

BEFORE THE

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

AIR LIQUIDE AMERICA CORPORATION, )  
AIR PRODUCTS AND CHEMICALS, INC., )  
THE BOEING COMPANY, CNC )  
CONTAINERS, EQUILON ENTERPRISES, )  
LLC, GEORGIA-PACIFIC WEST, INC., )  
TESORO NORTHWEST CO., THE CITY OF )  
ANACORTES, WASHINGTON AND INTEL )  
CORPORATION, )

DOCKET NO. UE-001952

Complainants,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

**COMPLAINANTS' PREHEARING BRIEF**

Melinda J. Davison  
Irion A. Sanger  
Davison Van Cleve, P.C.  
1300 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2915  
Portland, OR 97201  
(503) 241-7242 phone  
(503) 241-8160 fax  
[mail@dvclaw.com](mailto:mail@dvclaw.com)  
Of Attorneys for Air Liquide America  
Corporation, Air Products and Chemicals Inc., The  
Boeing Company, CNC Containers, Equilon  
Enterprises, LLC, Georgia-Pacific West, Inc.,  
Tesoro Northwest Company, the City of Anacortes,  
Washington and Intel Corporation.

DATED: January 4, 2001

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Page**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 2

II. PROCEDURAL SUMMARY ..... 5

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS ..... 6

    A. Summary of Schedule 48 and G-P Contract..... 6

    B. Summary of Market Failure ..... 11

    C. Impact of Market Failure on Complainants ..... 17

    D. Impact on Market Failure on Puget..... 21

IV. ARGUMENT ..... 23

    A. Summary ..... 23

    B. The Rates Paid by Complainants Are Not Just and Reasonable ..... 24

        1. The Spot Power Market at the Mid-Columbia is Fundamentally  
           Flawed; Therefore, It Cannot Be Relied Upon to Keep Rates at  
           Reasonable Levels ..... 28

        2. The Mid-Columbia Indices Are Thinly Traded and Do Not Represent the  
           Actual Market Price of Serving Complainants’ Load..... 29

        3. Complainants’ Rates Greatly Exceed PSE’s Cost of Service, Which is  
           Producing Excessive Profits For PSE..... 31

    C. PSE Has Breached Its Commitment to Provide Open Access ..... 33

        1. The 1996 Settlement Agreement Obligated PSE to Provide Open  
           Access ..... 33

        2. PSE Has Failed To Comply With Its Obligation Under The  
           Settlement Agreement..... 34

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

- 3. The Contractual Obligations Should Be Excused Under The Doctrine Of Frustration ..... 36
- 4. The Contractual Obligations Should Be Excused Under the Doctrine of Impossibility of Performance..... 38
- V. REMEDIES FOR UNJUST AND UNREASONABLE RATE ..... 40
  - A. The Commission is Required by Statute to Set a Just and Reasonable Rate ..... 40
    - 1. The Commission Should Impose the Schedule 49 Rate ..... 40
    - 2. As an Alternative, the Commission Should Impose a Rate Cap ..... 42
    - 3. Adopting a Just and Reasonable Rate Would Not Violate the Merger Rate Plan..... 43
    - 4. Setting a New Rate Does Not Require Interim Rate Authority ..... 43
- VI. EMERGENCY ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDING STATUTE ..... 45
  - A. The Commission Can Exercise Its Authority Under the Emergency Adjudicative Statute And Set a Temporary Just and Reasonable Rate ..... 45
    - 1. The Unprecedented Market Price Volatility is an Immediate Danger to the Public Welfare ..... 46
      - a. The Commission is Authorized to Utilize Emergency Adjudicative Proceedings When the Public Health, Safety or Welfare is in Immediate Danger..... 46
      - b. The Current Market Price Volatility Is Beyond the Ability of the Complainants to Cure..... 48
      - c. The Current Market Price Volatility is an Immediate Danger to the Public Health, Safety or Welfare ..... 51
    - 2. The Commission May Remedy the Immediate Danger to the Public Health, Safety and Welfare by Granting the Complainants Requested Relief..... 51
      - a. The Commission May Take Any Action Within Its Jurisdiction Necessary to Protect the Public Health, Safety or Welfare ..... 51

