In the Matter of the Petition Filed by Kalama Water, LLC

Docket No. UW-240615 - Vol. I

October 11, 2024



1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 Bellingham | Everett | Tacoma | Olympia | Yakima | Spokane Seattle 206.287.9066 Tacoma 253.235.0111 Eastern Washington 509.624.3261 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u> email: audio@buellrealtime.com

Page 1	Page 3
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	1 INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS
In the Matter of the Petition) Filed by) KALAMA WATER LLC,) DOCKET NO. UW-240615) Pursuant to RCW 34.05.240 and) WAC 480-07-930.) PAGES 1-105	3 PROCEEDINGS PAGE 4 Proceedings Commence 4 Opening Statement by Petitioner 10 5 Opening Statement by Respondent 14 Opening Statement by Staff 17 6 Closing Statement by Petitioner 87 Closing Statement by Respondent 92 7 Closing Statement by Staff 95 8 9 EXAMINATION INDEX
BRIEF ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDING - VOL I BEFORE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE CONNOR THOMPSON October 11, 2024 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 621 Woodland Square Loop SE Lacey, Washington 98504	10 11 WITNESS PAGE 12 ROBERT TERCEL Direct Examination by Attorney Howard 20 13 Cross-Examination by Attorney Lindberg 46 Cross-Examination by Attorney O'Brien 54 14 Redirect Examination by Attorney Howard 55 16 DEANNA ROUSE Cross-Examination by Attorney Howard 63 17 Redirect Examination by Attorney Lindberg 72
TRANSCRIBED BY: ELIZABETH PATTERSON HARVEY, WA CCR 2731	18 19 SCOTT SEVALL Direct Examination by Attorney O'Brien 77 20 Crosss-Examination by Attorney Lindberg 85 21 E X H I B I T I N D E X 23 NUMBER PAGE (All Prefiled Exhibits Admitted) 9 24 DR-3 E-mail
Page 2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES	1 October 11, 2024
2 3 FOR THE PETITIONER:	2 -000- 3
 Michael S. Howard Williams Kastner & Gibbs, PLLC 601 Union Street, Suite 4100 Seattle, Washington 98101 	4 JUDGE THOMPSON: We are now on the record. 5 Good afternoon. Today is Friday, October 11, 2024, and
6 7 FOR THE RESPONDENT: 8 Jeff Lindberg Landerholm, P.S.	 the time is 1:32 p.m. This is a hearing in Docket UW-240615 in the Matter of the Petition of Kalama Water LLC.
 9 805 Broadway Street, Suite 1000 PO Box 1086 10 Vancouver, Washington 98666 11 	 9 This matter was initiated upon submission 10 of a petition for declaratory order from Kalama Water on 11 August 12, 2024.
 FOR COMMISSION STAFF: Colin O'Brien Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Office of the Attorney General 	 On August 26, 2024, commission staff sent notice of the petition. On September 16, 2024, the commission
PO Box 40128 15 Olympia, Washington 98504 16	 received a response and comments from homeowners served by Kalama.
 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: Jessica Johanson-Kubin Office of the Attorney General Public Counsel Unit 200 Eith Aurone, Suite 2000 	 Commission staff has filed a notice, or my apologies. The commission filed notice on September 26, 2024, to all interested parties, setting an evidentiary hearing in the matter for today.
800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 20 Seattle, Washington 98104 21 22 ALSO PRESENT:	My name is Connor Thompson, and I am an administrative law judge presiding over today's brief
 23 Deanna Rouse Scott Sevall 24 Vladislav Tretnikov 25 	 adjudicative proceeding. Let's go ahead and take appearances on the record from the parties, and then we will go ahead and
25	

