

**Revisions to the Prefiled Rebuttal
Testimony and Exhibits of
Julia M. Ryan**

1 Q. **With respect to ICNU's testimony, do you believe it is appropriate to "normalize"**
2 **power costs?**

3 A. In establishing the PCA power cost baseline rate, it is reasonable to normalize loads for
4 temperature variations and to normalize hydro generation or stream flows, assuming that
5 is the best information available when rates are set. Weather and water are natural
6 phenomena that are not affected by market forces. Otherwise, the best information
7 regarding projected power costs for the rate year should be used when setting rates.
8 Mr. Story provides additional information on this point in his rebuttal testimony.

9 Q. **Have you updated power costs since PSE's original filing in April 2004?**

10 A. Yes, the Company updated its power costs for purposes of this rebuttal testimony. The
11 updated power costs are provided in Exhibit No. ____ (JMR-22). Rate year power costs in
12 this rebuttal filing are \$813.4~~9~~ million, a \$40.0~~6~~ million increase from the originally
13 filed power costs of \$773.4 million. Updating the gas price forecast for the rate year to a
14 three-month average of forward strips for the period ended September 30, 2004, increases
15 rate year power costs by \$43.2 million. Also, the CanWest contract updates discussed by
16 Mr. Markell in his rebuttal testimony would increase rate year power costs by an
17 additional \$1.2 million. This contract price update has not been included in the
18 Company's power costs for rebuttal as an Accounting Petition, Docket No. UE-041846, is
19 pending that will determine how the costs associated with this contract will be calculated
20 during the rate year. As Mr. Story discusses, power costs need to be adjusted for this
21 contract change based on the Commission's decision in the Accounting Petition docket.
22 Other updates, such as changing from 60-year to 50-year hydro data, decrease rate year

1 power costs by \$3.2 2.7 million. A reconciliation between the different power cost
2 projections is provided in Exhibit No. ____(JMR-23).

3 **Q. Do your updated power costs incorporate any of the other parties' suggestions?**

4 A. Yes, as I discuss in more detail below, PSE has adopted Staff's recommendation
5 regarding hydro and coal prices and some portions of their recommendation regarding gas
6 price forecasts. PSE has also updated rate year capacity costs.

7 PSE does not agree or incorporate other suggestions by opposing parties, such as Staff
8 and ICNU regarding wheeling charges, ICNU's proposals regarding hydro and gas price
9 projections, or ICNU's recommendation to exclude costs associated with call options or
10 to include "savings" associated with reduced transmission losses.

11 **I. HYDRO ASSUMPTIONS**

12 **Q. What is the Company's reaction to WUTC Staff's proposal to use the 50-year hydro
13 period from 1928 through 1977 in estimating power costs for the rate year?²**

14 A. PSE is pleased that Dr. Mariam agrees with the Company that there are no statistical
15 grounds to exclude any water years. (See Exhibit T-____ (YKGM-1T) at 25.)

16 Dr. Mariam does not agree to the use of 60 years of data because run-off volumes must

² The 50-year period recommended by WUTC Staff uses stream flows for the years 1928 through 1977.

The 40-year period last approved by the Commission used streamflow records for the years 1949 through 1987. The 60-year period proposed by the Company includes the stream flows for the years 1928 through 1987. At some time in the near future the historical data for 1988 through 1998, an additional 10 years, is expected to be available.

October 1, 2005, based upon a preliminary estimate provided to PSE by BPA. Since then, BPA has held several transmission rate workshops, and PSE has participated with other BPA customers in several preliminary rate case settlement discussions. BPA's latest estimate is for a 25.417.7% increase in the rate under which PSE receives the majority of its transmission service.

The Company agrees with ICNU that, should a settlement with BPA be reached before the Commission's final order, the power costs should reflect what is agreed to between BPA and its customers. However, if there is no agreement prior to the Commission's final order, PSE proposes to use a ~~14~~17.7% increase, which is the approximate average increase in transmission rates foreseen by BPA for all classes of customers. PSE's rebuttal power costs reflect a ~~14~~17.7% rate increase in BPA's wheeling costs effective October 1, 2005, which increases power costs by ~~\$1.9~~2.5 million.

