

Exh. AEB-1Tr  
Docket UE-23~~0172~~  
Witness: Ann E. Bulkley

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON  
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND  
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PACIFICORP dba  
PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Respondent.

Docket UE-23~~0172~~

**PACIFICORP**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANN E. BULKLEY**

~~March 2023~~ **REVISED April 4, 2023**

1 returns of other businesses having similar risk, adequacy of the return to  
2 provide access to capital and support credit quality, and the requirement that  
3 the result lead to just and reasonable rates.<sup>2</sup>

4 • The effect of current and projected capital market conditions on investors'  
5 return requirements.

6 • The results of several analytical approaches that provide estimates of the  
7 Company's cost of equity. Because the Company's authorized ROE should be  
8 a forward-looking estimate over the period during which the rates will be in  
9 effect, these analyses rely on forward-looking inputs and assumptions (e.g.,  
10 projected analyst growth rates in the DCF model, forecasted risk-free rate and  
11 market risk premium in the CAPM analysis).

12 • Although the companies in my proxy group are generally comparable to  
13 PacifiCorp, each company is unique, and no two companies have the exact  
14 same business and financial risk profiles. Accordingly, I considered the  
15 Company's regulatory, business, and financial risks relative to the proxy  
16 group of comparable companies in determining where the Company's ROE  
17 should fall within the reasonable range of analytical results to appropriately  
18 account for any residual differences in risk.

19 **Q. What are the results of the models that you have used to estimate the cost of**  
20 **equity for PacifiCorp?**

21 A. Figure 1 summarizes the range of results produced by the Constant Growth DCF,  
22 CAPM, ECAPM, Risk Premium, and Expected Earnings analyses based on data  
23 through the end of January 2023.

---

<sup>2</sup> Federal Power Commission v. Hope Natural Gas Co., 320 U.S. 591 (1944) (Hope) <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/320/591/>; Bluefield Waterworks & Improvement Co. v. Public Service Commission of West Virginia, 262 U.S. 679 (1923) (Bluefield) <https://tile.loc.gov/storage-services/service/ll/usrep/usrep262/https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/320/591/usrep262679/usrep262679.pdf>.

1 excluding the volatile food and energy categories, core PCE prices  
2 rose 4.4 percent. The inflation data received over the past three months  
3 show a welcome reduction in the monthly pace of increases. And  
4 while recent developments are encouraging, we will need substantially  
5 more evidence to be confident that inflation is on a sustained  
6 downward path.

.....

7 With today's action, we have raised interest rates by 4-1/2 percentage  
8 points over the past year. We continue to anticipate that ongoing  
9 increases in the target range for the federal funds rate will be  
10 appropriate in order to attain a stance of monetary policy that is  
11 sufficiently restrictive to return inflation to 2 percent over time.

.....

12 At the December meeting, we all wrote down our best estimates of  
13 what we thought the ultimate level would be [of the federal funds  
14 rate], and that's obviously back in December. And the median for that  
15 was between five and five and a quarter percent. At the March  
16 meeting, we're going to update those assessments. We did not update  
17 them today. We did, however, continue to say that we believe ongoing  
18 rate hikes will be appropriate to attain a sufficiently restrictive stance  
19 of policy to bring inflation back down to 2 percent. We think we've  
20 covered a lot of ground, and financial conditions have certainly  
21 tightened. I would say we still think there's work to do there. We  
22 haven't made a decision on exactly where that will be. I think, you  
23 know, we're going to be looking carefully at the incoming data  
24 between now and the March meeting and then the May meeting. I  
25 don't feel a lot of certainty about where that will be. It could certainly  
26 be higher than we're writing down right now. If we come to the view  
27 that we need to write down to -- you know, to move rates up beyond  
28 what we said in December we would certainly do that. At the same  
29 time, if the data come in, in the other direction then we'll -- you know,  
30 we'll make data-dependent decisions at coming meetings, of course.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> Transcript. Chair Powell Press Conference, Feb. 1, 2023; clarification added.  
<https://www.federalreserve.gov/mediacenter/files/FOMCpresconf20230201.pdf>

1 **B. The Use of Monetary Policy to Address Inflation**

2 **Q. What policy actions has the Federal Reserve enacted to respond to increased**  
3 **inflation?**

4 A. The dramatic increase in inflation has prompted the Federal Reserve to pursue an  
5 aggressive normalization of monetary policy, removing the accommodative policy  
6 programs used to mitigate the economic effects of COVID-19. As of the FOMC  
7 meeting on February 1, 2023, the Federal Reserve has taken the following actions:

- 8 • Completed its taper of Treasury bond and mortgage-backed securities  
9 purchases;<sup>7</sup>
- 10 • Increased the target federal funds rate beginning in March 2022 through a  
11 series of increases from a target range of 0.00 to 0.25 percent to a target range  
12 of 4.50 percent to 4.75 percent;<sup>8</sup>
- 13 • Anticipates ongoing increases in the target range will be appropriate to achieve  
14 its goals of maximum employment at the inflation rate of 2.00 percent over the  
15 long-run;<sup>9</sup>
- 16 • Began reducing its holdings of Treasury and mortgage-backed securities on  
17 June 1, 2022.<sup>10</sup> The Federal Reserve is reducing the size of its balance sheet by  
18 only reinvesting principal payments on owned securities after the total amount  
19 of payments received exceeds a defined cap. For Treasury securities, the cap is  
20 currently set at \$60 billion per month. The cap for mortgage-backed securities  
21 is currently set at \$35 billion per month.<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup> Federal Reserve Bank of New York, <https://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/domestic-market-operations/monetary-policy-implementation/treasury-securities/treasury-securities-operational-details#monthly-details>.

