

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. STAFF SUMMARY OF ITS TESTIMONY2

III. STAFF POSITION ON ISSUES IDENTIFIED IN PREHEARING
CONFERENCE ORDER4

 A. Emergency Adjudication Issues4

 1. General Considerations4

 2. Specific Issues8

 a. Is the financial ability of individual ratepayers
 to pay increased costs for electricity a consideration
 relevant to determine whether there exists an immediate
 danger to the public health, safety or welfare? 8

 b. What indices of proof are required to show an individual
 ratepayer is financially incapable of paying increased
 costs for electricity so that there exists an immediate
 danger to the public health, safety or welfare?10

 c. What legal authority does the Commission possess
 to take the minimum action necessary to prevent or
 avoid the asserted immediate danger to the public
 health, safety or welfare? 11

 d. What does each party assert is the minimum action
 necessary to prevent the immediate danger to the
 public health, safety or welfare?11

 e. Does the Commission possess any legal authority
 to require customers of regulated utilities to take
 specific actions to protect themselves from
 circumstances such as those Complainants contend
 now constitute an immediate danger to the public
 health, safety or welfare? For example, does the
 Commission have the authority to order Complainants
 to seek financial or physical hedges against increased

	prices when they have elected to purchase power under a rate schedule that includes market-based rates?	15
B.	Emergency Relief Issues	16
1.	Commission Authority Under the Rate Plan to Provide the Relief Sought, or Other Relief	16
2.	Commission Authority to Affect the Rates in Schedule 48 Contracts and the Georgia Pacific Contract	17
3.	Commission Express and Implied Authority As to the Relief Sought, or Other Relief	19
4.	Specific Issues	30
a.	Is a finding that the rates charged by PSE are unjust, unreasonable unjustly discriminatory, or unduly preferential a necessary predicate to ordering the relief the Complainants request?	30
b.	What indices of proof are required to show the Schedule 48 or special contract rates are unjust, unreasonable, unjustly discriminatory or unduly preferential?	31
c.	Is a determination of what rate is a “sufficient” rate a predicate to ordering the relief the Complainant’s request?	34
d.	What rate does each party contend is a sufficient rate to be charged? What indices of proof are required to show the sufficiency of the proposed rate?	34
e.	By what legal authority can the Commission order an interim, or temporary, rate subject to refund when the regulated company has not filed for a rate change?	35
f.	On what bases may the Commission determine what should be the interim, or temporary rate?	36

- g. By what legal authority can the Commission order an interim, or temporary rate subject to surcharge? 36
- h. Must an interim, or temporary rate decrease be determined to be a just fair, reasonable and sufficient rate before it is placed into effect? 36