3:20-cv-00621-IM Port of Kalama et al v. M/V SM MUMBAI et al

Karin J. Immergut, presiding
Date filed: 04/16/2020
Date terminated: 09/16/2021
Date of last filing: 12/15/2021

History

Doc. No.	Dates	Description
1	Filed & Entered: 04/16/2020	Complaint
	AORDC-6549042 Jury Trial Reque CO LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 S	in the amount of \$400 collected. Agency Tracking ID: ested: No. Filed by Port of Kalama against KLC SM hipping Co., dba SM Line Corporation, M/V SM Attachments: # (1) Plaintiff's Verification, # (2) ver Sheet). (Boyajian, David)
2	Filed & Entered: 04/16/2020	Discovery and Pretrial Scheduling Order
	Pretrial Scheduling Order. NOTIC all documents issued by the Clerk accordance with Local Rule 3-5.	E: Counsel shall print and serve the summonses and at the time of filing upon all named parties in Discovery is to be completed by 8/14/2020. Joint at is due by 9/14/2020. Pretrial Order is due by J. Immergut. (ecp)
<u>3</u>	Filed & Entered: 04/16/2020	Notice
	Docket Text: Notice to Court re Proby Port of Kalama. (Boyajian, Davi	ocess Held in Abeyance Pursuant to LR 1010-2 Filed id)
4	Filed & Entered: 04/16/2020	Summons Issued
	Counsel shall print and serve the	stronically as to M/V SM MUMBAI. NOTICE: summonses and all documents issued by the Clerk ed parties in accordance with Local Rule 3-5. (ecp)
<u>5</u>	Filed & Entered: 07/06/2020	Notice
		searance and Verified Statement of Right or Interest in Shipping Co. Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping
<u>6</u>	Filed & Entered: 07/06/2020	Corporate Disclosure Statement
	Docket Text: Corporate Disclosure Co (McCurdy, James)	Statement . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping
<u>7</u>	Filed & Entered: 07/27/2020	Answer to Complaint

	Docket Text: Answer to [1] Complaint, Filer is subject to the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 7.1. Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James)	
8	Filed & Entered: 08/06/2020 Third Party Complaint	
	Docket Text: Third Party Complaint against Christopher M Boyce Summons issued as to Christopher M. Boyce. Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co. (Attachments: #(1) Proposed Summons). (McCurdy, James)	
9	Filed & Entered: 08/10/2020 Summons Issued	
	Docket Text: Summons Issued Electronically as to Christopher M Boyce. NOTICE: Counsel shall print and serve the summonses and all documents issued by the Cle at the time of filing upon all named parties in accordance with Local Rule 3-5. (ed	
<u>10</u>	Filed & Entered: 08/14/2020 Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines 08/18/2020	
	Docket Text: Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James)	
<u>11</u>	Filed & Entered: 08/17/2020 Acceptance/Acknowledgment of Service	
	Docket Text: Acceptance/Acknowledgement of Service of Third Party Complaint[8] on Christopher M Boyce served on 8/17/2020 Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James)	
12	Filed & Entered: 08/18/2020 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO deadlines	
	Docket Text: ORDER: The Parties' Stipulated Motion for Extension of Time to Complete Discovery and Pretrial Deadlines [10] is GRANTED. The deadline for completion of "Discovery and Pretrial Scheduling Order" (the "Order") section 1(a) is December 14, 2020. See ECF 2. The deadline for completion of Order section 1(b) is January 11, 2021. See id. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (mja)	
<u>13</u>	Filed & Entered: 09/08/2020 Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim Terminated: 12/16/2020	
	Docket Text: Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim. Oral Argument requested. Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael)	
<u>14</u>	Filed & Entered: 09/10/2020 Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 Agreement	
	Docket Text: Proposed Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) Agreement . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McDermott, Thomas)	
<u>15</u>	Filed & Entered: 09/22/2020 Response to Motion	
	Docket Text: Response to Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13]. Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McDermott, Thomas)	
<u>16</u>	Filed & Entered: 09/22/2020 Declaration	
	Docket Text: Declaration of Thomas McDermott. Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (Related document(s): Response to Motion[15].) (Attachments: # (1) Exhibit Exhibit A to Dec ISO of Response to Motion to Dismiss, # (2) Exhibit Exhibit B to Dec ISO of Response to Motion to Dismiss) (McDermott, Thomas)	
<u>17</u>	Filed & Entered: 10/06/2020 Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim	