<sup>8</sup> Press Releases, Federal Reserve (Mar. 16, 2022) <https://www.federalreserve.gov/mediacenter/files/FOMCpresconf20220316.pdf>; Transcript, Chair Powell Press Conference, Feb. 1, 2023, <https://www.federalreserve.gov/mediacenter/files/FOMCpresconf20230201.pdf>.

<sup>9</sup> Transcript, Chair Powell Press Conference, Feb. 1, 2023.

<sup>10</sup> Press Release, Federal Reserve (May 4, 2022) <https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/monetary20220504a.htm>.

<sup>11</sup> Press Release, Federal Reserve, Plans for Reducing the Size of the Federal Reserve's Balance Sheet (May 4, 2022) <https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/monetary20220504b.htm>.

1 **Q. Do recent changes in the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) affect the current**  
2 **outlook for inflation and interest rates?**

3 A. No. While FOMC participants have recently reduced their projections for economic  
4 activity for real GDP growth to 0.5 percent in 2023,<sup>14</sup> which is well below the median  
5 estimate for the longer-run normal GDP growth rate, the Federal Reserve has  
6 highlighted that the labor market continues to be extremely tight, and in fact, the  
7 unemployment rate reached 3.4 percent in January 2023, the lowest it has been in  
8 over 50 years.<sup>15</sup> Therefore, with a tight labor market and persistently high inflation,  
9 the Federal Reserve has indicated its need to continue a restrictive monetary policy to  
10 moderate demand to better align it with supply.<sup>16</sup>

11 **Q. How have interest rates and inflation changed since the Company's last rate**  
12 **case?**

13 A. As shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3, current market conditions are significantly  
14 different than at the time of the Company's last rate proceeding. As summarized in  
15 Figure 4, when the Commission authorized an ROE of 9.50 percent in the Company's  
16 2020 rate proceeding, interest rates (as measured by the 30-year Treasury bond yield)  
17 were 1.64 percent and inflation was 1.28 percent. However, since the Company's last  
18 rate proceeding, long-term interest rates have more than doubled, and, as discussed,  
19 inflation is also substantially higher.

---

<sup>14</sup> FOMC, Summary of Economic Projections, Dec. 14, 2022,  
<https://www.federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/files/fomcprojt20221214.pdf>.

<sup>15</sup> Lucia Mutikani, U.S. reports blowout job growth; unemployment lowest since 1969. Reuters (Feb. 3, 2023)  
<https://www.reuters.com/world/us/us-job-growth-accelerates-january-wage-gains-moderate-2023-02-03/>.

<sup>16</sup> Transcript, Chair Powell, Press Conference, Feb. 1, 2023,  
<https://www.federalreserve.gov/mediacenter/files/FOMCpresconf20230201.pdf>.

**Figure 4: Change in Market Conditions Since PacifiCorp's Last Rate Case<sup>17</sup>**

<b>Docket</b>	<b>Decision Date</b>	<b>Federal Funds Rate</b>	<b>30-Day Average Of 30-Year Treasury Bond Yield</b>	<b>Inflation Rate</b>	<b>Authorized ROE</b>
UE 191024	12/14/2020	0.09%	1.64%	1.28%	9.50%
Current	1/31/2023	4.33%	3.70%	6.42%	

1 **D. Expected Performance of Utility Stocks and the Investor-Required Return on**  
2 **Utility Investments**

3 **Q. Are utility share prices correlated to changes in the yields on long-term**  
4 **government bonds?**

5 A. Yes. Interest rates and utility share prices are inversely correlated, which means that  
6 increases in interest rates result in declines in the share prices of utilities and vice  
7 versa. For example, Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank examined the sensitivity of  
8 share prices of different industries to changes in interest rates over the past five years.  
9 Both Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank found that utilities had one of the strongest  
10 negative relationships with bond yields (*i.e.*, increases in bond yields resulted in the  
11 decline of utility share prices).<sup>18</sup>

12 **Q. How do equity analysts expect the utilities sector to perform in an increasing**  
13 **interest rate environment?**

14 A. Equity analysts project that utilities will underperform the broader market given high  
15 inflation and the recent increases in interest rates. Fidelity classifies the utility sector

<sup>17</sup> St. Louis Federal Reserve Bank; Bureau of Labor Statistics.

<sup>18</sup> Justina Lee, *Wall Street Is Rethinking the Treasury Threat to Big Tech Stocks*. Bloomberg.com (Mar. 11, 2021) <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-03-11/wall-street-is-rethinking-the-treasury-threat-to-big-tech-stocks#xj4y7vzkg>.

1 as underweight,<sup>19</sup> and *Morningstar* recently noted that many of the market conditions  
2 that supported the premium valuation of utilities over the last decade mainly low  
3 inflation, interest rates and energy prices are currently reversing:

4 Utilities' relative outperformance in 2022 while the market frets about  
5 the economy suggests that utilities remain a defensive haven. Utilities  
6 also outperformed ahead of the 2001 and the 2007-09 recessions.  
7 However, we think utilities' weak total returns in 2022 should concern  
8 investors. For the first time in a decade, the tailwinds supporting  
9 utilities' earnings growth and premium valuations (low inflation, low  
10 interest rates, and low energy price) are reversing Utilities' growth  
11 prospects are our biggest concern going into 2023. Utilities no longer  
12 offer a yield premium as bond yields climbed to their highest level in  
13 15 years. Without that yield premium, the only advantage utilities offer  
14 investors is earnings growth. This is why high inflation and rising  
15 interest rates loom large for utilities in 2023. Inflation, including  
16 higher energy prices, will raise customer bills and could force utilities  
17 to re-evaluate their growth plans. Higher interest costs will sap cash  
18 flow and make infrastructure investments more expensive.<sup>20</sup>

19 Additionally, the *Wall Street Journal* noted that the S&P Utilities Index was  
20 down 14 percent over between September and October 2022, attributing the decline to  
21 the recent increase in long-term treasury yields:

22 A big draw of utility stocks has become less attractive as interest rates  
23 have climbed. Utility stocks are known for their sizable dividends,  
24 offering investors a regular stream of income. Companies in the S&P  
25 500 utilities sector offer a dividend yield of 3.3 percent, among the  
26 highest payout percentages in the index, according to FactSet.

