Exh. JDS-1T	
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	
DOCKET NO. U-170970	
SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF	
JAMES D. SCARLETT	
REPRESENTING HYDRO ONE	

1			I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
2		Q.	Please state your name, business address and present posit	tion with
3	Hydr	o One	e Limited.	
4		A.	My name is James D. ("Jamie") Scarlett, and my business addre	ess is 483
5	Bay S	Street,	South Tower, 8th Floor, Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P5. I am Execu	itive Vice
6	Presid	dent aı	nd Chief Legal Officer for Hydro One Limited ("Hydro One").	
7		Q.	Did you submit prefiled direct or rebuttal testimony in this pro	ceeding?
8		A.	No, but I testified at the Commission's May 22, 2018 evidentiary	hearing.
9		Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits that accompany your testimo	ony?
10		A.	Yes. Attached to my testimony are:	
11 12			 Exh. JDS-2 - July 2018 Letter Agreement between Hydro One Majesty The Queen in Right of Ontario 	e and Her
13 14			• Exh. JDS-3 - Schedule 1 of the <i>Urgent Priorities Act</i> , 2018, <i>Hydro One Accountability Act</i> , 2018	titled the
15		A ta	able of contents for my testimony is as follows:	
16				
17	I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
18	II.	JUN	E 7, 2018 ELECTION	2
19	III.	JUL	Y 11, 2018 LETTER AGREEMENT	5
20	IV.	HYD	ORO ONE ACCOUNTABILITY ACT, 2018	9
21	V.	THE	E FUTURE OF THE GOVERNANCE AGREEMENT	12
22	VI.	SET"	TLEMENT COMMITMENTS DESIGNED TO PROTECT AVI	STA'S
23		IND	EPENDENCE AND FINANCIAL HEALTH	13
24	VII.		POSED NEW OR AMENDED COMMITMENTS	
25	VIII.	ADC	OPTION OF MAYO SCHMIDT TESTIMONY	27
26				

Summary	of '	Testimony
---------	------	------------------

2	Ο.	Please summarize	vour testimony.
---	----	------------------	-----------------

A. My testimony addresses the following topics: (i) the June 7, 2018 election of Premier Doug Ford and the Progressive Conservative Party, (ii) the July 11, 2018 Letter Agreement ("July 2018 Letter Agreement") and the resignation of Hydro One's Board and retirement of Hydro One's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") Mayo Schmidt, (iii) the *Hydro One Accountability Act, 2018*, (iv) the settlement commitments designed to protect Avista's independence and financial health from Provincial interference, (v) Avista's and Hydro One's proposal to add a new commitment and amend its commitment regarding Avista's post-merger board in response to the events after the June 7, 2018 Ontario election involving Hydro One, and (vi) my adoption of Mayo Schmidt's previously filed testimony and exhibits in this proceeding.

13

14

15

16

21

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

II. JUNE 7, 2018 ELECTION

- Q. Please describe the outcome of the Province of Ontario's election on June 7, 2018.
- A. On June 7, 2018, voters in Ontario elected a new government that will be led by the Progressive Conservative Party, replacing the Liberal Party government led by Kathleen Wynne. The Premier is Doug Ford of the Progressive Conservative Party. The Ontario Premier is the equivalent of a state governor in the United States. The Progressive

Conservative Party has a substantial majority in the Legislative Assembly of Ontario.

- Q. Has the new government taken office?
- A. The new government was sworn in on June 29, 2018.

1	Q.	What promises did Premier Ford make during his campaign regarding
2	Hydro One?	
3	A.	During the campaign, Premier Ford stated that he would remove Hydro
4	One's CEO	Mayo Schmidt and some or all of the members of Hydro One's Board of
5	Directors. Pr	remier Ford also promised to reduce electricity rates for Ontario residents.
6	Q.	What mechanisms were available to Premier Ford to fulfill these
7	campaign p	romises regarding Hydro One's CEO and Board of Directors?
8	A.	If Premier Ford and his Progressive Conservative Party wished to seek to
9	remove some	e or all of Hydro One's Board and its CEO, they could accomplish these
10	objectives ei	ther (i) through procedures established by Section 4.7 of the Governance
11	Agreement (the "Governance Agreement") between Hydro One and Her Majesty the
12	Queen in Rig	ght of Ontario (the "Province"), or (ii) through legislation. The Governance
13	Agreement is	s Exh. MMS-5 to former Hydro One CEO Mayo Schmidt's testimony (Exh.
14	MMS-4T) fil	ed in this docket on April 10, 2018.
15	Q.	Please explain the procedures in Section 4.7 of the Governance
16	Agreement.	
17	A.	The Governance Agreement establishes an independent Hydro One Board
18	with the foll	owing features: the CEO is selected by the Board (not the Province), the
19	Province non	ninates 40% of the Board's directors (or its proportionate share, whichever is
20	less) propose	ed for election, the Nominating and Governance Committee (now known as
21	the "Governa	ance Committee") nominates the remaining directors proposed for election,
22	and other tha	n the CEO, each director must be independent of Hydro One and the Province.
23	In ord	ler to remove the Hydro One Board, the Province must follow the procedures

1	in Section 4.7	of the	Governance	Agreement:

