Docket No. UT-063061 Eschelon Telecom of Washington, Inc. Answer To Qwest's Petition for Reconsideration Attachment 2

Excerpt from the Direct Testimony of Teresa K. Million

Docket No. UT-063061 Direct Testimony of Teresa K. Million Exhibit TKM-1T September 29, 2006 Page 18

place an unfair burden on Qwest, especially when Qwest already has systems and identifiers in place to track existing private line services.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

Q. IS IT TRUE THAT WHEN QWEST ORIGINALLY CONVERTED CLECS'

PRIVATE LINE CIRCUITS TO UNES, THEY WERE ALLOWED TO

KEEP THEIR PRIVATE LINE CIRCUIT IDS?

Yes. However, this was so only because those CLECs objected to Qwest's efforts to convert those private line circuit IDs to circuit IDs representing UNE products. Owest only offered that option on a very limited basis for embedded circuits ordered before April 2005. The reason for discontinuing that practice in 2005 was that Owest discovered that, after allowing the circuit IDs to remain unchanged initially, it was experiencing difficulty in managing the large number of circuits. Further, Owest was incurring a substantial amount of expense on the resources necessary to manually track those circuits individually outside of Owest's systems. This tracking is necessary in order for Qwest to maintain its subsidiary records accurately so that maintenance and repairs on those circuits could be handled out of the appropriate service centers. Therefore, as of April 2005, that option is no longer available, and thus, any circuit additions or changes made to circuits after that date are required to change circuit IDs as well. Currently, there are fewer than 7% of all DS1 and DS3 UNEs that still have private line circuit IDs. Owest has accounted for those circuits in its conversion cost study, and thus does not include activities, or the associated costs, triggered by a change of circuit ID for those "grandfathered" circuits in its conversion costs.

25

24