27 But the outsize dividends of utility stocks are no match for climbing  
28 bond yields. The yield on the benchmark 10-year Treasury note  
29 finished above 4 percent on Monday for a second consecutive session.  
30 Friday marked the 10-year yield's first close above the 4 percent level  
31 since 2008 and 11 straight weeks of gains. Treasuries are viewed as  
32 essentially risk-free if held to maturity.

---

<sup>19</sup> Fidelity, First Quarter 2023 Investment Research Update. (Feb. 8, 2023) [https://www.fidelity.com/bin-public/060\\_www\\_fidelity\\_com/documents/learning-center/Investment-Research-Update-Q1-2023.pdf](https://www.fidelity.com/bin-public/060_www_fidelity_com/documents/learning-center/Investment-Research-Update-Q1-2023.pdf).

<sup>20</sup> Miller, Travis. "Can Utilities Maintain Growth Against Macroeconomic Headwinds?" *Morningstar*, January 3, 2023, <https://www.morningstar.com/articles/1131198/can-utilities-maintain-growth-against-macroeconomic-headwinds>.



































Exh. AEB-1Tr  
Docket UE-230172  
Witness: Ann E. Bulkley

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON  
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND  
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PACIFICORP dba  
PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Respondent.

Docket UE-230172

**PACIFICORP**

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANN E. BULKLEY**

**REVISED April 4, 2023**

1 returns of other businesses having similar risk, adequacy of the return to  
2 provide access to capital and support credit quality, and the requirement that  
3 the result lead to just and reasonable rates.<sup>2</sup>

4 • The effect of current and projected capital market conditions on investors'  
5 return requirements.

6 • The results of several analytical approaches that provide estimates of the  
7 Company's cost of equity. Because the Company's authorized ROE should be  
8 a forward-looking estimate over the period during which the rates will be in  
9 effect, these analyses rely on forward-looking inputs and assumptions (e.g.,  
10 projected analyst growth rates in the DCF model, forecasted risk-free rate and  
11 market risk premium in the CAPM analysis).

12 • Although the companies in my proxy group are generally comparable to  
13 PacifiCorp, each company is unique, and no two companies have the exact  
14 same business and financial risk profiles. Accordingly, I considered the  
15 Company's regulatory, business, and financial risks relative to the proxy  
16 group of comparable companies in determining where the Company's ROE  
17 should fall within the reasonable range of analytical results to appropriately  
18 account for any residual differences in risk.

19 **Q. What are the results of the models that you have used to estimate the cost of**  
20 **equity for PacifiCorp?**

21 A. Figure 1 summarizes the range of results produced by the Constant Growth DCF,  
22 CAPM, ECAPM, Risk Premium, and Expected Earnings analyses based on data  
23 through the end of January 2023.

---

<sup>2</sup> Federal Power Commission v. Hope Natural Gas Co., 320 U.S. 591 (1944) (Hope) <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/320/591/>; Bluefield Waterworks & Improvement Co. v. Public Service Commission of West Virginia, 262 U.S. 679 (1923) (Bluefield) <https://tile.loc.gov/storage-services/service/ll/usrep/usrep262/https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/320/591/usrep262679/usrep262679.pdf>.



1 excluding the volatile food and energy categories, core PCE prices  
2 rose 4.4 percent. The inflation data received over the past three months  
3 show a welcome reduction in the monthly pace of increases. And  
4 while recent developments are encouraging, we will need substantially  
5 more evidence to be confident that inflation is on a sustained  
6 downward path.

.....

7 With today's action, we have raised interest rates by 4-1/2 percentage  
8 points over the past year. We continue to anticipate that ongoing  
9 increases in the target range for the federal funds rate will be  
10 appropriate in order to attain a stance of monetary policy that is  
11 sufficiently restrictive to return inflation to 2 percent over time.

.....

12 At the December meeting, we all wrote down our best estimates of  
13 what we thought the ultimate level would be [of the federal funds  
14 rate], and that's obviously back in December. And the median for that  
15 was between five and five and a quarter percent. At the March  
16 meeting, we're going to update those assessments. We did not update  
17 them today. We did, however, continue to say that we believe ongoing  
18 rate hikes will be appropriate to attain a sufficiently restrictive stance  
19 of policy to bring inflation back down to 2 percent. We think we've  
20 covered a lot of ground, and financial conditions have certainly  
21 tightened. I would say we still think there's work to do there. We  
22 haven't made a decision on exactly where that will be. I think, you  
23 know, we're going to be looking carefully at the incoming data  
24 between now and the March meeting and then the May meeting. I  
25 don't feel a lot of certainty about where that will be. It could certainly  
26 be higher than we're writing down right now. If we come to the view  
27 that we need to write down to -- you know, to move rates up beyond  
28 what we said in December we would certainly do that. At the same  
29 time, if the data come in, in the other direction then we'll -- you know,  
30 we'll make data-dependent decisions at coming meetings, of course.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> Transcript. Chair Powell Press Conference, Feb. 1, 2023; clarification added.  
<https://www.federalreserve.gov/mediacenter/files/FOMCpresconf20230201.pdf>