I. CAPACITY COSTS

14 Q. Do you have any proposed changes to the peaking costs presented in your pre-filed
15 direct testimony?

16 A. Yes, I do. The projected peaking costs for PSE's winter peaking needs in the rate year are
17 being revised in connection with both the planning the Company is doing for the current
18 year (November 2004-February 2005) and the projected volumetric needs for the rate
19 year. In addition, the Company adjusted its available combustion turbine units' capacity
20 to reflect increased availability at lower temperatures. The revised costs of \$2.8 million
21 reflect \$1.2 million for transmission exchange agreements and \$1.5 million for

**GRC Power Cost Projections Reconciliation
Rate Year AURORA + Non-AURORA Power Costs**

Power Costs

9.30.04 Rebuttal Gas Price Update vs GRC Filing

GRC 9.30.04		REBUTTAL w/ Gas Price Update		Aurora Models		Total	Tennaska & March Point Disallowance	Total	Production O&M	Colstrip 500 KV	Net Costs for Revenue Requirements
GRC 1.19.04 as filed	\$ 525,879	\$ 205,494	\$ 731,373	\$ (11,993)	\$ 719,380	\$ 53,496	\$ 492	\$ 773,369			
Reconciling Items:											
50 yr vs 60 yr hydro	(1,978)		(1,978)				(1,978)				(1,978) H
Update Gas Forecast	56,440		56,440				56,440				56,440 G
Gas MTM	(9,784)		(9,784)				(9,784)				(9,784) G
Update Coal Price & move adt to Aurora	2,406		(912)	1,495			1,495				1,495 Coal
Remove PSEG contract to Tennaska			323	323				323			323 Contracts
Douglas Settlement			(931)	(931)			(931)				(931) G
Adjust Wheeling Incr from 15% to 14%			338	338			338				338 W
Adjust WNP3 Contract	(1,525)		(1,525)				(1,525)				(1,525) Contracts
Capacity Cost Update	(2,746)		(2,746)				(2,746)				(2,746) Cap
Fixed Fuel Costs for Encogen	28		28				28				28 G
Prudence & Buyout Disallowance											(1,761) G
Colstrip O&M											1,151 Coal
Generation Impact for Freddy/Epcor											(62) G
Normalized Major Maintenance											(422) G
Net Change before CanWest	\$ 56,868	\$ (15,208)	\$ 41,661	\$ (1,761)	\$ 39,899	\$ 667	\$ -	\$ 40,566			
Rebuttal w/ Gas Price Updates b4 CanWest	\$ 582,748	\$ 190,286	\$ 773,033	\$ (13,754)	\$ 759,280	\$ 54,163	\$ 492	\$ 813,935			

CanWest Stlmt	1,577	1,577			1,577						1,577 CW
CanWest Replacement Gas Contracts MTM	(345)	(345)			(345)						(345) CW
CanWest Change	\$ -	\$ 1,232	\$ 1,232	\$ -	\$ 1,232	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,232
Net Change	\$ 56,868	\$ (13,976)	\$ 42,893	\$ (1,761)	\$ 41,132	\$ 667	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 41,799
GRC 9.30.04 Rebuttal w/ CanWest	\$ 582,748	\$ 191,518	\$ 774,266	\$ (13,754)	\$ 760,512	\$ 54,163	\$ 492	\$ 815,168			

As Filed	\$ 773,369
H	Hydro Update
G	Gas Price Update
Cap	Capacity Update
Coal	Colstrip Update
Contracts	Contracts Update
W	Wheeling
Rebuttal before CanWest	\$ 813,935
CW	CanWest Update
Rebuttal including CanWest	\$ 815,168

AURORA As Filed
AURORA As Filed w/ 50 year Hydro
Decrease due to 50 vs 60 year Hydro

\$ 525,879
\$ 523,901
(1,978)

GRC Power Cost Projections
Rate Year AURORA + Non-AURORA Power Costs
GRC 9.30.04 Gas Price Updates + 50 Year Hydro

