### BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND	)	
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,	)	DOCKET NO. UR-010623
	)	DOCKET NO. UR-010706
Complainant,	)	
	)	ORDER DISMISSING
VS.	)	COMPLAINT AND ORDER
	)	SUSPENDING TARIFF
US ECOLOGY, INC.,	)	REVISIONS; AUTHORIZING
•	)	TARIFF REVISIONS;
Respondent.	)	APPROVING SETTLEMENT
•	)	AGREEMENT
	)	

#### **BACKGROUND**

On April 30, 2001, US Ecology, Inc., (US Ecology) filed with the Commission, a revision to its currently effective Tariff 1 in Docket UR-010623. The revised pages are 1) the Fifteenth Revision of Sheet 1-a, Schedule A, in part, which cancels the Fourteenth Revision of Sheet 1-a, Schedule A, in part, and 2) the Eleventh Revision of Sheet 1-c, which cancels the Tenth Revision of Sheet 1-c. The stated effective date is June 1, 2001.

1

5

US Ecology failed to file a complete tariff in UR-010623. On May 10, 2001, US Ecology filed the remainder of the Fifteenth Revision of Sheet 1-a, Schedule A, which cancels the remainder of the Fourteenth Revision of Sheet 1-a, and which filed the Fifth Revision of Sheet 1-b, which cancels the Fourth Revision of Sheet 1-b. The Docket number for this second filing is UR-010706. The stated effective date of this second filing is June 10, 2001, with an accompanying LSN request for a June 1, 2001, effective date.

- US Ecology also proposed to follow conditions set forth in the attached settlement agreement. This settlement agreement contains the identical conditions approved in the Sixth Supplemental Order in Docket UR-950619.
- The Commission, on May 30, 2001, entered a Complaint and Order Suspending Tariff Revisions pending an investigation concerning the changes and the reasonableness and justness thereof.

#### **FINDINGS**

(1) US Ecology is a low level radioactive waste disposal company and as such is a public service company subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.

#### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

THIS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT is entered into this 30th day of April, 2001, by and between the undersigned parties (collectively referred to as the "Settling Parties"). The purpose of this Settlement Agreement is to settle the matters arising from the filing of US Ecology, Inc. ("US Ecology" or "Company"), to be made on or before May 1, 2001.

#### BACKGROUND

Each of the Settling Parties participated in the prior rate case involving US Ecology, Inc. under Consolidated Docket Numbers UR-950619 and UR-950620 (collectively the "Prior Docket"). In the Prior Docket, the Settling Parties were participants in the docket and participated in detailed and exhaustive collaboration processes. result of the collaboration processes was the execution of a stipulation and a settlement agreement, each of which was ultimately accepted by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission ("Commission"). The first of these documents addressed the rate design aspects of the case and was accepted by the Commission in its Fifth Supplemental Order in the Prior Docket. The second was in the form of Settlement Agreement on revenue requirement issues. That Settlement Agreement was accepted by the Commission in its Sixth Supplemental Order in the Prior Docket.

The result of the Prior Docket was a Commission order accepting the settlements reached among the Settling Parties (and others) that established a rate design and a revenue requirement that would be in place for a period of six years (ending with calendar year 2001). It was contemplated by the Prior Docket that US Ecology would make a new filing that would determine the plan which would be in place for the years following 2001.

The Settling Parties desire to avoid the high cost and expense of additional detailed collaboratives and other adjudicatory processes and desire to have the matter resolved pursuant to this Settlement Agreement.

WHEREFORE, in consideration of mutual promises and benefits that may be gained therefrom, the Settling Parties

desire to enter into this Settlement Agreement and present it to the Commission for its consideration.

#### **AGREEMENT**

#### 1. Rate Adjustment Mechanism.

In the Prior Docket, the Settling Parties agreed to a rate adjustment mechanism. The Settling Parties desire that the rate adjustment mechanism be continued. For ease of reference, that rate adjustment mechanism is as follows:

Rates for disposal of low-level radioactive waste ("LLRW") at the Richland site will be adjusted each January 1, beginning January 1, 2003, by a rate adjustment mechanism with the following elements:

- (a) Inflation Adjustment. The revenue requirement (after exclusion of certain expense items) shall be adjusted by a percentage equal to the change in the Inflation Index during the preceding year. "Inflation Index" shall mean the Implicit Price Deflator for Gross Domestic Product as reported by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis. The expense items to be excluded before applying the Inflation Index are: depreciation, rate case expense, amortization of goodwill, leasehold rent, and associated leasehold taxes.
- Annual Projections. Rates shall be determined each January on the basis of projections provided by the Company's customers, according to the following procedure. On or about October 15 of each year, the Company shall submit a written request to each of its customers for estimates of LLRW by volume (in cubic feet), numbers of containers and shipments, and average dose rate per container (in millirems per hour) to be disposed by such customers at the site during the following calendar year. For those customers not responding to the request for estimates, the Company shall prepare its own estimate of the LLRW that these customers can be expected to deliver to the site during such calendar year. On the basis of the estimates prepared by the Company and its customers, the Company shall calculate total projected LLRW for the calendar year. Estimates of volumes which meet the requirements of RCW 81.108.020(3) as "extraordinary" shall be included in the calculation weighted at 51.5% of such volume estimates. Estimates of decommissioning waste

6

volumes shall be included in the calculation weighted at 80% of such volume estimates; provided, however, that the quantities set forth in such estimates must satisfy the requirements of paragraph 2(e) below.

- (c) Use of "Safety Margin." In determining rates, a "safety margin" shall be included by basing rates on 80% of projected amounts; provided, however, that:
  - (1) The safety margin shall not be applied to the site availability charge component, and
  - (2) Application of the safety margin to the dose rate charge shall be effected by dividing the revenue requirement for the dose rate component by 80% rather than by basing rates on 80% of projected amounts.
- (d) Deferred Accounting. Deferred accounting shall be used to track over and under-recoveries, calculated separately with respect to each rate component, as follows:
  - (1) Over-recoveries shall be refunded promptly (rather than carried forward), with refund amounts determined according to actual deliveries during the year.
  - (2) Under-recoveries shall be carried forward and added to the revenue requirement in a subsequent year.
  - (3) An individual customer may cease payment of the dose rate component once its total payments equal the portion of the total revenue requirement allocated to the dose rate component.
- (e) <u>Nuclear Decommissioning Waste</u>. For nuclear decommissioning waste, the volume charge shall be 80% of the rate that would otherwise apply; provided, however, that such waste must satisfy the requirements of RCW 81.108.020(3) as to volume.