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

	Page 5		Page 7
1	talk about how we are going to proceed this morning.	1	a clear record.
2	We'll go ahead and start with the company.	2	Before we get started with presentation of
3	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Good afternoon, your	3	witnesses, let's just briefly talk about how we're going
4	Honor. Michael Howard, appearing for Kalama Water LLC.	4	to proceed today. I sent an e-mail to the parties
5	And the company owner, Robert Tershel, is here this	5	outlining this, but just to cover those grounds again for
6	afternoon.	6	all the parties, because this hearing was initiated
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	7	following a petition from the company, I'm going to ask
8	And for the Rouses?	8	that the company tender their evidence and witness for
9	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Good afternoon, your	9	examination first.
10	Honor. Jeff Lindberg for Mr. and Mrs. Rouse, and	10	We will then hear from the Rouses and
11	Mrs. Rouse is here with me.	11	staff.
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. It's good to	12	And then public counsel, you may weigh in
13	have you with us.	13	as well, although I don't have any exhibits from you.
14	Commission staff?	14	But if you would like to offer an opening statement or
15	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Good afternoon, your	15	any closing statement, I'll give you that opportunity as
16	Honor. Colin O'Brien, AAG appearing for commission	16	well.
17	staff. With me is Scott Sevall from staff.	17	We will have representatives for each
18	JUDGE THOMPSON: And public counsel?	18	party conduct direct examination of their witness. And
19	ATTORNEY JOHANSON-KUBIN: Good afternoon.	19	then I will provide an opportunity for cross-examination
20	This is Jessica Johanson-Kubin from public counsel.	20	and redirect.
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	21	We'll end the hearing by allowing each
22	And Mr. Tretnikov, would you like to	22	party a chance to give a closing statement.
23	appear and provide any comments during the hearing today,	23	And after those closing statements, I will
24	or are you merely appearing to observe the proceedings?	24	also discuss with the parties whether or not everyone
25	MR. TRETNIKOV: Yes. For the most part,	25	feels there is a need for post-hearing briefs and when
	with the trained v. tes. For the most part,		
	Page 6		Page 8
1	we're just to observe the proceedings. But we made our	1	those might be due, as well as page limitations on those
2	comments on the letter that we sent. I think you all saw	2	post-hearing briefs.
3	that. That's all that matters to us.	3	I have received exhibits, an exhibit list
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. So we	4	from the company, the Rouses, and staff. I did send
5	will not take an appearance from you, and I won't come	5	around an exhibit list for the parties just to try to
6	back to you to provide any statement during the hearing.	6	expedite today's proceedings.
7	Is that okay? Is that my understanding?	7	Given time estimates for direct and cross, I
8	MR. TRETNIKOV: That is fine, as long as	8	am expecting that this will go until after 5:00 p.m.
9	you guys saw the letter that we sent.	9	However, that may not be the case as we begin the
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: We have it.	10	proceeding and start getting through all the witnesses.
11	MR. TRETNIKOV: That's all. Thank you.	11	But I just wanted to put everybody on notice of that at
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	12	the beginning.
13	Since we are doing this hearing over Zoom,	13	I believe that the company and the Rouses
14	I am going to ask that the parties be aware of background	14	have stipulated to admission of all prefiled exhibits,
15	noise and that you mute your microphone when you are not	15	which would include the cross exhibits for the company,
16	speaking.	16	which are now available and linked on the UTC website.
17	If you need to object or raise an issue,	17	They previously were not, which is why they're not linked
18	please identify yourself when you are speaking.	18	in the exhibit list. Does staff and public counsel
19	And we should make an effort not to talk	19	stipulate to the admission of the exhibits as well?
20	over one another. We do not have a court reporter, I	20	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff stipulates to the
21	believe, with us today. However, this is being recorded	21	exhibits, your Honor.
22	and will be transcribed at a later date, and so we want	22	ATTORNEY JOHANSON-KUBIN: As does public
23	to keep the record as clear as possible. Making sure	23	counsel.
24	your microphones are muted when you're not speaking and	24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And just to be
	, i j i j		
25	not speaking over one another will help to ensure we have	25	clear, were there any exhibits which were not stipulated

