:11		
ability 13:9	Avenue 1:21 2:12	clarity 10:11	Correction 8:13	dockets 6:17		
acceptable 7:4	avoided 3:11 6:5-	clear 10:21	cost 3:11 6:5	documents 7:16,19		
accommodation	B	Cleve 2:16	counsel 2:7 9:21	doing 4:21		
7:1	back 9:11	Coalition 2:11 4:15	COUNTY 13:4	door 11:25		
accurate 13:8	background 6:11	4:16 6:14	couple 3:15,22	double 4:8		
achieve 11:15	7:18	come 11:14,15	course 12:1	drawing 10:20		
Act 9:25	BAP-style 8:8 9:23	coming 4:23	Cowell 2:15 4:18	Drive 1:16 2:4,21		
additional 6:22	basically 9:22	comment 6:22	4:19,20 5:4	Dustin 2:7 4:5		
address 7:2	basis 9:19	commentary 9:16	cross-answering	dustin.till@pacif		
addressed 6:4	•	commission 1:2,4	8:6	2:10		
adjudication 10:1	beginning 5:14 behalf 4:5 5:7	1:16 2:3,19 3:8,23	cross-examination	E		
10:14		5:8 11:25 13:16	10:2			
adjudicative 9:24	believe 9:5	Commission's 3:12	cross-motions	E 2:1,1,15 3:4,4		
administrative	best 13:9	6:3,7	10:16	13:1,1		
1:12 2:2 3:7 9:24	beyond 12:2	communicate 9:10	D	earlier 5:1		
admit 7:15	biggest 5:23	communication 5:1		effective 3:14		
adopt 12:4	bit 11:23	12:2	D 3:4	either 9:17 10:20		
affirmative 9:20	board 9:8	Company 1:7 2:6	date 3:14 6:9 8:19	encourage 7:5		
afternoon 9:14	Boise 2:15 4:21	3:10 4:2,9 5:20	9:13	encouraging 6:25		
age 5:13	Box 2:4,22	Company's 3:10	dates 9:5 10:13	Energy 2:11 4:15		
agreed-upon 5:25	bridge 5:16	6:4	Davison 2:16 4:22	4:16 6:14		
agreement 7:4	brief 9:22,24 10:19	Complainant 1:6	day 13:11	engaged 6:1		
amount 9:1	12:8	complaint 3:23 6:7	dealing 11:24	enter 5:17		
analogy 10:20	briefs 8:11,15	complicated 7:2	decide 10:23	entered 3:23		
anybody 4:17 6:21	10:24,25	concluded 12:14	declaration 8:2	equipped 7:2		
6:22	bring 7:4 12:11	conclusion 12:12	declarations 7:25	event 9:7		
APA 10:1,14	business 12:3,6	concrete 6:13	11:14	Evergreen 1:16 2:4		
apparently 3:16	C	conduct 12:4	Dennis 1:12 2:2 3:7	2:21		
10:15	C 2:1 3:4 13:1,1	conference 1:10	deny 10:4	everybody 3:6		
appear 7:22	calendar 9:6	3:13 6:15 7:21	described 10:19	everyone's 12:9		
appearance 5:17	capacity 6:4,11	11:7,19	details 6:19	exchanged 6:10		
appearances 3:21	capacity 6:4,11	conferences 10:10	determination	7:19		
4:1		conferred 5:25	10:16	exchanging 6:12		
appeared 5:10 9:21	Casey 2:20 5:7,7,9	confirm 10:4	dialogue 11:25	expedite 8:24		
appearing 4:22	5:12 10:8,9	consider 5:22	differences 3:17	expires 13:16		
appreciate 5:2	ccasey@utc.wa.g	consistent 9:4	direct 5:20	extended 3:15		
apprised 12:1	2:23	Contact 11:18	directions 10:23	eye 6:1		