#### 2. Rate Design.

In the Prior Docket, the Settling Parties reached agreement on the rate design to be used for LLRW, and

desire that that rate design continue. For ease of reference, the agreed upon rate design is as follows:

(a) General. The Settling Parties agree that rates will be set on the basis of a rate design with the following rate components and percentages of revenue requirement responsibility:

(1)	Site availability charge	22.0%
(2)	Volume	31.6%
(3)	Shipments	10.7%
(4)	Containers	21.5%
(5)	Activity as measured by dose rate at container surface	14.2%

- (b) Structure of Site Availability Charge. The site availability charge shall be recovered from holders of site use permits issued by the Department of Ecology according to the rate structure used in the Prior Docket, provided, however, that:
  - (1) Educational research institutions which generate LLRW for research, medical or educational purposes shall be placed in a rate block for the site availability charge which is one (1) lower than what would otherwise apply through application of the block criteria shown on Schedule 1. "Educational research institution" means a state or independent, not-for-profit, post-secondary educational institution.
  - (2) As to LLRW which arises as residual or secondary waste from brokers' provision of compaction or processing services for others, if application of the block criteria shown on Schedule 1 would place a broker in a rate block for the site availability charge which is greater than Block No. 7, such broker shall be placed in the rate block which is the greater of (i) Block No. 7, or (ii) the block which is two (2) lower than what would otherwise apply through application of the block criteria shown on Schedule 1. "Brokers" are those customers holding the "broker" classification of site use permits issued by the Department of Ecology.

- (3) If necessary due to changes in the mix or number of customers, the rate schedule for the site availability charge shall be revised annually. In making such revisions, the relationships between a particular block's rate and the rates for the other blocks shall be preserved; provided, however, that:
  - (i) the annual charge per generator for any block shall not in any event increase by a percentage greater than two (2) times the percentage increase in the Inflation Index during the preceding year; and
  - (ii) the maximum annual charge per generator shall not in any event exceed an amount equal to 2.4% of the revenue requirement for the Richland site, which amount shall be adjusted annually beginning January 1, 2003 by a percentage equal to the change in the Inflation Index during the preceding year.

Any under-recovery in the site availability charge revenue requirement in any year as a result of the application of the above limitations shall be assigned to the other rate components (volumes, shipments, containers and activity) proportionate to the percentages of revenue requirement responsibility set forth in (2) through (5) of paragraph 2(a). Such reassignment shall not continue in years thereafter unless determined to be necessary upon the recalculation of the above limitations for such years.

- (c) Nuclear Decommissioning Waste. For nuclear decommissioning waste, the volume charge shall be 80% of the rate that would otherwise apply; provided, however, that such waste must satisfy the requirements of RCW 81.108.020(3) as to volume.
- (d) Structure of Dose Rate Charge. The dose rate component of the revenue requirement shall be collected according to the rate structure currently in place. In making such revisions, the relationships between a particular block's rate and the rates for the other blocks shall be preserved.

#### 3. Ratesetting Methodology.

- (a) Limitation of Issues. The Settling Parties agree that the Commission's determinations made in the Prior Docket (and earlier orders) should apply. In particular, that:
  - (1) the appropriate ratesetting methodology for determining the revenue requirement at the Richland site is an operating ratio approach, and
  - (2) the appropriate operating ratio to be allowed is 71%, applied as shown on page 27 of the 1992 Rate Case Order (Docket No. TG-920234), will not be relitigated for the term of this Settlement Agreement. The application of a 71% operating ratio in the manner set forth in paragraph 3(b) below will result in rates for the Company's Richland site that are fair, just, reasonable and sufficient.
- (b) Application of Operating Ratio. For purposes of applying the operating ratio, the operating expenses of the Richland site shall not include:
  - (1) depreciation and amortizations (in accordance with the methodology set forth in the 1992 Rate Case Order), and
  - (2) amortization of rate case expenses incurred in this proceeding. Moreover, in calculating the revenue requirement for the Richland site, the Company shall not seek recovery of a return on working capital, inventory or unamortized balances.

#### 4. NORM/NARM Waste Disposal Revenues.

(a) Ratemaking Treatment. So long as the site continues to receive NORM/NARM waste for disposal in LLRW

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "NORM" means naturally occurring radioactive materials, while "NARM" means naturally occurring and accelerator produced radioactive materials. This waste is not classified as LLRW.

trenches, <sup>2</sup> revenues from disposal of NORM/NARM waste shall be credited in part against the revenue requirement for the Richland site, in the following manner. At the conclusion of each calendar year, 50% of net proceeds (disposal revenue less marketing costs and other expenses incurred by the Company to obtain and deliver such wastes to the disposal facility) shall be refunded to customers, allocated according to the rate classifications set forth in paragraph 2(a) above. For purposes of setting rates at the beginning of each year, no projection will be made of NORM/NARM volumes.

(b) Audits. The Company shall itemize and substantiate the (1) revenues derived from disposal of NORM/NARM waste, and (2) the costs incurred by the Company to obtain such wastes. Staff may perform such audit of the books and records of the Company as may be necessary to verify such amounts.

#### 5. Moratorium on General Rate Case Filing.

Except for the rate changes implementing the rate adjustment mechanism authorized in Section 1 above and adjustments authorized by RCW 81.108.050(4)(a), the Company shall not seek any general increase in rates that would become effective prior to January 1, 2008; provided, however, that in extraordinary circumstances, the Company may submit a rate filing seeking a general increase in rates. "Extraordinary circumstances" shall mean the Company reasonably believes its financial condition is such that emergency rate relief would be warranted under the criteria enunciated by the Commission in WUTC v. Pacific Northwest Bell Telephone Company, Cause No. U-72-30, Second Supplemental Order (October 1972) or involves unanticipated expenditures as described in Section 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If NORM/NARM volumes are such that the Company establishes a separate operation for such functions—including the use of separate trenches—the joint costs shared between the LLRW and NORM/NARM operations would be minimized. Any remaining joint costs would be allocated between regulated and unregulated operations on the basis of a fully distributed cost allocation study.