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

	Page 9	Page 11
1	to?	1 to draw from the groundwater and has responsibility to
2	I believe the stipulation included all	 2 ensure that quality potable water is delivered to
3	exhibits, but I just want to make sure. Mr. Howard?	³ customers. Clean drinking water is a human right,
4	ATTORNEY HOWARD: That is correct. That	4 according to the United Nations recognizing that in 2010.
5	is our position and understanding.	5 Consequently, Washington State's decision of who to
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	6 entrust with responsibilities of a water company is an
7	And Mr. Lindberg?	7 important and weighty decision.
8	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Yes, that is correct,	8 In this case Robert Tershel, or Bob
9	your Honor. We stipulate with the other parties to	9 Tershel, is the owner and operator of Kalama Water LLC.
10	admission of ail the exhibits.	10 It is a very small Class B water system, with only a
11	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	11 couple of customers right now on Resort Lane in Cowlitz
12	(All prefiled exhibits admitted)	12 County.
13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Are there any questions	13 Mr. Tershel acquired the system in 2014.
14	or housekeeping items before we proceed?	14 And the UTC recognized the transfer of the water system
15	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: If I may, your Honor,	15 ownership to Mr. Tershel and Kalama Water LLC through a
16	just on that issue of the exhibits, I take it, then,	16 2015 open meeting docket and approved Kalama Water's
17	based on the stipulation, those are all admitted now and	17 tariff in that same docket.
18	there's not a need to throughout the proceeding to	18 Rule 9 of the tariff specifically provides
19	move to admit?	19 that the company can access the property at reasonable
20	JUDGE THOMPSON: That's correct. They're	20 business hours to perform its water company tasks.
21	admitted. And to the extent that they're admitted,	21 Since acquiring the system in 2014,
22	there's no need to lay foundation for those either. So	22 Mr. Tershel has visited the property himself numerous
23	if that helps shorten any of the direct, you know, that	23 times to identify and repair issues with the water
24	may get us to the end before 5:00.	system; to install shutoff valves; and to repair and
25	And so we can go ahead and proceed.	25 locate leaks and to evaluate the Rouses' complaints about
	Page 10	Page 12
1	Mr. Howard, if you want to go ahead and	1 air in the lines; hiring the driller, Pitner Drilling, to
2	proceed and call your witness?	2 come out and evaluate that issue.
3	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Your Honor, would it be	3 And during this time, Kalama Water has
4	permissible for the company to offer a short opening	4 continued to maintain necessary licenses and has passed
5	statement first?	5 water quality testing. Kalama Water has never been
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Oh, my apologies. Yes,	6 penalized by the Department of Health. And the company's
7	absolutely. I jumped over the opening statement. Yes,	7 only penalty from the UTC, as far as the company is aware
8	let's go ahead and proceed with opening statements.	8 at this time, is for the failure to file annual reports,
9	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you.	⁹ which, as the commission is aware, may be simply a
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you for the	10 first-time paperwork violation for a small business.
11	reminder.	11 Kalama Water has been making every
12		12 reasonable effort to provide clean and potable water for
13	OPENING STATEMENT BY PETITIONER	13 its customers; however, we are here today because of a
14	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. And the	14 conflict with a customer on the system.
15	company will try to keep its case efficient today in	15 The Rouses purchased 224 Resort Lane in
16	terms of time.	16 2001. This is the lot where the pumphouse is located.
17	Your Honor, a water company is not just	17 The Rouses paid their connection fee and
18	the pumphouse or the pipes in the ground. It's a	18 their water bills until December 2023. But sometime in
19 20	combination of personal and real property, tangible and	19 2024, the Rouses decided to stop paying their water bill,
20 21	intangible assets. A water company is regulated by the	20 to replace the door on the pumphouse with a less
21 22	commission the Utilities and Transportation	 expensive door and a different lock, and to demand 48 hours' notice with other specific conditions for notice
	Commission, when I refer to "the commission" and the	
23 24	Department of Health, and frequently the Department of	 for Kalama Water to access the pumphouse. The Rouses sent Kalama Water a letter on
24 25	Ecology. A water company possesses the water rights	24 I ne Rouses sent Kalama water a letter on 25 June 24 of this year arguing that there's no easement for
ر ک	A water company possesses the water lights	

