Exhibit NMW-1T

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In Re Application No. GA-079251 of

CONSOLIDATED DOCKET NOS.

HAROLD LeMAY ENTERPRISES, INC., ET AL.,

DOCKET NO. TG-040221

For an Extension of Certificate No. G-98 for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity

In Re Application No. GA-079254 of

DOCKET NO. TG-040248

KLEEN ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF NANETTE M. WALKER, CPA

For a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity

In Re Application No. GA-079266 of

RUBATINO REFUSE REMOVAL, INC.

DOCKET NO. TG-040553

For an Extension of Certificate No. G-58 for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate Motor Vehicles in Furnishing Solid Waste Collection Service

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF NANETTE M. WALKER, CPA

Nanette M. Walker, subject to penalties of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington, declares and states as follows:

- 1. I am a Certified Public Accountant and the owner of my own Certified Public Accounting firm, located in Ridgefield, Washington. I received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree with a major in Accounting from Washington State University in 1977. I have practiced public accounting since 1977. Prior to starting my own CPA firm in 1993, I worked as a CPA in several positions with business entities and CPA firms. Immediately prior to opening my own firm, I was a partner with the Certified Public Accounting firm of Moss Adams & Co. in Vancouver, Washington, where I practiced public accounting for over 12 years.
- 2. During my years as a CPA with Moss Adams & Company and subsequently with my own firm, I have worked with solid waste collection companies engaged in business in the state of Washington. I have assisted a number of Washington solid waste collection companies regulated by the Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission in preparing their annual reports to the Commission, in setting up their accounting systems to meet Commission requirements, revising and filing tariffs and in making presentations to the Commission on rate making issues. I am familiar with the accounting standards and practices that the Commission requires solid waste collection companies to follow in making their annual reports to the Commission and that the Commission uses in regulating the rates of solid waste collection companies, including biomedical waste collection companies. A copy of my resume with additional information about my professional qualifications and work history is attached hereto as Exhibit NMW-2.
- 3. I have analyzed the financial information presented by Kleen Environmental Technologies, Inc. ("Kleen") in the attachments to the Prefiled Testimony of Kenneth Lee PREFILED TESTIMONY OF NANETTE M. WALKER, CPA 2
 SEA_DOCS:724186.3

(Exhibit KRL-IT). I have compared Kleen's financial estimates and projections to the cost and revenue experience of Stericycle of Washington, Inc. ("Stericycle") in serving a broad cross-section of Washington biomedical waste generators in 2003. In most cases, the data on Stericycle's 2003 cost and revenue experience that I used for comparison purposes is found in Stericycle's 2003 annual report to the Commission. A copy of Stericycle's 2003 annual report to the Commission is an exhibit to the Prefiled Testimony of Michael Philpott. I have relied on some additional data that is not contained in the 2003 annual report but is also presented in the Prefiled Testimony of Michael Philpott and Chris Stromerson.

- 4. Based on my analysis of Kleen's financial projections, my comparison of those estimates and projections with Stericycle's historical experience and considering other reliable information referenced below, I have reached the following conclusions:
- (a) Kleen's proforma financial projections (Exhibit KRL-5) include addition errors that in net effect reduce Kleen's projected annual profit by over 20%; i.e., \$15,872. These addition errors are itemized in the Notes to Profit Analysis attached hereto as Exhibit NMW-3 (hereinafter, "Notes to Profit Analysis").
- (b) Kleen's proforma financial projections use the incorrect Business & Occupation tax versus the correct Public Utility tax rate and fail to include the annual WUTC fee. As set out in the Notes to Profit Analysis, the Public Utility tax rate applicable to biomedical waste collection companies is 0.642%, not the 0.484% B&O tax rate used by Kleen. Kleen's proforma does not include the WUTC annual fee of 0.4% of revenue. The net effect of these adjustments is to reduce Kleen's projected annual profit by another \$2,217.
- (c) Kleen's proforma revenue projections assume that Kleen's services will be limited to large quantity biomedical generators that are not representative of Washington PREFILED TESTIMONY OF NANETTE M. WALKER, CPA 3
 SEA DOCS:724186.3

