Exh. RS-1T Docket UW-170924 Witness: Rachel Stark

# BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

SARAH HAND,

**DOCKET UW-170924** 

Complainant,

v.

RAINIER VIEW WATER CO., INC.,

Respondent.

#### **TESTIMONY OF**

**Rachel Stark** 

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Commission's Staff's Actions Concerning the Complaint of Sarah Hand

May 3, 2018

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRO	DDUCTION	. 1
II.	SCOP	E AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY	3
III.	MS. H	AND'S COMPLAINT	3
		LIST OF EXHIBITS	
Exh.	RS-2	Case Report for the Complaint of Sarah Hand	
Exh.	RS-3	Email string between Rachel Stark and Bob Blackman, dated November 16 through November 18, 2016	
Exh.	RS-4	Email string between Rachel Stark and Bob Blackman, dated November 22 through November 28, 2016	
Exh.	RS-5	Email string between Rachel Stark and Bob Blackman, dated December 6 through December 8, 2016	
Exh.	RS-6	Email string between John Cupp, Rachel Stark, and Virpi Salo-Zieman, date December 6, 2016, with attachment	d

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2		
3	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
4	A.	My name is Rachel Stark. My office address is 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive
5		Southwest, P.O. Box 47250, Olympia, Washington, 98504. My email address is
6		rachel.stark@utc.wa.gov.
7		
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
9	A.	I am employed by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
10		(Commission) as a Consumer Program Specialist.
11		
12	Q.	How long have you been employed by the Commission?
13	A.	I have been employed by the Commission since April 25, 1988.
14		
15	Q.	What are your duties as a Consumer Program Specialist?
16	A.	I have three primary responsibilities. First, I investigate consumer complaints and
17		provide to consumers, companies, legislators, and other commission staff technical
18		assistance on issues that are more complex in nature. Second, I provide training on
19		relevant portions of the Washington Administrative Code, Revised Code of
20		Washington, and company tariffs to new and current employees. Third, I am also a
21		public involvement coordinator, meaning that I help consumers of regulated
22		companies understand and provide comments on matters or filings going before the
23		commission at open meetings.

1	Q.	Would you please describe any training that you have received to enable you to
2		carry out your duties as a Consumer Program Specialist?
3	A.	I had in-house consumer complaint training with my supervisor and staff trainer
4		beginning June of 2007. In November of 2007, I attended a three-day Consumer
5		Affairs staff training program held in Nashville, Tennessee. I have also attended
6		multiple trainings provided at the commission, as well as various trainings in writing
7		communication, and strategies for working with consumers.
8		
9	Q.	Have you previously testified before the Commission?
10	A.	No.
11		
12		II. SCOPE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY
13		
14	Q.	What topics will you be discussing in your testimony?
15	A.	I will be discussing how Commission Staff addressed Ms. Hand's complaint. My
16		testimony will cover my phone discussions with Ms. Hand, emails conversations
17		with an employee of the Department of Health (DOH), and my email conversations
18		with Mr. Bob Blackman of Rainier View Water Company (Rainier View).
19		
20		III. MS. HAND'S COMPLAINT
21		
22	Q.	Are you familiar with Sarah Hand?
23	A.	Yes.

1	Q.	How do you know Ms. Hand?
2	A.	Ms. Hand complained informally to the Commission about the water supplied to her
3		home by Rainier View, which is her water utility. I assisted Ms. Hand with that
4		complaint.
5		
6	Q.	What was the nature of Ms. Hand's complaint?
7	A.	Ms. Hand complained that, on and off for years, her water has been brown, and that
8		this brown water caused damage to her pipes.
9		Ms. Hand wanted Rainier View to pay her \$654 for repair costs related to
10		replacing equipment she believed damaged by the brown water. She stated that when
11		she initially made this demand to Mr. Blackman, he indicated that the company
12		would reimburse her; however, later Mr. Blackman stated that it would not and
13		further that Ms. Hand would not be allowed to take the company to court.
14		Ms. Hand also wanted to know what the company was doing about the brown
15		water issue in her area so she would receive clear water.
16		A copy of the case report of Ms. Hand's complaint, which includes the filed
17		version of her complaint, is found at Exhibit RS-2.
18		
19	Q.	When did Ms. Hand contact the Commission with her complaint?
20	A.	She complained on November 16, 2016.
21		

1	Q.	What did you do after receiving Ms. Hand's complaint?
2	A.	On November 16, 2016, at 11:37 a.m., I emailed the complaint to Rainier View to
3		inform it that a consumer had filed a complaint, and I also explained the nature of the
4		complaint. I asked Rainier View to respond by 5 p.m. on November 18.
5		
6	Q.	Did Rainier View respond?
7	A.	On November 17, 2016, at 4:52 p.m., Mr. Blackman responded on behalf of Rainier
8		View with an email stating that he advised Ms. Hand that the company does not pay
9		for customer appliances on the consumer side of the meter.
10		
11	Q.	Did Mr. Blackman say anything about Ms. Hand's allegations that Rainier
12		View could not be sued?
13	A.	Yes. Mr. Blackman stated that Ms. Hand had told him that she would take the
14		company to small claims court if it did not pay her repair costs. He said that he
15		explained to her that in previous cases like Ms. Hand's, the person presiding referred
16		the complaint back to the Commission or the Department of Health (DOH) for
17		handling.
18		
19	Q.	Did Mr. Blackman say anything about Ms. Hand's water quality complaint?
20	A.	Yes. Mr. Blackman stated that Rainier View investigated the water quality in the
21		wells closest to Springwood Estates, the development where Ms. Hand lives, and
22		determined that manganese levels had been rising over the past several years. Rainier
23		View had therefore implemented an iron and manganese removal program, which