appropriate 11:12	CCR 1:20 13:14	contemplated 10:3	discerned 6:7	F		
11:19	certain 7:16	contemplating	discuss 3:21			
April 1:15 3:1	certainly 9:3	10:18	discussed 7:7	F 13:1		
argument 9:22	Certified 13:6	context 10:14 11:15	discussing 6:15	faces 5:14		
Assistant 2:20	certify 13:8	continue 7:5	discussion 6:8	fact-intensive 8:3		
Attorney 2:20	charge 6:3	convened 3:9	discussions 3:16	factual 7:19		
	chicken 7:12		6:16	filed 3:14		
			l			

Page 15

	7:8 9:10 10:6,22	keeping 12:1	8:10,12,14,16,18	Oregon 2:9,13,17
fill 5:2	hopefully 6:2 7:1	kind 8:24,25	8:20,22 9:3,15	originally 3:14
find 6:25 7:4	12:12	KING 13:4	10:7,12 11:1,3,18	outlined 11:13
fine 4:25 6:24 7:13		know 7:22 8:22,23	motion 7:15	outstanding 5:22
10:20	1	11:17,18,18,20	motions 10:18	6:23
first 5:10	idea 11:7	knowledge 13:9	Multnomah 2:8	
fit 5:3	identified 6:2	known 4:3		P
foregoing 13:8	inconvenient 11:21		N	P 2:1,1 3:4
forestall 11:12	information 6:11	L	N 2:1 3:4	P.O 2:4,22
form 8:1	6:13 10:10	14:8	name 3:6 4:7	Pacific 1:7 2:6 3:10
formal 10:18 11:23	initial 8:11 10:24	law 1:12 2:2,12 3:7	narrative 8:2	6:17
forth 10:2	initially 5:20	let's 4:1 5:18	National 1:24	PacifiCorp 4:6
forward 4:3 12:10	integration 6:3	Light 1:7 2:6 3:10	near 12:12	Pages 1:4,11
Fourth 1:21	interest 7:5	line 5:16	necessary 8:9 10:24	paper 2:15 4:21
FUKUSHIMA	invite 5:19	list 11:17	10:25 11:1	9:19
1:20 13:6,14	involve 6:17	little 11:23	need 5:22 11:13	Park 1:16 2:4,21
full-blown 10:1,14	Irion 2:11 4:14	LLC 1:21	12:3	participate 5:3
further 10:10	irion@sanger-la	look 4:3 12:10	needs 7:22 10:4	parties 3:17 5:24
	2:14	looked 6:12 8:23	negotiations 11:9	6:1,10,25 7:19 9:8
<u>G</u>	issue 5:23	looking 3:13 9:1	new 5:14	9:11 10:23 11:21
G 3:4	issues 3:18,22,22	looks 9:7	nodding 9:20	12:1
gather 5:18 6:8	5:21 6:2,6,9,21,22	lot 5:14 6:16	Northeast 2:8	people 3:18
10:3,17	7:3 8:2,3,3 10:19	loud 7:10,11	Notary 13:7	Pepple 4:22 5:1
general 2:20 6:23	J	<u>M</u>	note 3:12 11:3	perspective 9:4,8
given 11:8		$\frac{\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{M}}$ 2:20	notes 7:14	12:9
go 10:11	January 3:14		notice 3:12 4:24	petition 4:24
goes 6:18 12:2	jec@dvclaw.com 2:18	manner 6:4,11 matter 3:13	November 8:19,20	plan 6:23
going 6:17 9:17,18	JESSE 2:15	matters 11:24	0	point 3:18,20 5:22
10:15,21	judge 1:12 2:2 3:6	mean 10:13	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ 3:4	11:5
good 3:6 4:11 5:5	• 0	mean 10:13 means 9:21	000 3:3 12:15	policy 7:25 8:2,3