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

- b. The Commission Need Not Find that the Complainants’ Current Rates are Unjust or Unreasonable Before Granting Emergency Rate Relief..... 53
  - c. Temporary or Interim Rates Approved by the Commission Must Remedy the Immediate Danger While Not Creating a New Danger to the Public Health, Safety or Welfare ..... 53
  - d. The Commission Does Not Have the Authority to Require Customers of Regulated Utilities to Protect Themselves from the Effects of the Monopoly ..... 54
- 3. After Ordering Emergency Relief the Commission Must Investigate the Justness and Reasonableness of the Complainants’ Rate ..... 55

IV. CONCLUSION ..... 56

1 **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

2 **Page**

3 **Cases**

4 Air Liquide, et al. v. PSE, Docket No. UE-981410, Fifth Supp. Order (Aug. 3, 1999) ..... 38

5 Air Liquide, et al v. PSE, Docket No. UE-001952/ UE-001959 Order Consolidating  
6 Proceedings (Dec. 18, 2000) ..... 47, 48

7 Air Liquide, et al v. PSE, WUTC Docket No. UE-001959, Second Supp. Order  
8 (Dec. 27, 2000) ..... 43

9 Bluefield Water Works and Improvement Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n of W. Va., 262 U.S. 679  
10 (1923) ..... 43

11 Boise Cascade Corp. v. Wash. Toxics Coalition, 68 Wash. App. 447, 843 P.2d 1092  
12 (1993) ..... 46, 56

13 Elizabethtown Gas Company v. FERC, 10 F.3d 866 (D.C. Cir. 1993) ..... 25

14 Farmers Union Cent. Exch., Inc v FERC, 734 F.2d 1486 (D.C. Cir. 1984) ..... 4, 25, 31, 40

15 Felt v. McCarthy, 130 Wash. 2d 203, 922 P.2d 90 (1996) ..... 36

16 Foster v. Sunnyside Valley Irrigation Dist., 102 Wash.2d 395 687 P.2d 841 (1984) ..... 36

17 FPC v. Hope Natural Gas Co., 320 U.S. 591 (1944) ..... 24

18 . FPC v. Texaco, Inc., 417 US 380, 397 (1974) ..... 25

19 In re PSP&L, WUTC Docket No. UE-960195, Fourteenth Supplemental Order ..... 8, 43

20 In re Special Contract, WUTC Docket No. UE-960612, Second Supp. Order (July 12, 2000) ..... 9

21 Jewell v. WUTC, 90 Wash. 2d 775, 783; 585 P. 2d 1167, 1172 (1978) ..... 54

22 Nat'l Union Ins. Co. v. PSP&L, 94 Wash. App. 163; 972 P.2d 481 (1999) ..... 45

23 North Coast Power Co. v. Public Service Commission, 194 P.587 (1921) ..... 26

24 Pacific Gas & Elec. Co., 81 FERC ¶ 61,122 ..... 12

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

People’s Org. for Wash. Energy Resources v. WUTC, 104 Wash. 2d 798 (1985) ..... 24, 25

PSE v. All Jurisdictional Sellers of Energy, FERC Docket No. EL01-10-000, PSE Complaint (Oct. 26, 2000) ..... 2, 15, 22

PSE v. All Jurisdictional Sellers of Energy, FERC Docket No. EL01-10-000, Motion to Intervene of ICNU (Nov. 15, 2000) ..... 16

Public Service Comm'n of New York v. Mid Louisiana Gas Co., 463 U.S. 319 (1983) ..... 26, 27

PUD No. 1 of Lewis County v. WPPS, 104 Wash. 2d 353; 705 P.2d 1195 (1985) ..... 39

Raymond Lumber Co. v. Raymond Light and Water Co., 92 Wash. 330, 159 P. 133 (1916) 25,26

