

Avista Corp.

1411 East Mission P.O. Box 3727 Spokane. Washington 99220-0500 Telephone 509-489-0500 Toll Free 800-727-9170

June 14, 2019

Via Electronic Mail

Mark L. Johnson
Executive Director and Secretary
Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S. W.
P.O. Box 47250
Olympia, Washington 98504-7250

Re: Docket No. UE-170002 and UG-170003 - Comments of Avista Utilities on Rulemaking to Address Electric and Natural Gas Cost of Service

Dear Mr. Johnson,

Avista Corporation dba Avista Utilities (Avista or Company) submits the following comments in accordance with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission's (Commission) Notice of Opportunity to File Written Comments (Notice) dated May 6, 2019. Avista appreciates the opportunity to provide comments.

In the present draft form, the Company believes the informal draft rules to be a positive step forward in formalizing cost of service studies for all utilities. In general, the Company has no issues with the informal draft rules and looks forward to collaboratively participating with the parties to finalize the rule making process.

Questions for all interested Stakeholders:

- 1. How should a cost of service study reflect Special Contracts?
 - a. Is it appropriate to treat them as a separate customer class?
 - b. How should revenue form special contracts be included or shown as an offset to other customer classes?

Avista Response – First, it is important to understand why Avista has special contract customers. For natural gas service, where the Company has the majority of special contracts, qualifying customers have an economic alternative to taking service from the Company (i.e., direct pipeline connections). In order to prevent a full bypass of Avista (and the associated margin that covers

State Of WASH TIL. AND TRANSP COMMISSION Records Management

not only the cost to provide service to them, but also covers common costs), the Company will negotiate a special, fixed rate that will both preserve a level of margin to cover utility costs, and will keep the customer connected to our system. For purposes of cost of service studies, it is the Company's preference, and past practice, to segregate the revenue from special contracts from general rate revenue and allocate this revenue back to all the other rates classes by relative rate base. In treating these revenues like other operating revenues, the system contribution from special contract revenue reduces costs to all other rate schedules.

2. Are the proposed input data types (advanced metering infrastructure, special contracts, load studies) sufficient, or should there be other types of data?

Avista Response – The proposed input data types are sufficient at this time, but should be flexible to incorporate other unforeseen sources of data types that may be beneficial in an evolving energy landscape.

3. How often should load studies be performed?

Avista Response – Load studies should be performed at a minimum of every five years.

Please refer to the attachment labeled "Avista Electric Scenarios" for the requested electric scenarios and the attachment labeled "Avista Natural Gas Scenarios" for the requested natural gas scenarios. For each scenario, in addition to the requested parity ratios (relative revenue-to-cost), the Company has also provided the revenue change required to reach parity and related percentage change from present base rates.

Please direct any questions regarding these comments to Tara Knox at 509-495-4325 or tara.knox@avistacorp.com or Joe Miller at 509-495-4546 or joe.miller@avistacorp.com.

Patrick Ehrbar

Sinceror

Director of Regulatory Affairs

Avista Electric Scenarios from the Cost of Service Study filed in Docket No. UE-170485 updated with allowed costs and revenues Docket UE-170002

Generation Classification

1. Average & Excess 4CP Demand	Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Service Sch 30-31-32	Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.86 \$ 34,302 16.0%	1.31 \$ (17,632) -23.5%		1.03 \$ (1,846) -2.8%	0.98 \$ 211 1.9%	
2. Fixed Ratio 75E/25D, 4CP Demand	Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Service Sch 30-31-32	Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.88 \$ 30,612 14.3%	1.30 \$ (17,192) -22.9%	• • •	1.00 \$ (115) -0.2%	0.96 \$ 501 4.5%	,
3. Renewable Future Peak Credit 4CP Demand	Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	I.arge General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Service Sch 30-31-32	Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.83 \$ 45,375 21.2%	1.34 \$ (18,951) -25.2%		1.12 \$ (7,041) -10.7%	1.06 \$ (662) -5.9%	
4. Thermal Peak Credit 4CP Demand	Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Service Sch 30-31-32	Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.87 \$ 32,032 14.9%	1.30 \$ (17,361) -23.1%		\$ (781) -1.2%	\$ 389 3.5%	,
5. Not Power Cost 100% Energy All Other Renewable Future Peak 4CP Demand	Credit Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Service Sch 30-31-32	Street & Arca Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.85 \$ 36,447 17.0%	1.31 \$ (17,891) -23.8%	1.15 \$ (17,025) -13.1%	1.05 \$ (2,868) -4.4%	\$ 37 0.3%	- ,