5:15 6:16 8:22	3:7 4:7,9,11,16,19	means 9.21 meeting 4:4	objection 12:5	10:23 11:14
10:20 11:7	4:25 5:5,9,13,23 6:6,16 7:9,13,17	Melinda 4:22	October 8:15,17	Portland 2:9,13,17
Great 7:20	7:20 8:7,10,12,14	mercifully 12:8	offer 9:16	possible 10:23
<u> </u>	8:16,18,20,22 9:3	mere 6:20 12:2	officially 4:23	Power 1:7 2:6 3:10
half 9:16	9:15 10:7,12 11:1	mind 10:17	oftentimes 7:3	6:17
hand 7:9 13:10	11:3,18	mine 10:17	Oh 8:10	prehearing 1:10
handle 10:14	July 8:5,5	minimize 8:24,25	Okay 4:11 6:6,24	3:12 6:15
hard 6:19	juncture 11:6	minimize 8:24,23 monitor 4:23	7:9 8:7,10,14 9:3	present 10:19
heads 9:20	June 7:24 8:4 11:14	morning 3:6,9 5:17	9:15 10:7,12 11:3	print 9:6
heads 9:20 hear 9:11	13:16	9:7 12:8	9.13 10.7,12 11.3 Olympia 1:17,23	procedural 5:24,25
hearing 5:14 8:9	jurisdictions 6:12	mortals 6:20	2:5,22 3:1	7:7,23
9:13,21,23	jai isaicuvus 0.12	Moss 1:12 2:2 3:6,7	ongoing 3:16	procedurally 4:18
9.13,21,23 help 6:18	K	4:7,9,11,16,19,25	ongoing 3.10 open 11:25	4:20
hereunto 13:10	K 1:20 13:6,14	5:5,9,13 6:6,16	oral 9:22	procedure 9:25
Honor 4:18 6:10	KAY 1:20 13:6,14	7:9,13,17,20 8:7	order 3:24 6:7,9	12:2
110H01 4.10 0.10	1 15.0,1	1.3,13,11,40 0 ./	VIUCI J.24 U.1,7	proceed 10:21

	<u> </u>			
proceeding 6:23	resolved 9:18	Siores 6:18	talking 7:1	10:5
8:25 9:24 11:23	resolving 5:24 6:2	sir 4:3,10	tariff 3:9,11,24	update 6:5
12:14	respect 3:25	sitting 9:5	Taylor 2:16	updating 3:10
process 7:5 9:25	Respondent 1:8	skill 13:9	technical 6:19	Utilities 1:2,4,16
11:11,13	response 8:15	slow 7:13	teleconference 5:16	2:3 3:8
progress 6:8 11:11	10:24	somewhat 9:25	telephonically	
proposals 6:13	responses 8:5	10:16	11:20	V
proposed 6:4	revisit 11:4	sorry 8:13,18 11:11	term 12:12	v 1:5
provide 10:10	right 3:13 4:11,12	sort 6:23 11:25	terms 3:22 11:8,16	Van 2:16
provided 10:13	4:16,25 5:5,9,18	sorts 7:3	testimony 8:1	versus 8:3
public 7:5 13:7	6:20 7:17 10:12	sounds 9:15,17	thank 4:9 5:4 12:10	VOLUME 1:10
purposes 10:21	12:3,7	South 1:16 2:4,21	12:12	***
put 11:17,22	room 1:16 5:14	Southeast 2:12	thing 5:15 11:9,12	<u>W</u>
putting 10:25		Southwest 1:16	things 3:24	want 4:20 7:9,10
	<u> </u>	2:16,21	think 5:10,23 10:9	11:25
Q	S 2:1 3:4	speak 5:19 6:21 9:5	11:10	Washington 1:1,4
question 5:20	Sanger 2:11,12	spirit 11:22	thinking 11:6,8	1:16,17,22 2:3,5
question-and-ans	4:12,14,14 9:10	ss 13:3	tickler 11:17	2:22 3:1,8 13:3,7
8:1	10:6,22 11:2	Staff 2:19 3:16 5:6	Till 2:7 4:5,5,8,8,10	way 8:24 10:13,20
R	satisfactory 12:11	5:8 6:14 8:5	5:23 6:10 7:8,11	we'll 3:24 4:1 6:15