Terminated: 10/15/2020 Docket Text: Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim . Oral Argument requested. Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael) 18 Filed & Entered: 10/06/2020 Notice Docket Text: Notice of Erratum Regarding Filling of ECF Docket No. 17 Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael) 19 Filed & Entered: 10/06/2020 Reply to Motion Docket Text: Reply to Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13]. Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael) 20 Filed & Entered: 11/17/2020 Motion for Protective Order Terminated: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Stipulated Motion for (Protective Order). Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) Modified on 11/19/2020 to correct docket event and text(ecp). 21 Filed & Entered: 12/08/2020 Amended Complaint Docket Text: First Amended Complaint In Rem and In Personam. Filed by Port of Kalama against KLC SM CO LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co., M/V SM MUMBAI, SM Line Corporation. (Boyajian, David) 22 Filed & Entered: 12/09/2020 Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines Terminated: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) 23 Filed & Entered: 12/11/2020 Answer to Amended Complaint Docket Text: Answer to [21] Amended Complaint . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) 24 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Signed on 12/11/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut granting Motion for a Protective Order [20]. (jy) 25 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for extension of Discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 Order on motion for extension of Discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 Order on motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immer	1		
Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael) Filed & Entered: 10/06/2020 Notice Docket Text: Notice of Erratum Regarding Filing of ECF Docket No. 17 Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael) Filed & Entered: 10/06/2020 Reply to Motion Docket Text: Reply to Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13]. Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael) Filed & Entered: 11/17/2020 Motion for Protective Order Terminated: 12/11/2020 Motion for Protective Order Terminated: 12/11/2020 Motion for (Protective Order). Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) Modified on 11/19/2020 to correct docket event and text(ecp). Filed & Entered: 12/08/2020 Amended Complaint Docket Text: First Amended Complaint In Rem and In Personam. Filed by Port of Kalama against KLC SM CO LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co., M/V SM MUMBAI, SM Line Corporation. (Boyajian, David) Filed & Entered: 12/09/2020 Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines Terminated: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines Terminated: 12/11/2020 Answer to Amended Complaint Docket Text: Answer to [21] Amended Complaint Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) Filed & Entered: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/12/2020 Docket Text: ORDER: The Court GRANTS the Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 deadlines Docket Text: ORDER: The Court GRANTS the Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) Filed & Entered: 12/16/200 Order On motion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)		Terminated:	10/15/2020
Docket Text: Notice of Erratum Regarding Filing of ECF Docket No. 17 Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael) Filed & Entered: 10/06/2020 Reply to Motion Docket Text: Reply to Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13]. Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael)			
Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael) Filed & Entered: 10/06/2020 Reply to Motion	<u>18</u>	Filed & Entered:	10/06/2020 Notice
Docket Text: Reply to Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13]. Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael) Filed & Entered: 11/17/2020 Motion for Protective Order Terminated: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Stipulated Motion for (Protective Order). Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) Modified on 11/19/2020 to correct docket event and text(ccp). Filed & Entered: 12/08/2020 Amended Complaint Docket Text: First Amended Complaint In Rem and In Personam. Filed by Port of Kalama against KLC SM CO LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co., M/V SM MUMBAI, SM Line Corporation. (Boyajian, David) Docket Text: Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines Indicated: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Answer to [21] Amended Complaint Docket Text: Answer to [21] Amended Complaint . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McDermott, Thomas) Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order			
Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael) 20 Filed & Entered: 11/17/2020 Motion for Protective Order Terminated: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Stipulated Motion for (Protective Order). Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) Modified on 11/19/2020 to correct docket event and text(ccp). 21 Filed & Entered: 12/08/2020 Amended Complaint Docket Text: First Amended Complaint In Rem and In Personam. Filed by Port of Kalama against KLC SM CO LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co., M/V SM MUMBAI, SM Line Corporation. (Boyajian, David) 22 Filed & Entered: 12/09/2020 Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines Terminated: 12/11/2020 Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) 23 Filed & Entered: 12/11/2020 Answer to Amended Complaint Docket Text: Answer to [21] Amended Complaint . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McDermott, Thomas) 24 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 26 Filed & Entered: 12/16/2020 Opinion and Order Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	<u>19</u>	Filed & Entered:	10/06/2020 Reply to Motion
Terminated: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Stipulated Motion for (Protective Order). Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) Modified on 11/19/2020 to correct docket event and text(eep). 21 Filed & Entered: 12/08/2020 Amended Complaint Docket Text: First Amended Complaint In Rem and In Personam. Filed by Port of Kalama against KLC SM CO LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co., M/V SM MUMBAI, SM Line Corporation. (Boyajian, David) 22 Filed & Entered: 12/09/2020 Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines Terminated: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) 23 Filed & Entered: 12/11/2020 Answer to Amended Complaint Docket Text: Answer to [21] Amended Complaint . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McDermott, Thomas) 24 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/12/2020 Docket Text: STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Signed on 12/11/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut granting Motion for a Protective Order [20]. (jy) 25 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 Order on motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 26 Filed & Entered: 12/16/2020 Opinion and Order Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)			
Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) Modified on 11/19/2020 to correct docket event and text(ecp). 21 Filed & Entered: 12/08/2020 Amended Complaint Docket Text: First Amended Complaint In Rem and In Personam. Filed by Port of Kalama against KLC SM CO LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co., M/V SM MUMBAI, SM Line Corporation. (Boyajian, David) 22 Filed & Entered: 12/09/2020 Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines Terminated: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) 23 Filed & Entered: 12/11/2020 Answer to Amended Complaint Docket Text: Answer to [21] Amended Complaint . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McDermott, Thomas) 24 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/12/2020 Docket Text: STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Signed on 12/11/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut granting Motion for a Protective Order [20]. (jy) 25 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 Deadlines Docket Text: ORDER: The Court GRANTS the Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 26 Filed & Entered: 12/16/2020 Opinion and Order Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	<u>20</u>		
Docket Text: First Amended Complaint In Rem and In Personam. Filed by Port of Kalama against KLC SM CO LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co., M/V SM MUMBAI, SM Line Corporation. (Boyajian, David) 22 Filed & Entered: 12/09/2020 Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines		Shipping Co (McCu	,
against KLC SM CO LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co., M/V SM MUMBAI, SM Line Corporation. (Boyajian, David) 22 Filed & Entered: 12/09/2020 Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines Terminated: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) 23 Filed & Entered: 12/11/2020 Answer to Amended Complaint Docket Text: Answer to [21] Amended Complaint . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McDermott, Thomas) 24 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/12/2020 Docket Text: STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Signed on 12/11/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut granting Motion for a Protective Order [20]. (jy) 25 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 deadlines Docket Text: ORDER: The Court GRANTS the Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 26 Filed & Entered: 12/16/2020 Opinion and Order Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	<u>21</u>	Filed & Entered:	12/08/2020 Amended Complaint
Terminated: 12/11/2020 Docket Text: Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) 23 Filed & Entered: 12/11/2020 Answer to Amended Complaint Docket Text: Answer to [21] Amended Complaint . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McDermott, Thomas) 24 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/12/2020 Docket Text: STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Signed on 12/11/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut granting Motion for a Protective Order [20]. (jy) 25 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 deadlines Docket Text: ORDER: The Court GRANTS the Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 26 Filed & Entered: 12/16/2020 Opinion and Order Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)		against KLC SM CO	LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co., M/V SM MUMBAI, SM
Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James) 23 Filed & Entered: 12/11/2020 Answer to Amended Complaint Docket Text: Answer to [21] Amended Complaint . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McDermott, Thomas) 24 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/12/2020 Docket Text: STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Signed on 12/11/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut granting Motion for a Protective Order [20]. (jy) 25 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 deadlines Docket Text: ORDER: The Court GRANTS the Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 26 Filed & Entered: 12/16/2020 Opinion and Order Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	<u>22</u>		•
Docket Text: Answer to [21] Amended Complaint . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Shipping Co (McDermott, Thomas) 24 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for protective order Entered: 12/12/2020 Docket Text: STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Signed on 12/11/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut granting Motion for a Protective Order [20]. (jy) 25 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 deadlines Docket Text: ORDER: The Court GRANTS the Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 26 Filed & Entered: 12/16/2020 Opinion and Order Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	<u>23</u>	Filed & Entered:	12/11/2020 Answer to Amended Complaint
 Entered: 12/12/2020 Docket Text: STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Signed on 12/11/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut granting Motion for a Protective Order [20]. (jy) Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 deadlines Docket Text: ORDER: The Court GRANTS the Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) Filed & Entered: 12/16/2020 Opinion and Order Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 			
Karin J. Immergut granting Motion for a Protective Order [20]. (jy) 25 Filed: 12/11/2020 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO Entered: 12/12/2020 deadlines Docket Text: ORDER: The Court GRANTS the Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 26 Filed & Entered: 12/16/2020 Opinion and Order Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	<u>24</u>		1
Entered: 12/12/2020 deadlines Docket Text: ORDER: The Court GRANTS the Joint Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 26 Filed & Entered: 12/16/2020 Opinion and Order Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)			
 & PTO Deadlines [22]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate Dispute Resolution Report is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 26 Filed & Entered: 12/16/2020 Opinion and Order Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy) 	25		
Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)		& PTO Deadlines [22] Dispute Resolution R	2]. Discovery is to be completed by 3/15/2021. Joint Alternate eport is due by 4/12/2021. Pretrial Order is due by 4/12/2021.
Failure to State a Claim [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. Signed on 12/16/20 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	<u>26</u>	Filed & Entered:	12/16/2020 Opinion and Order
27 Filed & Entered: 12/30/2020 Answer to Third Party Complaint		Failure to State a Cla	im [13] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for details. y Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)
	27	Filed & Entered:	12/30/2020 Answer to Third Party Complaint