#### 6. Other Ratemaking Matters.

- (a) Application of the Inflation Adjustment. The "inflation adjustment" required by RCW 81.108.020(6) shall be implemented by adjusting rates on January 1 of each year by a percentage equal to the change in the Inflation Index for the preceding calendar year.
- (b) Application of the Volume Adjustment. The "volume adjustment" required by RCW 81.108.020(11) shall be implemented by defining "material changes in volumes" to mean a change of one hundred percent (100%) or more. The volume adjustment shall not, in any event, affect the calculation of rates under Section 1 of this Settlement Agreement.

#### 7. Revenue Requirement.

The Company's filing in this proceeding will request a revenue requirement of \$5,173,239.00 for the calendar year beginning January 1, 2002. The Settling Parties agree that the revenue requirement, which represents a reduction in revenue requirement of 16.8% from the preliminary 2001 revenue requirement as filed with the Commission, should be adopted by the Commission as the revenue requirement for the Company which is fair, just, reasonable and sufficient.

#### 8. <u>Unanticipated Expenditures</u>.

The revenue requirement supported by the Settling Parties does not included costs associated with an investigation under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 ("RCRA"). Nor does it include costs which may be imposed by local, state or federal agencies with jurisdiction over the activity of the Company by order or regulation. The Settling Parties agree that the Company may submit a separate rate filing or filings to recover these costs once they become certain as to amount and timing, and that such a filing or filings is not contrary to the moratorium provisions of Section 5 of the Settlement Agreement. Such filing(s) would relate exclusively to the recovery in rates of expenditures incurred by the Company in connection with the investigation to be performed as a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In the past, these costs have been recovered from the site closure fund. It is anticipated, but not guaranteed, that future investigation costs will also be recovered from that fund or similar fund.

result of the Richland site's inclusion in a site investigation(s) or as otherwise required as a condition of the facility's license or closure plan or as imposed by regulation or order of a local, state or federal agency with jurisdiction over the Company's activities. Commission Staff and Intervenors reserve the right in that filing to dispute the recoverability of these expenditures in rates.

#### 9. Term.

This Settlement Agreement shall be effective until January 1, 2008.

#### 10. Miscellaneous Provisions.

- (a) No Precedent. The Settling Parties enter into this Settlement Agreement to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and delay and to dispose of litigation. By executing this Settlement Agreement, no Settling Party shall be deemed to have accepted or consented to the facts, principles, methods or theories employed in arriving at such a Settlement Agreement, nor shall any Settling Party be deemed to have agreed that such a Settlement Agreement is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding.
- (b) Binding on Settling Parties. This Settlement Agreement is offered in this proceeding as the joint, exclusive proposal of the Settling Parties with respect to the issues set forth herein. The Settling Parties have negotiated this Settlement Agreement as an integrated document, and therefore recommend that the Commission accept this Settlement Agreement in its entirety.
- (c) Procedure. The Settling Parties shall cooperate in submitting this Settlement Agreement promptly to the Commission for acceptance. In the event the Commission reserves ruling on the Settlement Agreement and proceeds with consideration of other issues in this general rate case, the Settling Parties agree to support this Settlement Agreement to achieve a result on the merits in the proceeding consistent with the terms and underlying intent of this Settlement Agreement, and will not offer any competing or alternative proposals with respect to the issues set forth herein. If the Commission rejects all or any material portion of this Settlement Agreement, each Settling Party reserves the right, upon written notice to

- (d) Authority. Each Settling Party represents that it is authorized to enter into this Settlement Agreement and that the obligations such Settling Party undertakes in this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits are valid, lawful, binding and enforceable obligations and within the authority of such Settling Party to undertake. Each Settling Party represents that all necessary approvals in respect to its authority to execute this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits have been obtained.
- (e) Execution. This Settlement Agreement may be executed by the Settling Parties in several counterparts and as executed shall constitute one agreement.
- (f) Necessary Actions. Each Settling Party shall take all actions necessary and appropriate to enable it to carry out this Settlement Agreement.
- (g) Compliance. The complaint procedures provided in WAC 480-92-090 shall apply with respect to the Company's compliance with this Settlement Agreement.

#### **SETTLING PARTIES:**

- Authority. Each Settling Party represents that it is authorized to enter into this Settlement Agreement and that the obligations such Settling Party undertakes in this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits are valid, lawful, binding and enforceable obligations and within the authority of such Settling Party to undertake. Each Settling Party represents that all necessary approvals in respect to its authority to execute this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits have been obtained.
- (e) Execution. This Settlement Agreement may be executed by the Settling Parties in several counterparts and as executed shall constitute one agreement.
- Necessary Actions. Each Settling Party shall take all actions necessary and appropriate to enable it to carry out this Settlement Agreement.
- (g) Compliance. The complaint procedures provided in WAC 480-92-090 shall apply with respect to the Company's compliance with this Settlement Agreement.

#### SETTLING PARTIES:

FRAMATOME ANP RICHLAND, INC.

By: As Folgh Its: Manager, Waste Management

2101 Horn Rapids Road Richland, WA 99352-0130

- (d) Authority. Each Settling Party represents that it is authorized to enter into this Settlement Agreement and that the obligations such Settling Party undertakes in this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits are valid, lawful, binding and enforceable obligations and within the authority of such Settling Party to undertake. Each Settling Party represents that all necessary approvals in respect to its authority to execute this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits have been obtained.
- (e) Execution. This Settlement Agreement may be executed by the Settling Parties in several counterparts and as executed shall constitute one agreement.
- (f) Necessary Actions. Each Settling Party shall take all actions necessary and appropriate to enable it to carry out this Settlement Agreement.
- (g) Compliance. The complaint procedures provided in WAC 480-92-090 shall apply with respect to the Company's compliance with this Settlement Agreement.