	Page 13		Page 15
1	the pumphouse and the other items on their property; and	1	actually far narrower than the issues identified in
2	that therefore, Mr. Tershel cannot access the property or	2	counsel's opening statement. The issue is simply one
3		3	
	charge them for water.	4	stating a request for an order allowing access under Rule
4	This case affects not only Kalama Water,		305.
5	but the public interest more broadly. The company	5	Counsel is correct. The parties are
6	submits at this time that the underlying easement rights	6	involved in an underlying real property dispute. And as
7	may be unclear and require further evaluation, but even	7	Mr. Howard acknowledged, there are it's our position
8	assuming for the sake of the argument that the Rouses are	8	there is no easement in place enabling this access. But
9	correct to some degree, can a homeowner claim water	9	of course that's not an issue that can be decided here in
10	company property when there is no easement, and can this	10	this proceeding.
11	homeowner place a lock on the door and prevent the water	11	But in some ways, even though there is a
12	company from accessing and controlling its water	12	significant underlying real property dispute here, the
13	rights? No. That surely must not be the case.	13	petition is almost much ado about nothing. The exhibits
14	Kalama Water is the entity with the water	14	on file that have now been admitted show that the Rouses
15	rights and other property rights bound up with providing	15	have acknowledged or agreed to allow Kalama Water access
16	water to customers for hire.	16	to the well and the pumphouse.
17	Kalama Water is also the responsible	17	And in fact, two months after that was
18	entity charged by the commission and DOH, Department of	18	stated in a letter from me to Mr. Tershel specifically,
19	Health, with maintaining quality water for customers.	19	he filed this petition. And even after filing the
20	An easement dispute or a lack of clarity	20	petition, when the Rouses restated their willingness to
21	in easements should not result in one homeowner	21	facilitate access, there was no response to that at all.
22	endangering the water supply of their neighbor, and it	22	So again, in Mrs. Rouse's declaration, she
23	should not entitle that homeowner to free water for life	23	stated there that the Rouses remain willing to facilitate
24	either.	24	this access.
25	Kalama Water therefore requests that the	25	So there's really not a situation here
	Page 14		Page 16
1	commission enter a declaratory order stating that the	1	where Kalama Water is being denied access. There's not a
2	company has the right to access the property during	2	situation here where there's a threat to quality of
3	reasonable hours as provided by commission rule WAC	3	drinking water. None of these rather extreme
4	480-110-305. Kalama Water must have the right to ensure	4	circumstances that counsel outlined in his opening
5	the other customers on the system have clean water	5	
б	because this is the company's responsibility under the	1	statement, those aren't the facts here.
	because this is the company's responsibility under the	6	statement, those aren't the facts here. This is a narrow petition seeking an order
7	law.		
7 8		6	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that
	law.	6 7	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't
8	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right	6 7 8 9 10	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts.
8 9 10 11	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the	6 7 8 9 10 11	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty
8 9 10 11 12	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think,
8 9 10 11 12 13	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the property under color of right and that the Rouses have	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening. One thing that is absolutely not in front
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the property under color of right and that the Rouses have blocked access and have not paid their water bill since	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening. One thing that is absolutely not in front of this tribunal today, your Honor, is the issue of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the property under color of right and that the Rouses have blocked access and have not paid their water bill since December 2023.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening. One thing that is absolutely not in front of this tribunal today, your Honor, is the issue of disconnecting Mr. and Mrs. Rouse for nonpayment. There's
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the property under color of right and that the Rouses have blocked access and have not paid their water bill since December 2023. That concludes the company's opening	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening. One thing that is absolutely not in front of this tribunal today, your Honor, is the issue of disconnecting Mr. and Mrs. Rouse for nonpayment. There's been no petition, no documentation, no notice that I'm
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the property under color of right and that the Rouses have blocked access and have not paid their water bill since December 2023. That concludes the company's opening remarks at this time. Thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening. One thing that is absolutely not in front of this tribunal today, your Honor, is the issue of disconnecting Mr. and Mrs. Rouse for nonpayment. There's been no petition, no documentation, no notice that I'm aware of, nothing at all that's been provided as required
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the property under color of right and that the Rouses have blocked access and have not paid their water bill since December 2023. That concludes the company's opening remarks at this time. Thank you. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening. One thing that is absolutely not in front of this tribunal today, your Honor, is the issue of disconnecting Mr. and Mrs. Rouse for nonpayment. There's been no petition, no documentation, no notice that I'm aware of, nothing at all that's been provided as required in order to disconnect service. So that's a little bit
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the property under color of right and that the Rouses have blocked access and have not paid their water bill since December 2023. That concludes the company's opening remarks at this time. Thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening. One thing that is absolutely not in front of this tribunal today, your Honor, is the issue of disconnecting Mr. and Mrs. Rouse for nonpayment. There's been no petition, no documentation, no notice that I'm aware of, nothing at all that's been provided as required in order to disconnect service. So that's a little bit over the top in my view, and I would just ask that that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the property under color of right and that the Rouses have blocked access and have not paid their water bill since December 2023. That concludes the company's opening remarks at this time. Thank you. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening. One thing that is absolutely not in front of this tribunal today, your Honor, is the issue of disconnecting Mr. and Mrs. Rouse for nonpayment. There's been no petition, no documentation, no notice that I'm aware of, nothing at all that's been provided as required in order to disconnect service. So that's a little bit over the top in my view, and I would just ask that that not be considered today at all. It's not something
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the property under color of right and that the Rouses have blocked access and have not paid their water bill since December 2023. That concludes the company's opening remarks at this time. Thank you. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? DOPENING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening. One thing that is absolutely not in front of this tribunal today, your Honor, is the issue of disconnecting Mr. and Mrs. Rouse for nonpayment. There's been no petition, no documentation, no notice that I'm aware of, nothing at all that's been provided as required in order to disconnect service. So that's a little bit over the top in my view, and I would just ask that that not be considered today at all. It's not something that's the subject of the petition.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the property under color of right and that the Rouses have blocked access and have not paid their water bill since December 2023. That concludes the company's opening remarks at this time. Thank you. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? OPENING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening. One thing that is absolutely not in front of this tribunal today, your Honor, is the issue of disconnecting Mr. and Mrs. Rouse for nonpayment. There's been no petition, no documentation, no notice that I'm aware of, nothing at all that's been provided as required in order to disconnect service. So that's a little bit over the top in my view, and I would just ask that that not be considered today at all. It's not something that's the subject of the petition. We're here to adjudicate what was asked
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	law. The commission should also declare that Kalama has the right to enter the property to disconnect the Rouses for their nonpayment because this is a right as a regulated entity with an approved tariff from the commission. In the alternative, at the very least, we ask that the UTC find that Kalama Water was accessing the property under color of right and that the Rouses have blocked access and have not paid their water bill since December 2023. That concludes the company's opening remarks at this time. Thank you. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? DOPENING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	This is a narrow petition seeking an order for access, access to which the Rouses to an extent don't object, but there is an underlying property issue that need to be resolved that, again, can't be resolved here. That has to be resolved at the superior courts. But so in some ways, the issue is pretty focused here today, quite a bit more, I think, understanding from just listening to Mr. Howard's opening. One thing that is absolutely not in front of this tribunal today, your Honor, is the issue of disconnecting Mr. and Mrs. Rouse for nonpayment. There's been no petition, no documentation, no notice that I'm aware of, nothing at all that's been provided as required in order to disconnect service. So that's a little bit over the top in my view, and I would just ask that that not be considered today at all. It's not something that's the subject of the petition.