	Docket Text: Third Pa Boyce. (Brickenstein,	rty Answer to [8] Third Party Complaint . Filed by Christopher M Eric)
<u>28</u>	Filed & Entered: Terminated:	03/01/2021 Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines 03/02/2021
		tion to Modify Schedule. Filed by Christopher M Boyce. odified on 3/2/2021 to correct event (ecp).
29	Filed & Entered:	03/02/2021 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO deadlines
	discovery deadline is I Rebuttal expert reports	: Joint Motion to Modify Schedule [28] is GRANTED. The fact May 14, 2021. Initial expert disclosures are due June 15, 2021. In are due June 30, 2021. The expert discovery deadline is July 15, report and the pretrial order are due July 30, 2021. Ordered by Judge ()
30	Filed & Entered:	03/03/2021 Scheduling Order
	Conference is set for 3	ng Order by Judge Karin J. Immergut. Telephonic Status /9/2021 at 3:00PM in Portland by telephone before Judge Karin J. Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)
<u>31</u>	Filed: Entered:	03/09/2021 Rule 16 Conference 03/10/2021
	is to be completed by Rebuttal Expert Discled July 15, 2021. Joint stareport is due no later the due July 30, 2021. Discled documents shall be file for September 23, 202 commence October 5, Immergut. David R. B.	ES of Proceedings: Telephonic Rule 16 Conference. Fact discovery May 14, 2021. Initial Expert Disclosures due June 15, 2021. Initial Expert Disclosures due June 15, 2021. Initial Expert discovery is to be completed by Strement of agreed and disputed facts due July 30, 2021. Joint ADR In July 30, 2021. Jointly proposed Pretrial Order and Verdict form positive motions due no later than August 10, 2021. Trial and no later than Noon on August 31, 2021. Pretrial Conference is set 1, at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 13A. Court trial (4 days) is set to 2021, at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 13A before Judge Karin J. Oyajian present as counsel for plaintiff(s). Thomas E. McDermott in present as counsel for defendant(s). Court Reporter: Jill Jessup. But presiding. (jy)
32	Filed & Entered: Terminated:	03/19/2021 Motion to Quash 04/01/2021
	Docket Text: Motion to River Pilots Association	o Quash <i>Subpoenas and for Protective Order</i> . Filed by Columbia on. (Zilbert, Todd)
<u>33</u>	Filed & Entered:	03/19/2021 Declaration
		on of Todd Zilbert <i>in Support of Motion to Quash</i> . Filed by Association. (Related document(s): Motion to Quash[32].) (Zilbert,
<u>34</u>	Filed & Entered:	03/24/2021 Response to Motion
	_	e to Motion to Quash <i>Subpoenas and for Protective Order</i> [32]. Filed . 19 Shipping Co (Attachments: # (1) Exhibit Exhibits A-G)

<u>35</u>	Filed & Entered: 03/25/2021 Notice of Change of Address	
	Docket Text: Notice of Change of Address. Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael)	
36	Filed & Entered: 04/01/2021 Order on motion to quash	
	Docket Text: ORDER: The Court finds that Columbia River Pilots Association ("COLRIP") has not shown that the deposition subpoenas issued by Third Party Plaintiffs pose an undue burden to COLRIP or the two individuals who are the subjects of the subpoenas at issue in this motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(d)(3)(A). Accordingly, COLRIP's Motion to Quash Subpoenas and for Protective Order [32] is DENIED. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	
<u>37</u>	Filed & Entered: 05/04/2021 Scheduling Order	
	Docket Text: Scheduling Order by Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin. Settlement Conference is set for 7/13/2021 at 10:00AM in Eugene by telephone before Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin. Participation of all parties with settlement authority required. This includes anyone who is to have input on the decision of whether or not to settle the case. If any of the parties is going to seek input from a third person(s) regarding decisions associated with the settlement of a case, that third person should be present during all phases of the settlement process. Ordered parties to submit settlement document to the court by 12:00PM, 7/6/2021, in which they state realistic proposals they are willing to make or accept to resolve this case. PLEASE SEE ATTACHED INSTRUCTIONS REGARDING SETTLEMENT DOCUMENT. Signed on 5/4/2021 by Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin. (plb)	
<u>38</u>	Filed & Entered: 05/13/2021 Attorney Association	
	Docket Text: Notice of Association of Attorney James Molyneux-Elliot, James Molyneux-Elliot for Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (Molyneux-Elliot, James)	
<u>39</u>	Filed & Entered: 05/14/2021 Motion to Compel Terminated: 05/25/2021	
	Docket Text: Motion to Compel. Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (Attachments: # (1) Exhibit 1, # (2) Exhibit 2) (Molyneux-Elliot, James)	
40	Filed & Entered: 05/21/2021 Motion to Withdraw Terminated: 05/25/2021	
	Docket Text: Unopposed Motion to Withdraw Motion to Compel[39]. Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (Molyneux-Elliot, James)	
41	Filed & Entered: 05/25/2021 Order on Motion to Withdraw	
	Docket Text: ORDER: Unopposed Motion to Withdraw Motion to Compel [40] Is GRANTED. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	
42	Filed & Entered: 06/15/2021 Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines Terminated: 06/21/2021	
	Docket Text: Unopposed Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines (initial and rebuttal expert disclosures). Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Brickenstein, Eric)	

