Exhibit No. ___T (JMW-1T)
Dockets UE-140188/UG-140189
Witness: Juliana M. Williams

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

AVISTA CORPORATION, DBA AVISTA UTILITIES,

Respondent.

DOCKETS UE-140188 and UG-140189 (Consolidated)

TESTIMONY OF

Juliana M. Williams

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Low-Income Issues

July 22, 2014 Revised August 1, 2014 (pp 2, 21-23)

1		organizer of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission's
2		("Commission") recent workshop on low-income assistance programs. ²
3 4		II. SCOPE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY
5		
6	Q.	What is the scope of your testimony?
7	A.	My testimony addresses issues related to Avista Corporation's ("Avista or
8		"Company") low income customers. My testimony also responds to the testimony of
9		Mr. Kopczynski, Exhibit No (DFK-1T), regarding Avista's Low-Income Rate
0		Assistance Program ("LIRAP") and proposes changes to that program.
11		
12	Q.	Please summarize Staff's proposed changes to LIRAP.
13	A.	Staff's recommendations generally fall into three categories. First, Staff
14		recommends the Commission order Avista to adopt express LIRAP program goals of
15		minimizing disconnections and reducing energy burden, as well as performance
16		targets for those goals. Second, Staff recommends the Commission order Avista to
17		establish Pilot Electric and Natural Gas Low-Income Rate Discounts, to be funded
18		from the surplus of existing LIRAP funds. Third, Staff recommends the
19		Commission order Avista to implement a multi-year plan to improve LIRAP through
20		incremental improvements to the existing LIRAP program, including increasing
21		funding by twice the percentage of any residential general raterevenue requirement
22		increase. Staff expects these changes to significantly improve Avista's service to its
		_

² Docket U-140632, Workshop on electric and natural gas utility low-income assistance program design.

1		Additionally, Avista should work with the CAAs to better estimate the
2		number of appointments that could be made available halfway through the program
3		year, based on expected LIRAP collections.
4		
5	Q.	Please describe Staff's recommendations regarding Avista's disconnection
6	V	policy.
7	A.	Staff recommends that Avista adopt a policy to hold off on disconnecting a customer
8		that is in the process of applying for assistance through any of the existing LIRAP
9 .		programs or the proposed rate discounts. Because one of the goals of low-income
10		assistance should be to minimize disconnections, it is reasonable and consistent with
11		RCW 80.28.010 to allow time for the customer to receive assistance and apply it to
12		the bill prior to getting disconnected.
13		As discussed at the workshop, Puget Sound Energy has such a policy, and
14		Staff believes it is appropriate for Avista to do the same. Implementing this policy
15		may require closer coordination between Avista and the CAAs regarding the
16		customers who have begun the process of applying for assistance but have not yet
17		received assistance. Staff recommends that Avista identify any actions needed to
18		implement this policy for program year 2014-15.
19		
20	Q.	Please describe Staff's recommendation regarding LIRAP funding.
21	A.	Staff recommends that in this rate case, and any rate case filed prior to September
22		2017, the funding for low-income assistance increase by twice the percentage of any
23		general rate revenue requirement increase for residential customers, by service type

1		(electric or natural gas). If the residential rates revenue requirement does not change
2		or decline as a result of a general rate case, Staff recommends maintaining the level
3		of low-income assistance funding.
4		In this case, Staff proposes a decrease a 1.7 percent increase in the electric
5		residential volumetric rates revenue requirement above the revenue requirement
6		reflected in Avista's 2014 rates, 23 and no change to the natural gas residential
7		volumetric rates revenue requirement. ²⁴ As a result, Staff recommends Avista
8		increase electric LIRAP funding levels by 3.4 percent and maintain current natural
9		gas LIRAP funding levels. To implement this, Staff recommends that the
10		Commission require Avista to make a compliance filing pursuant to WAC 480-07-
11		880 within thirty days of the order, to increase, if necessary, LIRAP funding by twice
12		the percentage of any residential revenue requirement increase established by the
13		Commission.
14		
15	Q	Why do you recommend this increase in low-income funding for rate cases filed
16		prior to September 2017?
17	A.	As mentioned above, Avista's current programs serve only approximately one-fifth
18		of eligible low-income customers. Staff believes the program changes proposed will
10		begin to increase the participation in the low-income programs. Further, it is likely

that Avista will file another general rate case within the next three years, and

efficient way to steadily increase funding levels to meet need. Establishing a

agreeing on a multi-year approach to funding for low-income programs is the most

Mickelson, Exhibit No. (CTM-3) at 1, column hm. (CTM-6) at 1, column h. (CTM-6) at 1, column h.

20

21

22

1		funding plan will allow stakeholders in the next rate case to focus on other aspects of
2		LIRAP, such as increases in the number of participating customers, performance
3		toward goals and data tracking. Further, the proposed low-income rate discounts are
4		a two-year pilot set to begin October 2015 and end in September 2017. After the
5		conclusion of the pilot, parties may want to revisit the structure of Avista's LIRAP
6		program, and it would be appropriate to discuss funding levels in the context of any
7		structural changes.
8		
9	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
10	A.	Yes.