1 **B. The Use of Monetary Policy to Address Inflation**

2 **Q. What policy actions has the Federal Reserve enacted to respond to increased**  
3 **inflation?**

4 A. The dramatic increase in inflation has prompted the Federal Reserve to pursue an  
5 aggressive normalization of monetary policy, removing the accommodative policy  
6 programs used to mitigate the economic effects of COVID-19. As of the FOMC  
7 meeting on February 1, 2023, the Federal Reserve has taken the following actions:

- 8 • Completed its taper of Treasury bond and mortgage-backed securities  
9 purchases;<sup>7</sup>
- 10 • Increased the target federal funds rate beginning in March 2022 through a  
11 series of increases from a target range of 0.00 to 0.25 percent to a target range  
12 of 4.50 percent to 4.75 percent;<sup>8</sup>
- 13 • Anticipates ongoing increases in the target range will be appropriate to achieve  
14 its goals of maximum employment at the inflation rate of 2.00 percent over the  
15 long-run;<sup>9</sup>
- 16 • Began reducing its holdings of Treasury and mortgage-backed securities on  
17 June 1, 2022.<sup>10</sup> The Federal Reserve is reducing the size of its balance sheet by  
18 only reinvesting principal payments on owned securities after the total amount  
19 of payments received exceeds a defined cap. For Treasury securities, the cap is  
20 currently set at \$60 billion per month. The cap for mortgage-backed securities  
21 is currently set at \$35 billion per month.<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup> Federal Reserve Bank of New York, <https://www.newyorkfed.org/markets/domestic-market-operations/monetary-policy-implementation/treasury-securities/treasury-securities-operational-details#monthly-details>.

<sup>8</sup> Press Releases, Federal Reserve (Mar. 16, 2022) <https://www.federalreserve.gov/mediacenter/files/FOMCpresconf20220316.pdf>; Transcript, Chair Powell Press Conference, Feb. 1, 2023, <https://www.federalreserve.gov/mediacenter/files/FOMCpresconf20230201.pdf>.

<sup>9</sup> Transcript, Chair Powell Press Conference, Feb. 1, 2023.

<sup>10</sup> Press Release, Federal Reserve (May 4, 2022) <https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/monetary20220504a.htm>.

<sup>11</sup> Press Release, Federal Reserve, Plans for Reducing the Size of the Federal Reserve's Balance Sheet (May 4, 2022) <https://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/pressreleases/monetary20220504b.htm>.

1 **Q. Do recent changes in the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) affect the current**  
2 **outlook for inflation and interest rates?**

3 A. No. While FOMC participants have recently reduced their projections for economic  
4 activity for real GDP growth to 0.5 percent in 2023,<sup>14</sup> which is well below the median  
5 estimate for the longer-run normal GDP growth rate, the Federal Reserve has  
6 highlighted that the labor market continues to be extremely tight, and in fact, the  
7 unemployment rate reached 3.4 percent in January 2023, the lowest it has been in  
8 over 50 years.<sup>15</sup> Therefore, with a tight labor market and persistently high inflation,  
9 the Federal Reserve has indicated its need to continue a restrictive monetary policy to  
10 moderate demand to better align it with supply.<sup>16</sup>

11 **Q. How have interest rates and inflation changed since the Company's last rate**  
12 **case?**

13 A. As shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3, current market conditions are significantly  
14 different than at the time of the Company's last rate proceeding. As summarized in  
15 Figure 4, when the Commission authorized an ROE of 9.50 percent in the Company's  
16 2020 rate proceeding, interest rates (as measured by the 30-year Treasury bond yield)  
17 were 1.64 percent and inflation was 1.28 percent. However, since the Company's last  
18 rate proceeding, long-term interest rates have more than doubled, and, as discussed,  
19 inflation is also substantially higher.

---

<sup>14</sup> FOMC, Summary of Economic Projections, Dec. 14, 2022,  
<https://www.federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/files/fomcprojt20221214.pdf>.

<sup>15</sup> Lucia Mutikani, U.S. reports blowout job growth; unemployment lowest since 1969. Reuters (Feb. 3, 2023)  
<https://www.reuters.com/world/us/us-job-growth-accelerates-january-wage-gains-moderate-2023-02-03/>.

<sup>16</sup> Transcript, Chair Powell, Press Conference, Feb. 1, 2023,  
<https://www.federalreserve.gov/mediacenter/files/FOMCpresconf20230201.pdf>.

**Figure 4: Change in Market Conditions Since PacifiCorp's Last Rate Case<sup>17</sup>**

<b>Docket</b>	<b>Decision Date</b>	<b>Federal Funds Rate</b>	<b>30-Day Average Of 30-Year Treasury Bond Yield</b>	<b>Inflation Rate</b>	<b>Authorized ROE</b>
UE 191024	12/14/2020	0.09%	1.64%	1.28%	9.50%
Current	1/31/2023	4.33%	3.70%	6.42%	

1 **D. Expected Performance of Utility Stocks and the Investor-Required Return on**  
2 **Utility Investments**

3 **Q. Are utility share prices correlated to changes in the yields on long-term**  
4 **government bonds?**

5 A. Yes. Interest rates and utility share prices are inversely correlated, which means that  
6 increases in interest rates result in declines in the share prices of utilities and vice  
7 versa. For example, Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank examined the sensitivity of  
8 share prices of different industries to changes in interest rates over the past five years.  
9 Both Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank found that utilities had one of the strongest  
10 negative relationships with bond yields (*i.e.*, increases in bond yields resulted in the  
11 decline of utility share prices).<sup>18</sup>

12 **Q. How do equity analysts expect the utilities sector to perform in an increasing**  
13 **interest rate environment?**

14 A. Equity analysts project that utilities will underperform the broader market given high  
15 inflation and the recent increases in interest rates. Fidelity classifies the utility sector

<sup>17</sup> St. Louis Federal Reserve Bank; Bureau of Labor Statistics.

