EXHIBIT 6

1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
2	COMMISSION
3	CASE NO. UT-042022
4	
5	DEPOSITION OF KENNETH L. WILSON
6	August 7, 2009
7	
8	SANDRA JUDD, et al.,
9	Complainants,
10	vs.
11	AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST, INC.;
12	and T-NETIX, INC.,
13	Respondents.
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	SIRIANNI YOUTZ MEIER & SPOONEMORE
17	By Richard E. Spoonemore, Esq. 1100 Millennium Tower
18	719 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104
19	(206) 223-0303 Appearing on behalf of Complainants.
20	SCHIFF HARDIN LLP
	By Charles H.R. Peters, Esq.
21	6600 Sears Tower Chicago, Illinois
22	(312) 258-5683 Appearing on behalf of Respondent AT&T.
23	
24	
25	Job No: 211473

1	Q Okay. Does the platform engage in any
2	switching function whatsoever?
3	A Yes, in the sense of switching the call
4	paths on and off and switching the final connection,
5	yes.
6	Q That's not switching as used in the
7	industry, is it?
8	A Well, "switching" is another term of art.
9	It's not switching between lines or between trunks,
10	but it is switching call paths on and off and
11	Q Well
12	A Yeah.
13	Q Ordinarily "switching" means taking a call
14	from one line and switching it to another trunk or
15	trunk group in order to proceed on towards its final
16	destination?
17	MR. PETERS: Objection, form.
18	A That's one of the definitions of
19	"switching," but certainly customer premise
20	equipment does switching within its own unit. You
21	switch on, as I said, the call paths.
22	Q (By Mr. Manishin) Are you familiar with
23	the terms the term "local switching"?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Did the P-III do any local switching?

251