SETTLING PARTIES:

ENERGY NORTHWEST

By: Mr. M. Jakes 3-12-2001

Its: Assistant General Coursel

Mail Drop PE 12 1396
P.O. Box 968
Richland, WA 99352-0968

- (d) Authority. Each Settling Party represents that it is authorized to enter into this Settlement Agreement and that the obligations such Settling Party undertakes in this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits are valid, lawful, binding and enforceable obligations and within the authority of such Settling Party to undertake. Each Settling Party represents that all necessary approvals in respect to its authority to execute this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits have been obtained.
- (e) Execution. This Settlement Agreement may be executed by the Settling Parties in several counterparts and as executed shall constitute one agreement.
- (f) Necessary Actions. Each Settling Party shall take all actions necessary and appropriate to enable it to carry out this Settlement Agreement.
- (g) Compliance. The complaint procedures provided in WAC 480-92-090 shall apply with respect to the Company's compliance with this Settlement Agreement.

SETTLING PARTIES:

ATG, INC.

By:

3-7-01

Its: <u>Cust Cannon</u> Director of Regulatory Compliance.

2025 Battelle Blvd. Richland, WA 99352-0969

- (d) Authority. Each Settling Party represents that it is authorized to enter into this Settlement Agreement and that the obligations such Settling Party undertakes in this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits are valid, lawful, binding and enforceable obligations and within the authority of such Settling Party to undertake. Each Settling Party represents that all necessary approvals in respect to its authority to execute this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits have been obtained.
- (e) Execution. This Settlement Agreement may be executed by the Settling Parties in several counterparts and as executed shall constitute one agreement.
- (f) Necessary Actions. Each Settling Party shall take all actions necessary and appropriate to enable it to carry out this Settlement Agreement.
- (g) Compliance. The complaint procedures provided in WAC 480-92-090 shall apply with respect to the Company's compliance with this Settlement Agreement.

SETTLING PARTIES:

PCC STRUCTURALS

Bv: // \//

Its: Envionmental Affairs Manager

4600 SE Harney Drive Portland, OR 97206-0898

- (d) Authority. Each Settling Party represents that it is authorized to enter into this Settlement Agreement and that the obligations such Settling Party undertakes in this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits are valid, lawful, binding and enforceable obligations and within the authority of such Settling Party to undertake. Each Settling Party represents that all necessary approvals in respect to its authority to execute this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits have been obtained.
- (e) Execution. This Settlement Agreement may be executed by the Settling Parties in several counterparts and as executed shall constitute one agreement.
- (f) Necessary Actions. Each Settling Party shall take all actions necessary and appropriate to enable it to carry out this Settlement Agreement.
- (g) Compliance. The complaint procedures provided in WAC 480-92-090 shall apply with respect to the Company's compliance with this Settlement Agreement.

#### SETTLING PARTIES:

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT & CONTROL

By: Thousand, Gran

Its: PRESIDENT

3106 South Faith Home Road Turlock, CA 95380-9365

- (d) Authority. Each Settling Party represents that it is authorized to enter into this Settlement Agreement and that the obligations such Settling Party undertakes in this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits are valid, lawful, binding and enforceable obligations and within the authority of such Settling Party to undertake. Each Settling Party represents that all necessary approvals in respect to its authority to execute this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits have been obtained.
- (e) Execution. This Settlement Agreement may be executed by the Settling Parties in several counterparts and as executed shall constitute one agreement.
- (f) Necessary Actions. Each Settling Party shall take all actions necessary and appropriate to enable it to carry out this Settlement Agreement.
- (g) Compliance. The complaint procedures provided in WAC 480-92-090 shall apply with respect to the Company's compliance with this Settlement Agreement.

SETTLING PARTIES:

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

By: Mephen Menny

Its: VICE PRESIDENT POWER SUPPLY CENERATION

71760 Columbia River Highway Rainier, OR 87048

40

the Commission and all Settling Parties within fifteen (15) days of the date of the Commission's order, to withdraw from the Settlement Agreement, whereupon the Settling Parties will not be bound by any position in the Settlement Agreement.

- (d) Authority. Each Settling Party represents that it is authorized to enter into this Settlement Agreement and that the obligations such Settling Party undertakes in this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits are valid, lawful, binding and enforceable obligations and within the authority of such Settling Party to undertake. Each Settling Party represents that all necessary approvals in respect to its authority to execute this Settlement Agreement and such exhibits have been obtained.
- (e) Execution. This Settlement Agreement may be executed by the Settling Parties in several counterparts and as executed shall constitute one agreement.
- (f) Necessary Actions. Each Settling Party shall take all actions necessary and appropriate to enable it to carry out this Settlement Agreement.
- (g) Compliance. The complaint procedures provided in WAC 480-92-090 shall apply with respect to the Company's compliance with this Settlement Agreement.

#### SETTLING PARTIES:

US ECOLOGY, INC.

By: Thomas 12 Hoy

Its: Vice President

P.O. Box 638 Richland, WA 99352

#### FIRST AMENDMENT TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This is the First Amendment ("Amendment") to the Settlement Agreement by and between US Ecology, Inc. ("US Ecology") and the parties designated in the Settlement Agreement as the Settling Parties.

The purpose of this First Amendment is to set forth corrections to items that were inadvertently omitted in the development of the Settlement Agreement. To accomplish this goal, the Settling Parties and US Ecology hereby agree as follows:

- 1. In Paragraph 1(b) last line, change "paragraph 2(e)" to "paragraph 2(c)."
- 2. At the end of Paragraph 1. <u>Rate Adjustment Mechanism</u> (c), add the following:

The sample calculation attached as Schedule 1 to Exhibit A (see line 42 of Sheet 1) illustrates the application of the safety margin.

3. At the end of Paragraph 1. <u>Rate Adjustment Mechanism</u> (d), add the following:

The sample calculation attached as Schedule 1 to Exhibit A (see lines 4-18 of Sheet 1) illustrates the operation of deferred accounting.

4. At the end of Paragraph 2. <u>Rate Design</u> (a), delete the following:

provided, however, that the determination of the activity rate component is subject to the provisions of paragraph (b) below.

- 5. In Paragraph 2. Rate Design (b) (1) and (2), change "Schedule 1" to "Schedule 2" and add "of Exhibit A" after each reference.
- 6. At the end of Paragraph 2. Rate Design (d), add the following:

See Schedule 3 of Exhibit A for the current rate structure.