1		included hiring an engineering company to design and install a treatment plant
2		similar to one operating, and apparently working, on another of Rainier View's
3		wells. Mr. Blackman represented that this treatment plant should be operational in
4		the spring of 2017.
5		Mr. Blackman also provided a statement from DOH explaining that water
6		quality issues like the ones Ms. Hand complained of are typically caused by the
7		knocking loose of built-up deposits of iron and/or manganese in the distribution
8		piping from a change in the flow of water, like when a fire hydrant is opened.
9		
10	Q.	Did Mr. Blackman contact you after that first email?
11	A.	Yes. In a November 18, 2016, 4:05 p.m. email, Mr. Blackman stated that he was
12		working with DOH to test Rainier View's water and develop a plan to address
13		customers' concerns until the treatment plant Mr. Blackman had mentioned to me in
14		his prior email was online. A copy of my correspondence with Mr. Blackman
15		between November 16 and November 18, 2016, is included as Exhibit RS-3.
16		
17	Q.	Did you follow up with Mr. Blackman?
18	A.	Yes. In an email dated November 22, 2016, at 3:15 p.m., I asked additional questions
19		of Mr. Blackman, including whether Rainier View could install a filtration system to
20		resolve some of the water quality issues raised by Ms. Hand.
21		

1	Q.	Did Mr. Blackman respond to your follow up?
2	A.	Yes. In an email dated November 28, 2016, at 1:13 p.m., Mr. Blackman explained
3		that he believed that providing filtration would be cost prohibitive as Rainier View
4		would have to provide a system for any customer requesting one. A copy of my
5		correspondence with Mr. Blackman in late November 2016 is included as Exhibit
6		RS-4.
7		
8	Q.	Did you and Mr. Blackman have further contact?
9	A.	Yes. We exchanged a few emails after the contacts I discussed above. In an email
10		dated December 6, 2016, 3:09 p.m., I requested a copies of any test results for the
11		past three years. Mr. Blackman responded and provided copies in an email dated
12		December 8, 2016, at 3:22. A copy of these communications is included as Exhibit
13		RS-5.
14		
15	Q.	Did you look into what remedies the Commission could provide to Ms. Hand?
16	A.	Yes. On December 1, 2016, as part of my investigation, I received a copy of the
17		memorandum of understanding (MOU) between DOH and the Commission from
18		John Cupp, Commission Public Involvement Coordinator.
19		
20	Q.	Did you reach out to the DOH in the course of your investigation?
21	A.	Yes.

22

### Q. How did you do that?

A. In an email dated December 6, 2016, 1:35 p.m., John Cupp emailed Virpi Salo Zieman of the DOH and copied me in order to obtain a communication connection.

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

## 5 Q. What did you learn from DOH?

A. After Mr. Cupp's email, Ms. Salo-Zieman responded the same day with an email at
1:48 asking what information I needed. I responded with an email the same day at
2:21 p.m. I asked Ms. Salo-Zieman what standards the DOH holds water utilities to,
what testing Rainier View was performing, and whether the DOH had any
documents that would provide useful information to consumers.

Ms. Salo-Zieman responded the same day at 2:49 p.m. with a link to the United States Environmental Protection Agency's website, which provided information regarding secondary drinking water standards. Ms. Salo-Zieman explained that DOH is not aware of any risk of iron or manganese to plumbing fixtures other than staining, which is an aesthetic issue.

Ms. Salo-Zieman also stated that water systems must monitor for iron and manganese contamination at the entry point to the distribution system regularly, with testing performed between at least once every three years and at least once every nine years based on the system.

Ms. Salo-Zieman stated that Rainier View had taken steps to install treatment on the wells that have elevated iron or manganese. She also informed me that there were no compliance actions related to color, iron, or manganese at the systems

Rainier View owns or operates. According to Ms. Salo-Zieman, the DOH would

1		only take action on aesthetic issues if the majority of the customers on the system
2		had specific concerns and also understood the cost of addressing those concerns. A
3		copy of my correspondence with Ms. Salo-Zieman is included as Exhibit RS-6.
4		
5	Q.	Did you ultimately close Ms. Hand's complaint file?
6	A.	Yes. During a December 20, 2016, 3:09 p.m., phone conversation with Ms. Hand, I
7		advised her that the DOH regulates water quality. I also explained that the DOH had
8		required testing, that the company had completed the required tests, and there was
9		nothing else that I could do.
10		I told Ms. Hand that I was closing the complaint. Ms. Hand became angry
11		and asked me not to do so until after the decision regarding Rainier View Water
12		Company's most recent tariff filing, which was being presented at the Commission's
13		upcoming open meeting. I explained the open meeting process and informed Ms.
14		Hand that the public had an opportunity to speak and present comments to the
15		commissioners. I agreed to Ms. Hand's request and informed her that I would close
16		her complaint after the open meeting. Ms. Hand thanked me. I then closed the
17		complaint January 5, 2017. A copy of the file entry for the closure of Ms. Hand's
18		complaint is included with Exhibit RS-2, beginning on page 17.
19		
20	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
21	A.	Yes.