

AVISTA CORP.
RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

JURISDICTION:	WASHINGTON	DATE PREPARED:	08/25/2009
CASE NO:	UE-090134 & UG-090135	WITNESS:	Jon Powell
REQUESTER:	Public Counsel	RESPONDER:	Catherine Bryan/Lori Hermanson
TYPE:	Data Request	DEPT:	Energy Solutions
REQUEST NO.:	PC - 505	TELEPHONE:	(509) 495-8011/4658
		EMAIL:	Catherine.bryan@avistacorp.com Lori.hermanson@avistacorp.com

REQUEST:

Re: Mr. Hirschhorn's Exhibit (BJH-2) "Titus Evaluation Report", Tables H3-C and H3-D (2007), and H3-E and H3-F (2008), pp. 56-59.

- a. Please confirm that Avista's reported savings from the "Rooftop Program" in 2008 were examined by the DSM Verifier as part of a "stratum" that was referred to as "Other non-res site specific" in Tables H3-E and H3-F referenced above.
- b. Please explain why the Tables reporting the 2007 verified savings (H3-C and H3-D) provide a separate reporting of verified savings for the Rooftop Program in the "Other nonres site specific" category, but the comparable Tables reporting the 2008 savings (H3-E and H3-F) do not provide a separate reporting of verified savings for this program.
- c. Please provide an explanation as to whether there were any changes in the Rooftop Program in 2008 as compared to 2007, including but not limited to any changes in ~~program administration, offerings, or methods for estimating program savings.~~

RESPONSE:

- a. Avista's reported savings for 2008 Rooftop Program were examined by the verifiers as part of the "Other Nonres Site-Specific" stratum.
- b. Each year the verifiers selected their stratum and samples based on the size/volume of the distribution of projects for that year. The verifiers also reviewed their selection of stratums and samples based on the distribution of projects received on a multi-year basis to ensure that they were looking at a representative sample of the appropriate size. For 2006, the Company had the most participation in the Rooftop program and participation dropped off in each year for the following two years. By the third year, the amount of projects that came through was not large enough to warrant the Rooftop Program being its own stratum.
- c. Changes to the 2008 AirCare Plus Program included:
 - Implementation of a Maintenance Contractor Notification form and process.
 - Addition of split system units as eligible for use with the diagnostic tools.
 - Implementation of a year-end report that included all the measures (and associated savings) that occurred on a unit in 2008. The report included for each RTU, the initial measures (and savings) and then the full list of measures with the total savings for each RTU.

- Provided enrolled contractors the opportunity to purchase new tool kits that included the most current AirCare Plus diagnostic tools.
- A programmable thermostat replacement measure was added to the program.
- During the 2007 contract year, the AirCare Plus Program facilitator completed revisions of the energy savings calculation methodology with Field Diagnostic Services, Inc. (FDSI). This effort was made to enhance the documentation available for any subsequent audits.
- The 2008 AirCare Plus contract budget included labor hours for a more rigorous and detailed quality control process both before and after work was performed. Labor hours also included PECE engineering time allocation to work with program auditors, if needed.