- 2 (1) serve a Removal Notice on Hydro One requesting the removal of Hydro One's
- 3 Board at a shareholder meeting (the Removal Notice does not apply to Hydro One's CEO
- 4 even though he is a Board member; the Province also can exempt the chair of the Board
- 5 from its Removal Notice) (Section 4.7.1);
- 6 (2) the chair of the Board will establish a committee comprising representatives of
- 7 Hydro One's five largest shareholders, except the Province (the "Ad Hoc Nominating
- 8 Committee") (Section 4.7.2);
- 9 (3) the Ad Hoc Nominating Committee and the Province will work together to
- develop a slate of new Board members to be considered at an upcoming shareholder
- meeting (the "Removal Meeting") (Section 4.7.3);
- 12 (4) once the slate of new Board members is established, the shareholder Removal
- Meeting must be held within 60 days (Section 4.7.4); and
- 14 (5) at the Removal Meeting, the Province must vote in favor of the slate of new
- 15 Board members established by the Ad Hoc Nominating Committee and the Province
- 16 (Section 4.7.6).
- 17 Under the Section 4.7 procedures, Hydro One's shareholders must remove the
- entire Hydro One Board; they cannot remove just certain Board members. (Section 4.7.1)
- 19 Further, the Board cannot be replaced with any board members who were part of the
- 20 previous Board. (Section 4.7.3) All nominees must be vetted by the Ad Hoc Nominating
- 21 Committee (Sections 4.7.3 and 4.3) and must meet the qualification standards set in Section
- 4.2.1 of the Governance Agreement -- each director nominee must be an individual of high
- 23 quality and integrity who has: (i) significant experience and expertise in business or that

1	is applicable to business, (ii) served in a senior executive or leadership position, (iii) broad
2	exposure to and understanding of the Canadian or international business community, (iv)
3	skills for directing the management of a company, and (v) motivation and availability, in
4	each case, to the extent appropriate for a business of the complexity, size and scale of the
5	business of Hydro One and on a basis consistent with the highest standards for directors of
6	leading Canadian publicly listed companies.
7	Section 4.7 does not permit shareholders to hire or fire Hydro One's CEO. Section
8	4.7 simply provides a process by which Hydro One's shareholders can install a new Board.
9	Section 2.3(b) of the Governance Agreement reserves to the Board the authority to appoint,
10	terminate, supervise and compensate the CEO, Chief Financial Officer and other senior
11	officers of Hydro One.
12	Q. Please explain the legislative path available to Premier Ford.
13	A. As an alternative to following the Section 4.7 procedures in the Governance
14	Agreement, Premier Ford and his Progressive Conservative Party also had the option of
15	passing legislation during the special legislative session convened in July that would give
16	the Province the authority to remove and replace the Hydro One CEO and its Board.
17	
18	III. <u>JULY 11, 2018 LETTER AGREEMENT</u>
19	Q. What did Hydro One's executive team and Board do after Premier
20	Ford was elected and his Progressive Conservative Party took a majority of the seats
21	in the Ontario Legislature?
22	A. On July 4, 2018, discussions were held between representatives of the Board
23	and of the Ontario Premier's office, respectively. On July 5, 2018, discussions were held

1 between legal counsel to the Board and a representative of the Ontario Premier's office and 2 privileged and confidential discussions were held among the Board Chair, another 3 representative of the Board and the Board's legal counsel. Subsequently, representatives 4 of the Board, the Board's legal counsel and representatives of the Ontario Premier's office 5 held various discussions and meetings during July 6, 2018 through July 8, 2018. These 6 discussions involved representatives from the Cabinet Office, and representatives of the 7 Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines, the Ministry of Finance and the Attorney General, and led to the July 2018 Letter Agreement being approved by Cabinet 8 9 and entered into between Hydro One and Ontario as represented by the Honorable Greg 10 Rickford, the Minister of Energy, Northern Development and Mines. The July 2018 Letter 11 Agreement between Hydro One and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Ontario is attached 12 as Exh. JDS-2.

Q. Why did Hydro One enter into the July 2018 Letter Agreement with the Province?

A. The replacement of the Board and the CEO was one of the campaign promises made by Premier Doug Ford during the election campaign for the election that took place on June 7, 2018. In light of this, the Board of Hydro One determined that it would be in the best interests of Hydro One to voluntarily resign to facilitate the orderly replacement of the Board in a sequential manner on an expedited basis. Rather than wait for Premier Ford to trigger the procedures in the Governance Agreement or pursue legislation with potentially intrusive provisions, a process for replacing the Board was documented in the July 2018 Letter Agreement. In doing so, the Province and Hydro One complied with the spirit and intent of Section 4.7 of the Governance Agreement. In order

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	to serve the	best interests	of the	company,	the	parties	agreed to	forego	the	requirement	tc

- 2 hold a shareholders' meeting, thereby expediting the process and reducing uncertainty.
- Q. What process for selecting the new Board was established in the July 2018 Letter Agreement?
- 5 The July 2018 Letter Agreement provides that (i) an Ad Hoc Nominating A. 6 Committee comprised of representatives of each of Hydro One's five largest shareholders 7 (or a lesser number depending on how many of the five largest shareholders wished to 8 serve on the Ad Hoc Nominating Committee), excluding the Province, would be formed to 9 nominate six directors of the new Board; and (ii) the Province would nominate four 10 directors. The new directors must meet the requirements set out in the Governance 11 Agreement. As noted above, Section 4.2 of the Governance Agreement outlines the 12 qualifications for director nominees. The new Board would then appoint a replacement 13 Chair of the Board from among their number consistent with Section 3.2 of the Governance 14 Agreement.
 - The process prescribed by the July 2018 Letter Agreement to select Hydro One's new 10-member Board, which consists of four Provincial designees and six designees chosen by the Ad Hoc Nominating Committee of Hydro One's largest investors besides the Province, follows the requirements of Sections 4.3 and 4.7 of the Governance Agreement.
- Q. Has the process been completed for selecting Hydro One's new Board as provided in the July 2018 Letter Agreement,?
- A. Yes. As explained in the Supplemental Testimony of Hydro One Interim
 Chair Thomas Woods (Exh. TDW-1T, "Woods Testimony"), the Ad Hoc Nominating

15

16

17

18

1	Committee was	formed and	three of the	five largest	Hydro O	ne shareholders	participate	d.

- 2 excluding the Province. The Ad Hoc Nominating Committee selected six members for the
- 3 Board, and the Province selected four members. Hydro One announced the members of
- 4 the new Board on August 14, 2018. See Woods Testimony, §§ II and III.