	Page 17		Page 19
1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And	1	I'm just here in an observational capacity.
2	does that conclude your opening?	2	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. That's
3	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Yes, it does.	3	good for me to know.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	4	ATTORNEY JOHANSON-KUBIN: Thank you.
5	And let's please hear from staff.	5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. With that, I will
6		6	now go back to where I previously skipped ahead. And
7	OPENING STATEMENT BY STAFF	7	Mr. Howard, if you would please call your witness.
8	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Good afternoon, your	8	ATTORNEY HOWARD: The company would call
9	Honor.	9	the company owner, Mr. Tershel.
10	The issue before the Court is actually	10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And Mr. Tershel,
11	relatively simple as far as the law goes. The Rouses	11	if you could unmute yourself.
12	have taken affirmative action to restrict access to a	12	THE WITNESS: (Inaudible).
13	regulated water company's property by placing locks on	13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay.
14	the pumphouse.	14	THE WITNESS: Am I unmuted now? I'm
15	The (inaudible) -110-305, a water company	15	sorry. There's no mute button, mute or unmute on my
16	has the right to enter a customer's property during	16	phone. But then when you said that, a message popped up
17	reasonable hours to access its property.	17	and I was able to do so. But it's like I don't have
18	Even in the Rouses' counsel's opening	18	control of that. But anyway, okay, let's proceed.
19	statement, Mr. Lindberg's opening statement, we see a	19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
20	misunderstanding of the law as it's written in the WAC.	20	I'm going to ask that you please raise
21	The Rouses don't have the right to facilitate access.	21	your right hand, and I'll go ahead and swear you in. And
22	They have the ability under WAC to ask for identification	22	since we cannot see you, if you'll let me know when
23	from the company if company personnel are accessing the	23	you've raised your right hand.
24	property, but they don't have the right to refuse access.	24	THE WITNESS: It's raised.
25	They don't have the right to be a facilitator. They	25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
	Page 18		Page 20
1	don't have the right to lock the company out of their	1	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
2	property and say you access it when we say or when we	2	you give today will be the truth, the whole truth, and
3	approve.	3	nothing but the truth?
4	As testified to by staff, a wellhouse	4	THE WITNESS: I do.
5	which is inaccessible to a company presents potential	5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
6	harm to the public health and well-being. And	6	Mr. Howard, you can go ahead and proceed.
7	accordingly, this Court should grant the petition by	7	
8	Kalama for the company to be allowed access to the well	8	ROBERT TERCEL, witness herein, having been duly
9	and to order the locks removed. Thank you, your Honor.	9	sworn on oath, testified as
10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	10	follows:
11	And would public counsel like to provide	11	
12	an opening statement?	12	
13	ATTORNEY JOHANSON-KUBIN: No, your Honor.	13	BY ATTORNEY HOWARD:
14	Per our letter that we submitted yesterday, public	14	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Tershel. Can you tell me
15	counsel is not taking a position in this matter.	15	about your relationship to Kalama Water LLC?
16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. And quickly, let	16	A Well, just a brief history. The development
17 18	me just look to see if Records has uploaded that letter.	17 18	and the water system was not created by me, but rather a
19	It does not look like it's in the docket	19	person by the name of Dan Class. He owned the water
20	yet. But I appreciate you letting me know what the contents of that letter say. And so just to just so	20	system under I believe it was Green Mountain H2O. The property of the development was originally four lots of
20	that I am sure that I understand, the letter submitted is	20	approximately eight seven acres each, or a total of 28
21	just saying that public counsel takes no position, so l	21	acres. Subsequently for the next two years, he
23	assume that means you won't be having any cross or	23	Q Mr. Tershel, I'm sorry. I may have asked you
24	closing today; is that correct?	24	an overly broad question.
25	ATTORNEY JOHANSON-KUBIN: That's correct.	25	A Sorry.