43	Filed & Entered:	06/21/2021 Order on motion for extension of discovery & PTO deadlines	
	[42] is GRANTED. In disclosures are now d	R: Unopposed Motion for Extension of Discovery & PTO Deadlines nitial expert disclosures are now due 6/22/21. Rebuttal expert ue 7/7/21. All remaining case management deadlines remain the ge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	
44	Filed & Entered: Terminated:	06/24/2021 Motion for Extension of Time 07/01/2021	
	Docket Text: Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Extend Deadline for Expert Discovery. Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (Molyneux-Elliot, James)		
45	Filed & Entered:	07/01/2021 Order on motion for extension of time	
	[44] is GRANTED. E	R: Unopposed Motion for Extension of Expert Discovery Deadline expert discovery is now to be completed by 7/22/21. All other case as remain the same. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	
46	Filed & Entered:	07/13/2021 Settlement Conference	
	not settle. Negotiation to resume the settlement Thomas McDermott;	TES of Proceedings: Telephone Settlement Conference. Case does as ongoing. The parties will notify the Court when they are prepared ent conference. David Boyajian present as counsel for plaintiff. James McCurdy present as counsel for defendant. Michael Haglund third-party defendant. Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin	
<u>47</u>	Filed & Entered: Terminated:	07/22/2021 Motion for Extension of Time 07/28/2021	
		sed Motion for Extension of Time to Extend Expert Discovery. Filed yce. (Brickenstein, Eric)	
48	Filed & Entered:	07/28/2021 Order on motion for extension of time	
	GRANTED. Expert d	R: Unopposed Motion to Extend Expert Discovery [47] is discovery is now to be completed by 8/3/2021. All other case as remain the same. Ordered by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)	
<u>49</u>	Filed & Entered:	08/03/2021 Joint ADR Report	
	Docket Text: Joint AI Co(McDermott, The	OR Report . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping omas)	
<u>50</u>	Filed & Entered: Terminated:	08/03/2021 Motion for Bond 08/09/2021	
	1	sed Motion for Bond <i>Motion to Tender \$250 Cash Bond</i> . Filed by a. (Attachments: # (1) Proposed Order) (Haglund, Michael)	
<u>51</u>	Filed & Entered:	08/03/2021 Proposed Pretrial Order - Joint	
	Docket Text: Joint Pro (Haglund, Michael)	oposed Pretrial Order Lodged . Filed by Christopher M Boyce.	
<u>52</u>	Filed & Entered:	08/09/2021 Order on Motion for Bond	

	Docket Text: ORDER J. Immergut. (jy)	Granting Motion for Bond [50]. Signed on 8/9/21 by Judge Karin
<u>53</u>	Filed & Entered: Terminated:	08/10/2021 Motion for Summary Judgment 09/15/2021
	Docket Text: Third Par (Haglund, Michael)	rty Motion for Summary Judgment . Filed by Christopher M Boyce.
<u>54</u>	Filed & Entered:	08/10/2021 Declaration
	document(s): Motion f	on of Michael E. Haglund . Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Related for Summary Judgment[53].) (Attachments: # (1) Exhibit A, # (2) it C, # (4) Exhibit D, # (5) Exhibit E, # (6) Exhibit F, # (7) Exhibit aglund, Michael)
<u>55</u>	Filed & Entered:	08/11/2021 Request
		for Expedited Denial of Third-Party Defendant's Motion for iled by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McDermott, Thomas)
<u>56</u>	Filed & Entered:	08/11/2021 Declaration
	Request for Expedited	on of Thomas McDermott ISO Claimant/Third-Party Plaintiff's Denial of Third-Party Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. ge No. 19 Shipping Co (Related document(s): Request[55].)
57	Filed & Entered:	08/11/2021 Clerks Notice of Deposit
	Number: ORX300094	Totice of Deposit to a Non-interest Bearing Account - Receipt 475, Date Receipt Issued: 08/10/2021, Amount Collected \$250.00. er on Motion for Bond. (lp)
<u>58</u>	Filed & Entered:	08/12/2021 Response in Opposition to Motion
		rty Response in Opposition to Request for Expedited Denial of to Summary Judgment [53]. Filed by Christopher M Boyce.
59	Filed & Entered:	08/20/2021 Order
	with the Court's case nappears that a joint factor [51]. Based on the Part Third Party Defendant	Although Third Party Defendant Boyce should have complied nanagement order to prepare a joint statement of agreed facts, it tual statement was prepared in the Joint Proposed Pretrial Order ties' agreement as to essential facts in this case, the Court will accept Boyce's summary judgment motion as submitted. In the future, y Defendant Boyce is advised to comply with all of the Court's rules. In J. Immergut. (jy)
60	Filed & Entered:	08/25/2021 Scheduling Order
	Conference is set for 8	ng Order by Judge Karin J. Immergut. Telephonic Status /26/2021 at 2:00PM in Portland by telephone before Judge Karin J. Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)
<u>61</u>	Filed & Entered:	08/25/2021 Response to Motion
	1	e to Third Party Motion for Summary Judgment [53]. Filed by Korea ing Co (McDermott, Thomas)