Q. Are the new Board members announced on August 14 appointed on an

interim basis?

- 7 A. No. As with previous Board members, the new Board members are subject
- 8 to annual approval of the Hydro One shareholders, consistent with the Governance
- 9 Agreement and the *Business Corporations Act* (Ontario) ("OBCA"). Section 2 of the July
- 10 2018 Letter Agreement provides:
- Each of the Replacement Directors nominated and appointed to the Board pursuant
- to section 1 of this Agreement shall serve on the Board until the earlier of the 2019
- annual meeting of shareholders of Hydro One or until his or [sic] resignation or his
- or her successor is elected or appointed in accordance with the Governance
- 15 Agreement and the OBCA.

16

18

5

6

17 Exh. JDS-2, § 2.

Q. What led to the retirement of former CEO Mayo Schmidt?

- 19 A. The Governance Agreement requires that the CEO be appointed by the
- 20 Board and annually have his appointment confirmed by a special resolution of the Board
- 21 (i.e., two-thirds of the votes cast at a directors' meeting, or consented to in writing by all
- directors). See Section 3.3 of the Governance Agreement. In light of the events outlined
- 23 above and the anticipated difficulty of him attempting to carry out his duties as CEO
- 24 without the support of Hydro One's single largest shareholder, the former CEO Mayo
- 25 Schmidt retired from his positions, having determined that it would be in the best interests
- of Hydro One and its stakeholders to do so.

1	Q.	What process for selecting the new CEO was established in the July
2	2018 Letter .	Agreement?
3	A.	The new Board will appoint a replacement CEO, who will become the
4	eleventh Boa	rd member. The status of the CEO search is described in Exh. TDW-1T,
5	Woods Testin	mony, § IV.
6	Q.	Does the July 2018 Letter Agreement contain any other provisions
7	besides those	e described above relating to the replacement of the Hydro One Board
8	and CEO?	
9	A.	Hydro One has agreed to consult with the Province in respect of future
10	matters of ex	ecutive compensation. Further, Paul Dobson, Hydro One's Chief Financial
11	Officer, has l	been appointed as acting CEO until such time as the replacement board of
12	directors can	appoint a new CEO.
13		
14		IV. HYDRO ONE ACCOUNTABILITY ACT, 2018
15	Q.	Did the new government convene a special legislative session after the
16	June 7, 2018	election?
17	A.	Yes. Premier Ford and the Progressive Conservative Party convened a
18	special legisla	ative session on July 11, 2018.
19	Q.	Did the Progressive Conservative Party introduce legislation impacting
20	Hydro One?	
21	A.	On Monday, July 16, 2018, the new Provincial government introduced the
22	Urgent Prior	ities Act, 2018, which, included as Schedule 1, the Hydro One Accountability
23	Act, 2018. T	he Hydro One Accountability Act, 2018 is attached as Exh. JDS-3.

1	Q.	Please summarize the requirements of the Hydro One Accountability
2	Act.	
3	A.	The Hydro One Accountability Act (the "Act") addresses concerns about
4	compensation	n for Hydro One executives located in Ontario. It requires the board of Hydro
5	One to estab	lish a new compensation framework for the Board of Directors, CEO, and
6	other executi	ves in consultation with the Province and the other five largest shareholders.
7	The Act give	s the Management Board of Cabinet authority to approve this compensation
8	framework a	and any amendments to it as well as to issue directives governing the
9	compensation	n of the directors, CEO, and other executives.
10	The A	Act also amends the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998 to require the Ontario
11	Energy Boar	d to exclude any amount in respect of compensation paid to the CEO and
12	executives fr	om consumer rates for Hydro One or its subsidiaries.
13	The A	Act requires Hydro One to annually publish on its website a record of the total
14	compensation	n of executives as prescribed by regulation. The Act also requires Hydro One
15	to publish or	n its website any proposed changes to its compensation frameworks for the
16	board, CEO,	or other executives at least 30 days prior to the date on which it seeks
17	Management	Board of Cabinet approval for those changes pursuant to the Act.
18	Q.	Does the Act provide the Province and Hydro One immunity from
19	certain judio	cial challenges?
20	A.	The Act also provides the Crown and Hydro One with immunity from civil
21	liability resul	ting from:
22	•	the enactment, operation, administration or repeal of any provision of the
23		Act;

1	•	anything done or not done under the Act;
2	•	anything related in any way to the involvement of the Government of
3		Ontario in compensation matters, or other aspects of the corporate
4		governance, of Hydro One or any of its subsidiaries;
5	•	any alleged misrepresentation within the meaning of applicable securities
6		laws in any prospectus, document or other public statement related in any
7		way to the involvement of the Government of Ontario in compensation
8		matters at Hydro One or any of its subsidiaries; or
9	•	any adverse market consequences or diminishment in the value of any
10		securities in Hydro One, or any of its subsidiaries, or any other investment
11		resulting from the enactment of the Act, anything done or not done in order
12		to comply with the Act or the involvement of the Government of Ontario in
13		the corporate governance of Hydro One or any of its subsidiaries.
14	Q.	Please describe the legislative status of the Act and when it became law
15	A.	The Urgent Priorities Act, which includes Schedule 1, the Act, went
16	through first,	second, and third readings in the Ontario Legislature. The Legislature did
17	not amend the	e legislation. The Urgent Priorities Act then received Royal Assent on July
18	25, 2018, wh	ich is the day it came into force as the law of Ontario. Schedule 1 provides
19	that the Act	comes into force on a day to be named by proclamation of the Lieutenant
20	Governor. The	nat proclamation was delivered on August 15, and the Act is now effective.
21	Q.	Will the Act apply to the executives of Avista if the merger of Hydro
22	One and Avi	sta is consummated?
23	A.	No. As defined in the Act, the term "subsidiary" excludes any subsidiary