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

	Page 21		Page 23
1	Q What is your role at Kalama Water LLC?	1	a well in any way?
2	A I'm the owner and operator.	2	A Yes. And because it's a public well, it's my
3	Q All right. Thank you. I apologize for my	3	understanding there's a requirement that it has a
4	A That's all right.	4	hundred-foot buffer around the well of any kind of
5	Q question.	5	development for buildings or anything just for sanitary
6	And as the judge noted, the company's exhibits	6	safety issues, if that's what you're referring to.
7	have been admitted into evidence. But could you at a	7	Q Thank you. Yes.
8	high level, could you tell me about that development	8	So do you know whether the predecessor company,
9	process and how the company can you tell me about	9	Green Mountain H2O, was regulated by the Utilities and
10	I'm sorry. I'm going to start over.	10	Transportation Commission?
11	Can you tell me about the process leading to	11	A Yes. I'm not sure how long, but they were
12	the creation of the predecessor company, Green Mountain	12	regulated before it was transferred to my LLC.
13	H2O?	13	Q And when ownership was transferred to Kalama
14	A Okay. I'll try to do quick bullet points. The	14	Water LLC, was there any proceeding before the Utilities
15	development was approved in sometime in the year 2006.	15	and Transportation Commission related to that?
16	When I say "development," the original four lots, larger	16	A Well, I made application this goes back ten
17	four lots, were divided into 12 lots, creating the View	17	years now. I made application to transfer the LLC from
18	development. And when lots are created in at least	18	I believe it was called Green Mountain H2O to mine,
19	Cowlitz County, they have to have a water source. So the	19	because I was taking it over and I owned it. I have a
20	water system was completed sometime in 2006. And so it's	20	bill of sale recorded ten years ago, so I did so.
21	been operating for 18 years.	21	Q All right. Thank you.
22	Then the great recession hit. So the first	22	And so let's talk a little bit more
23	sale of one lot was in 2009. It happened to be 224	23	specifically about the subdivision and some of the
24	Resort Lane, the lot in question with the pumphouse and	24	properties on it. So can you tell me which lot in the
25	the well.	25	division the pumphouse is located on?
			5 04
	Page 22		Page 24
1	And then in 2012, the purchaser that bought the	1	A 224 Resort Lane.
2	lot in 2009, Ed Price, completed his house. So that	2	Q All right. And when was that is that
3	water line went online in 2012.	3	property developed?
4	And then I took over the system about two years	4	A That was the one I was referring to. That was
5	later, in 2014. In fact, it was ten years ago from today	5	the first lot well, they were all developed in 2006.
6	that Mr. Dan Class signed over the bill of sale. It	6	I mean, they all had water availability and they were
7	didn't get recorded until October 30, 2014, but it's	7	approved. So that's what I meant by Exhibit 3. So
8	basically been in my control for ten years.	8	anyway, back in 2006.
9	Q Thank you.	9	So I'm sorry. What was the rest of the
10	And if we look at the company's exhibits let	10	question? (Inaudible).
11	me see here. The company has submitted exhibits into	11	Q You did answer my question.
12	evidence. So we have our first exhibit, the real estate	12	And my next question would actually be do you
13	contract, RT-1; and RT-2, an auditor's indexing form.	13	recall when the house was built at 224
14	Are those relevant to the development of that	14	A Yes, yes, I recall exactly. So the lot was
15	to the creation of this development as you just	15 16	sold in 2009. I think it was February, March.
16 17	described? A They are. They are. They go way back in	17	And the house didn't start being built until maybe end of 2011 into 2012. It was completed in 2012,
18	history, but that's the origination.	18	and it went kind of online, where it was physically
18	Q Okay. Thank you.	19	serviced by the water company, towards the end of 2012.
20	Do you have our exhibit RT-3 available to you?	20	Q All right. Thank you.
20	A It's not right in front of me right now, but	20	And did the Rouses own that property at that
21	I've seen it many times. It's basically the plat map for	22	time?
23	the development.	23	A No. No. That was Ed Price. He bought it. He
23	Q Right. Thank you.	24	bought the lot in 2009, built the house and completed it
25	And does the plat map speak to the creation of	25	in 2012, and sold it to the Rouses in August of 2012.