Avista
Electric Scenarios from the Cost of Service Study filed in Docket No. UE-170485 updated with allowed costs and revenues
Docket UE-170002

Generation Allocation

1. Demand by Top 100/100 Season Average & Excess Classification	Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Scrvice Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Street & Arca Service Lighting Service Sch 30-31-32 Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.87 \$ 31,639 14.89	\$ (16,688)		` ' '	-
2. Load Net of Renewable Generat	<u>ion</u>					
a. Demand by 1CP Average & Excess Classification	Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Street & Area Service Lighting Service Sch 30-31-32 Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.85 \$ 36,823 17.2%	\$ (19,364)		• • •	•
b. Demand by 12CP Average & Excess Classification	Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 30-31-32 Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.86 \$ 33,567 15.7%	\$ (18,450)			,
c. Demand by Top Summer/Top Average & Excess Classification	Winter Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Street & Area Scrvice Lighting Service Sch 30-31-32 Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.86 \$ 36,216 16.9%				1.01 0.82 \$ (141) \$ 1,5●7 -1.3% 21.5%
3. Demand by 12 CP Peak Method Average & Excess Classification	Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 30-31-32 Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.0●	0.87 \$ 31,395 14.6%	` ' '		1.00 \$ (1 0 2) -0.2%	0.95 0.83 \$ 552 \$ 1,394 5.0% 19.9%

Avista
Electric Scenarios from the Cost of Service Study filed in Docket No. UE-170485 updated with allowed costs and revenues
Docket UE-170002

Transmission Allocation

1. Transmission Follows Generation 1-1. Demand by Top 100/100 Season Average & Excess Classification Applied to Both Gn and Tr		Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Largo General Service Sch 25	Pumping Service Sch 30-31-32	Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.87 \$ 31,707 14.8%	, , ,		1.03 \$ (1,959) -3.0%		- ,
1-2. Load Net of Renewable General Demand by ICP Average & Excess Classification Applied to Both Gn and Tr	Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Service Sch 30-31-32	Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.85 \$ 30,612 14.3%			\$ (115) -0.2%		, , , ,
b. Demand by 12CP Average & Excess Classification Applied to Both Gn and Tr	Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Service Sch 30-31-32	Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required	1.00	0.86 \$ 34,062 15.9%	, , ,	, ,	\$ 1.00 \$ 150 0.2%		• .,
c. Demand by Top Summer/Top Average & Excess Classification Applied to Both Gn and Tr	Winter Total	Residential Service Sch 1-2	General Service Sch 11-12	Large General Service Sch 21-22	Extra Large General Service Sch 25	Pumping Scrvice Sch 30-31-32	Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48
Average & Excess Classification		Service	Service Sch 11-12 1.33 \$ (18,688)	Service Sch 21-22 1.16 \$ (17,745)	General Service Sch 25	Scrvice Sch 30-31-32 1.03 \$ (295)	Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48 0.82 \$ 1,531
Average & Excess Classification Applied to Both Gn and Tr Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$	Total 1.00	Service Sch 1-2 0.85 \$ 37,294	Service Sch 11-12 1.33 \$ (18,688)	Service Sch 21-22 1.16 \$ (17,745)	General Service Sch 25 1.03 \$ (2,097)	Scrvice Sch 30-31-32 1.03 \$ (295)	Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48 0.82 \$ 1,531
Average & Excess Classification Applied to Both Gn and Tr Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required 1-3. Demand by 12 CP Peak Methology Average & Excess Classification	Total 1.00 -	Service Sch 1-2 0.85 \$ 37,294 17.4% Residential Service	Service Sch 11-12 1.33 \$ (18,688) -24.9% General Service Sch 11-12 1.30 \$ (17,214)	Service Sch 21-22 1.16 \$ (17,745) -13.7% Large General Service Sch 21-22 1.15 \$ (16,033)	General Service Sch 25 1.03 \$ (2,097) -3.2% Extra Large General Service Sch 25 1.00	Scrvice Sch 30-31-32 1.03 \$ (295) -2.7% Pumping Service Sch 30-31-32 0.95 \$ 551	Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48 0.82 \$ 1,531 21.8% Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48 0.83 \$ 1,393
Average & Excess Classification Applied to Both Gn and Tr Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$ Base Percentage Change Required I-3. Demand by 12 CP Peak Methodoreage & Excess Classification Applied to Both Gn and Tr Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$000s \$	Total 1.00 - 2d Total 1.00	Service Sch 1-2 0.85 \$ 37,294 17.4% Residential Service Sch 1-2 0.87 \$ 31,411	Service Sch 11-12 1.33 \$ (18,688) -24.9% General Service Sch 11-12 1.30 \$ (17,214)	Service Sch 21-22 1.16 \$ (17,745) -13.7% Large General Service Sch 21-22 1.15 \$ (16,033)	General Service Sch 25 1.03 \$ (2,097) -3.2% Extra Large General Service Sch 25 1.00 \$ (108)	Scrvice Sch 30-31-32 1.03 \$ (295) -2.7% Pumping Service Sch 30-31-32 0.95 \$ 551	Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48 0.82 \$ 1,531 21.8% Street & Area Lighting Service Sch 41 - 48 0.83 \$ 1,393

