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| WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,Complainant,v.AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a AVISTA UTILITIES, Respondent.. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .  | )))))))))))) | DOCKETS UE-120436 and UG-120437 (*Consolidated)* |
| WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,Complainant,v.AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a AVISTA UTILITIES, Respondent.. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .  | )))))))))))) | DOCKETS UE-110876 and UG-110877 (*Consolidated)*JOINT MOTION FOR AN ORDER APPROVING MULTIPARTY SETTLEMENT STIPULATION |
|  |  |  |

 Come now, Avista Corporation, (“Avista” or the “Company”), the Staff of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (“Staff”), Northwest Industrial Gas Users (“NWIGU”), Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (“ICNU”), and The Energy Project, (hereinafter collectively referred to as the “Settling Parties”), and respectfully move the Commission for an Order approving the Multiparty Settlement Stipulation filed herewith[[1]](#footnote-1). This Joint Motion is based on the following:

 1. On October 19, 2012, coincident with this Joint Motion, the Settling Parties filed with the Commission a Multiparty Settlement Stipulation (attached as an appendix to this Joint Motion), accompanied by Joint Testimony in support thereof. This Multiparty Settlement Stipulation, if approved, would resolve all issues in these proceedings.

2. Integral to the Settlement, as a negotiated element, is a proposed effective date for revised tariffs of January 1, 2013.

3. In a Prehearing Conference convened on October 15, 2012, the Settling Parties requested a schedule by which the Commission would review the Settlement Agreement, and on October 18, 2012, the Commission issued its Prehearing Conference Order and Revised Notice of Hearing, establishing a revised procedural schedule and setting an evidentiary hearing for November 29-30, 2012.

4. For the reasons set forth in Joint Testimony in support of the Settlement, the Settling Parties request that the Multiparty Settlement Stipulation be approved as a fair resolution of all issues, as being in the public interest, and without change or modification.

5. In the event that the Commission should reject the Settlement Stipulation, or materially modify it in ways unacceptable to the Settling Parties, the Settling Parties request that a prehearing conference immediately be convened to establish a schedule for the litigation of unresolved matters in these dockets.

Entered into this \_\_\_\_\_\_day of October, 2012.

Company: By: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

David J. Meyer

VP, Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs

Staff: By: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Donald T. Trotter

Assistant Attorney General

 NWIGU: By: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Chad M. Stokes

Cable Huston Benedict

Haagensen & Lloyd LLP

ICNU: By: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Melinda Davison

Davison Van Cleve, P.C.

The Energy Project: By: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Ronald Roseman

Attorney at Law

1. These identified parties consist of all parties who have intervened and participated in the above dockets, with the exception of the Public Counsel Section of the Washington Office of Attorney General (“Public Counsel”) and the NW Energy Coalition (“NWEC” and/or the “Coalition”). The Coalition, although not a party to the Settlement Stipulation, has indicated that it does not intend to oppose the Settlement but reserves the right to request continued litigation of the electric decoupling issue in these consolidated dockets. They do not oppose the implementation of settlement rates, however, beginning on January 1, 2013. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)