<sup>18</sup> Justina Lee, *Wall Street Is Rethinking the Treasury Threat to Big Tech Stocks*. Bloomberg.com (Mar. 11, 2021) <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-03-11/wall-street-is-rethinking-the-treasury-threat-to-big-tech-stocks#xj4y7vzkg>.

1 as underweight,<sup>19</sup> and *Morningstar* recently noted that many of the market conditions  
2 that supported the premium valuation of utilities over the last decade mainly low  
3 inflation, interest rates and energy prices are currently reversing:

4 Utilities' relative outperformance in 2022 while the market frets about  
5 the economy suggests that utilities remain a defensive haven. Utilities  
6 also outperformed ahead of the 2001 and the 2007-09 recessions.  
7 However, we think utilities' weak total returns in 2022 should concern  
8 investors. For the first time in a decade, the tailwinds supporting  
9 utilities' earnings growth and premium valuations (low inflation, low  
10 interest rates, and low energy price) are reversing Utilities' growth  
11 prospects are our biggest concern going into 2023. Utilities no longer  
12 offer a yield premium as bond yields climbed to their highest level in  
13 15 years. Without that yield premium, the only advantage utilities offer  
14 investors is earnings growth. This is why high inflation and rising  
15 interest rates loom large for utilities in 2023. Inflation, including  
16 higher energy prices, will raise customer bills and could force utilities  
17 to re-evaluate their growth plans. Higher interest costs will sap cash  
18 flow and make infrastructure investments more expensive.<sup>20</sup>

19 Additionally, the *Wall Street Journal* noted that the S&P Utilities Index was  
20 down 14 percent over between September and October 2022, attributing the decline to  
21 the recent increase in long-term treasury yields:

22 A big draw of utility stocks has become less attractive as interest rates  
23 have climbed. Utility stocks are known for their sizable dividends,  
24 offering investors a regular stream of income. Companies in the S&P  
25 500 utilities sector offer a dividend yield of 3.3 percent, among the  
26 highest payout percentages in the index, according to FactSet.

27 But the outsize dividends of utility stocks are no match for climbing  
28 bond yields. The yield on the benchmark 10-year Treasury note  
29 finished above 4 percent on Monday for a second consecutive session.  
30 Friday marked the 10-year yield's first close above the 4 percent level  
31 since 2008 and 11 straight weeks of gains. Treasuries are viewed as  
32 essentially risk-free if held to maturity.

---

<sup>19</sup> Fidelity, First Quarter 2023 Investment Research Update. (Feb. 8, 2023) [https://www.fidelity.com/bin-public/060\\_www\\_fidelity\\_com/documents/learning-center/Investment-Research-Update-Q1-2023.pdf](https://www.fidelity.com/bin-public/060_www_fidelity_com/documents/learning-center/Investment-Research-Update-Q1-2023.pdf).

<sup>20</sup> Miller, Travis. "Can Utilities Maintain Growth Against Macroeconomic Headwinds?" *Morningstar*, January 3, 2023, <https://www.morningstar.com/articles/1131198/can-utilities-maintain-growth-against-macroeconomic-headwinds>.













1 **Q. What is the composition of your proxy group?**

2 A. The screening criteria just discussed resulted in a proxy group consisting of the 17  
3 companies shown in Figure 6.

**Figure 6: Proxy Group**

<b>Company</b>	<b>Ticker</b>
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT
Ameren Corporation	AEE
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP
Avista Corporation	AVA
CMS Energy Corporation	CMS
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK
Entergy Corporation	ETR
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE
Otter Tail Corporation	OTTR
Portland General Electric Company	POR
Southern Company	SO
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL

4 **Q. Do your screening criteria result in a proxy group that is risk-comparable to**  
5 **PacifiCorp?**

6 A. Yes. The overall purpose of developing a set of screening criteria is to select a proxy  
7 group of companies that align with the financial and operational characteristics of  
8 PacifiCorp and that investors would view as comparable to the Company. I developed  
9 the screens and thresholds for each screen based on judgment with the intention of  
10 balancing the need to maintain a proxy group that is of sufficient size against  
11 establishing a proxy group of companies that are comparable in business and financial  
12 risk to the Company. This resulted in the group of seventeen companies shown in  
13 Figure 6 that have business and financial risks comparable to PacifiCorp.

1 credit metrics and, therefore, credit ratings. To that point, S&P explains the  
2 importance of regulatory support for large capital projects:

3 When applicable, a jurisdiction's willingness to support large capital  
4 projects with cash during construction is an important aspect of our  
5 analysis. This is especially true when the project represents a major  
6 addition to rate base and entails long lead times and technological risks  
7 that make it susceptible to construction delays. Broad support for all  
8 capital spending is the most credit-sustaining. Support for only specific  
9 types of capital spending, such as specific environmental projects or  
10 system integrity plans, is less so, but still favorable for creditors.  
11 Allowance of a cash return on construction work-in-progress or similar  
12 ratemaking methods historically were extraordinary measures for use in  
13 unusual circumstances, but when construction costs are rising, cash flow  
14 support could be crucial to maintain credit quality through the spending  
15 program. Even more favorable are those jurisdictions that present an  
16 opportunity for a higher return on capital projects as an incentive to  
17 investors.<sup>56</sup>

18 Therefore, to the extent that PacifiCorp's rates do not permit the opportunity  
19 to recover its full cost of doing business, PacifiCorp will face increased recovery risk  
20 and thus increased pressure on its credit metrics.