Avista

Natural Gas Scenarios from the Cost of Service Study filed in Docket No. UG-370486 updated with allowed costs and revenu Docket UG-170003

Distribution Main Classification

1. System load factor		_			Large		ktra Large		_	
	Total		ral Service ch 101		neral Service Sch III		eral Service Sch 121	Interruptible Sch 132		nsportation Sch 146
	i (ta)	30	JII 1 1 1		DOI III		JUI 121	Sui 132		3011 140
Parity Ratio	1.00		0 94		1.46		1.36	1.07		0.77
Target Revenue \$	0	\$ 4		\$	(4,784,692)	\$		\$ (12,891)	\$	814,726
Base Percentage Change Required			6.4%		-31.7%		-26.8%	-7.0%		28.6%
2. Design Day					Large	E	kira Large			
		Gener	al Service	Ger	neral Service	Gen	eral Service	Interruptible	Tra	nsportation
	Total	Se	ch 101		Sch 111		Sch 121	Sch 132		Sch 146
Parity Ratio	1.00		0.93		1.49		1 39	1,10		0.92
Target Revenue \$	0	\$ 5		\$	(4,946,006)	\$		\$ (16,687)	\$	236.711
Base Percentage Change Required			7.6%		-32.8%		-28.0%	-9.0%		8.3%
3. Hybrid Design Day					Large	E	xira Large			
S. H. J. B. W. Design 1941		Gener	al Service	Ger	neral Service			Interruptible	Tra	nsportation
	Total	S	di 101		Sch 111	:	Sch 121	Sch 132		Sch 146
Demites Dutin	1.00		0.93		1.48		. 20	1.00		0.86
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$	1.00	\$ 4		\$	(4,884,596)	\$	(275, 205)	1.09 \$ (15,242)	\$	456,754
Base Percentage Change Required		•	7.2%	.,,	-32.4%	.,	-27.5%	-8.2%	•	16.0%
Distribution Main Allocation										
Distribution Main Anocation										
1 Current Commission Staff Mail	and.				Loren	Б	etro I orgo			
1. Current Commission Staff Metl	<u>10d</u>	Genei	ral Service	Ger	Large aeral Service		xtra Large eral Service	Interruptible	Tra	nsportation
1. Current Commission Staff Metl	<u>nod</u> T•tal		ral Service ch 101		Large neral Service Sch 111	Gen		Interruptible Sch 132		nsportation Sch 146
	T∙tal		ch 101		neral Service Sch 111	Gen	eral Service Sch 121	Sch 132		Sch 146
Parity Ratio	T•tal	So	0.94		neral Service Sch 111	Gen	eral Service Sch 121 1.36	Sch 132	S	0.77
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$	T∙tal	So	0.94		neral Service Sch 111 1.46 (4,784,692)	Gen	eral Service Sch 121 1.36 (267,382)	Sch 132	S	Sch 146
Parity Ratio	T•tal	So	0.94 ,250,239		neral Service Sch 111	Gen	eral Service Sch 121 1.36	\$ch 132 1.07 \$ (12,891)	S	0.77 814,726
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required	T•tal 1.00 0	So	0.94 ,250,239		1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7%	Gen	eral Service Sch 121 1.36 (267,382) -26 8%	\$ch 132 1.07 \$ (12,891)	S	0.77 814,726
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$	T•tal 1.00 0	\$ 4	0.94 ,250,239 6.4%	\$	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7%	Gen \$	eral Service Sch 121 1.36 (267,382) -26 8%	\$ch 132 1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0%	\$	0.77 814,726 28.6%
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required	T•tal 1.00 0	\$ 4	0.94 ,250,239 6.4%	\$ Gen	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7%	Gen \$ Ex Gen	eral Service Sch 121 1.36 (267,382) -26 8%	\$ch 132 1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0%	\$ Trai	0.77 814,726 28.6%