- 7. At the end of Paragraph 6(a), add "in accordance with Paragraph 1(a)."
- 8. Add new Section 6. Other Ratemaking Matters (c), to read as follows:

True-up of Current Rates. The 2001 rates will be trued-up pursuant to the terms of settlement agreements applicable to 2001 ratemaking, even though those true-ups may occur in 2002.

9. At the end of Paragraph 8 add the sentence:

The Company agrees to promptly inform customers of any potential expenditure that may cause the Company to make such a filing.

- 10. In all other respects the Settlement Agreement remains in full force and effect.
- 11. This First Amendment shall be deemed to be effective as of the date of the Settlement Agreement.

**US ECOLOGY** 

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

**.** 

AHOINEL

By: Stephen Mounney

Its: VICE PRESIDENT, POWER SUPPLY | GENERA TION

71760 Columbia River Highway

Rainier, OR 87048

Rate Components

## Revised Final Calculation of 2001 Rates US Ecology, Inc.

Promotive registration of 2000 FSS   1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		Source or Reference*	Pretiminary Calculations	Site Availability Charge (SAC)	Volume	Shipments	Containers	Dose Rate	TOTAL
\$5.949,473 \$4,825 \$4,827 \$1,619 \$1,077,406 \$1,82,712 \$1,077,406 \$1,91,235 \$1,107,406 \$1,107,4	cators for 2000	RD Stipulation, § 2(a)		22.0%	31.6%	10.7%	21.5%	14.2%	100.0%
37 \$ 844,825 5,949,473 34 43,619 36 1,077,406 9,091,235 39 1,95 64,752 31,141,762 31,195 64,752 31,235 329,749 32229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 32229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 32229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 32229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 3229,749 322229	enue requirement for 2000, before deferrals from 1999	2000 FCS 1, line 32					;		\$5,949,473
22 9,195 64,752 11 198,157 12 23,235 188,630 1,077,406 9,091,235 188,962 3,141,762 23,235 188,962 3,141,762 23,235 11 198,157 3,229,749 22 709,631 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,936,823 5,666,638 15.5% 15.5% 100.0% 80% 994,075 994,075 994,075 994,075 994,075 994,075 994,075	enue requirement by rate component for 2000, before deferrals	Line 1 × line 2			\$ 1,880,033		\$ 1,279,137		5,949,473
43,619 43,619 88,444 10,77,406 9,191,235 9,195 188,962 3,141,762 11 198,157 23,235 11 198,157 23,235 11 198,157 100.0% 15,782,383 6,065,218 15,666,638 15,782,383 15,666,638 15,782,383 15,782,383 16,065,218 16,	arrals from 1999, to be added to 2000 revenue requirement	2000 FCS 1, line 18		•			• !	• ;	•
11 888,444 5,949,473 10 1,077,406 9,182,712 10 1,077,406 9,091,235 11 198,167 3,229,749 11 198,157 3,229,749 12 9,195 64,752 12 9,195 6,065,218 12 198,157 3,229,749 12 79,631 5,913,688 15 79,631 5,936,823 15 795,260 100.0% 15 946,891 6,088,453 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075 10 80% 994,075	ct of SAC reallocation in 2000	2000 FCS 1, lines 36 and 38		(281,472)	117,808	39,891	80,154	43,619	. !
1077,406 9,192,172 1077,406 9,091,235 11 188,962 3,141,762 12 9,195 64,752 12 198,157 3,229,749 11 198,157 3,229,749 12 198,157 3,229,749 12 198,157 3,229,749 15 782,383 15,666,638 15,782,383 15,782,383 16,065,218 15,5% 100.0% 15,5% 100.0%	enue requirement by rate component for 2000	Sum of lines 3-5		1,027,412	1,997,841	676,485	1,359,291	888,444	5,949,473
10.177,406 9,091,235 10.177,406 9,091,235 11.178, 3,229,749 11.178, 3,229,749 12.2,729,749 12.2,738,33 12.666,638 12.2,782,383 12.2,782,383 12.2,782,383 12.2,782,383 12.2,783,631 12.2,783,631 12.2,783,631 13.28,783 14.78,630 15.98,633 16.06,638 17.89,631 18.16,78 19	enue collected in 2000	Exhibit 1		1,081,408	3,649,843	1,239,274	2,134,780	1,077,406	9,182,712
1077,406 3,141,762 11 188,962 11,762 11 198,157 23,235 11 198,157 23,235 11 198,157 23,235 22 709,631 22 709,631 23 709,631 24 85,630 25 804,075 26 994,075 27 994,075 28 86,891 29 80% 29 80% 29 80% 29 80% 20 80% 20 80% 21 80% 22 709,631 23,235 24 85,630 25 80% 26 80% 27 86,891 28 86,891 29 80% 29 80% 20 80% 20 80% 20 80% 20 80% 21 80% 22 80% 23 80% 24 85,630 25 80% 26 80% 27 80% 28 80% 28 80% 29 80% 29 80% 20 80	end-of-year collection/(refund) due to SAC true-up	Exhibit 2		(91,477)		, 2000	000	007	(7/4/19)