<u>62</u>	Filed & Entered: 08/25/2021 Declaration
	Docket Text: Declaration of Thomas McDermott ISO Third-Party Plaintiffs Response to Boyce's Motion For Summary Judgment. Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (Related document(s): Response to Motion[61].) (Attachments: # (1) Exhibits A-Z) (McDermott, Thomas)
<u>63</u>	Filed & Entered: 08/25/2021 Notice
	Docket Text: Notice re Declaration, [62] Filing of Video Exhibit Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (Related document(s): Declaration, [62].) (McDermott, Thomas)
64	Filed & Entered: 08/26/2021 9CONV - Conventionally Filed Document
	Docket Text: This filing includes a conventionally filed DVD. This conventional filing is maintained in the Clerk's Office but cannot be made a part of the court's electronic record in CM/ECF., Video exhibit re Notice[63]. Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (ecp)
65	Filed: 08/26/2021 Status Conference
ı	Entered: 08/27/2021
	Docket Text: MINUTES of Proceedings: Telephonic Status Conference. Third-Party Defendant Christopher M. Boyce agreed to file his Reply to the Third Party Motion for Summary Judgment by 09/01/2021. Thomas E. McDermott and Eric J. Brickenstein present as counsel for defendant(s). Court Reporter: Jill Jessup. Judge Karin J. Immergut presiding. (jy)
<u>66</u>	Filed & Entered: 08/31/2021 Trial memorandum
	Docket Text: Trial Memorandum . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James)
<u>67</u>	Filed & Entered: 08/31/2021 Witness List
	Docket Text: Witness List . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (McCurdy, James)
<u>68</u>	Filed & Entered: 08/31/2021 Trial memorandum
	Docket Text: Trial Memorandum . Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael)
<u>69</u>	Filed & Entered: 08/31/2021 Witness statement
	Docket Text: Witness Statement . Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael)
<u>70</u>	Filed & Entered: 08/31/2021 Motion in Limine Terminated: 09/16/2021
	Docket Text: Motion in limine . Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael)
<u>71</u>	Filed & Entered: 09/01/2021 Reply to Motion
	Docket Text: Reply to Third Party Motion for Summary Judgment [53] Oral Argument requested. Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Haglund, Michael)
<u>72</u>	Filed & Entered: 09/01/2021 Declaration
	Docket Text: Declaration of Eric J. Brickenstein in Support of Third-Party Defendant Christopher M. Boyce's Motion for Summary Judgment. Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Related document(s): Reply to Motion[71].) (Attachments: # (1) Exhibit A, # (2) Exhibit B, # (3) Exhibit C) (Haglund, Michael)

<u>73</u>	Filed & Entered: 09/07/2021 Response to Motion
	Docket Text: Response to Third-Party Defendant's to Motion in limine [70]. Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (Molyneux-Elliot, James)
<u>74</u>	Filed & Entered: 09/07/2021 Exhibit List
	Docket Text: Joint Exhibit List . Filed by Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co (Molyneux-Elliot, James)
<u>75</u>	Filed & Entered: 09/15/2021 Opinion and Order
	Docket Text: OPINION AND ORDER: Third-Party Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [53] is DENIED. See attached Opinion and Order for further details. Signed on 9/15/2021 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (mja)
76	Filed & Entered: 09/16/2021 60-Day Order of Dismissal
	Docket Text: 60-DAY ORDER OF DISMISSAL: The Court having been informed by counsel for the parties that this action has been settled, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to LR 41-1, this action is dismissed with prejudice and without costs and with leave, upon good cause shown within sixty (60) days, to have this order of dismissal set aside and the action reinstated if the settlement is not consummated. Pending motions, if any, are denied as moot. All pretrial deadlines and any trial date are stricken. By Clerk of Court Mary L. Moran. (jy)
77	Filed & Entered: 11/11/2021 Motion for Release of Bond Obligation 12/02/2021
	Docket Text: Third Party Motion for Release of Bond Obligation and to Distribute Cash Bond. Filed by Christopher M Boyce. (Attachments: # (1) Proposed Order) (Haglund, Michael)
<u>78</u>	Filed & Entered: 12/02/2021 Order on Motion for Release of Bond Obligation
	Docket Text: ORDER: Granting Motion for Release of Bond Obligation [77]. Signed on 12/2/21 by Judge Karin J. Immergut. (jy)
79	Filed & Entered: 12/15/2021 Clerks Notice of Disbursement
	Docket Text: Clerk's Notice of Disbursement from a Non-interest Bearing Account to Christopher M. Boyce, in the amount of \$250.00. Check number 31933339, dated 12/14/2021 (Related Document: Order on Motion for Release of Bond Obligation[78].). (lp)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

(Portland Division)

PORT OF KALAMA, a Washington public port, and John Does 1 through 100,

Plaintiff,

M/V SM MUMBAI, her engines, tackle, apparel, furniture, equipment and all other necessaries appertaining and belonging thereto, in rem; KLC SM CO LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co., dba MS Line Corporation and SM Line Corporation, *in personam*,

Defendants,

Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co.,

Third-Party Plaintiff,

Vs.

Christopher M. Boyce, an individual,

Third-Party Defendant.

Case No.: 3:20-cy-00621-IM

ORDER TO WITHDRAW FUNDS AND DISBURSE (Treasury Registry Funds)

Funds in the amount of \$250.00 were previously deposited with the Court pursuant to the Court's Order (#52) of August 09, 2021. These funds were deposited into the Court's treasury registry fund.

In accordance with the Third-Party Defendant Christopher M. Boyce's Unopposed Motion to Release and Distribute \$250 Cash Bond entered on November 11, 2021, and the settlement agreement between the parties, the funds previously deposited with the Clerk of Court shall be withdrawn and disbursed to Christopher M. Boyce c/o Haglund Kelley LLP Attorney-

Page 1 – ORDER TO WITHDRAW FUNDS AND DISBURSE (Treasury Registry Funds)

HAGLUND KELLEY LLP 2177 SW Broadway PORTLAND, OR 97201 Client Trust in the amount of \$250.00, addressed to Michael E. Haglund, Haglund Kelley LLP, 2177 SW Broadway, Portland, Oregon 97201.

The Clerk of Court, through the Financial Administrator, has pre-approved the form of this order pursuant to LR 67-3(b).

The Clerk of Court is absolved of any liability by compliance with this Order.

It shall be counsel's responsibility to confirm that any action required of the Clerk of Court or her designee by this Order has been performed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 2nd Jec., 2021

BY THE COURT:

Honorable Judge Karin J. Immergut

APPROVED AS TO FORM: Mary L. Moran, Clerk of Court

By: Financial Administrator

Page 2 – ORDER TO WITHDRAW FUNDS AND DISBURSE (Treasury Registry Funds)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORT OF KALAMA, a Washington public port, and **John Does 1 through 100**,

Plaintiffs,

v.