biomedical waste generators generally. A table entitled "Revenue Statistics," comparing Kleen's revenue assumptions with Stericycle's 2003 actual revenue experience, is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit NMW-4</u>. Kleen assumes that all of its customers will generate revenues of \$1,106.40 per month. However, more than 97% of Stericycle's customers generated revenue of less than \$1,000 per month in 2003. Stericycle's average revenue per customer in 2003 was \$102.10 per month. Kleen assumes that it will earn revenues of \$276.60 each time one of its trucks makes a pickup at a customer's facility. However, Stericycle's actual revenue per pickup was only \$116.09 in 2003. It is apparent that the large quantity generator customers on which Kleen's revenue projections are based are not representative of Stericycle's customer base. Since Stericycle's almost 6,000 customers are presumably representative of the Washington generator community as a whole, Kleen's revenue projections depend on the assumption that its customers will not be representative of the entire Washington generator community.

(b) I have assumed that the Commission would require Kleen to serve all Washington generators without discrimination if its application for authority is granted. If Kleen serves all types and sizes of generators, its average monthly revenue per customer and average revenue per pickup should look very similar to Stericycle's averages for 2003. If Kleen's proforma projections are adjusted to reflect the assumption that Kleen's customers will generate revenues similar to the revenues generated by Stericycle's 2003 customer base, Kleen's projected revenues would be reduced by \$231,568 or \$360,895, depending on which adjustment is used, the profit projected by Kleen would disappear and a very large net loss in the range of \$178,504 to \$307,831 would result. Exhibit NMW-5, entitled "Profit Analysis Using Stericycle's Revenue History," shows the effect on Kleen's financial projections of these changes in revenue assumptions alone, without consideration of any adjustments on the cost side of Kleen's PREFILED TESTIMONY OF NANETTE M. WALKER, CPA - 4

projections. These revenue adjustments are necessary to bring Kleen's revenue projections into line with the revenues that Kleen would be expected to earn if its customers are representative of Washington generators and Kleen's rates are similar to Stericycle's existing rates. Since Kleen's proposed tariff specifies rates that are approximately 4% less than Stericycle's existing rates per container, these revenue adjustments may be conservative. Because Kleen has failed to provide projected revenue data for a biomedical waste collection service that would serve all types and sizes of Washington generators, it is my opinion that Kleen has failed to demonstrate the financial feasibility of its proposed statewide service or that its has the resources to fund the proposed service for a sufficient period of time to determine whether the service could be profitable.

- (c) Kleen's proforma financial projections do not include the costs that would be associated with features of Kleen's proposed service which Kleen touts as major features of its service. Accordingly, it is my opinion that Kleen has not credibly established its costs of service. The cost items omitted include, among others, the following:
- (i) Kleen provides no data with respect to cost of transporting biomedical waste to the Hospital Sterilization Services, Inc. ("HSS") "hydroclave" processing facility in Port Coquitlam, British Columbia or the cost of processing biomedical waste at that facility.
- (ii) Although the Prefiled Testimony of Allen McCloskey at p. 2 suggests that Kleen will offer its customers "an online interactive generator profile system that will allow generators to review various documents associated with their waste, e.g., manifests, certificates of destruction, invoices, weight tickets, and any other documents associated with the transporting and disposal of their waste," Kleen's financial projections do not include any of the costs of developing and implementing such an "interactive" internet based system. Adding a cost for this PREFILED TESTIMONY OF NANETTE M. WALKER, CPA 5
 SEA DOCS:724186.3

service would result in even greater losses.