	schedule 3:11 5:24	stand 3:24 5:21	7:15,18,21 8:8,11	6:18 10:11 11:4
R2:1 3:4 13:1	5:25 7:7,23 8:23	start 4:1 5:18	8:13,15,17,19,21	we're 7:24 9:1
raised 3:18	9:4 10:25 11:4,7	starting 3:20	8:23 10:5	10:15,21,25 11:24
reach 7:1	12:4	state 1:1 6:12 13:3	time 3:23 5:10 9:2	12:13
reached 5:13	scheduled 7:22	13:7	11:14	we've 6:12 11:13
read 7:10,11	scope 8:25	statements 7:25 8:6	times 3:15	WHEREOF 13:10
really 8:2,10	scratch 7:12	status 11:7,19	today 3:21 4:13,17	White 2:15 4:21
Realtime 1:21	seal 13:10	stipulated 7:18	5:2,24 6:17 9:6,21	wind 6:3
reasonable 9:1,4	Seattle 1:22,23	stop 9:16	11:13 12:4	wish 5:19 6:21
rebuttals 8:6	second 7:21 9:16	Street 2:8,16	topic 5:19	wishes 5:17
REC 8:5	see 3:24 5:3,14 6:18	stuff 6:19	transcript 13:8	WITNESS 13:10
record 7:16 9:19,23	9:20	sufficient 11:11,11	Transportation 1:2	work 9:13
10:4 12:7,13	Senior 2:7	Suite 1:22 2:8,17	1:4,16 2:3 3:8	workable 9:8
referred 9:23	sense 10:13	summary 10:16	true 13:8	working 12:11
returing 7:25	sent 6:14	suppose 3:20 7:6	try 8:24	www.buellrealti
relaxed 9:25	separate 3:18	12:8	trying 9:1	1:25
rely 11:10	September 8:11,12	sure 5:15	two 6:6	X
Renewable 2:11	8:13,14	suspending 3:24	Tyler 4:22	
4:14,16 6:14	set 11:4 12:7 13:10	suspension 8:19	type 11:22	Y
report 6:3 11:10	settle 9:18	SW 2:4		Yeah 10:6 11:2
REPORTED 1:20	settlement 6:1 7:21	sworn 7:25	<u>U</u>	year 3:15
Reporter 13:6	10:10 11:8,16		UE-144160 1:4 3:9	
Reporting 1:21	SHELBY 1:20 13:6	<u>T</u>	unavailable 5:2	Z
representing 4:12	13:14	T 2:7 13:1,1	understand 3:22	
4:14,17	Shorthand 13:6	T-i 4:8	6:20	0
resolve 3:17 10:15	similar 10:17	take 3:20 4:1 7:14	understanding	
	•			

Page 17

1 age 17				
	l	,	1	I
. 1	6			
1-13 1:4,11				
10:02 1:14 3:2	7			
	7th 7:15 8:16			
10:14 12:14	/th /.13 0.10			
1117 2:12	8			
11th 8:13,14				
12th 7:24 8:4,11,12	800.846.6989 1:24			
11:14	825 2:8			
1300 1:16 2:4				
1325 1:21	9			
1400 2:21	97204 2:17			
	97215 2:13			
14th 8:5,8	97232 2:9			
15th 7:22	98101 1:22		,	*
1800 2:8	98504-0128 2:22			
1840 1:22	98504-7250 1:17			·
	2:5			
2	9th 3:14			
2015 1:15 3:1 13:11	7th 3.14			
2017 13:16				
2028 1:20				
206 1:16				
206.287.9066 1:23				
23 1:15 3:1				
24th 8:5				
29 13:16				
29th 8:19,20				
2nd 8:15,17,18				
3		·		
333 2:16				
360.534.9066 1:23				
360.664.1136 2:5				
360.664.1189 2:23				
37 3:11				
4				
400 2:17				
40128 2:22	,			
47250 2:4				
4th 13:11		•		
5				
503.241.7242 2:18				
503.756.7533 2:13				
503.813.6589 2:9				}
53rd 2:12				
	-	-	-	-