M/V SM MUMBAI, her engines, tackle, apparel, furniture, equipment and all other necessaries appertaining and belonging thereto, in rem; KLC SM CO LTD, Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co., dba SM Line Corporation, and SM Line Corporation in personam,

Defendants,

Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co.,

Third-Party Plaintiff,

v.

Christopher M. Boyce, an individual,

Third-Party Defendant.

Case No. 3:20-cv-00621-IM

OPINION AND ORDER

IMMERGUT, District Judge.

This matter comes before the Court on Third-Party Defendant Christopher M. Boyce's Motion for Summary Judgment. ECF 53. Third-Party Plaintiff Korea Tonnage No. 19 Shipping Co. ("Korea Tonnage") is a privately held corporation which owns the M/V SM MUMBAI ("Vessel"), an ocean-going vessel which at times operates on the navigable waters of the United States. ECF 8 at ¶ 4. Boyce was the lead pilot aboard the Vessel when the incident giving rise to this action occurred. *Id.* at ¶ 5.

On April 16, 2020, Port of Kalama, a Washington public port which owns and operates a vessel marina on the Columbia River in Kalama, Washington, brought suit against the Vessel and Korea Tonnage. ECF 1 at ¶ 2. Port of Kalama alleged that on or about April 13, 2020, the Vessel passed its marina at "an excessively high rate of speed, causing an excessively large wake" which caused \$5.5 million in damages to the marina and the boats moored there. ECF 1 at ¶ 8; ECF 8 at ¶ 10.

On August 6, 2020, Korea Tonnage filed a third-party complaint against Boyce alleging that the damages to the boats and marina were caused by Boyce's "willful misconduct." ECF 8 at ¶ 14. On August 10, 2021, Boyce filed a motion for summary judgment. ECF 53. This Court has determined that oral argument would not help resolve the matter and issues this opinion and order based on the briefing. *See* LR 7-1(d).

After considering the evidence and pleadings, this Court finds that there are genuine issues of material fact precluding summary judgment in Boyce's favor, specifically whether his conduct rises to the level of willful misconduct. For that reason, Boyce's motion for summary judgment is denied.

STANDARDS

A party is entitled to summary judgment if the "movant shows that there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a). The moving party has the burden of establishing the absence of a genuine dispute of material fact. *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317, 323 (1986). The court must view the evidence in the light most favorable to the non-movant and draw all reasonable inferences in the non-movant's favor. *Clicks Billiards, Inc. v. Sixshooters Inc.*, 251 F.3d 1252, 1257 (9th Cir. 2001).

Although "[c]redibility determinations, the weighing of the evidence, and the drawing of legitimate inferences from the facts are jury functions, not those of a judge . . . ruling on a motion for summary judgment," the "mere existence of a scintilla of evidence in support of the [non-movant's] position [is] insufficient" *Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.*, 477 U.S. 242, 252, 255 (1986). "Where the record taken as a whole could not lead a rational trier of fact to find for the non-moving party, there is no genuine issue for trial." *Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp.*, 475 U.S. 574, 587 (1986) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted).

BACKGROUND

On April 13, 2020, Boyce piloted the Vessel as it navigated up the Columbia River. ECF 51 at \P 3(c), (d). Boyce was the senior, lead pilot accompanied by two trainee pilots. *Id*. Boyce directed the speed of the Vessel the entire time he was aboard. *Id*. at \P 3(e).

The Vessel navigated upriver without incident until about 3:35 a.m., when Boyce directed a speed increase form "half ahead" (60 rpm) to "full ahead" and then to "sea speed" (75 rpm). ECF 62-1, Ex. C, at 8. The Vessel's sea speed exceeded fifteen knots. *Id.* At around 3:58 a.m., the Vessel passed the Kalama Export grain terminal at a speed of about fifteen knots. ECF 51 at ¶ 3(g). A few minutes later, the Vessel passed the entrance to Port of Kalama's marina at PAGE 3 – OPINION AND ORDER

approximately the same speed. *Id.* Just after the Vessel passed the Kalama Marina, a wake of three to four feet entered the marina and caused damage to the structures, facilities, and moored boats. *Id.* at \P 3(h).

In a report to the Oregon Board of Maritime Pilots ("OBMP") dated April 17, 2020, Boyce reported that his "standard practice" is to reduce the speed of any vessel he pilots to around ten knots when passing Port of Kalama's marina. ECF 8 at ¶ 11. Boyce said he operated the Vessel at full ahead speed because there were no vessels berthed at the Kalama Export grain terminal. *Id.* Boyce's OBMP report does not mention any consideration given to the potential impact of the Vessel's speed on boats moored at Port of Kalama's marina or the structure of the marina itself. *Id.* at ¶ 12.

DISCUSSION

Boyce moves for summary judgment asserting that (1) O.R.S. § 776.540 limits his liability to \$250 unless the liability is based on willful misconduct and (2) there is no evidence that he committed willful misconduct. ECF 53.

A. Statutory Language

O.R.S. § 776.520 limits the liability of pilots "from the consequences of negligence or errors in judgment." *See also* O.R.S. § 776.510 (identifying the intent of the Legislative Assembly in O.R.S. §§ 776.520, 776.530, and 776.540 as "the stimulation and preservation of maritime commerce"). O.R.S. § 776.520(4) directs that a "vessel, its masters, owners, agents, or operators" shall not "assert directly or indirectly, any personal liability against [a pilot]" "[e]xcept as to such personal liability and rights over as may arise by reason of the *willful misconduct or gross negligence*" of the pilot. O.R.S. § 776.520(4) (emphasis added).

In turn, O.R.S. § 776.540(1) directs pilots to furnish "a security in the sum of \$250" to the OBMP. No pilot "shall be liable for any such act or omission beyond the amount of the PAGE 4 – OPINION AND ORDER

security. However, this limitation of liability shall not apply . . . [t]o *willful misconduct*." *Id*. (emphasis added). O.R.S. § 776.520 "incorporate[s O.R.S. § 776.540] into and ma[kes it] a part of this tariff." O.R.S. § 776.520.