1	incorporated in a jurisdiction outside of Canada.		
2			
3	V. THE FUTURE OF THE GOVERNANCE AGREEMENT		
4	Q. Do you believe that the Governance Agreement continues to govern		
5	the relationship between the Province and Hydro One?		
6	A. Yes. Pursuant to Section 16 of the July 2018 Letter Agreement between		
7	Hydro One and the Province (Exh. JDS-2), except for the provisions of the Act which are		
8	principally limited to compensation matters pertaining to Hydro One and its subsidiaries		
9	incorporated within Canada, the Province ratified and reaffirmed its commitment to the		
10	Governance Agreement, which remains in full force and effect:		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	16. <u>Reaffirmation</u> : By entering into this Agreement, the Province ratifies and reaffirms its obligations under the Governance Agreement and agrees that, except as specifically set out in this Agreement with respect to the subject matter hereof, (i) the execution, delivery and effectiveness of this Agreement or any other documents delivered in connection herewith shall not amend, modify or operate as a waiver or forbearance of any right, power, obligation, remedy or provision under the Governance Agreement, and (ii) such agreement shall continue in full force and effect.		
20	Exh. JDS-2, § 16.		
21	The Governance Agreement requires that the Province act as an investor and not a		
22	manager of Hydro One, and the Province's decision-making authority in respect of Hydro		
23	One is restricted to that of any other investor with respect to voting its shares in any		
24	decisions that are brought forward for shareholder approval. The Province also has the		
25	right to nominate 40 percent of the Board of Directors (other than the CEO), but all		
26	directors remain subject to an annual vote by all shareholders of Hydro One.		
27	As noted above, although the Province's rights as a shareholder are limited by the		
28	Governance Agreement, the Province retains legislative authority to pass legislation with		
	Supplemental Testimony of James D. Scarlett Hydro One Page 12		

respect to subjects within its jurisdiction, such as the Act.

Q. Do you know if the Province intends to take any further actions with respect to Hydro One?

A. I am not aware of any further actions that the Province intends to take with respect to Hydro One. As I mentioned above, Premier Ford has stated that he would like to reduce electricity rates for Ontario residents. I do not know what, if any, further actions that the Province may take to address electricity rates, and am not aware of any pending legislation that would impact Hydro One's management or rates. I do not believe that any such action would affect the agreed-upon commitments reflected in the filed Stipulated Settlement, which insulate Avista's customers from provincial affairs, as discussed below.

VI. <u>SETTLEMENT COMMITMENTS DESIGNED TO PROTECT</u> <u>AVISTA'S INDEPENDENCE AND FINANCIAL HEALTH</u>

Q. Administrative Law Judge Dennis Moss's July 12, 2018 Notice of Intent to Conduct Additional Process and Opportunity for Parties to Submit Comments suggests that there may be a question as to whether the Province, through Premier Ford and the Ontario Legislature, will directly interfere with Avista's independence and financial health if the merger is consummated. Do you think that there is reason for concern?

A. No. All of the parties to this proceeding filed a Settlement Stipulation and Agreement with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (the "Commission") on March 27, 2018 ("Stipulated Settlement"). The Stipulated Settlement includes 81 merger commitments (each, a "Stipulated Commitment," collectively, the "Stipulated Commitments") that were designed by all of the parties to ensure the Supplemental Testimony of James D. Scarlett Hydro One Page 13

1	independence and financial health of Avista. Through Hydro One's and Avista's opening
2	testimony filed on September 14, 2017, and two in-person and several telephonic
3	settlement conferences, all of the parties to this proceeding carefully explored the risks to
4	Avista associated with its proposed acquisition by a Canadian utility whose largest
5	shareholder is the Province of Ontario. All of the parties discussed these risks and
6	developed a set of 81 Stipulated Commitments designed to ensure the independence and
7	financial health of Avista in light of the fact that Hydro One's largest shareholder is the
8	Province of Ontario.
9	I firmly believe that the 81 Stipulated Commitments will fully protect Avista's

The Province will not have jurisdiction to directly affect, interact with, or directly interfere with the management and strategic direction of Avista if the merger is consummated. The Province cannot pass laws that apply to Avista. Rather, if the merger is consummated, Hydro One's ownership of Avista will be constrained by the 81 Stipulated Commitments, any commitments included in the Commission's order approving the merger, and the laws of the United States and the five states in which Avista operates (Oregon, Washington, Idaho, Montana, and Alaska).

independence and financial health if the merger is consummated, and the events since the

- Q. How do the Stipulated Commitments protect Avista's independence and ensure that the Province cannot directly interfere with Avista's management and strategic direction?
- A. Stipulated Commitment No. 3 provides that only two of the nine members of Avista's post-merger board can be executives of Hydro One or any of its subsidiaries.