22 9,195 64,752 11 198,157 3,229,749 23,235 11 198,157 3,229,749 22 709,631 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,913,588 23 709,631 5,913,588 24 85,630 552,567 25 86,891 6,088,453 26 994,075 994,075 27 994,075 994,075	ss revenue in 2000	Line 7 + Line 8		989,931	3,649,843	1,239,274	2,134,780	1,077,406	9,091,235
22 9,195 64,752 23,235	ss revenue surplus/(deficit) for 2000	Line 9 – line 6		(37,481)	1,652,002	562,789	775,489	188,962	3,141,762
22 9,195 64,752 11 198,157 3,229,749 23,235 23,235 24 6,66,638 25 709,631 5,913,588 26 65,218 37,5% 27,782,383 6,665,218 97,5% 97,5% 15,5% 100,0% 15,5% 100,0% 15,5% 100,0% 15,5% 100,0% 15,5% 946,891 6,088,453 30 80% 994,075 994,075 30 80% 994,075	ulation of 2000 NORM/NARM net revenue	RD Stipulation, § 4(a)							
22 9,195 64,752 11 198,157 3,229,749 23,235 23,235 23,235 24 6,65,218 5,666,638 5,666,638 5,782,383 6,065,218 97,5% 97,5% 15,5% 100.0% 15,5% 100.0% 15,5% 100.0% 15,5% 946,891 16,088,453 16,088,453 16,088,453 16,088,453 17,5% 100.0% 18,5% 100.0% 18,5% 100.0% 18,5% 946,891 18,5% 994,075 18,5% 994,075 18,5% 994,075 18,5% 994,075 18,5% 994,075	вуепие	Exhibit 3							
22 9,195 64,752 23,235 23,235 23,235 23,235 23,235 23,235 24,055 25,666,638 26,065,218 36,065,218 37,5% 38,600,631 38,60	XDENSES	Exhibit 3	(183,262)						
23,735 11 198,157 3,229,749 23,235 23,235 23,235 23,235 24,752 23,235 25,666,638 26,065,218 37,782,383 6,065,218 37,782,383 6,065,218 37,782,383 6,065,218 37,785,833 38,786,831 39,4,075 394,075 394,075 394,075 395 396,075 396,075 396,075 397,075 398,098 398,075	et revenue	Line 12 – line 13	129,503						
22. 9,195 64,752 11 198,157 3,229,749 23,235 23,235 24,752 23,235 24,752 25,666,638 27,782,383 6,065,218 97,5% 27,782,383 6,065,218 97,5% 27,782,383 6,065,218 97,5% 27,785,280 28,631 29,66,891 29,6075 24 25,666,638 27,785,260 28,639 29,6075 29,6075 29,6075 29,6075 20,008,453 20,008,4075 20,008,408,408,408,408 20,008,408,408 20,008 20,008 20,	enerators' share of NORM/NARM net revenue	Line 14 + 2	64,752		;				
23,235 11 198,157 3,229,749 23,235 23,235 24,055,118 5,666,638 5,666,638 5,666,638 6,065,218 6,065,218 6,065,218 709,631 5,936,823 79,560 75 946,891 6,088,453 80 80% 994,075 994,075 80 80% 994,075	enerators' share of net revenue, by rate component	Line 1 × line 15		14,245	20,461	6,928	13,922	9,195	64,752
5,666,638 5,666,638 5,666,538 5,665,248 5,665,248 5,065,248 5,782,383 6,065,248 5,782,383 6,065,248 5,785,383 5,936,823 5,84,630 5,84,630 5,84,630 5,84,075	ount to be refunded to generators	Line 10 + line 16 (if positive)		•	1,672,463	569,718	789,411	198,157	3,229,749
5,666,638 5,666,538 5,782,383 6,065,218 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,936,823 5,946,891 6,088,453 80 80% 994,075 994,075 995 76 994,075 78 994,075	ount to be added to 2001 revenue requirement	Line 10 + line 16 (if negative)		23,235	٠	•	•		23,235
5,666,638 5,782,383 6,065,218 5,782,383 6,065,218 97,5% 15,782,383 6,065,218 97,5% 15,5% 15,5% 16,08,453 17,5% 16,08,453 17,5% 16,088,453 17,5% 18,000 18,00	culation of revenue requirement not subject to Inflation Index	RD Stipulation, § 1(a)							
5,666,638 5,782,383 6,065,218 5,782,383 6,065,218 97,5% 15,782,383 6,065,218 97,5% 15,5% 15,5% 15,5% 15,5% 16,088,453 17,5% 16,088,453 17,5% 18,630	epreciation	RR Settlement, p. [8], col. 6	_						
5,666,638 5,782,383 6,065,218 6,065,218 97,5% 17,7% 97,5% 15,5% 16,5% 16,5% 16,5% 16,5% 16,5% 16,8% 16,8% 16,088,453 18,5% 18	ate case expense	RR Settlement, p. [8], col. 6	61,441						
5,666,638 5,782,383 6,065,218 97.5% 11.7% 97.5% 97.5% 15.5% 100.0% 54 85,630 55.567 795,260	mortization of goodwill	RR Settlement, p. [8], col. 6	. ;						
5,666,638 5,782,383 6,065,218 97.5% 11.7% 97.5% 15.5% 100.0% 54 85,630 55,936,823 59 46,891 59 94,075 994,075 994,075 994,075 994,075 994,075	easehold rent	2000 FCS 1, line 23	20,000						
5,666,638 5,782,383 6,065,218 97.5% 11.7% 97.5% 15.936,823 15.936,823 15.936,823 15.936,823 15.936,823 15.936,823 16.088,453 1785,260 1785,260 1785,260 1785,260 1785,260 1898,93 1808	easehold taxes	2000 FCS 1, line 24							
5,782,383 6,065,218 5,782,383 6,065,218 5,709,631 5,913,588 5,936,823 5,936,823 5,936,823 5,936,823 5,936,823 5,936,833 5,936,	otal portion of revenue requirement not subject to Inflation Index	Sum of lines 20–24							000 000 0