The thrust of Boyce's argument on summary judgment is that O.R.S. § 776.520 allows pilots to enact tariff provisions eliminating all liability except as to two categories of wrongdoing: willful misconduct or gross negligence. ECF 53 at 5. Boyce argues that O.R.S. § 776.540 automatically limits a pilot's liability to the \$250 security except as to a single type of wrongdoing: willful misconduct. *Id.* Put another way, Boyce argues that there is a difference between gross negligence and the palpably more serious willful misconduct. *Id.* at 5–6.

Korea Tonnage, on the other hand, argues that willful misconduct and gross negligence are synonymous. ECF 61 at 3–5, 19–20. In other words, Korea Tonnage urges that "willful misconduct" in O.R.S. § 776.540 means the same thing as "willful misconduct or gross negligence" in O.R.S. § 776.520.

B. State Law on Gross Negligence and Willful Misconduct

Ninth Circuit precedent directs courts considering maritime torts to look to state common law. *Royal Ins. Co. of Am. v. Sw. Marine*, 194 F.3d 1009, 1015 (9th Cir. 1999); *Greger Pac. Marine, Inc. v. Or. Offshore Towing, Inc.*, No. 3:13-cv-00461-SI, 2014 WL 3420770, at *6 n.5

¹ Korea Tonnage argues that this Court "impliedly reject[ed] the distinctions Boyce now raises" at the motion to dismiss stage and that the law-of-the-case doctrine precludes the Court from reconsidering it. ECF 61 at 2–3 (citing *Milgard Tempering v. Selas Corp. of Am.*, 902 F.2d 703, 715 (9th Cir. 1990)). This Court disagrees. Boyce "assume[d] but d[id] not concede that willful misconduct as used in the Act means that a licensed pilot acted with conscious indifference to damage that he knew was probable." ECF 19 at 3. Thus, the distinction was not "squarely before the [C]ourt," as Korea Tonnage now claims. ECF 61 at 3; *see also Milgard Tempering*, 902 F.2d at 715 (explaining that for the doctrine to apply, the issue must have been explicitly or necessarily decided in the prior decision, and that the application of the doctrine is discretionary).

(D. Or. July 10, 2014). Oregon courts, like those in many other states, have wrestled with the line—if any—between gross negligence and willful misconduct. Boyce directs this Court to *Falls v. Mortensen*, 207 Or. 130, 137 (1956), *overruled in part on other grounds by Lindner v. Ahlgren*, 257 Or. 127 (1970). *See* ECF 53 at 11–12.

In *Falls*, the Oregon Supreme Court differentiated *wanton* misconduct from ordinary and gross negligence:

A wanton act is one done in reckless disregard of the rights of others evincing a reckless indifference to consequences to the life, or limb, or health, or reputation or property rights of another. It is *more than negligence, more than gross negligence*. It is such conduct as indicates a reckless disregard of the just rights or safety of others of the consequences of the action, *equivalent in result to willful misconduct*.

207 Or. at 137 (internal quotation marks omitted) (quoting *Ziman v. Whitley*, 147 A. 370, 371 (Conn. 1929)) (emphasis added). *Falls* then quoted Prosser approvingly:

The distinction between willful and wanton conduct is that between one who casts a missile intending that it shall strike another, or believing that it is certain to strike him, and one who casts it where he has only reason to believe that it is extremely likely to do so.

Id. (quoting William L. Prosser, *Law of Torts* § 33 (2d ed. 1956)). Thus, Boyce argues, he cannot have committed willful misconduct because he did not intend to cause damage to the marina and, had he realized the Vessel was putting off such a large wake, he would have slowed down. ECF 53 at 13–14.

But Korea Tonnage points to *Williamson v. McKenna*, 223 Or. 366 (1960), *superseded by statute on other grounds as stated in State v. Hill*, 298 Or. 270 (1984) (en banc), in which the Oregon Supreme Court conducted a long survey of the usage of the term "gross negligence" in multiple states in the context of guest statutes. *See* ECF 61 at 3–5. The Oregon Supreme Court first explained,

PAGE 6 – OPINION AND ORDER

Misconduct may be conceived as ranging in infinite gradations from the slightest inadvertence to the most malicious purpose to inflict injury. . . . At the upper end of the scale we set off intentional conduct, i.e., conduct engaged in for the purpose of inflicting harm on another. At the opposite and lower end of the scale is a range of inadvertent conduct which we call negligence. Between these two extremes the law has created still another category which is described variously as reckless, willful, or wanton conduct.

Williamson, 223 Or. at 372 (citing Restatement (Second) of Torts § 500 (Am. Law Inst. 1975)) (emphasis added).

After surveying other states, the *Williamson* court quoted a treatise for the proposition that courts "tend to assimilate 'gross negligence' to 'willful misconduct' . . . 'This is apparently the position of the Oregon courts.'" *Id.* at 387 (quoting 2 Fowler V. Harper & Fleming James Jr., *The Law of Torts* 953 (1956)). "The division between gross negligence . . . and reckless conduct is so vague and imperceptible, if it does exist, that we, as well as other courts, have been unable to find a mode of expression which can serve as a vehicle of communication to describe the difference." *Id.* at 389.

In response, Boyce points to *Hampton Tree Farms, Inc. v. Jewett*, 158 Or. App. 376 (1999). *See* ECF 71 at 7–8. In *Jewett*, the Court of Appeals, explicitly referencing *Falls*, wrote,

[N]egligence consists of a continuum of fault from simple negligence through gross negligence to recklessness. Willful misconduct is not on that continuum. It does not involve a mere neglect of responsibility, however serious; to the contrary, it involves a conscious decision to act in a way that risks harm to another. To compare . . . willful misconduct with . . . negligence, thus, is to compare conscious wrongdoing with neglect to take reasonable care. Those things are simply not comparable.

Id. at 395.