21 **Q. How do PacifiCorp's capital expenditure requirements compare to those of the**  
22 **proxy group companies?**

23 A. As shown in Exhibit No. AEB-12, I calculated the ratio of expected capital  
24 expenditures to net utility plant for PacifiCorp and each of the companies in the proxy  
25 group by dividing each company's projected capital expenditures for the period from  
26 2023-2027 by its total net utility plant as of December 31, 2022. As shown in Exhibit  
27 AEB-12 (*see* also Figure 11 below), PacifiCorp's ratio of capital expenditures as a  
28 percentage of net utility plant of 98.86 percent is approximately 1.99 times the

---

<sup>56</sup> S&P Global Ratings, Assessing U.S. Investor-Owned Utility Regulatory Environments, at 7 (Aug. 10, 2016), <https://fileservice.eea.comacloud.net/FileService.Api/file/FileRoom/12143406>.

1 **Q. How do credit rating agencies consider regulatory risk in establishing a**  
2 **company's credit rating?**

3 A. Both S&P and Moody's consider the overall regulatory framework in establishing  
4 credit ratings. Moody's establishes credit ratings based on four key factors: (1)  
5 regulatory framework; (2) the ability to recover costs and earn returns; (3)  
6 diversification; and (4) financial strength, liquidity, and key financial metrics. Of  
7 these criteria, regulatory framework and the ability to recover costs and earn returns  
8 are each given a broad rating factor of 25.00 percent. Therefore, Moody's assigns  
9 regulatory risk a 50.00 percent weighting in the overall assessment of business and  
10 financial risk for regulated utilities.<sup>57</sup>

11 S&P also identifies the regulatory framework as an important factor in credit  
12 ratings for regulated utilities, stating: "One significant aspect of regulatory risk that  
13 influences credit quality is the regulatory environment in the jurisdictions in which a  
14 utility operates."<sup>58</sup> S&P identifies four specific factors that it uses to assess the credit  
15 implications of the regulatory jurisdictions of investor-owned regulated utilities: (1)  
16 regulatory stability; (2) tariff-setting procedures and design; (3) financial stability;  
17 and (4) regulatory independence and insulation.<sup>59</sup>

---

<sup>57</sup> Moody's Investors Service, Rating Methodology: Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities, June 23, 2017, at 4, [https://www.moodys.com/research/doc--PBC\\_1072530](https://www.moodys.com/research/doc--PBC_1072530).

<sup>58</sup> Standard & Poor's Global Ratings, Ratings Direct, U.S. and Canadian Regulatory Jurisdictions Support Utilities' Credit Quality—But Some More So Than Others, at 2 (June 25, 2018).

<sup>59</sup> *Id.*, at 1.

1 **Q. How does the regulatory environment in which a utility operates affect its access**  
2 **to and cost of capital?**

3 A. The regulatory environment can significantly affect both the access to, and cost of  
4 capital in several ways. First, the proportion and cost of debt capital available to  
5 utility companies are influenced by the rating agencies' assessment of the regulatory  
6 environment. As noted by Moody's, "[f]or rate regulated utilities, which typically  
7 operate as a monopoly, the regulatory environment and how the utility adapts to that  
8 environment are the most important credit considerations."<sup>60</sup> Moody's has further  
9 highlighted the relevance of a stable and predictable regulatory environment to a  
10 utility's credit quality, noting: "[b]roadly speaking, the Regulatory Framework is the  
11 foundation for how all the decisions that affect utilities are made (including the  
12 setting of rates), as well as the predictability and consistency of decision-making  
13 provided by that foundation."<sup>61</sup>

14 **Q. Have you conducted any analysis of the regulatory framework in Washington**  
15 **relative to the jurisdictions in which the companies in your proxy group**  
16 **operate?**

17 A. Yes. I have evaluated the regulatory framework in Washington considering two  
18 factors which are important to ensuring PacifiCorp maintains access to capital at  
19 reasonable terms. As I will discuss in more detail below, the two factors are: 1) cost  
20 recovery mechanisms which allow a utility to recover costs in a timely manner  
21 between rate cases and provide the utility the opportunity to earn its authorized

---

<sup>60</sup> Moody's Investors Service, Rating Methodology: Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities, at 6 (June 23, 2017)  
[https://www.moody.com/research/doc--PBC\\_1072530](https://www.moody.com/research/doc--PBC_1072530).

<sup>61</sup> *Id.*

1 authorized ROE of 9.25 percent.<sup>62</sup> In addition, FitchRatings recently downgraded and  
2 maintained a negative outlook for APS and its parent, PNW, following the hearings  
3 conducted by the Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) in October 2021 regarding  
4 APS' current rate case proceeding.<sup>63</sup> While the ACC had not issued a final order in  
5 APS' rate case at the time, FitchRatings noted that the developments at the hearing in  
6 October indicate a likely credit negative outcome that will negatively affect the  
7 financial metrics of both APS and PNW. It is also important to note that both  
8 Standard & Poor's and Moody's downgraded PNW's and APS' credit rating and put  
9 the companies on credit watch negative following the Commission's November vote  
10 that officially authorized the 8.70 percent ROE.<sup>64</sup>

11 **Q. Are you aware of any utilities whose market data has been affected by adverse**  
12 **rate case developments?**

13 A. Yes, I am. The market has responded negatively to recent returns authorized by the  
14 ACC. As noted above, the most recent ROE determination in Arizona was for APS.  
15 The Recommended Opinion and Order (ROO) issued in the APS rate proceeding on  
16 August 2, 2021, recommended an ROE of 9.16 percent. In October 2021, that  
17 recommendation was amended to reduce the company's ROE to 8.70 percent. The

---

<sup>62</sup> Moody's Investors Service, Credit Opinion: ALLETE, Inc. Update following downgrade, at 3 (Apr. 3, 2019) [https://www.moodys.com/research/Moodys-downgrades-Pinnacle-West-to-Baa1-and-Arizona-Public-Service--PR\\_456814](https://www.moodys.com/research/Moodys-downgrades-Pinnacle-West-to-Baa1-and-Arizona-Public-Service--PR_456814).

