

From: [Kopta, Gregory \(UTC\)](#)
To: ealvordattorney@yahoo.com
Cc: [Casey, Chris \(UTC\)](#)
Subject: Dockets TG-151573 & TG-143802; Final Order Modifying Initial Order
Date: Monday, June 20, 2016 4:07:00 PM

Ms. Alvord,

I am responding to your message on behalf of the Commission. This proceeding was a brief adjudicative proceeding, and WAC 480-07-610 is the Commission procedural rule governing such proceedings. That rule requires responses to petitions for administrative review of an initial order to be filed within seven days after service of the petition. WAC 480-07-610(7)(c). Even accepting your representation, without deciding, that Commission Staff served Bobby Wolford Trucking with Staff's petition for administrative review of Order 02 on June 7, 2016, the Company did not file a response within seven days, *i.e.*, by June 14, 2016. The Commission entered and served its final order on June 17, 2016, well after the date responses to the petition were due and prior to receipt of the Company's untimely filing.

The Commission does not consider Bobby Wolford Trucking's response to Staff's petition for administrative review of Order 02 to be a petition for reconsideration of Order 03. Nor will the Commission reconsider its final order on its own motion. The notice at the end of Order 03 describes the availability of further administrative relief should the Company choose to seek it.

Please direct any future procedural questions to my attention, rather than to the Commissioners.

Gregory J. Kopta
Director, Administrative Law Division
(360) 664-1355

Utilities and Transportation Commission

Respect. Professionalism. Integrity. Accountability.

From: ealvordattorney@yahoo.com [<mailto:ealvordattorney@yahoo.com>]

Sent: Monday, June 20, 2016 2:46 PM

To: Danner, Dave (UTC) <ddanner@utc.wa.gov>; Jones, Philip (UTC) <pjones@utc.wa.gov>; Rendahl, Ann (UTC) <arendahl@utc.wa.gov>

Cc: Casey, Chris (UTC) <ccasey@utc.wa.gov>

Subject: Final Order Modifying Initial Order

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing to you concerning the Final Order Modifying Initial Order in the matter of Bobby Wolford Trucking & Salvage, Docket TG-151573 and TG-143802.

This Order was apparently filed on June 17 and reached my office today,

June 20.

The concern that I have is this: Under the WAC, Bobby Wolford Trucking was permitted ten (10) days from the date of service to file its Answer to the Staff's Petition for Review. Wolford was served the Petition on June 7. Wolford filed its Answer to the Petition on June 17, within the 10 day time requirement.

Despite this, the Commission did not consider Wolford's Answer prior to issuing this Final Order.

At this point, we would appreciate guidance from the Commission on this problem. Will the Commission take this under reconsideration on its own volition or does Wolford need to file a motion?

Respectfully yours,

Elizabeth Alvord, Attorney
221 Lake Avenue West
Kirkland, WA 98033
(425) 505-1865

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with Treasury Department and IRS regulations, we inform you that, unless expressly indicated otherwise, any federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written by Elizabeth Alvord to be used, and cannot be used by the taxpayer, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein (or any attachments).

* * * * *

NOTICE: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.