CASE: UM 1431

WITNESS: Wolodymyr Birko

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

STAFF EXHIBIT 500

Direct Testimony

November 2, 2009

BRA	Page 2	
	Staff/500	

Birko/1

Docket UM 1431

Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

- A. My name is Wolodymyr Birko. I am a Senior Telecommunications Engineer for the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). My business address
- is 550 Capitol Street NE Suite 215, Salem, Oregon 97301-2551.
- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND WORK EXPERIENCE.
- A. I hold a degree of Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering from Wayne
 State University in Detroit MI.

I worked as a Telephone Engineer at the Michigan Bell Telephone Company as a central office, PBX and Teletype engineer from 1968 to 1974.

I worked as a Telecommunications Engineer with the Michigan Public Service Commission dealing primarily with Quality of Service issues from 1974 to 1979.

I have been working as a Senior Telecommunications Engineer with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon from 1979 to the present.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. My testimony analyzes the quality of voice telecommunications service (POTS) currently provided by Frontier and Verizon and evaluates the service-quality related testimony of the Frontier and Verizon witnesses. I also present my analysis on the impact this merger will have on the quality of voice telecommunications service to be provided by the two "incumbent local exchange carrier" (ILEC) entities created by the merger of Verizon and Frontier: (1) the former Verizon will become Frontier Northwest (FNW) and (2) Citizens Telecommunications Company of Oregon CTCO.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

Q.	HOW	IS	YOUR	TES	TIMONY	ORGA	NIZED?
----	-----	----	------	-----	--------	------	--------

A. My testimony is organized as follows:

Issue 1,Conclusions and recommendations	
Issue 2Consequences of unmet service quality	standards6

ISSUE 1, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

Q. WHAT ARE YOUR SERVICE QUALITY CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS BASED UPON?

- A. My conclusions and recommendation are based upon statements Frontier makes in its opening testimony about the proposed transaction. In particular, Frontier testifies that all necessary Verizon network support personnel and operational support systems will be kept after the merger so that the FNW service quality levels should be maintained or improve. This is described in FTR/100, McCarthy 48-50.
- Q. WHAT SERVICE QUALITY MEASUREMENTS MUST BE REPORTED BY FNW)?
- A. The FNW entity will be a "large" ILEC subject to ORS 759.450 and OAR 860-0023-0055. As such, FNW must report the following service quality measures:
 - Repair Service Center Answer Time-Standard: Average speed of answer 50 seconds or 80% within 20 seconds per month;
 - Business Office Center Answer Time-Standard: Average speed of answer 50 seconds or 80% within 20 seconds per month;
 - Commitments for service-Provisioning-Standard: 90%;

- Repair cleared within 48 hours-Standard by repair center: 95%; and
- Monthly trouble report rate by wire center-Standard: greater than 1000 lines-2 per 100 working access lines; less than 1000 lines-3 per 100 working access lines. If a wire center exceeds these numbers for four out of twelve months, it is deemed out of standard.

Q. WHAT SERVICE QUALITY MEASUREMENTS MUST BE REPORTED BY CTCO?

A. Pursuant to ORS 759.040, CTCO is a "small" ILEC that is subject to the service quality standards and reporting requirements set forth in OAR 860-034-0390.

As such, CTCO must report Commitments met-provisioning, Repair Cleared within 48 hours, and Monthly Trouble Report rates by wire center. CTCO is not required to report Business Office or Repair Service center answer times in accordance with ORS 759.450 (9).

Neither FNW nor CTCO may change the form of the reports after the merger without Staff concurrence. Further, FNW and CTCO should report service quality measurements on a monthly basis so Commission Staff (Staff) can ensure that service quality levels are being maintained.

Q. WHY SHOULD BOTH OPERATING COMPANIES BE REQUIRED TO REPORT SERVICE QUALITY LEVELS?

A. The current service quality levels as of May, 2009, indicate that both FNW and CTCO are providing adequate levels of voice telecommunications service.

However, I should point out that service quality levels for both entities may degrade to the minimum acceptable service levels as described in the two

1

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

SERVICE.

administrative rules before Staff would take actions related to inadequate

Q. WHAT DO YOU CONCLUDE WITH RESPECT TO FNW'S ABILITY TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE INTRASTATE VOICE TELECOMMUNICATIONS

**SERVICE?

FNW is capable of providing adequate service as a large telecommunications utility.

Q. HOW DID YOU ARRIVE AT THIS CONCLUSION?

I arrived at this conclusion based on the current service quality measures for Verizon and by examining a list of major cities throughout the country that Frontier currently serves. Some of these cities and their populations are:

- Rochester, NY 231,636
- Elk Grove, CA 17,483
- South Minneapolis/ St. Paul Metro, MN 119,362
- Dallas/Wilkes-Barre /Scranton, PA 131,895
- Lake Havasu City, AZ 24,363
- Bullhead City, AZ 21,951

This latter examination assuaged my concern that Frontier is too small to take over the Verizon customer base, especially in the economically sensitive areas surrounding the Portland/Beaverton metro area. Additionally, I called the regulatory commissions in New York and Minnesota to ask about Frontiers' service quality. The regulatory commissions made favorable comments regarding Frontiers' service.