This split in authority makes the waters of Oregon tort law difficult to navigate, especially given the dearth of case law on these statutory provisions. "In interpreting a state

statute, a federal court applies the relevant state's rules of statutory construction." *LL Liquor, Inc. v. Montana*, 835 F. App'x 917, 920 (9th Cir. 2020) (unpublished) (internal quotation marks omitted) (quoting *In re W. States Wholesale Nat. Gas Antitrust Litig.*, 715 F.3d 716, 746 (9th Cir. 2013)). In Oregon, courts interpret statutes first by looking at the text and context of the statute, applying rules of construction that bear directly on interpreting the statutory provision. *See Portland Gen. Elec. Co. v. Bureau of Lab. and Indus.*, 317 Or. 606, 610-611 (1993), *superseded by statute in part as stated in State v. Gaines*, 346 Or. 160, 166 (2009) (en banc). When it is proffered by a party, courts may consider the legislative history of a statute, regardless of whether there is any ambiguity in the text. *Gaines*, 317 Or. at 171-172.

This Court is mindful of the two schools of thought on the difference, if any, between gross negligence and willful misconduct. That said, the varying phraseology of O.R.S. § 776.520 ("willful misconduct or gross negligence") and O.R.S. § 776.540 ("willful misconduct") imply that the two terms have distinct meanings at least in this context. *See State v. Guzek*, 322 Or. 245, (1995), *superseded by statute on other grounds as stated in State v. Moore*, 927 P.2d 1073 (Or. 1996), (citing *Portland Gen. Elec.*, 317 Or. at 611) ("When the legislature uses different terms in related statutes, we presume that the legislature intended different meanings."). Any doubt as to this point is clarified by the legislative history, which shows that the state senators who contemplated the bill were told explicitly "that willful misconduct would not be exempted from liability." ECF 54-8, Ex. H, at 28. The senators were also presented with a hypothetical in which a vessel owner sued a pilot for gross negligence as allowed under "the current pilotage law" (*i.e.*,

² Korea Tonnage points to an earlier hearing where a senator asked whether a pilot would be liable for "gross negligence or showed willful misconduct" and "was told that the pilot would certainly be held liable under those circumstances." ECF 54-8, Ex. H, at 16. Regardless, the language at the later hearing, at which the subcommittee decided to keep the section in the bill, was clear that only willful misconduct would create pilot liability.

O.R.S. § 776.520) and were told that the bill would allow the pilot to "bond out' rather than incur additional defense expenses." *Id.* at 37-38. Thus, this Court finds, interpreting under admiralty and Oregon law and looking at the text and context of the statute, that O.R.S. § 776.540 allows for recovery up to \$250 for any damages flowing from a proven act of gross negligence and does not limit damages flowing from an act of willful misconduct.

C. Because There Is a Genuine Issue of Material Fact as to Whether Boyce Engaged in Willful Misconduct, Summary Judgment Is Precluded

Ultimately, Boyce's motion for summary judgment fails regardless because, in the light most favorable to Korea Tonnage, there is a genuine issue of material fact as to whether Boyce acted willfully. Even *Falls*, which Boyce relies on, acknowledges that:

[An] actor's conduct is in reckless disregard of the safety of another *if he intentionally does an act* or fails to do an act which it is his duty to the other to do, *knowing or having reason to know of facts* which would lead a reasonable man to realize that the actor's conduct not only creates an unreasonable risk of bodily harm to the other but also involves a high degree of probability that substantial harm will result to him.

207 Or. at 136-137 (internal quotation marks omitted) (quoting Restatement (Second) of Torts § 500) (emphasis added); *see also id.* at 143 ("Willful misconduct depends upon the facts of a particular case, and necessarily involves deliberate, intentional, *or wanton* conduct in doing or omitting to perform acts, with knowledge or appreciation of the fact, on the part of the culpable person, that danger is likely to result therefrom." (internal quotation marks omitted) (quoting *Cowgill v. Boock*, 189 Or. 282, 292 (1950) (en banc) (emphasis added)). Willful misconduct, then, occurs when an actor intentionally does an act while being reckless as to the consequences of that act; intentional misconduct, on the other hand, requires that the actor intend the result. *See* Restatement (Third) of Torts: Liability for Physical and Emotional Harm § 1 ("A person acts with intent to produce a consequence if: (a) the person acts with the purpose of producing that PAGE 9 – OPINION AND ORDER

consequence; or (b) the person acts knowing that the consequence is substantially certain to result."); *id.* § 2, cmt. A ("When 'willful' misconduct is used disjunctively with 'wanton' misconduct, willful is often interpreted as requiring a showing of intentional harm . . . When willful misconduct is the sole criterion, it is sometimes, though not always, interpreted along the lines of recklessness.").

Here, there is evidence that Boyce intentionally and affirmatively increased the speed of the Vessel from half speed to full speed and, finally, to sea speed. ECF 62-1, Ex. C, at 8. There is also evidence that Boyce had a duty to check the size of the wake created by the Vessel, ECF 62-1, Ex. D, at 21, that he did so early in the voyage, id., Ex. E at 56–57, and that he did not conduct new wake assessments, id. at 57–58, despite ordering a speed increase. Boyce notes that the wake assessment was conducted when the Vessel was traveling at a similar speed. ECF 71 at 8; ECF 54-4. Ex. D, at 11. Be that as it may, there is evidence that wake size varies not only with speed but also with other factors such as the width and depth of the waterway as well as currents. ECF 62-1, Ex. D, at 21. In addition, Boyce himself admitted that he usually slowed down to about ten knots when passing the Kalama Export grain terminal, but maintained a speed around fifteen knots because he did not see a vessel berthed there. ECF 54-4, Ex. E, at 3. Whether Boyce knew or should have known facts that would lead a reasonable person to conclude that maintaining a high rate of speed created an unreasonable risk and high probability of harm is a disputed issue of fact properly reserved for trial. And this case may ultimately come down to credibility determinations properly made at trial. See S.E.C. v. Koracorp Indus., Inc., 575 F.2d 692, 699 (9th Cir. 1978) ("[C]ourts have long held that summary judgment is singularly inappropriate where credibility is at issue.").

Exh. SM-__X Case 3:20-cv-00621-IM Document 75 Filed 09/15/21 Page ckepftpl_220513 Page 22 of 22

CONCLUSION

Because this Court cannot say as a matter of law that Boyce's actions did not rise to the level of willful misconduct, the motion for summary judgment, ECF 53, is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 15th day of September 2021.

/s/ Karin J. Immergut
Karin J. Immergut
United States District Judge