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

	Page 25	Pa	age 27
1	Q All right. Thank you.	1 A Since 2013.	
2	When Mr. Price owned the residence at 224	2 Q And did you receive payments from your	
3	Resort Lane for that period of time, did Kalama Water LLC	3 customers on Resort Lane?	
4	provide water service to that residence?	4 A Well, yes.	
5	A Yes, it did. And I came and went without any	5 Q And tell us how many customers you have	e on
6	issues to maintain it. And he paid his water bill, and	6 Resort Lane?	
7	that was that, you know.	7 A Well, okay. So there's the there's the View	N
8	Q All right. Thank you.	8 One, which is a separate Class B water system app	
9	So let's talk specifically about the	 9 for eight hookups, and there's View Two. 	10100
10	acquisition. We have Company's Exhibit RT-4, which is a	10 So do you want me just to direct my commen	uts to
11	bill of sale?	11 the water system we're talking about and not the otl	
12	A Mm-hm.	12 Q Yes.	nor .
13	Q Do you happen to have this in front of you?	13 A Okay.	
14	A I don't have that as well. But I just looked	14 Q Thank you.	
15	at it just before the hearing. But	15 A That's what I thought.	
16	Q All right.	16 So as I kind of alluded to or stated, 224	
17	A It's a recorded document. So I don't know.	17 Resort Lane has been the only hookup to this water	revetam
18	Q All right. And it's in evidence, and I	 Resolt Lane has been the only hookup to this water for 12 years. Well, 18 years since its inception, but 	-
19	won't		
20	A The UTC obviously saw that to approve the	 years for the hookup where the user's actually using i.e., 224 Resort Lane. 	уn,
20	transfer from Green Mountain to Kalama Water. So		
21		 And just this spring, it was April of 2024, finally, the second user, Ivan and Irena, hooked up, 	and
23	anyway Q All right. Thank you. Because that's in	they're the second user. So it's been a long haul.	, anu
23	evidence, I won't dwell on that	 23 they re the second user. So it's been a long hadi. 24 Anyway 	
25	A (Inaudible).	25 Q Do you recall the last name of those secon	nd
23			
	Page 26	Pa	age 28
1	Q further right now.	1 customers?	
2	So since you acquired Kalama Water LLC, have	2 A You know, they're a party to this. It starts	
3	you been operating that company continuously for the	3 with a T. I'm going to butcher the last name. But it	
4	subdivision?	4 starts with a T.	
5	A I have, which includes taking annual water	5 Q All right. Thank you.	
6	tests. At least every year, you have to take a bacteria	6 If I refer to the name Tretnikov, would that -	
7	test; every two years is a nitrate test.	7 A Yeah, that's it. That's it. (Inaudible)	
8	I even did voluntarily a I just forgot the	8 again.	
9	word an arsenic test, which by the way, passed flying	9 Q Thank you.	
10	colors. And I think several have been done in the	10 A Okay.	
11	history, but I did one at least three or four years ago.	11 Q And as the operator of Kalama Water LLC, si	ince
12	So that's not required, but I did that.	12 acquiring that company, have you performed repair	rs to the
13	So I did all annual testing every year since	13 system?	
14	I've owned it. I had an operating permit which that's a	14 A Many, numerous. It required many numerous	i
15	necessary component of, and anyway, so yes.	15 repairs, especially in the last year because I finally	
16	Q All right. Thank you.	16 had the second user.	
17	Have you ever received a excuse me; have you	17 For the first 12 years, 12 years, the	
18	ever received a penalty issued by the Department of	18 distribution lines were in the water. They were all shu	ut
19	Health for Kalama Water	19 off with the main shut-off valve because there were le	∋aks
20	A No.	20 before, and there was no other user for 12 years.	
21	Q policy?	21 So when the second user came on, I had to do	a
22	A No.	lot of catchup. It required a lot of catchup.	
23	Q All right. Did you pay the electrical	23 Unfortunately, it involved releasing some air into the	
25		Rouses' house and the water line; and unfortunately,	
24	utilities required for operating the pumphouse of Kalama	24 Rouses' house and the water line; and unfortunately,	
	utilities required for operating the pumphouse of Kalama Water LLC?	24 Rouses house and the water line, and unfortunately,25 their house, on top of that, happens to be somewhat a	