- (iii) Although the Prefiled Testimony of Darin Perrollaz at p. 2 indicates that Kleen personnel will "package" a generator's waste if requested and Supplement No. 7 to Kleen's proposed tariff specifies a charge of \$48.50/hour for "[o]n-site packaging services," Kleen's projections include neither projected costs nor projected revenues for such services. For the foregoing reasons and others discussed below, Kleen's financial projections are not complete and clearly underestimate the costs of providing a statewide biomedical waste collection service with the service features identified by Kleen.
- (d) In addition to omitting some major cost items entirely, Exhibit NMW-6, entitled "Profit Analysis Using Stericycle's Cost History," displays a series of adjustments to Kleen's proforma cost projections that are necessary to more accurately account for the costs of the proposed service, based on Stericycle's cost history and additional cost information presented in the Prefiled Testimony of Michael Philpott and Chris Stromerson. The reasons supporting these cost adjustments are identified in the Notes to Profit Analysis, with references to supporting testimony where applicable. The cost adjustments shown on Exhibit NMW-6 demonstrate that Kleen would operate at a substantial loss even without consideration of the revenue adjustments described in Exhibit NMW-5. In particular, Exhibit NMW-6 demonstrates that use of the HSS hydroclave processing facility by Kleen would dramatically increase Kleen's costs and losses over what Kleen has projected.
- (e) Exhibit NMW-7, entitled "Profit Analysis Combining Revenue and Cost Adjustments," shows the combined effects of the revenue adjustments in Exhibit NMW-5 and the cost adjustments in Exhibit NMW-5. Exhibit NMW-7 shows that the combined effects of the adjustments would be operating losses in the range of \$257,000 to \$387,000 in Kleen's first 12 PREFILED TESTIMONY OF NANETTE M. WALKER, CPA 6 SEA DOCS:724186.3

months of operation. Based on the adjustments shown on Exhibit NMW-7, it is my opinion that Kleen's propose biomedical waste collection service is not financially feasible. At a minimum, Kleen has not demonstrated the financial feasibility of its proposed service.

5. I have reviewed the Prefiled Testimony of Kenneth Lee as his testimony addresses the cash requirements necessary and funding sources available to Kleen to fund startup of its proposed biomedical waste collection business, particularly Exhibit KRL-4 ("Kleen Environmental Tech. Inc., BioHazardous Waste Capitalization List"), Exhibit KRL-3 (letter from Kenneth R. Lee to Robert Olson dated April 19, 2004) and Exhibit KRL-2 ("Kleen Environmental Technologies, Inc. Financial Statements, September 30, 2003"). Exhibit NMW-8 ("Cash Requirements – First 12 Months") attached shows my estimates of Kleen's cash needs for the first 12 months of its proposed operations. Kleen will need sufficient cash to fund not only the start-up expenses identified in Exhibit KRL-4, but the losses I project in Exhibit NMW-7. Although the Prefiled Testimony of Kenneth Lee indicates that Kleen has working capital of \$100,000 in its existing business, small businesses typically consider it prudent to retain cash on hand equal to approximately three months' operating expenses. Thus, the cash which Kleen has retained in its existing business is needed to fund the working capital requirements of the existing business. Although Exhibit KRL-3 suggests that the vehicles required for the proposed service can be leased "with minimal money down" from a particular vehicle dealership, Kleen has provided no statement or other evidence from this dealership to demonstrate that it is prepared to extend credit to Kleen in this form, for this purpose. Nor has Kleen allowed for a cash disbursement for the down payment on the lease if it was able to arrange such a lease. Similarly, Exhibit KRL-3 suggests that necessary modifications to a building which Kleen rents "can usually be funded by [Kleen's] landlord by extending our building lease in duration and PREFILED TESTIMONY OF NANETTE M. WALKER, CPA - 7

monthly rate." However, Kleen has provided no evidence that it has a lease for the building it proposes to modify and no statement or other evidence from its landlord to support the claim that its landlord is prepared to either permit or finance modifications to this building to support a biomedical waste collection business. In fact, Note 4 to Kleen's 2003 financial statements (Exhibit KRL-2) states that Kleen's lease expired in 2001 and Kleen is renting its facility on a month-to-month basis. Likewise, although Exhibit KRL-3 suggests that Kleen could borrow additional funds on a bank line of credit, the exhibit indicates that no such line of credit is currently in existence and Kleen has provided no statement or other evidence from its bank to demonstrate that its bank is prepared to provide loans or a line of credit to Kleen to support its proposed entry into the biomedical waste collection business. Finally, although Exhibit KRL-3 suggests that Kleen's "three partners" have agreed to contribute additional funds to Kleen in equal amounts, if needed, Kleen has provided no evidence that its "partners" have made a binding or specific commitment to provide additional funds to the company or that they have sufficient resources or the intent to fund the kind of cash requirements that would be needed. In short, Kleen has not demonstrated that it has access to sufficient resources to fund the cash requirements of its proposed biomedical waste collection service for the first 12 months of the proposed operation.

