

Barbara Money

From: "Lynn L Toso" <bchbums2@olypen.com>
To: "Barbara Money" <barbsq@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 8:53 PM
Subject: Re: Petition Opposing Water Rate Increase

Kathy and I support the petitions position.....Lynn & Kathy Toso

----- Original Message -----

From: Barbara Money
Sent: Sunday, June 01, 2008 4:53 PM
Subject: Fw: Petition Opposing Water Rate Increase

Concerned Citizens of Greater Diamond Point Docket UW-080926 May 23, 2008

Petition Opposing rate increase

Please join us in contesting the proposed rate increase by Aquarius Utilities. Print, sign and return this email to or mail/deliver to Barb Money at 10 Eagles Rest Lane. Barb will collect and provide the signed petitions to the WUTC. Barb's phone number is 360-681-3851. Help a neighbor without a computer and print an extra copy for him/her.

Concerned Citizens of Greater Diamond Point do not approve the proposed rate increase on 2 key points:

1. We object to the rate increase until the AU submitted rate related expenditures are audited by the Concerned Citizens of Greater Diamond Point.

2. Withhold rate approvals until all conditions are met.

(a) CCoGDP or the regulatory agencies should not give up the only leverage, money, while important issues are unresolved. Aquarius mislead readers of the DWSRF Loan applications about having negotiated a Franchise Agreement with Clallam County when it had not. Clallam County sent Aquarius a notice that failure to comply with a new Clallam County Franchise Agreement to the County Prosecutor May 29th, 2008. Clallam County had excused past dues of \$12,000 for Aquarius and it's customers between 2000 and 2008.

(b) Aquarius failed to obtain a rate increase from the WUTC by the June 4th, 2008 due date as a condition for approval by the Public Works Board (PWB) on the four new 2008 DWSRF Loan requests. The PWB Staff are recommending an extension of another 3 months to their Board of Commissioner meeting on June 3rd, 2008.

(c) The Eagles Rest residents and AU agreement to fix continued drainage on their properties remains unresolved.

(d) The proposed DP tank upgrades exceed earlier agreed upon scope.

(e) Collaborative scope/design of the DP upgrade, ultimately CCoGDP had to involve a lawyer requesting information.

In addition, can the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission explain the advantage

6/4/2008