June 7, 2018 election do not change my conclusion.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	The other three Hydro One designees must be independent of Hydro One, Avista, and		
2	Hydro One's other affiliates, and be residents of the Pacific Northwest. Further, of the four		
3	Avista designees, three initially will be from Avista's pre-merger board, including the		
4	Chairman of Avista's pre-merger board, and the fourth will be Avista's CEO. If any Avista		
5	designee resigns, retires, or otherwise ceases to serve as a director of Avista, then the		
6	remaining Avista designees will have the sole right to replace the departing Avista		
7	designee.		
8	Presuming that one of the two Hydro One executives on Avista's post-merger board		
9	was directed by the Hydro One Board to bring to the Avista post-merger board an initiative		
10	that would benefit Hydro One and/or Ontario but diminish Avista's financial resources and		
11	service, the seven remaining members of Avista's post-merger board, all of whom will not		
12	be executives of Hydro One, would have sufficient votes to reject that initiative.		
13	Further, Stipulated Commitment Nos. 2, 9, and 10 also ensure the independence of		
14	Avista's post-merger board and the continued service of Avista's executive management		
15	and long-term presence in the Pacific Northwest:		
16	2. Executive Management		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Avista will seek to retain all current executive management of Avista, subject to voluntary retirements that may occur. This commitment will not limit Avista's ability to determine its organizational structure and select and retain personnel best able to meet Avista's needs over time. The Avista board retains the ability to dismiss executive management of Avista and other Avista personnel for standard corporate reasons (subject to the approval of Hydro One Limited ("Hydro One") for any hiring, dismissal or replacement of the CEO);		
25	9. Avista's Headquarters		
26 27 28	Avista will maintain (a) its headquarters in Spokane, Washington; (b) Avista's office locations in each of its other service territories, and (c) no less of a significant presence in the immediate location of each of such		

1 2	office locations than what Avista and its subsidiaries maintained immediately prior to completion of the Proposed Transaction;
3	10. Local Staffing
4 5 6 7	Avista will maintain Avista Utilities' staffing and presence in the communities in which Avista operates at levels sufficient to maintain the provision of safe and reliable service and cost-effective operations and consistent with preacquisition levels;
8	The Supplemental Testimony of Hydro One's and Avista's Expert Witness John J.
9	Reed (Exh. JJR-1T, "Reed Testimony") discusses these governance commitments and
10	explains how they represent the state-of-the-art for a utility merger. Reed Testimony, §III.
11	Q. What tools do the Stipulated Commitments provide the Commission to
12	ensure Hydro One's long-term financial support of Avista's safety and reliability
13	standards, service quality measures, and customer service metrics?
14	A. In addition to the protections provided by the independence of Avista's
15	post-merger board, Stipulated Commitment No. 15 limits the Avista post-merger board's
16	and Hydro One's ability to take any actions in the future that would diminish Avista's
17	safety and reliability standards, service quality measures, and customer service metrics:
18 19	15. Safety and Reliability Standards and Service Quality Measures
20 21 22	Avista will maintain Avista's safety and reliability standards and policies and service quality measures in a manner that is substantially comparable to, or better than, those currently maintained.
23 24 25	Avista will not seek to remove or reduce existing penalty provisions associated with its safety, reliability, or service quality measures for 10 years after the merger.
26 27 28 29 30 31	If the 5-year rolling average of SAIFI or SAIDI in Washington exceeds 107.5% of the average of their respective scores from 2013 to 2017 (excluding Major Event Days (MEDs), consistent with Avista's service quality program, tariff schedule 85), Hydro One and Avista commit to increase the rate credit for Washington electric customers by \$250,000 per year. This increased rate credit will persist until the 5-year rolling average
	0 1 .1m .' 0

1	is less than the threshold stated above.
2	Q. What tools do the Stipulated Commitments provide the Commission to
3	ensure Hydro One will financially support Avista and cannot withdraw dividend
4	from Avista if Avista's financial health is in jeopardy?
5	A. In addition to the protections provided by the independence of Avista's
6	post-merger board, Stipulated Commitment Nos. 26 and 34-39 require Hydro One to
7	financially support Avista and limit the Avista post-merger board's and Hydro One's
8	ability to withdraw dividends from Avista if Avista's financial health is in jeopardy:
9	26. Avista Capital Structure
10 11 12 13	At all times following the closing of the Proposed Transaction Avista's actual common equity ratio will be maintained at a level no less than 44 percent. This commitment does not restrict the Commission from ordering a hypothetical capital structure.
14	34. Capital Structure Support
15 16 17	Hydro One will provide equity to support Avista's capital structure that is designed to allow Avista access to debt financing under reasonable terms and on a sustainable basis.
18	35. Utility-Level Debt and Preferred Stock
19 20	Avista will maintain separate debt and preferred stock, if any, to support its utility operations.
21	36. Continued Credit Ratings
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Each of Hydro One and Avista will continue to be rated by at least one nationally recognized statistical "Rating Agency." Hydro One and Avista will use reasonable best efforts to obtain and maintain a separate credit rating for Avista from at least one Rating Agency within the ninety (90) days following the closing of the Proposed Transaction. If Hydro One and Avista are unable to obtain or maintain the separate rating for Avista they will make a filing with the Commission explaining the basis for their failure to obtain or maintain such separate credit rating for Avista, and
30 31	parties to this proceeding will have an opportunity to participate and propose additional commitments.