I have also evaluated the potential financial impacts on Stericycle that would 6. result from a successful entry by Kleen into the Washington biomedical waste collection business, assuming as Kleen projects that it would attract 43 large quantity generators away from Stericycle to Kleen's new service. 43 LQGs constitute 30.71% of Stericycle's large quantity generator customers. As Exhibit NMW-9 attached indicates, if Stericycle loses 30.71% of its LQG revenue, Stericycle's costs as a percentage of revenue would increase significantly. In fact, PREFILED TESTIMONY OF NANETTE M. WALKER, CPA - 8

as <u>Exhibit NMW-9</u> shows, the effect would be to cause Stericycle to operate at a net loss. To preserve its existing operating ratio of 93.28%, Stericycle would be required to implement a rate increase to its remaining customers of approximately 6%.

DATED this 17 day of September, 2004.

Nanette M. Walker, CPA

NANETTE M. WALKER C.P.A.

745 S. 21ST PLACE RIDGEFIELD, WA. 98642 (360) 887-4659 (360) 887-1614 – Fax nwcpa@wscpa.net – e-mail

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

- Owner Nanette M. Walker C.P.A. a Certified Public Accounting firm. Primarily engaged in providing accounting, tax, and business management services to construction, marine, manufacturing and service businesses as well as testifying for solid waste clients at the WUTC, litigation proceedings and analysis projects for large international businesses.
 1993 to Present.
- ♦ <u>Strategic partner</u> Veber Partners Portland, OR. Private Investment Banking Services including mergers and acquisitions. 1999 Present.
- Partner Moss Adams Accounting services, tax, management consulting and general business consulting for manufacturing, solid waste and construction companies. Supervised staff, supervisors and managers. Coordinated management meetings and was instrumental in developing, writing and implementing a regional firm strategic plan. Testified for clients at State agencies. Able to handle many diverse projects and clients at any one time while maintaining high productivity and timeliness. 1981-1993
- ◆ Internal Auditor Red Lion Hotels and Inns Executive Office Responsible for internal audits, hiring and training of accounting office managers in three states, and preparation of year-end financial statements for large hospitality company. 1978-1981
- ◆ <u>Staff Accountant</u> Franklin, Mayhan, Mains and Pfaff C.P.A.s Experience in a local CPA firm working with many supervisors on tax and accounting projects. 1977-1978
- ♦ <u>Teaching positions and speaking engagements</u> numerous programs and courses at colleges and professional groups. 1978-1996

EDUCATION

Washington State University - Bachelor of Business Administration - Major - Accounting 1977 Clark Community College - Associates of Arts and Sciences 1975 Stanford Business Management College 1992

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

Strategic Planning Committee – WSCPA – 2000 to Present Two years as Chair.

Chair – 21st Century Task Force – WSCPA to 1999

Secretary - Washington Society of CPAs - 1998

Board of Directors - Washington Society of CPAs 1989 - 1994

Treasurer - Washington Society of CPAs 1991

Chair/Member - Individual Practitioners Committee - WSCPA 1995-1999

Environmental Management Committee - WSCPA 1993-1995

President, Vice president, Treasurer and Board of local chapter of WSCPA 1982-1993

Board of Directors, Secretary, Treasurer, V.P. - Clark County Estate Planning Council 1987-1993

Board of Directors and President - Vancouver Trade Club 1984-1990

Executive Round Table Committee - Columbia River Economic Development Council 92-94

COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES

WSU Vancouver - College of Business and Economics Advisory Board - 2000 - Present Planned Giving Advisory Board - Portland, Or. - Washington State University - 1996 - Present

Portfolio Committee – Clark County YWCA – 2002-Present

Chair - Finance committee - YWCA 1985 to 2002

Treasurer of Clark County YWCA 1992-1994

Board of Directors - Clark County YWCA 1990-1991

Founding member of the Bon Vivante Societe' 1981 to Present

ProfitAnalysis--ExhibitstoN.Walker915last(v2).XLS

Stericycle of WA info from 2003 WUTC Annual Report unless otherwise noted
NOTES

\$ 7,215,120 \$ 397,345	462,785 21,548	62,151	5,889	5% 14% 00%	457,108 76,007
				2.36% 97.64% 100.00%	
	ŧ	sd		140 5803 5943	
Revenue - Stericycle of WA Revenue - Kleen proforma -per Exhibit KRL-5	# of containers - Stericycle of WA -per prefiled testimony for Mike Philpott # of containers - Kleen -per Exhibit KRL-5	Stops - Stericycle of WA -per prefiled testimony for Mike Philpott Stops - Kleen K- 357 customers serviced with 4 pickups or stops per month = 1,428 stops	Customers - Stericycle of WA Customers - Kleen proforma-per Exhibit KRL-5	Stericycle of WA - LQG -per prefiled testimony for Mike Philpott Stericycle of WA - SQG -per prefiled testimony for Mike Philpott 2003	Miles - Stericycle of WA Miles - Kleen proforma -per Exhibit KRL-5+B71
-	7	ო	4		2

