

June 18, 2003

**REQUEST FOR RESPONSES ON ISSUES RAISED IN STAFF'S MOTION
REQUESTING PRODUCTION OF INFORMATION
(June 23, 2003 by 5:00 p.m.)**

RE: In the Matter of the Petition of Qwest Corporation For Competitive
Classification of Basic Business Exchange Telecommunications Services
Docket No. UT-030614

TO THE PARTIES:

On June 12, 2003, Commission Staff filed a motion pursuant to RCW 80.36.330(5) requesting that the Commission enter an order requiring that by July 11, 2003, competitive local exchange carriers (CLECs) provide Commission Staff with data necessary to determine whether competitive classification of business services sought by Qwest is warranted. Staff proffers three questions for which it seeks response from CLECs.

Qwest, Integra, AT&T, MCI, Public Counsel and WebTEC filed answers to Staff's motion on July 17, 2003.

The Commission now requests the parties file responses to questions raised in the answers, as follows:

- For CLECs who provide facilities-based service, would adequate information be provided if responses were based on Qwest exchanges, or other parameters, rather than Qwest wire centers?

- For CLECs that provide services based on Qwest's facilities, would Qwest be the logical provider of the information Staff seeks regarding location of services by wire center?
- Is there any objection to the inclusion of additional or revised requests for information as proposed by Public Counsel?
- If a further protective order is entered in this proceeding, should it reflect the highly confidential provisions contained in the protective order entered in Docket No.UT-000883, Second Supplemental - Protective Order, July 31, 2000? If not, why not? What further protective provisions, if any, would be appropriate?
- In light of AT&T and MCI's answer that they would need additional time to respond and in light of the possible need to request information from Qwest in circumstances where Qwest provides facilities upon which CLEC service is based, should the time frames for responses to Staff's motion be lengthened? What is a reasonable alternative deadline for production of information? Would Qwest be willing to lengthen its waiver of the statutory deadline for completion of the proceeding to accommodate the additional time needed?
- Any other matter raised in the answers that the parties wish to address at this time.

NOTICE IS GIVEN That the parties must provide responses on or before Monday, June 23, 2003 by 5:00 p.m. The parties may file by fax, by 3:00 p.m. on the filing date, with hard copies to the Commission on the following day. THE PARTIES MUST INDICATE ON THE FAX COVER SHEET THAT THEY HAVE RECEIVED PERMISSION FROM THE PRESIDING ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE TO FILE BY FAX.

The parties are encouraged to confer with each other to resolve issues in dispute as to Staff's motion.

Sincerely,

THEODORA M. MACE
Administrative Law Judge