Changes Highlighted

General Rate Case Rate Year: March 2005 - February 2006

	Mar-05	Apr-05	May-05	Jun-05	Jul-05	Aug-05	Sep-05	Oct-05	Nov-05	Dec-05	Jan-06	Feb-06
501 Coal Fuel	\$ 3,524	\$ 3,428	\$ 2,848	\$ 3,012	\$ 3,524	\$ 3,524	\$ 3,428	\$ 3,524	\$ 3,428	\$ 3,524	\$ 3,626	\$ 3,331
547 Natural Gas Fuel	5,755	4,618	4,169	4,341	7,291	12,077	13,831	9,878	10,506	11,747	13,402	10,916
555 Purchase & Interchange	57,732	43,390	32,823	40,654	33,694	34,242	37,130	51,434	60,064	75,823	72,717	61,696
557 Other Power Supply	562	562	562	562	562	562	562	562	562	562	544	544
565 Wheeling	3,373	3,390	3,386	3,549	3,763	3,573	3,880	4,057	4,018	3,975	3,927	3,916
447 Secondary Sales	(1,128)	(1,642)	(448)	(1,295)	(5,182)	(4,981)	(3,887)	(2,570)	(2,680)	(1,662)	(1,318)	(1,122)
Subtotal	\$ 69,817	\$ 53,746	\$ 43,338	\$ 50,822	\$ 43,671	\$ 48,986	\$ 54,944	\$ 66,885	\$ 75,897	\$ 93,969	\$ 92,899	\$ 79,281
456 Non-Core Gas	\$ 69,817	\$ 53,746	\$ 43,338	\$ 50,822	\$ 43,671	\$ 48,986	\$ 54,944	\$ 66,885	\$ 75,897	\$ 93,969	\$ 92,899	\$ 79,281
Subtotal with Non-Core Gas	\$ 69,817	\$ 53,746	\$ 43,338	\$ 50,822	\$ 43,671	\$ 48,986	\$ 54,944	\$ 66,885	\$ 75,897	\$ 93,969	\$ 92,899	\$ 79,281
Load in MWh	1,913,303	1,667,048	1,577,190	1,478,858	1,508,755	1,537,626	1,499,973	1,690,860	1,881,084	2,141,613	2,177,709	1,859,919
6.40% Delivered Load	1,790,852	1,560,997	1,476,250	1,394,211	1,412,194	1,439,218	1,493,975	1,582,645	1,760,695	2,004,560	2,038,336	1,740,884
Revenue Requirement Adjustments:												
Tenaska Buyout Disallowance										50.0%	\$ 21,442	(10,721)
Tenaska Prudence Disallowance										1.2%	\$ 164,337	(1,972)
March Point 2 Prudence Disallowance										3.0%	\$ 35,351	(1,061)
Production O&M (including ben & p/r tax)												
Colstrip 500 KV Expense												
Subtotal Costs for Revenue Requirement	\$ 815,167											
Remove CanWest Impact:												
Net Costs for Revenue Requirement	\$ 813,935											
As Filed Net Costs	\$ 773,369											
Difference	\$ 40,566											
Original File												
Rebuttal												
\$ 813,417												

GRC Power Cost Projections
Rate Year AURORA + Non-AURORA Power Costs
GRC 1.19.04 50 Year Hydro

Changes Highlighted

Rate Year AURORA + Non-AURORA Power Costs
Rate Year: March 2005 through February 2006

Changes highlighted

Row		As Filed	Change	(No Gas Updates) Rebuttal	Gas Price Change	(8)	Rebuttal w/ Gas Price Updates	Rebuttal w/ CanWest Change	Rebuttal w/ Gas Price + CanWest Updates
1	501 Coal Fuel	39,224	1,502	40,726		40,719	-	40,719	
2	547 Natural Gas Fuel	106,378	(445)	105,934	1,365	107,298	1,232	108,531	
3	555 Purchase & Interch:	562,021	(5,229)	556,792	44,606	601,398	-	601,398	
4	557 Other Power Supply	6,708	-	6,708	-	6,708	-	6,708	
5	565 Wheeling	44,468	338	44,806	-	44,806	-	44,806	
6	447 Secondary Sales	(27,103)	(303)	(27,406)	(490)	(27,896)	-	(27,896)	
7	Subtotal	\$ 731,696	\$ (4,136)	\$ 727,560	\$ 45,473	\$ 773,034	\$ 1,232	\$ 774,266	
8	456 Non-Core Gas	(323)	323	-	-	-	-	-	
9	Subtotal with Non-C	\$ 731,373	\$ (3,813)	\$ 727,560	\$ 45,473	\$ 773,034	\$ 1,232	\$ 774,266	
10	Load in MWh								
11	20,923,938	(0)	20,923,938	0	20,923,938	-	20,923,938	-	20,923,938
12	19,584,806	(0)	19,584,806	0	19,584,806	-	19,584,806	-	19,584,806
13									
14	Revenue Requirement Adjustments:								
15	Before adjustment								
16	Tenaska Buyout Disallowance	50.0%	(9,201)	21	(9,180)	(1,541)	(10,721)	-	(10,721)
17	Tenaska Prudence Disallowance	1.2%	(1,724)	(3)	(1,728)	(244)	(1,972)	-	(1,972)
18	March Point 2 Prudence Disallowance	3.0%	(1,067)	(4)	(1,071)	11	(1,061)	-	(1,061)
19	Net Power Costs	\$ 719,381	\$ (3,799)	\$ 715,581	\$ 43,698	\$ 759,280	\$ 1,232	\$ 760,512	
20	Production O&M (including ben & p/r tax)	53,496	1,130	54,626	(463)	54,163	-	54,163	
21	Colstrip 500 KV Expense	492	-	492	-	492	-	492	
22	Net Costs for Revenue Requirement	\$ 773,369	\$ (2,669)	\$ 770,700	\$ 43,235	\$ 813,935	\$ 1,232	\$ 815,167	
23	As Filed Net Costs Difference	0	(2,669)	773,369	773,369	40,566	40,566	773,369	41,798
24	Per Original Rebuttal	\$ 770,182	\$ 43,235	\$ 813,417	\$ 1,232	\$ 814,650	\$ 518	\$ 518	
25	Change	\$ 518	\$ -	\$ 518	\$ -	\$ 518	\$ -	\$ 518	
26									
27									