ProfitAnalysis--ExhibitstoN.Walker915last(v2).XLS

KLEEN ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. NOTES TO PROFIT ANALYSIS

ss otherwise noted
ual Report unles
n 2003 WUTC Ann
f WA info from 2
Stericycle of
NOTES

ဖ

Adjustment to profit for errors in addition on Kleen proforma		
Sales @ \$18.44/unit X # Units for Disp	↔	2,360
Labor Wages		(8,000)
Payroll Taxes		(768)
Workers Comp		(820)
Empl Health Insur		(484)
Material: Boxes @ \$1.40/box		(179)
LABELS @:36		(46)
Disposal @ \$4.00/box		(512)
Disposal Admin @ \$2.00/box		(256)
Empl Training		(2,700)
Liability Insur @ \$1.20/\$1000/mo		ල
Licensing		(300)
Office supplies		(770)
Postage @ \$.37/Piece		(223)
Rent		(3,000)
Taxes (B&O) @ Rev X .00484		(11)
e		(480)
Utilities		(500)
Total addition errors	((16,692)
Labor hours added in error into expense on Kleen Proforma:		
Direct labor hours		400
Admin hours		420
Total adjustments to addition	(1	(15,872)

noted
erwise I
othe
ruless
port 1
al Re
Annu
UTC A
2003 WU
om 20
nfo fr
f WA i
Stericycle o
Ø
LES
NO

7	Correct Public Utility Tax rate and WA Utility and Transportation Commission fee		
	B&O Tax rate per Kleen Proforma - Exhibit KRL-5484% Correct Public Utility Tax rate642% WUTC fee .4%	₩	1,923 (2,551) (1,589)
	Adjustment to profit on Kleen proforma	↔	(2,217)
œ	Vehicle operating costs - Stericycle of WA -per prefiled testimony for Mike Philpott Truck operating costs less lease expense Per mile 76,007 miles @ \$.22 per Kleen proforma - Exhibit KRL-5 Vs \$.39	တ တ တ	178,276 0.39 12,921
o.	Management expenses - Stericycle of WA -per prefiled testimony for Mike Philpott Route transportation administration Route transportation management	₩	86,203 58,861
	Management costs as % of revenue Kleen proforma revenue - per Exhibit KRL-5 x 2.01%	<i>ω</i> ω	145,064 2.01% 7,987
10	Labor wages to transport waste to Canada Vs Oregon - 272milesx26wks/50mphx\$20/hr labor cost Labor hours to transport - per Don Wilson prefiled Testimony Labor cost at \$20.00 per hour - per Kleen proforma - Exhibit KRL-5	cost \$	3,869
11	Payroll taxes - per Kleen proforma - Exhibit KRL - 5 9.6% of wages	₩	371
12	Workers Comp - per Kleen proforma - Exhibit KRL -5 \$2.05/hr	↔	397

	\$ 234	\$ 129,288 \$ 96,966 \$ 64,644	\$ 32,322	861,920	\$ 2,340
Stericycle of WA info from 2003 WUTC Annual Report unless otherwise noted	Employee Health Ins per Kleen proforma - Exhibit KRL-5 6.05% of wages		25% of waste to Hydroclave Vehicle operating cost - additional mileage 272 miles x 26 wks = 7,072 miles to Canada at \$.39 per Stericycle of WA data	Pounds - conversion- per prefiled testimony of Mike Philpott K - # of units/boxes @40 lbs each \$200/ton - Covanta -\$200/2000=\$.10 \$4.00/.10= 40lbs.	Drivers are reimbursed for meals and lodging while on the road and would need to overnight at least twice a month to go to Canada Hydroclave - \$90.00 per day -per prefiled testimony of Don Wilson
NOTES	13	4	15	91	17