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy, 93 FERC ¶ 61,294, FERC Docket No. EL 00-95-000 (Dec. 15, 2000) ..... 13

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy, 93 FERC ¶ 61,121 (Nov. 1, 2000)..... 11

San Diego Gas & Elec. Co. v. Sellers of Energy, 92 FERC ¶ 61,172 (Aug. 23, 2000)..... 12

Scott v. Petett, 63 Wash. App. 50 816 P.2d 1229 (1991) ..... 36

Tanner Elec. Coop. v. Puget Sound Power & Light Co., 128 Wash. 2d 656, 682, 911 P.2d 1301, 1315 (1996) ..... 24

Thornton v. Interstate Sec. Co., 35 Wash. App. 19; 666 P.2d 370 (1983) ..... 38

US West Communications, Inc. v. WUTC, 134 Wash. 2d 74, 117 (1997) ..... 25

Wallace Real Estate Investment, Inc., v. Groves, 124 Wash.2d 881; 881P.2d 1010 (1994) . 35, 36

Wash. State Hop Producers, Inc. v. Goschie Farms, Inc., 112 Wash.2d 694 773; P.2d 70 (1989) ..... 36, 37, 38

Welch v. Southland Corp., 134 Wash. 2d 629; 952 P.2d 162 (1998) ..... 46

Weyerhaeuser Real Estate Co. v. Stoneway Concrete, Inc., 96 Wash. 2d 558; 637 P.2d 647 (1981) ..... 37, 38

Williams Pipeline Co. v. Farmers Union Cent. Exch., Inc., 469 U.S. 1034 (1984) ..... 25

WUTC v. US West Communications, Inc., WUTC Docket No. UT-950446

1 (Apr. 28, 1995) ..... 47, 48, 51

2 WUTC v. Avista Corp., WUTC Docket Nos. UE-991606, UE-991607, Third Supp. Order  
3 (Sept. 29, 2000) ..... 33

4 WUTC v. PSP&L, Docket No. UE-960696, Commission Order Approving Schedule 48 With  
5 Conditions (Oct. 30, 1996) ..... 10, 27, 31, 38

6 WUTC v. PSP&L, WUTC Docket No. UE-960299, Sixth Supp. Order (Aug. 1, 1996) ..... 24

7 WUTC v. Puget Sound Power & Light, WUTC Docket No. U-73-57, Fourth Supp. Order  
8 (Sept. 27, 1974) ..... 45

9 **Statutes and Regulations**

10

11 RCW § 34.05.479..... passim

12 RCW § 80.01.040..... 54

13 RCW § 80.04.110..... 23, 44

14 RCW § 80.28.010..... 26

15 RCW § 80.28.020..... 44, 45

16 RCW § 80.28.074..... 24

17 WAC § 480-09-510..... 46, 52

18 WAC §§ 480-90-031(7) ..... 22

19 WAC §§480-100-031(7) ..... 22

20

21 **Other Authorities**

22 The American Heritage Dictionary 472 (3d ed. 1992) ..... 46

23 Black’s Law Dictionary 1588 (7th ed. 1999)..... 46

24

1 FERC Press Release (Dec. 15, 2000) ..... 11

2 Gov. Gray Davis Press Release (Dec. 15, 2000) ..... 15

3 Gov. Gray Davis Press Release (Jan. 3, 2001) ..... 15

4 Gov. Locke, Remarks at the Energy Press Conference (Dec. 14, 2000) ..... 2, 24

5 Gov. Gary Locke Press Release (Dec. 20, 2000) ..... 15, 17

6 Portland Oregonian, Kitzhaber Wages Power Struggle, Dec. 21, 2000..... 16

7 PSE Press Release (Oct. 19, 2000) ..... 22

8 San Diego Union Tribune, “Blackout? Energy Crisis Has Begun,” Dec. 11, 2000..... 14

9 Seattle Post Intelligencer, "Western Governors Turn Up Pressure for Electricity Price Cap," Dec.

10 20, 2000..... 16

11 Sen. Dianne Feinstein Press Release (Dec. 15, 2000) ..... 14

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25