<sup>63</sup> FitchRatings, Fitch Downgrades Pinnacle West Capital & Arizona Public Service to 'BBB+'; Outlooks Remain Negative, (Oct. 12, 2021) <https://www.fitchratings.com/research/corporate-finance/fitch-downgrades-pinnacle-west-capital-arizona-public-service-to-bbb-outlooks-remain-negative-12-10-2021>.

<sup>64</sup> See S&P Capital IQ and Moody's Investors Service, "Rating Actions: Moody's downgrades Pinnacle West to Baa1 and Arizona Public Service to A3; outlook negative," (Nov. 17, 2021) [https://www.moodys.com/research/Moodys-downgrades-Pinnacle-Rating-Action--PR\\_456814](https://www.moodys.com/research/Moodys-downgrades-Pinnacle-Rating-Action--PR_456814).

1 final ROE that was established for APS was 8.70 percent.<sup>65</sup> The market reacted  
2 strongly to the proposed order and subsequent amendment and final decision.  
3 Guggenheim Securities LLC, an equity analyst that follows PNW, the parent  
4 company of APS, informed its clients that

5 [T]he “Arizona Corporation Commission is now confirmed to be  
6 the single most value destructive regulatory environment in the  
7 country as far as investor-owned utilities are concerned”.<sup>66</sup>  
8 S&P Global Market Intelligence (Regulatory Research Associates) noted that  
9 this decision was “among the lowest ROEs RRA had encountered in its coverage of  
10 vertically integrated electric utilities in the past 30 years.”<sup>67</sup>

11 As shown in Figure 13 below, PNW’s stock price declined approximately 24  
12 percent from August 2, 2021 to November 4, 2021 following the issuance of the  
13 ROO, which recommended an ROE of 9.16 percent, and then the subsequent  
14 amendment to that opinion recommending the 8.70 percent ROE ultimately adopted  
15 by the ACC. Moreover, the Value Line five-year projected EPS growth rates for this  
16 company have fallen from 5.0 percent in July 2021, prior to the deliberations in the  
17 rate proceeding to “Nil” in October 2021 and most recently 0.5 percent in January 20,  
18 2023. For PNW, the APS decision has had a significant effect on the share price and  
19 growth rate assumptions used in the DCF model.

---

<sup>65</sup> In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of the Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix a Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return, Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01345A-19-0236, Commissioner Olson Proposed Amendment No. 1 to the Recommended Opinion and Order (Sept. 29, 2021) <https://docket.images.azcc.gov/E000015911.pdf?i=1680374997736>.

<sup>66</sup> S&P Global Market Intelligence, Pinnacle West shares tumble after regulators slash returns in rate case, (Oct. 7, 2021) <https://www.spglobal.com/marketintelligence/en/news-insights/latest-news-headlines/pinnacle-west-shares-tumble-after-regulators-slash-returns-in-rate-case-66991920>.

<sup>67</sup> S&P Global Market Intelligence, RRA Regulatory Focus, Commission accords Arizona Public Service Company a well below average ROE, (Oct. 8, 2021).



1 reasons, I conclude that without the modifications sought by PacifiCorp to its  
2 mechanisms, the Company's business risks are somewhat higher than the proxy group  
3 which should be reflected in the authorized ROE.

4 **C. Generation Ownership / Washington Clean Energy Transformation Act**

5 **Q. How does the business risk of vertically integrated electric utilities compare to**  
6 **the business risk of other regulated utilities?**

7 A. According to Moody's, generation ownership causes vertically integrated electric  
8 utilities to have higher business risk than either electric transmission and distribution  
9 companies, or natural gas distribution or transportation companies.<sup>68</sup> As a result of  
10 this higher business risk, integrated electric utilities typically require a higher ROE or  
11 percentage of equity in the capital structure than other electric or gas utilities.

12 **Q. Are there other risk factors specific to vertically integrated electric utilities that**  
13 **the credit rating agencies consider when determining the credit rating of a**  
14 **company that owns generation?**

15 A. Yes. As discussed above, Moody's establishes credit ratings based on four key  
16 factors: (1) regulatory framework; (2) the ability to recover costs and earn returns;  
17 (3) diversification; and (4) financial strength, liquidity and key financial metrics. The  
18 third factor diversification, which Moody's assigns a 10.00 percent weighting in the  
19 overall assessments of a company's business risk, considers the fuel source diversity  
20 of a utility with generation. Moody's notes:

21 For utilities with electric generation, fuel source diversity can mitigate  
22 the impact (to the utility and to its rate-payers) of changes in  
23 commodity prices, hydrology and water flow, and environmental or

---

<sup>68</sup> Moody's Investors Service, *Rating Methodology: Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities*, at 21-22 (June 23, 2017) <https://ratings.moodys.com/api/rmc-documents/68547>.