NOTES	Stericycle of WA info from 2003 WUTC Annual Report unless otherwise noted		
6	Licensing and fees incurred Stericycle of WA in Statewide operation are: -per prefiled testimony for Chris Stromerson King County Biomedical Waste Transporter fee Kitsap County Health Department fee Seattle Commercial Loading zone fee Spokane County Health Department transfer station fee Spokane Infectious Waste Collection Permit	φ φ	448 150 1,000 1,000 2,718
	Additional fee expense required	₩	2,618
6	Liability insurance is not reflected in an adequate manner given the industry and type of waste being handled. A quote from a Bellevue agency indicates the following premiums given the level of revenue and number of vehicles used. General liability insurance - average of range of \$7,500-\$15,000 Commercial auto insurance - average of range of \$3,000-\$4,500/vehicle	ω	11,250 3,750 15,000 (474)
	Additional insurance expense required	ь	14,526

KLEEN ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. PROFIT ANALYSIS -- REVENUE STATISTICS

Stericycle	\$ 116.09	\$1,225.19	\$ 102.10
Kleen proforma	\$ 276.60 \$ 116.09	\$13,276.80 \$1,225.19	\$ 1,106.40 \$ 102.10
	Notes 1 & 3	Notes 1 & 4	y Notes 1 & 4
	Average Revenue per stop or pickup	Average Revenue per customer - Annual Notes 1 & 4	Average Revenue per customer - Monthly Notes 1 & 4

(307,831)

(178,504) \$

Net profit (loss) as adjusted

'n
2).XLS
×
♂
S
٤
as
읈
ភ្ល
2
ĭ
â
☴
⋍
5
ッ
ु
ಶ
∉
≓
÷
Exhibitsto
Т
<u>.v</u>
. <u>ş</u>
<u>a</u>
2
3
₽
곮
۲
щ.

KLEEN ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. PROFIT ANALYSIS USING STERICYCLE'S REVENUE HISTORY					
Revenue adjustments to Kleen proforma			Revenue - pickup or stop	Revenue -	. <u>.</u>
NET PROFIT PER KLEEN PROFORMA - Exhibit KRL-5			\$ 71,153	₩	153
Adjustments to correct addition	Note 6		(15,872)	Ŭ	(15,872)
Correct Public Utility tax rate and add WUTC fee	Note 7		(2,217)		(2,217)
Adjusted net profit			53,064		53,064
Kleen's number of pickups or stops = no. of cust each mo.x 4 pu/mo	Note 3	1.428			
Stericycle of WA average revenue per pickup or stop \$116.09 for 1,428 pickups or stops Per Kleen proforma Exhibit KRL-5	Rev Stats	165,777			
Difference in revenue projected		(231,568)	(231,568)	- 1	
Stericycle of WA average monthly revenue per customer \$102.10 x 357 Kleen cust. Per Kleen proforma Exhibit KRL-5	Rev Stats	36,450 (397,345)			
Difference in revenue projected		(360,895)		(360,895)	895)

ofit a	\$ 71,153	(15,872)	(2,217)	(2,618)	(12,921)	(7,987)	(14,526)		(32,322)	(2,758)	(2,340)	(4,871)	\$ (27,279)	\$ (59,601) \$ (91,923)	\$(124,245)
		Note 6	Note 7	Note 18	Note 8	Note 9	Note 19		Note 14	Note 15	Note 17	Note 10-13		Note 14 Note 14	Note 14
KLEEN ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. PROFIT ANALYSIS COST ADJUSTMENTS Cost adjustments to Kleen proforma	Per Kleen proforma attached to Kenneth Lee testimony - Exhibit KRL-5	Adjustments to correct addition	Correct Public Utility tax rate and WUTC fee	Licensing and fees required	Vehicle operating costs - Stericycle of WA = \$.39/mile vs \$.22/mile	Management expenses - none included - Trans admin & mngt/rev.	Liability Insurance - commercial auto and general liability	Use of HSS hydroclave facility:	Disposal cost difference \$ 6.00/box x 21,548 boxes at 25% usage	Vehicle operating costs to HSS hydroclave facility	Driver expenses overnight to Canada	Driver hours expense and payroll burden	Net loss based solely on cost adjustments; hydroclave usage at 25%	Loss if HSS hydroclave facility at 50% usage Loss if HSS hydroclave facility at 75% usage	

