### SUPPLEMENTAL SCC QUESTIONNAIRE GAS TRANSMISSION OR LIQUID PIPELINE

- 1. Pipeline Safety Advisory Bulletin ADB-03-05 October 8, 2003
  - Review Bulletin with operator, if operator is not familiar with.
  - Reference also Baker Stress Corrosion Cracking Study at: <a href="http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/gasimp/docs/SCC">http://primis.phmsa.dot.gov/gasimp/docs/SCC</a> Report-Final Report with Database.pdf

Comments: The advisory bulletin was reviewed with the operator since the operator has not read the bulletin.

- 2. Has the pipeline system ever experienced SCC (in service, out of service, leak, non-leak)?
  - Type of SCC?
     Clasical high pH
     Non-classical low or near neutral pH
  - What are the known risk indicators that may have contributed to the SCC?

Comments: There is no documented record of having found SCC on the pipeline system.

3. Does the operator have a written program in place to evaluate the pipeline system for the presence of SCC? If no, have operator explain. If operator has not considered SCC as a possible safety risk, go to #10.

Comments: As part of the operator's field investigation procedures Kinder Morgan investigates each anomaly dig site by using magnetic particle inspection to detect SCC on the pipe.

4. Has/does the operator evaluate the pipeline system for the presence of SCC risk indicators?

Comments: The risk indicators evaluated include pipe age, coating type, cathodic protection, stress level, and environment.

5. Has the operator identified pipeline segments that are susceptible to SCC?

Comments: Based on risk indicators, the entire Puget Sound system is susceptible to SCC.

6. If conditions for SCC are present, are written inspection, examination and evaluation procedures in place?

1

# SUPPLEMENTAL SCC QUESTIONNAIRE GAS TRANSMISSION OR LIQUID PIPELINE

Comments: Yes, written procedures are in place to deal with all crack-like indications.

7. Does the operator have written remediation measures in place for addressing SCC when discovered?

Comments: The procedure is not specific to SCC remediation, but rather all crack-like indications.

- 8. What preventive measures has the operator taken to prevent recurrence of SCC?
  - Modeling?
    - · Crack growth rate?
    - Comparing pipe/environ./cp data vs. established factors?
    - Other?
  - Hydrotest program?
  - Intelligent pigging program?
  - Pipe re-coating?
  - Operational changes?
  - Inspection program?
  - Other?

Comments: Kinder Morgan (KM) has not found SCC on any of the Puget Sound system pipe sections. However, KM completed a system hydro-test and has been running ILI tools (although not crack detection tools) since 1991.

9. Does the operator incorporate the risk assessment of SCC into a comprehensive risk management program?

Comments: Yes, KM is in the final stages of the development of a risk program that will address SCC.

#### Continue below for those operators who have not considered SCC as a possible safety risk.

10. Does the operator know of pipeline and right of way conditions that would match the risk indicators for either classical or non-classical SCC? See typical risk indicators below.

A STATE OF

## SUPPLEMENTAL SCC QUESTIONNAIRE GAS TRANSMISSION OR LIQUID PIPELINE

Comments:

#### High pH SCC Potential Risk Indicators

- Known SCC history (failure, non-failure, in service, and during testing)
- Pipeline and Coating Characteristics
- Steel grades X-52, X-60, X-65, X-70, and possibly X-42
  - Age  $\geq$  10 years
  - Operating stress > 60% SMYS
  - Pipe temperature >100 deg. F (typically < 20 miles d/s of compression)
  - Damaged pipe coating
- Soil Characteristics
  - Soil pH range: 8.5 to 11
  - Alkaline carbonate/bicarbonate solution in the soil
  - Elevated soil temperature contributing to elevated pipe temperature
- Polarized cathodic potential range: -600 to -750 mV, Cu/CuSO4

### Low or Near-Neutral pH SCC Potential Risk Indicators

- Known SCC history (failure, non-failure, in service, and during testing)
- Pipeline and Coating Characteristics
- Steel grades X-52, X-60, X-65, X-70, and possibly X-42
  - Age  $\geq$  10 years
  - Frequently associated with metallurgical features, such as mechanical damage, longitudinal seams, etc.
  - Protective coatings that may be susceptible to disbondment
    - Any coating **other than** correctly applied fusion bonded epoxy, field applied epoxies, or coal tar urethane . . .
    - Coal tar
    - Asphalt enamels
    - Tapes
    - Others
- Soil Characteristics
  - Soil pH range: 4 to 8
  - Dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and carbonate chemicals present in soil
  - Organic decay
  - Soil leaching (in rice fields, for example)
- "Normal" cathodic protection readings (disbonded coating shields the pipe from cp current)