

**From:** Jim Fricke [mailto:jimf@capair.com]

**Sent:** Monday, May 27, 2013 8:08 PM

**To:** UTC DL Records Center

**Cc:** Rendahl, Ann (UTC); Rose, Chris (UTC); REAsche@aol.com; richard@airporter.com; johnf@capair.com; 'John Rowley'; 'John Solin'; mike@seatacshuttle.com; 'Steve Salins'

**Subject:** Docket TC-121328 2nd Draft Comments--Additional

To All Concerned~

WAC 430-30-YYY(2)(a) "base fare" should be defined as the adultFull) one way fare. This would simplify the base. All other fares are based as a discount off this highest fare.

(2)©(12) "Maximum Fare" annual increase needs to be at least TEN PERCENT to offset the impact of a new certificate after the maximum has been reached!

(5)&(10) Needs exception provision to exceed maximum fare in limited situations, e.g., a low usage sub area or distant point which can not cover expenses.

What happens in application of \_XXX for new application in territory where there are two existing Certificates—one ops to flex fares and one does not?

Jim Fricke

President/CEO

Pacific Northwest Transportation Services, Inc

360.292.7686