(258,847)

Loss if revenue adjusted to Stericycle of WA monthly average per customer Loss if revenue adjusted to Stericycle of WA average per pickup or stop

Profit adjusted

Collibridat levelide and cost adjustments to profine to the collibridation of the collib		Sound of differences
	TOF FE	evenue and cost dillelelices
Per Kleen proforma in Kenneth Lee testimony - Exhibit KRL-5		\$ 71,153
Adjustments to correct addition	Note 6	(15,872)
Correct Public Utility tax rate and WUTC fee	Note 7	(2,217)
Licensing and fees required	Note 18	(2,618)
Vehicle operating costs - S of WA = \$.39/mile vs \$.22/mile	Note 8	(12,921)
Management expenses - none included - Trans admin & mngt/rev.	Note 9	(7,987)
Liability Insurance - commercial auto and general liability	Note 19	(14,526)
Use of HSS hydroclave facility:		:
Disposal cost difference \$ 6.00/box x 21,548 boxes at 25% usage	Note 14	(32,322)
Vehicle operating costs to HSS hydroclave facility	Note 15	(2,758)
Driver expenses overnight to Canada	Note 17	(2,340)
Driver hours expense and payroll burden	Note 10-13	(4,871)
Net loss based solely on cost adjustments; hydroclave usage at 25%		\$ (27,279)
about 7003 to velicos oxidoxed as 2001 3: 2001	Note 14	3.6 <u>5</u>) \$

\$ (59,601) \$ (91,923) \$ (124,245)	\$ (231,568) \$ (360,895)
Note 14 Note 14 Note 14	Revenue adj. Revenue adj.
Loss if HSS hydroclave facility at 50% usage Loss if HSS hydroclave facility at 75% usage Loss if HSS hydroclave facility at 100% usage	Revenue - pickups/stop Revenue - customers

KLEEN ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC. CASH REQUIREMENTS - FIRST TWELVE MONTHS

Cash needs for Year #1

Start up equipment and supplies: Equipment - per Kenneth Lee Prefiled Testimony Exhibit KRL-4 Supplies - per Kenneth Lee Prefiled Testimony Exhibit KRL-4 Personnel - per Kenneth Lee Prefiled Testimony Exhibit KRL-4 Vehicles - per Kenneth Lee Prefiled Testimony Exhibit KRL-4 Building modifications - per Kenneth Lee Prefiled Testimony Exhibit KRL-4	\$ 23,500 15,525 5,500 139,445 15,000	\$ 00 \$ 00	23,500 \$ 15,525 5,500 139,445 15,000	23,500 \$ 15,525 5,500 139,445 15,000	23,500 \$ 15,525 5,500 139,445 15,000	23,500 \$ 15,525 5,500 139,445 15,000	23,500 15,525 5,500 139,445 15,000
Fund loss - Year 1 - for underestimate of costs only @ 25% hydroclave usage Fund loss - Year 1 - for underestimate of costs only @ 50% hydroclave usage Fund loss - Year 1 - for underestimate of costs only @ 75% hydroclave usage	27,279	<u>σ</u>	27,279	59,601	59,601	91,523	91,523
Fund loss - Year 1 - for overestimate of revenue - adj. based on ave. revenue per pickup or stop Fund loss - Year 1 - for overestimate of revenue - adj. based on ave. monthly revenue per customer	231,568	88	360,895	231,568	360,895	231,568	360,895
Cash needed in Year 1 for new medical waste activity	457,817		587,144	490,139	619,466	522,061	651,388
Existing business 3 mo operating expenses as working capital needed Annual expenses per Sep 2003 FS = \$ 916,934 per Kenneth Lee testimony - Exhibit KRL-2	229,234	7	229,234	229,234	229,234	229,234	229,234
Total cash needed in Kleen Environmental Technologies, Inc. in Year 1	687,051	22	816,378	719,373	848,700	751,295	880,622
Total average cash available per Kenneth Lee testimony Exhibit -KRL-3	100,000	8	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000
Cash shortage	\$ (587,051) \$		(716,378) \$	(619,373) \$	(748,700) \$	(651,295) \$	(780,622)