1	Q.	WHAT DOES YOUR REVIEW OF SERVICE QUALITY LEVELS FOR BOTH
2		VERIZON AND CTCO SHOW?
3	A.	My review of 12 months of Verizon service quality results indicates that
4		Verizon is currently providing adequate service. PUC Order No. 07-500
5	ķ	relieved Frontier from reporting service quality results because Frontier met all
6		service standards for 12 consecutive months in all of their wire centers. I
7		obtained 12 months of service data from Frontier as a result of Staff Data
8	-	Request No. 47. My evaluation of those results is that they exceed minimum
9		acceptable standards.
10	Q.	IN YOUR OPINION, WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO THE VOICE SERVICE
11		QUALITY OF FNW (VERIZON) AND CTCO AFTER THE MERGER?
12	A.	I do not expect any degradation of service provided by FNW or CTCO. That
13		service should stay about the same, assuming all the necessary Verizon
14		maintenance personnel and Operational Support Systems are kept after the
15		merger.
16	Q,	WHAT SHOULD FNW REPORT TO THE COMMISSION CONCERNING
17		TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE QUALITY?
18	Α.	FNW should report the following items monthly:
19		Repair Service Center Answer Times
20		Business Office Service Center Answer Times
21		 Commitments Met for Provisioning
22		 Repair Cleared Within 48 Hours
23		Monthly Trouble Reports by Wire Center

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

Monthly trouble Reports by Wire center

Q. WHY SHOULD THESE REPORTS BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY?

Q. WHAT SHOULD CTCO REPORT TO THE COMMISSION CONCERNING

TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICE QUALITY?

Commitments Met for Provisioning

Repair Cleared Within 48 Hours

A. CTCO should report the following items monthly:

- A. Both the FNW (55) wire centers and the CTCO (Frontier (10)) wire centers should be reported. The Commission should rescind Order No 07-500 granting Frontier exclusion from filing service quality reports, not as an indication of poor service, as that order states, but to allow the Commission to monitor service quality trends post merger for the two entities.
- Q. FOR HOW LONG SHOULD THESE REPORTS BE SUBMITTED?

The reporting should be maintained for a minimum of three years. After three years, the companies should be able to petition for an exception.

ISSUE 2, CONSEQUENCES OF UNMET SERVICE QUALITY STANDARDS

- Q. WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF FNW OR CTCO DO NOT MEET THE CURRENT VOICE SERVICE STANDARDS?
- A. Staff will review the FNW and CTCO reports monthly. If the reported measurements degrade, Staff may apply the procedures and remedies found in ORS 759.450 and OAR 860-0023-0055 and OAR 860-034-0390. These

Birko/7

procedures can also include seeking fines in accordance with ORS 759.450 and 759.990.

- Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE OF HOW YOU MIGHT APPLY THESE PROCEDURES IN THE CASE OF INADQUATE VOICE SERVICE
- ! QUALITY.
- A. As stated in ORS 759.450 (5), the Commission could require either CTCO or FNW (or both) to file a plan for improving performance to meet the standards in the relevant Commission rules that were not met. The Commission, at a public meeting, would review the plan and either approve or disapprove of it. If the carrier does not meet the goals of its improvement plan within six months or if the Commission disapproves the plan, penalties may be assessed against the offending entity. Penalties would be assessed in accordance with the provisions of ORS 759.990 (6). The total annual penalties are not to exceed two percent of Frontier's and Verizon's gross intrastate revenue from the sale of Commission-regulated voice telecommunications services for the calendar year proceeding the year in which the penalties are assessed.
- Q. WHAT IF THESE PROCEDURES AND REMEDIES ARE NOT
 SUCCESSFUL IN RESTORING SERVICE TO A LEVEL MEETING THE
 GOALS OF THE PERFORMANCE PLAN?
- A. If, after applying these procedures and remedies, Staff determines that FNW, CTCO, or both, are not substantially complying with the Commission's retail service standards, then Staff may offer testimony in a future rate case recommending the use of the lowest rate of return until there is substantial

3

4 5

7

6

9

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22 23

24 25 compliance with the Commission's retail service quality standards as set forth in the performance improvement plan.

Q. WHAT REQUIREMENTS DO YOU RECOMMEND THE COMMISSION ORDER IF IT APPROVES THE ACQUISITION?

A.^{J.} I recommend

- Frontier (CTCO) be ordered to resume reporting service quality results
 monthly by rescinding order No. 07-500 and ordering such reporting.

 This is not indicative of substandard service. CTCO may not change
 the format of the reports without Staff concurrence. This is covered by
 condition 18 below.
 - Verizon (FNW) should continue to report service quality results monthly. The format of the reports may not change without Staff concurrence. This is covered by condition 18 below.
 - The Commission order FNW to execute the maintenance personnel transition described in Frontier witness McCarthy's testimony to include all necessary maintenance personnel and their management personnel, and operational support systems. This is covered by conditions 19 and 20 below.

These recommendations are presented as the following Staff recommended ordering conditions:

18 Immediately after the close of this transaction, Citizens
Telecommunications Company of Oregon (CTCO) will resume
reporting service quality results monthly. Frontier Northwest will
continue to report service quality results monthly.

13

14

- 19. Frontier Northwest will implement an organizational structure described in FTR/100, McCarthy/48-49.
- 20. Frontier Northwest will implement the employee integration described in FTR/100, McCarthy/49-50.
- 21. Frontier Northwest will maintain current Commission's minimum service quality standards as are currently being reported in Verizon's monthly service quality reports to the Commission. If Frontier fails to maintain the current service quality levels, it will be liable for penalties as set forth in ORS 759.450.
- Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- A. Yes.