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 2. Commission Staff Proposed Me	T•tal 1.00 0 thod Total	\$ 4	0.94 ,250,239 6.4%	\$ Gen	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7% Large	Gen \$ Ex Gen	eral Service Sch 121 1.36 (267,382) -26 8% ktra Large eral Service	\$ch 132 1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0%	\$ Trai	0.77 814,726 28.6%
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 2. Commission Staff Proposed Me Parity Ratio	Total 1.00 0 thod Total 1.00	\$ 4 Gener	0.94 ,250,239 6.4% ral Service ch 101 0.93	\$ Gen	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7% Large aeral Service Sch 111	Gen \$ Ex Gen	eral Service Sch 121 1.36 (267,382) -26 8% Atra Large eral Service Sch 121	1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0% Interruptible Sch 132	\$ Trai	0.77 814,726 28.6% nsportation Sch 146
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 2. Commission Staff Proposed Me Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$	T•tal 1.00 0 thod Total	\$ 4 Gener	0.94 ,250,239 6.4% ral Service ch 101 0.93 ,614,192	\$ Gen	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7% Large heral Service Sch 111 1.44 (4,592,293)	Gen \$ Ex Gen	1.36 (267,382) -26 8% ktra Large eral Service Sch 121 1.43 (302,057)	\$ch 132 1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0% Interruptible \$ch 132 1.12 \$ (19,578)	\$ Trai	0.77 814,726 28.6% nsportation Sch 146 0.90 299,737
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 2. Commission Staff Proposed Me Parity Ratio	Total 1.00 0 thod Total 1.00	\$ 4 Gener	0.94 ,250,239 6.4% ral Service ch 101 0.93	\$ Gen	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7% Large aeral Service Sch 111	Gen \$ Ex Gen	eral Service Sch 121 1.36 (267,382) -26 8% Atra Large eral Service Sch 121	1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0% Interruptible Sch 132	\$ Trai	0.77 814,726 28.6% nsportation Sch 146
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 2. Commission Staff Proposed Me Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required	Total 1.00 0 thod Total 1.00	\$ 4 Gener	0.94 ,250,239 6.4% ral Service ch 101 0.93 ,614,192	\$ Gen	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7% Large heral Service Sch 111 1.44 (4,592,293)	Gen \$ Ex Gen	1.36 (267,382) -26 8% ktra Large eral Service Sch 121 1.43 (302,057)	\$ch 132 1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0% Interruptible \$ch 132 1.12 \$ (19,578)	\$ Trai	0.77 814,726 28.6% nsportation Sch 146 0.90 299,737