5,782,383 6,065,218 6,065,218 5% 11.7% 97.5% 22 709,631 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,936,823 5% 15.5% 100.0% 75 946,891 6,088,453 80 80% 994,075 994,075 95 Accounting	venue requirement subject to Inflation Index	Line 2 – line 25							5,000,038
5.782,383 6,065,218 5% 11.7% 97.5% 22 709,631 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,936,823 23 709,631 5,936,823 24 85,630 552,567 75 946,891 6,088,453 30 80% 994,075 994,075 35 36 37 38 39 39 39 39 39 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	culation of Inflation Index	RD Stipulation, § 1(a)							
5.782,383 6,065,218 5% 11.7% 97.5% 22 709,631 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,936,823 5% 15.5% 100.0% 4 85,630 552,567 795,260 6,088,453 30 80% 994,075 994,075 24 994,075 994,075	iross domestic product implicit price deflator, 2000	Exhibit 4	106.91						
5.782,383 6,065,218 97.5% 22 709,631 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,936,823 24 85,630 552,567 25 795,260 6,088,453 30 80% 994,075 994,075 30 Accounting	ross domestic product implicit price deflator, 1999	Exhibit 4	104.77						
5% 11.7% 6.065,218 5.075,303 6.065,218 5.075,303 6.065,218 5.075,304 5.075,305 5.075,3	iflation Index	(Line 28 + line 29) - 1	2.04%						200 000
22 709,631 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,936,823 22 709,631 5,936,823 24 85,630 552,567 25 946,891 6,088,453 30 80% 994,075 24 85,630 994,075 30 80% 994,075	renue requirement subject to Inflation Index, inflated								5,762,363 8,065,248
22 709,631 5,913,588 22 709,631 5,936,823 5% 15.5% 100.0% 54 85,630 552,567 795,260 80% 994,075 994,075 994,075 Accounting	renue requirement for 2001, before deferrals from 2000	Line 25 + line 31		90.00	93.40	40 78	24 50/	14 70%	0, 7,000,0
5% 15.5% 100.0% 54 85,630 552,567 795,260 6,088,453 30 80% 994,075 994,075 994,075 995 Accounting	cators for 2001 ratemaking	KD Stipulation, §§ ∠(a), ∠(b)		4 334 348	1 016 609	648 978	1 304 022	709 631	5 913 588
5% 15.5% 100.0% 54 85,630 552,567 795,260 6,088,453 80 80% 994,075 994,075 95 Accounting	cated revenue requirement for 2001, before deferrals from 2000	Line 32	•	1 257 583	1 916 609	648 978	1 304 022	709.631	5,936,823
5% 15.5% 100.0% 54 85,630 552,567 75 795,260 6,088,453 80 80% 994,075 994,075 50 Accounting	cated revenue requirement for 2001, including deferrals from 2000			552 567	500,016,1	20,000	110,100,1	00,00	
80 85,857 795,260 75 946,891 6,088,453 80 80% 994,075 994,075 995 995 997 997 997 997 997 9	underrecovery to be allocated to other rate components	Calculation Sheet Z		106,300	41 9%	14 2%	28.5%	15.5%	100.0%
75 795,260 75 946,891 6,088,453 30 80% 994,075 994,075 30 Accounting	cators for SAC underrecovery	Line 35 Teallocated William SAC			231 273	78 311	157.354	85 630	552.567
50 946,891 6,088,453 30 80,8 80% 80% 80% 80% 80% 80% 80% 80% 80% 80	cated SAC underrecovery for 2001				2.147.882	727,289	1,461,375	795,260	
30 80% 80% 54 54 95 90 Accounting	cated reveiled requirement for 2001; including one removation	Calculation Sheet 2 and line 39		805,016	2,147,882	727,289	1,461,375	946,891	6,088,453
Schedule 1  804,075 895,075 89	ende requirement to the contracting of the contractions for 2001	Exhibit 5			66,654	136	780		
Schedule 1  Accounting	ections for 2001	RD Stipulation, § 1(c)			80%	80%	80%	80%	
Schedule 1	lety inalgini e rate revenue recilirement for 2001	RD Stipulation, § 1(c)(2)						994,075	994,075
Schedule 1	or determinants for 2001 rates	Line 41 × line 42			53,323	109	624		٠
Schedule 1	es for 2001 Defore rounding								
chedule 1	es for 2001, counded	Line 45, rounded							
edule 1				. :					
pgy, Inc., Docket No. UR-950619, and Petition of US Ecology, Inc. for an Order Regarding the Accounting nent Agreement on Revenue Requirement Issues.	ulation" refers to Washington Utilities and Transportation Commiss	sion v. US Ecology, Inc., Docket No.	UR-950619, Stip	ulation Regardin	g Kate Design	and Katemaki	ng Issues.		
e 1	SS* refers to US Ecology, Inc., Final Calculation of 2000 Kates, Callement* refers to Washington Utilities and Transportation Commiss making the Benton County Property Tax Liability, Docket No. UR-3	arculation Sneet sision v. US Ecology, Inc., Docket No -950620, Settlement Agreement on I	. UR-950619, an Revenue Require	d Petition of US I	Ecology, Inc. fo	r an Order Re	garding the Aco	ounting	oit <i>i</i> dul
1					•				
									1