1	37. Creatt Katings Notification
2 3 4 5	Hydro One and Avista agree to notify the Commission within two business days of any downgrade of Avista's credit rating to a non-investment grade status by S&P, Moody's, or any other such ratings agency that issues such ratings with respect to Avista.
6	38. Restrictions on Upward Dividends and Distributions
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a. If either (i) Avista's corporate credit/issuer rating as determined by both Moody's and S&P, or their successors, is investment grade, or (ii) the ratio of Avista's EBITDA to Avista's interest expense is greater than or equal to 3.0, then distributions from Avista to Olympus Equity LLC shall not be limited so long as Avista's equity ratio is equal to or greater than 44 percent on the date of such Avista distribution after giving effect to such Avista distribution, except to the extent the Commission establishes a lower equity ratio for ratemaking purposes. Both the EBITDA and equity ratio shall be calculated on the same basis that such calculations would be made for ratemaking purposes for regulated utility operations.
17 18	b. Under any other circumstances, distributions from Avista to Olympus Equity LLC are allowed only with prior Commission approval.
19 20 21 22 23	c. If Avista does not have an investment-grade rating from both Moody's and S&P, or from one of these entities, or its successor, if only one issues ratings with respect to Avista, and the ratio of EBITDA to Avista's interest expense is less than 3.0, no dividend distribution to Olympus Equity LLC or its successors will occur.
24	39. Pension Funding
25 26 27	Avista will maintain its pension funding policy in accordance with sound actuarial practice. Hydro One will not seek to change Avista's pension funding policy.
28	The Reed Testimony (Exh. JJR-1T) discusses these financial ring-fencing
29	commitments and explains how they represent the state-of-the-art for a utility merger.
30	Reed Testimony, § IV.
31	Q. What tools do the Stipulated Commitments provide the Commission to
32	ensure Hydro One will not draw Avista into bankruptcy?
33	A. In addition to the protections provided by the independence of Avista's

1	post-merger board, Stipulated Commitment Nos. 42-51 ensure Hydro One will not draw		
2	Avista into bankruptcy except under extremely limited circumstances. Although I will not		
3	repeat the substance of these commitments in this testimony, the Reed Testimony (Exh.		
4	JJR-1T) discusses these bankruptcy commitments and explains how they represent the		
5	state-of-the-art in bankruptcy protections for a utility merger, including requirements for a		
6	Golden Share and a non-consolidation opinion. Reed Testimony, § IV.		
7	Q. How can the Commission be certain that Hydro One and Avista will		
8	abide by these Stipulated Commitments?		
9	A. Stipulated Commitment Nos. 1, 20, 21, 30-33, and 49 ensure that the		
10	commitments apply to Hydro One, cannot be amended without Commission approval, and		
11	provide the Commission (and U.S. courts, if necessary) authority to enforce the		
12	commitments:		
13	1. Authority Reserved		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Consistent with and subject to the terms of Exhibits A and B to the Merger Agreement (referred to as "Delegation of Authority") contained in Appendix 5 of the Joint Application, decision-making authority over commitments 2-15 below is reserved to the Board of Directors of Avista Corporation ("Avista") and any change to the policies stated in commitments 2-15 requires a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the Avista Board, provided that Avista must obtain approval for such changes from all regulatory bodies with jurisdiction over the Commitments before such changes can go into effect, and provide written notice to all parties to Docket U-170970 of such request for approval:		
24	20. State Regulatory Authority and Jurisdiction		
25 26 27 28 29	Olympus Holding Corp. and its subsidiaries, including Avista, as appropriate, will comply with all applicable laws, including those pertaining to transfers of property (Chapter 80.12), affiliated interests (Chapter 80.16), and securities and the assumption of obligations and liabilities (Chapter 80.08).		
30	21. Compliance with Existing Commission Orders		

Olympus Holding Corp. and its subsidiaries, including Avista, acknowledge that all existing orders issued by the Commission with respect to Avista or its predecessor, Washington Water Power Co., will remain in effect, and are not modified or otherwise affected by the Proposed Transaction.

Olympus Holding Corp. and its subsidiaries, including Avista, will comply with all applicable future Commission orders that remain in force.

30. Commission Enforcement of Commitments

Hydro One and its subsidiaries, including Avista, understand that the Commission has authority to enforce these commitments in accordance with their terms. If there is a violation of the terms of these commitments, then the offending party may, at the discretion of the Commission, have a period of thirty (30) calendar days to cure such violation.

The scope of this commitment includes the authority of the Commission to compel the attendance of witnesses from Olympus Holding Corp. and its affiliates, including Hydro One, with pertinent information on matters affecting Avista. Olympus Holding Corp. and its subsidiaries waive their rights to interpose any legal objection they might otherwise have to the Commission's jurisdiction to require the appearance of any such witnesses.

31. Submittal to State Court Jurisdiction for Enforcement of Commission Orders

Olympus Holding Corp., on its own and its subsidiaries' behalf, including Avista's, will file with the Commission prior to closing the Proposed Transaction an affidavit affirming that it will submit to the jurisdiction of the relevant state courts for enforcement of the Commission's orders adopting these commitments and subsequent orders affecting Avista.

32. Annual Report on Commitments

By May 1, 2019 and each May 1 thereafter through May 1, 2029, Avista will file a report with the Commission regarding the status of compliance with each of the commitments as of December 31 of the preceding year. The report will, at a minimum, provide a description of the performance of each of the commitments, will be filed in Docket U-170970 and served to all parties to the docket. If any commitment is not being met, relative to the specific terms of the commitment, the report must provide proposed corrective measures and target dates for completion of such measures. Avista will make publicly available at the Commission nonconfidential portions of the report.

33. Commitments Binding

Hydro One, Olympus Holding Corp. and its subsidiaries, including Avista, acknowledge that the commitments being made by them are binding only upon them and their affiliates where noted, and their successors in interest. Hydro One and Avista are not requesting in this proceeding a determination of the prudence, just and reasonable character, rate or ratemaking treatment, or public interest of the investments, expenditures or actions referenced in the commitments, and the parties in appropriate proceedings may take such positions regarding the prudence, just and reasonable character, rate or ratemaking treatment, or public interest of the investments, expenditures or actions as they deem appropriate.

If Hydro One or any other entity in the chain of Avista's ownership determines that Avista or any other entity has failed to comply with an applicable Commitment, the entity making such determinations shall take all appropriate actions to achieve compliance with the Commitment.

49. No Amendment of Ring-Fencing Provisions

Hydro One, Olympus Holding Corp. and Avista commit that no material amendments, revisions or modifications will be made to the ring-fencing provisions as specified in these regulatory commitments without prior Commission approval pursuant to a limited re-opener for the sole purpose of addressing the ring-fencing provisions.