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 2. Commission Staff Proposed Me Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$	Total 1.00 0 thod Total 1.00	\$ 4 Gener	0.94 ,250,239 6.4% ral Service ch 101 0.93 ,614,192 7.0%	\$ Ger	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7% Large heral Service Sch 111 1.44 (4,592,293) -30.4% Large	Gen	1.36 (267,382) -26 8% ktra Large eral Service Sch 12 J 1.43 (302,057) -30.2%	1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0% Interruptible Sch 132 1.12 \$ (19,578) -10.6%	\$ Trai	0.77 814,726 28.6% nsportation Sch 146 0.90 299,737 10.5%
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 2. Commission Staff Proposed Me Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required	T•tal 1.00 0 thod Total 1.00 0	\$ 4 Gener So \$ 4	0.94 ,250,239 6.4% ral Service ch 101 0.93 ,614,192 7.0%	\$ Gen	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7% Large heral Service Sch 111 1.44 (4,592,293) -30.4% Large heral Service	Gen	1.36 (267,382) -26 8% ktra Large eral Service Sch 12 J 1.43 (302,057) -30.2% ktra Large eral Service	1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0% Interruptible Sch 132 1.12 \$ (19,578) -10.6%	\$ Trai	0.77 814,726 28.6% nsportation Sch 146 0.90 299,737 10.5%
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 2. Commission Staff Proposed Me Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required	Total 1.00 0 thod Total 1.00	\$ 4 Gener So \$ 4	0.94 ,250,239 6.4% ral Service ch 101 0.93 ,614,192 7.0%	\$ Gen	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7% Large heral Service Sch 111 1.44 (4,592,293) -30.4% Large	Gen	1.36 (267,382) -26 8% ktra Large eral Service Sch 12 J 1.43 (302,057) -30.2%	1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0% Interruptible Sch 132 1.12 \$ (19,578) -10.6%	\$ Trai	0.77 814,726 28.6% nsportation Sch 146 0.90 299,737 10.5%
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 2. Commission Staff Proposed Me Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 3. Design Day Parity Ratio	Total 1.00 0 thod Total 1.00 0	\$ 4 Gener So \$ 4	0.94 ,250,239 6.4% ral Service ch 101 0.93 ,614,192 7.0%	\$ Gen	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7% Large heral Service Sch 111 1.44 (4,592,293) -30.4% Large heral Service Sch 111	Gen	1.36 (267,382) -26 8% ktra Large eral Service Sch 121 1.43 (302,057) -30.2% ktra Large eral Service Sch 121	1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0% Interruptible Sch 132 1.12 \$ (19,578) -10.6% Interruptible Sch 132	\$ Tran	0.77 814,726 28.6% nsportation Sch 146 0.90 299,737 10.5%
Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 2. Commission Staff Proposed Me Parity Ratio Target Revenue \$ Base Percentage Change Required 3. Design Day	T•tal 1.00 0 thod Total 1.00 0	\$ 4 Gener So \$ 4	0.94 ,250,239 6.4% ral Service ch 101 0.93 ,614,192 7.0%	\$ Gen	1.46 (4,784,692) -31.7% Large deral Service Sch 111 1.44 (4,592,293) -30.4% Large deral Service Sch 111	Gen	1.36 (267,382) -26 8% ktra Large eral Service Sch 121 1.43 (302,057) -30.2% ktra Large eral Service Sch 121	1.07 \$ (12,891) -7.0% Interruptible Sch 132 \$ (19,578) -10.6% Interruptible Sch 132	\$ Tran	0.77 814,726 28.6% nsportation Sch 146 0.90 299,737 10.5%