<sup>\*\*</sup>RD Stipulation\* refers to Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. US Ecology, Inc., Docket No. UR-950619, Stipulation Regarding Rate Design and Ratemaking Issues. \*\*2000 FCS\* refers to US Ecology, Inc., Final Calculation of 2000 Rates, Calculation Sheet \*\*RES\*\* Felloment\*\* Refers to US Ecology, Inc., Final Calculation Commission V. US Ecology, Inc., Docket No. UR-950619, and Petition of US Ecology, Inc. for an Order Regarding the Accounting Treatment\*\* Treatment\*\* Tax Liability, Docket No. UR-950620, Settlement Agreement on Revenue Requirement Issues.

# US Ecology, Inc. Revised Final Calculation of 2001 Site Availability Charge (SAC)

Inputs to SAC Calculation

+ 0, w	<ol> <li>Revenue requirement for 2001 SAC (from Calculation Sheet 1, line 35)</li> <li>Inflation Index (from Calculation Sheet 1, line 30)</li> <li>Maximum increase in SAC (Inflation Index × 2; see RD Stipulation* 2(c)(3)(i))</li> </ol>	\$ 1,357,583 2.04% 4.09%					,	
Block No.	Characteristics for Year	2001 Projected Generators in Block	2000 SAC	Ratio of Each 2000 Block Charge to that of the Previous	Maximum Increase in SAC	2001 SAC (2000 SAC plus Maximum Increase)‡	Ratio of Each 2001 Block Charge to that of the Previous Block**	2001 Projected Revenues from SAC
1	Column Number:	1	2	8	4	5	9	7
	Source:	Exhibit 5	Tariff Sheet† Schedule A, A.1	Division of each block by previous	Column 2 × Line 3, rounded	Column 2 + Column 4 (except block No. 11; see footnote)	Division of each block by previous	Column 1 × Column 5
_	Se the assessment of the second of the secon	28	\$ 100		\$	\$ 104		\$ 6,032
, ~-	Greater than zero but less than or equal to 10 ft² and 50 mR/h††	30	211	2.11	6	220	2.12	009'9
. 7	Greater than 10 ft or 50 mR/ht+ but less than or equal to 20 ft and 100 mR/ht+	10	404	1.91	17		1.91	4,210
က	Greater than 20 ft3 or 100 mR/h++ but less than or equal to 40 ft3 and 200 mR/h++	18	176	1.92	32	808	1.92	14,544
4	Greater than 40 ft or 200 mR/htt but less than or equal to 80 ft and 400 mR/htt	12	1,491	1.92	61	1,552	1.92	18,624
2	Greater than 80 ft3 or 400 mR/ht1 but less than or equal to 160 ft3 and 800 mR/ht1	12	2,868	1.92	117	2,985	1.92	35,820
9	Greater than 160 ft³ or 800 mR/h†† but less than or equal to 320 ft³ and 1,600 mR/h††	7	5,513	1.92	225	5,738	1.92	11,476
7	Greater than 320 ft or 1.600 mR/htt but less than or equal to 640 ft and 3,200 mR/htt	-	10,597	1.92	433	11,030	1.92	11,030
. 00	Greater than 640 ft³ or 3.200 mR/ht† but less than or equal to 1,280 ft³ and 6,400 mR/ht†	-	20,372	1.92	832	21,204	1.92	21,204
σ.	Greater than 1.280 ft or 6.400 mR/ht+ but less than or equal to 2,560 ft and 12,800 mR/ht+	2	39,167	1.92	1,600	40,767	1.92	81,534
, 6	Greater than 2.560 ft³ or 12,800 mR/h1† but less than or equal to 5,120 ft³ and 25,600 mR/h1†	2	75,288	1.92	3,076	78,364	1.92	156,728
=	Greater than 5,120 ft³ or 25,600 mR/h††	3	143,234	1.90	5,851	145,738	1.86	437,214
	Total	151					•	805,016
	Underrecovery to be spread to other rate components pursuant to RD Stipulation* § 2(c)(3)							\$ 552,567

"RD Stipulation" refers to Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. US Ecology, Inc., Docket No. UR-950619, Stipulation Regarding Rate Design and Ratemaking Issues. Traiff Sheet' refers to US Ecology, Inc., Washington Nuclear Center, Radioactive Waste Disposal, Twelfth Revision of Sheet No. 1-a, filed with the Washington Utilities and

‡Checked to ensure compliance with RD Stipulation § 2(c)(3)(ii); the figure pertaining to RD Stipulation § 2(c)(3)(ii)(B) is \$5,600,000 × 0.024 × 1.0195 [the 1996 Inflation Index] × 1.0118 [the 1999 Inflation Index] × 1.0204 [the 2000 inflation index] × 1.0101 [the 1998 Inflation Index] × 1.0118 [the 1999 Inflation Index] × 1.0204 [the 2000 inflation index] × 1.0101 [the 1998 Inflation Index] × 1.0101 [the 1998 Inflation Index] × 1.0101 [the 1998 Inflation Index] × 1.0204 [the 2000 inflation index] × 1.0101 [the 1998 Inflation Index] × 1.0101 [the 1998 Inflation Index] × 1.0204 [the 2000 inflation I affected by this provision in 2001. Transportation Commission.

Exhibit A

Schedule 2

11For purposes of determining the Site Availability Charge, R per hour is calculated by summing the R per hour at container surface for all containers received during the year. \*\*Calculated to ensure compliance with RD Stipulation § 2(c)(3)

Exhibit A Schedule 3

_
43
1, line 43)
:≣
•
or 2001 dose rate charge (from Calculation Sheet 1, ii
5
ati
끙
Sa
F
Ē
<u> </u>
õ
t,
ė
<u> </u>
se
용
5
Š
5
Ħ
ĕ
E
.≝
즃
3.6
Ĕ
ě
Revenue requirement fo

\$ 994,075

Block No.	slock No. Dose Rate at Container Surface		2001 Projected Containers in Block	2000 Dose Rate Charge	Ratio of Each 2000 Block Charge to that of the Previous	2001 Dose Rate Charge	Ratio of Each 2001 Block Charge to that of the Previous Block†	Ratio of Each 2001 Block Charge to that 2001 Projected of the Revenues from Previous Dose Rate Block† Charge
		Column Number:	<del>-</del>	2	ဇ	4	S	g
		Source:	Exhibit 5	Tariff Sheet* Schedule A, B.4	Division of each block by previous	Set such that Column 5 will equal Column 3	Division of each block by previous	Column 1 × Column 4
_	Less than or equal to 200 mR/h		750	\$ 16		\$ 45		\$ 33,750
7	Greater than 200 mR/h but less than or equal to 1,000 mR/h		13	1,150	71.9	3,235	71.9	42,055
က	Greater than 1,000 mR/h but less than or equal to 10,000 mR/h		5	4,550	4.0	12,800	4.0	64,000
4	Greater than 10,000 mR/h but less than or equal to 100,000 mR/h		10	6,950	1.5	19,600	1.5	196,000
2	Greater than 100,000 mR/h	1	2	116,500	16.8	329,000	16.8	658,000
	Total	ı	780				"	\$ 993,805

\*\*Tariff Sheet" refers to US Ecology, Inc., Washington Nuclear Center, Radioactive Waste Disposal, Twelfth Revision of Sheet No. 1-a, filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.

†Calculated to ensure compliance with Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. US Ecology, Inc., Docket No. UR-950619, Stipulation Regarding Rate Design and Ratemaking Issues, § 2(f).

6 7

(2) The tariff revisions presently under susension herein are reasonable. The proposed rates allow the company the opportunity to earn its revenue requirement plus a reasonable return, and have not been opposed by the affected generators.

8

(3) It is in the public interest that the Complaint and Order Suspending Tariff Revisions in this docket be dismissed and that the revisions to the respondent's Tariff 1, become effective January 1, 2002.

9

(4) It is in the public interest that the conditions of the settlement agreement be approved to become effective January 1, 2002. Those conditions set the same rate design, annual adjustment mechanism, rate setting process, and deferred accounting mechanism that have been in effect the last six years. No shipper nor interested party has opposed these conditions.

#### **ORDER**

10

THE COMMISSION THEREFORE ORDERS That the Complaint and Order Suspending Tariff Revisions in these Dockets, dated May 30, 2001, be and the same is hereby dismissed, and that the revisions filed herein, be and the same shall become effective January 1, 2002.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, and effective this 27th day of June, 2001.

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

MARILYN SHOWALTER, Chairwoman

PATRICK J. OSHIE, Commissioner