- Q. Several of the Stipulated Commitments in the Washington Stipulated Settlement require Avista's shareholder, Hydro One, and not Avista's ratepayers, to provide funding for certain programs (Stipulated Commitment Nos. 11 Community Contributions; 19 Rate Credits; 63 Professional Home Energy Audit; 64 Community Contributions; 67 Funding for Low-Income Participation in New Renewables; 69 Replacement of Manufactured Homes; 70 Low Income Weatherization; 77 Montana Community Transition Fund; 79 On Bill Repayment). How can the Commission be certain that Hydro One, as Avista's sole shareholder, will ensure there is funding for these Stipulated Commitments?
- A. First, as detailed in the Exh. CFL-6T (Supplemental Testimony of Chris Lopez), § III, the \$30.7 million rate credit will simply flow through to Avista customers in
- 32 the bills issued by Avista. No cash from Hydro One is needed. Supplemental Testimony of James D. Scarlett Hydro One

Second, Stipulated Commitment Nos. 63, 64, 67, 69, 70, 77, and 79 all clearly state that the funds for these programs will be arranged by Hydro One and Stipulated Commitment No. 75 provides that "any commitment that states Hydro One will arrange funding is not contingent on Hydro One's ability to arrange funding, particularly from outside sources, but is a firm commitment to provide the dollar amount specified over the time period specified and for the purposes specified. ... Avista will not seek cost recovery for any of the commitments funded or arranged by Hydro One in this list of merger commitments. Hydro One will not seek cost recovery for such funds from ratepayers in Ontario." Therefore, Hydro One, as Avista's sole shareholder, ultimately bears the cost of these commitments.

Third, Stipulated Commitment No. 75 also establishes that if Avista has retained earnings that would otherwise be available to Hydro One as dividends, those retained earnings can be used to fund Stipulated Commitment Nos. 63, 64, 67, 69, 70, 77, and 79: "To the extent Avista has retained earnings that are available for payment of dividends to Olympus Equity LLC consistent with the ring fencing provisions of this list of merger commitments, such retained earnings may be used. Funds available from other Hydro One affiliates may be used without limitation." ¹ In essence, funds otherwise available for payment of dividends to Olympus Equity and on up the chain will instead be directed to funding these commitments, as explained in Exh. CFL-6T (Supplemental Testimony of Chris Lopez), § III.

Fourth, as noted in response to the previous question, Stipulated Commitment No.

Supplemental Testimony of James D. Scarlett

Hydro One Page 22

¹ Avista's ability to use retained earnings to meet these commitments also will be governed by Hydro One's commitments in Stipulated Commitment Nos. 26, 34, 36-37.

1 33 establishes that Hydro One and/or its subsidiaries are bound by the Stipulated 2 Commitments, Stipulated Commitment No. 30 subjects Hydro One and/or its subsidiaries 3 to the jurisdiction of the Commission for enforcement of the Stipulated Commitments, and 4 Stipulated Commitment No. 31 provides that "Olympus Holding Corp., on its own and its 5 subsidiaries' behalf, including Avista's, will file with the Commission prior to closing the 6 Proposed Transaction an affidavit affirming that it will submit to the jurisdiction of the 7 relevant state courts for enforcement of the Commission's orders adopting these 8 commitments and subsequent orders affecting Avista." Therefore, Hydro One, as Avista's 9 sole shareholder, and/or its subsidiaries, have submitted to the jurisdiction of the 10 Commission and Washington courts for the enforcement of all of the Stipulated 11 Commitments, including those that require Hydro One funding.

Fifth, if the merger is consummated, the Province will not have jurisdiction to modify or nullify the 81 Stipulated Commitments and any conditions included in the Commission's order approving the merger. Hydro One is bound by these contractual obligations. Even though the Province is a shareholder of Hydro One, Hydro One is the entity that bears the full legal responsibility for the 81 Stipulated Commitments and any commitments included in the Commission's order approving the merger if the merger is consummated. The Province is not a party to Hydro One's contracts and commitments in this proceeding and no action on the part of the Province is required for Hydro One to fulfill its obligations.

- Q. Does Section 8.1 of the Governance Agreement make any of Hydro One's financial and funding commitments in the Stipulated Settlement subject to
- 23 **Provincial appropriations?**

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	A. No, none of the commitments are contingent on Provincial funding because	
2	none calls for any payment by the Province. Section 8.1 of the Governance Agreement	
3	states:	
4	8.1 Financial Obligations of the Province	
5 6 7 8 9	Pursuant to the [Financial Administration Act (Ontario)], any payment required to be made by the Province pursuant to this Agreement is subject to there being sufficient appropriation by the Legislative Assembly of Ontario for the fiscal year in which the payment is to be made or the payment having been charged to appropriation for a previous year.	
10	This provision in the Governance Agreement applies only to "any payment required to be	
11	made by the Province pursuant to this [Governance] Agreement" The Province has no	
12	payment obligations, whatsoever, pursuant to Hydro One's contracts and commitments	
13	related the Proposed Transaction. Therefore, to the extent Section 8.1 limits the Province's	
14	payment obligations to the availability of appropriated funds, such limitations have no	
15	bearing or legal relationship to Hydro One's contractual obligations with respect to the	
16	Proposed Transaction.	
17	Q. Testimony previously filed in this proceeding by Avista's CEO Scott	
18	Morris and Hydro One's former CEO Mayo Schmidt emphasized the strong	
19	relationship between the two CEOs and the cultural compatibility of Hydro One and	
20	Avista. Does the retirement of Mr. Schmidt and the Province's actions change this	
21	justification for the Proposed Transaction?	
22	A. No. While the strong relationship between Mr. Morris and Mr. Schmidt	
23	certainly contributed to a meeting of the minds on the terms of the merger agreement	
24	between Hydro One and Avista, any corporate transaction of this size is not dependent on	
25	the relationship of two executives. Eventually, executives retire or leave a company to	