1 adjusted sales revenues to customers of two percent more than the previous year  
2 without demonstrating to the Commission that they have maximized investment in  
3 renewable resources and non-emitting resources prior to using alternative compliance  
4 measures.<sup>70</sup> Failure to meet these requirements and investor-owned utilities must pay  
5 an administrative penalty in the amount of one hundred dollars, times generation  
6 specific multipliers, for every megawatt-hour of electricity generation that does not  
7 come from non-emitting electric generation or a renewable resource.<sup>71</sup>

8 **Q. Has the Company developed plans to meet these targets?**

9 A. Yes. The Company has demonstrated its commitment to meeting these public policy  
10 goals. Specifically, PacifiCorp filed the Company's first Clean Energy  
11 Implementation Plan (CEIP) in January 2022, which outlined the Company's action  
12 plan over the four-year period of 2022 to 2025 to meet CETA's clean energy goals.  
13 The basis for the Company's CEIP was the 2021 Integrated Resource Plan which  
14 outlined its long-term resource plan that includes substantial investment in  
15 renewables generation from 2022 through 2040. For example, as discussed in  
16 PacifiCorp's update to its 2021 IRP, the Company has planned to add 5,297 MW of  
17 new solar generation, 4,160 MW of new wind generation, 5,546 MW of new storage  
18 resources and 500 MW of advanced nuclear generation.<sup>72</sup> Moreover, the Company  
19 plans to integrate the new renewable generation resources through significant

---

<sup>70</sup> Senate Bill 51196, May 7, 2019, at 20, <https://lawfilesexternal.leg.wa.gov/biennium/2019-20/Pdf/Bills/Session%20Laws/Senate/5116-S2.SL.pdf>.

<sup>71</sup> *Id.*, at 23.

<sup>72</sup> PacifiCorp 2021 Integrated Resource Plan Update, March 31, 2022, at 3, <https://www.pacificorp.com/content/dam/pcorp/documents/en/pacificorp/energy/integrated-resource-plan/2021-irp/Volume%20I%20-%209.15.2021%20Final.pdf>.

1 investments that strengthen and modernize its transmission network. Finally,  
2 PacifiCorp plans to retire 14 of its 22 remaining coal units by 2030 and 19 of the 22  
3 remaining units by 2040 while also retiring 1,554 MW of natural gas generation by  
4 2040.<sup>73</sup> It is important to note that consistent with CETA, while PacifiCorp will still  
5 have coal generation assets operating after 2025, PacifiCorp will remove all coal  
6 generation assets from Washington's allocation of electricity.<sup>74</sup> Therefore, the  
7 Company has outlined significant plans to meet the clean energy goals of CETA.

8 **Q. Have the credit rating agencies commented on PacifiCorp's capital spending**  
9 **plans?**

10 A. Yes. S&P has noted that PacifiCorp's elevated capital spending plan, which includes  
11 plans to invest \$2.5 billion in 3,900 MW of new and repowered wind and solar  
12 generation, will contribute to negative cash flow for the Company over the near-  
13 term.<sup>75</sup> Thus, S&P expects the capital spending plan will be partially funded with  
14 debt. This highlights the importance of a constructive regulatory outcome in this  
15 proceeding to sustain credit quality as the Company implements its CEIP.

16 **Q. How does PacifiCorp's generation investment plan affect its business risk?**

17 A. PacifiCorp's plan includes significant investment in building transmission and adding  
18 new renewable generation. This significant investment in transmission and renewable  
19 energy will as S&P notes require continued access to capital markets, which  
20 highlights the importance of granting PacifiCorp an allowed ROE and equity ratio  
21 that is sufficient to attract capital at reasonable terms.

---

<sup>73</sup> *Id.*, at 12-13.

<sup>74</sup> *Id.*

<sup>75</sup> S&P Global Ratings, "PacifiCorp", at 1-2 (April 21, 2022).

1 interest costs,” and that some offset in managing these headwinds include “higher  
2 authorized ROEs and the use of tools such as securitization of under-recovered fuel  
3 balances.”<sup>78</sup>

4 Likewise, S&P also continues to maintain a negative outlook for the utility industry,  
5 noting that downgrades have outpaced upgrades for the third consecutive year in 2022  
6 with a median investor-owned utility credit rating of “BBB+”.<sup>79</sup> Further, S&P expects  
7 the industry to have negative discretionary cash flow as a result of significant capital  
8 spending and consistent dividends.<sup>80</sup> Therefore, the utility industry will need ongoing  
9 access to capital markets to fund the capital expenditures. However, S&P notes that  
10 inflation, rising interests rates and decreasing equity prices may “hamper” consistent  
11 access to capital markets and result in additional pressure on cash flows.<sup>81</sup> Moreover,  
12 S&P indicates that if inflation risks persist over the near-term and customer bills  
13 increase, regulatory credit support could decrease resulting in weaker financial  
14 metrics for the industry:

15 Over the past decade the industry’s financial measures have weakened  
16 from a combination of rising capital spending, regulatory lag, and  
17 lower authorized return on equity (ROE). The industry’s return on  
18 capital was about 6% a decade ago and today is closer to 4%. More  
19 recently, we have seen instances where not only is the authorized ROE  
20 lowered but also the equity ratio is lowered. These results have  
21 weakened the industry’s financial measures, pressuring credit quality.  
22 Under our base case of moderating inflationary risks during 2023, we  
23 expect the industry's credit measures to generally remain flat.  
24 However, if inflationary risks persist, it may further pressure the

---

<sup>78</sup> Fitch Ratings. North American Utilities, Power & Gas Outlook 2023. at 1-2 (Dec. 7, 2022)  
<https://www.fitchratings.com/research/corporate-finance/north-american-utilities-power-gas-outlook-2023-07-12-2022>.

<sup>79</sup> S&P Global Ratings. Industry Top Trends, North American Regulated Utilities: The industries outlook remains negative. (Jan. 23, 2023).

<sup>80</sup> *Id.*

<sup>81</sup> *Id.*