1	pursue other opportunities. Both sides understood this and negotiated a merger agreement,
2	the delegation of authority described in Mr. Morris's and Mr. Schmidt's opening testimony
3	(Exhs. MMS-1T and SLM-1T, respectively), and merger commitments that provide a very
4	clear framework for the interaction of the two companies. Hydro One's commitments to
5	(i) an Avista board with Avista directors and independent directors (as defined by the
6	NYSE rules) ("Independent Directors") from the Pacific Northwest, (ii) continued
7	headquarters in Spokane, WA, (iii) the continued service of Avista's executives,
8	management, and employees, (iv) Avista board control over the hiring and replacement of
9	Avista's CEO, (v) Avista's day-to-day management of its business, and (vi) substantial
10	charitable and community contributions, are all preserved in contractual documents that
11	continue long past the tenure of any single executive involved in the negotiation of the
12	Proposed Transaction. Moreover, the commitments are sufficiently clear and detailed that
13	responsibility for implementation will fall not on the CEOs but on other staff.

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23 24

25

VII. PROPOSED NEW OR AMENDED COMMITMENTS

- Q. Despite your conclusion that the merger commitments in the Stipulated Settlement will fully protect Avista from Provincial interference if the merger is consummated, have Hydro One and Avista proposed any additional commitments to respond to the events in the Province since July 11, 2018?
- 20 A. Yes. Hydro One and Avista have proposed one additional merger 21 commitment since July 11, 2018:
 - Avista Employee Compensation: Any decisions regarding Avista employee compensation shall be made by the Avista Board consistent with the terms of the Merger Agreement between Hydro One and Avista, and current market standards and prevailing practices of relevant U.S. electric

1	and gas utility benchmarks. The determination of the level of any
2	compensation (including equity awards) approved by the Avista Board with
3	respect to any employee in accordance with the foregoing shall not be
4	subject to change by Hydro One or the Hydro One Board.

- 5 See Comments of Avista and Hydro One as Joint Applicants in Reference to Management
- 6 Changes at Hydro One, U-170970 (July 18, 2018), pp. 8-9.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

- Although the *Hydro One Accountability Act* does not apply to Avista if the merger is consummated, Avista and Hydro One have agreed upon this additional commitment to provide further protection to Avista's employees, such that Avista will be able to continue to recruit and retain the most highly qualified employee talent base for Avista's customers.
 - Q. Have Avista and Hydro One discussed making any revisions to the Delegation of Authority (Appendix 5 of the Joint Application) to respond to the events after the June 7, 2018 Ontario election involving Hydro One?
 - A. Yes. Avista and Hydro One propose to amend this section of the Delegation of Authority as follows:

Shareholder shall have the unfettered right to designate, remove and replace the Shareholder Designees as directors of the Surviving Corporation with or without cause or notice at its sole discretion, subject to the requirement that (i) two (2) of such directors are executives of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries and (ii) three (3) of such directors are Independent Directors who are residents of the Pacific Northwest Region, while such requirement is in effect (subject in the case of clause (ii) hereof to Shareholder determining, in good faith, that it is not able to appoint an Independent Director who is a resident of the Pacific Northwest Region in a timely manner, in which case Shareholder may replace any such director with an employee of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries on an interim basis, not exceeding six months, after which time Shareholder shall replace such interim director with Independent Director who is a resident of the Pacific Northwest Region; provided, however, that this exception to clause (ii) hereof shall not apply if, at any time a circumstance arises, and during the pendency of any such circumstance, whereby the Province of Ontario ("Ontario") exercises its rights as a shareholder of Parent, uses legislative authority or acts in any other manner whatsoever, that results, or would result, in Ontario appointing nominees to the board of directors of Parent

1 2		that constitute, or would constitute a majority of the directors of such board);
3	Q.	What is the purpose of the new text in the Delegation of Authority?
4	A.	This proposed amendment to the Delegation of Authority is designed to
5	protect the in	dependence of the Avista board in the event that the Province takes some
6	action in the f	future to control a majority of the Hydro One Board. If that event occurs, this
7	amendment is	s triggered and blocks Hydro One's limited right to replace any of its three
8	Independent	Director designees on the Avista board with a Hydro One executive or
9	employee.	
10		
11		VIII. ADOPTION OF MAYO SCHMIDT TESTIMONY
12	Q.	Are you aware of testimony previously submitted by Mayo Schmidt?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	What testimony was previously submitted by Mayo Schmidt?
15	A.	The testimony previously submitted by Mayo Schmidt includes:
16	•	Hydro One Exh. MMS-1T - Direct Testimony of Mayo M. Schmidt,
17		submitted September 14, 2017, including Exhs. MMS-2 and MMS-3
18	•	Joint Testimony Exh. JNT-1T – Joint Testimony in Support of Settlement
19		Stipulation, submitted April 10, 2018, including Exhs. JNT-2, JNT-3, JNT-
20		4, and JNT-5
21	•	Hydro One Exh. MMS-4T - Testimony of Mayo M. Schmidt submitted
22		April 10, 2018, including Exh. MMS-5
23	Q.	Do you adopt Mayo Schmidt's testimony as your own?

- 1 A. Yes, subject to (i) the Province's ability to introduce, or threaten, legislation,
- 2 as evidenced by the events that have transpired, (ii) the passage of the Hydro One
- 3 Accountability Act, 2018, and (iii) the government's promise to reduce rates in Ontario.
- 4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 5 A. Yes it does.