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

1 the pumphouse. So it was a combination of you know, unfortunate circumstances which I tried to ameliorate as best as possible. And so I was concomed with that. So preactively in lato October, beginning of November 2023. 2 But I want to say since - finally, I got I going with four shut-off wales and numerous repairs for the lines since - it was February 13, I don't think there's beam any problem. So I think I anyway. Do you recail when have notified of any problem. So I think I anyway. O Do you recail when the Rouses purchased 224 Resort Lane? No. 2 A 01 of 0221. A 01 of 0221. A 01 or qain, our eablith what Shifts are in evidence. 3 D oy our recail when the Rouses purchased 224 Resort Lane? A 01 or 0221. 3 A 01 or of 2021. A 01 or of 2021. 4 A 11 fight. Thank you. A 01 or tecall when the Rouses purchased 224 mayway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want the tell you what'the relevance of that is? 4 A 21 low listing? A 01 well,		Page 29	Page 31
2 unfortunate circumstances which I tried to ameliorate as bestele. proactively in late Ottober, Beginning of November 2023. 4 Buf I want to say since - finally, I got it going with four shut-off valves and numerous repairs for the lines since - fi was. Perhaary 13. I ont think it anyway. Q A linight. Thank you. Q Do you recail when the Rouses purchased 224 7 Q A linight. Thank you. G A linight. Thank you. 9 Q A linight. Thank you. In the fail of 2023, did you have Pitner 11 A dir off. Thank you. In the fail of 2023, did you have Pitner 12 A linight. Thank you. In the fail of 2023, did you have Pitner 13 A dir off. Thank you. In the fail of 2023, did you have Pitner 14 A linight. Thank you. In the fail of 2023, did you have Pitner 15 A all off. Thank you. In the fail of 2023, did you have Pitner 16 A All orght. Thank you. In the fail of 2023, did you have have as add the fail of 2023, did you have have as add thave have add the fail of 2023, did you have have add	1		
a best as possible. inad that replaced plus its associated tank, smaller a going with four shut-off valves and numerous repairs for inad that replaced plus its associated tank, smaller a A I van to say since - finally, I got it a considerable cost. But I dot that a Do you recall the cost for that pump and tank? A I was, with labor and materials, in the a A lif right. Thank you. in the flaid 2023, did you have Pfiner in the flaid 2023, did you have Pfiner C A lif right. Thank you. in the flaid 2023, did you have Pfiner in the flaid 2023, did you have Pfiner C A lif right. Thank you. in the flaid 2023, did you have Pfiner installed the shut-off valves and did the repairs on the Do you recall whether this listing r A Woll, nees, which I couldn't call prevent and twes sory for. So are called the Pfiners, and the a A lif with the relevance of that is? A A Yell, you what the relevance of the wilds installed the shut-off valve wouldn't do much good at the house. But i would still do a Do you recall whether this listing that we have as section for utilities. And under Water, it a listy, informative, in the material prevent and the system, let as social. Binn <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>			
4 But I wan to say since - Inally, I got II ank at considerable cost. But I dot that. 9 opin with four shutch of valves and numerous repairs A Do you recall the cost for that pump and nate? 4 A it right. Thank you. D A it right. Thank you. 9 Q A it right. Thank you. D A it right. Thank you. 13 Q A it right. Thank you. D A it right. Thank you. 14 A dot ur - again, our exhibits are in evidence. Do you recall what Exhibit RT-5 contains? 15 Do you recall what Exhibit RT-5 contains? A A dill right. Thank you. 16 A D, yes, that's right. It's also MLS. So Installed the shut-off valves wouldn't do much good. 16 a Dy our recall whether this listing that we have as exclored to rutilities. And under Water, it It's also with abbrane was gring upset about water entering the lines. Whether and the listings. 16 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings. The yes as estion for utilities. And under Water, it 17 Page 30 Page 32 18 a All right. Thank you. A 19 A All right. Thank you. A 2			
 going with four shut-off valves and numerous repairs for the lines since it was February 13, I don't think it here's been any problem, or I havan't been notified of any problem. So I think I - anyway. Q All right. Thank you. D you recall when the Rouses purchased 224 A 8/17 of 2021. A 0/18 with the field. Thank you. A 0/18 with the field. Thank you. A 0/19. we call what Exhibit RT-5 contains? A A Chill right. Thank you. A A Chill right. Thank you. A A Chill right. Thank you. C Do you recall whether this listing that we have a section for utilities. And under Water, it all was say through be a shut-off valves and the and lwas say to come what be for water service? B A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it all word good. A Yes. C Did you perform any work on the water system, and that she in house. And when air enters the system, it goes to high west point in the system, and that she in house. And when air enters the system, it goes to high west throw, you indicated that Deanna was complaining about water entering the lines? A Yes. A Yes. B Uht he majority of it was in the fall of 2023 going into a little bit of the wither 2024, when I made 11 sead on your order to "Deanna," are you referring to Deanna Rouse? A A Well, yees in the fall of 2023, when in wariable speed pump to the pumphouse. B the malaying yoise. The Rouses point of the distribution lines had been making noise. The Rouses point of the distribution lines and you, but the fair to as your order was accordial to a struct in the lines? A Yes. B Uht he rais and in 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed speed pump		•	
6 the lines since - it was rebrury 13, Idon't think 7 there's been any problem, or I haven't been notified of 8 any problem. So I think I - anyway. 9 Q. All right. Thank you. 9 Q. All right. Thank you. 9 Q. All right. Thank you. 10 Do you recall when the Rouses purchased 224 11 Resort Lane? 12 A. Birdy of a statistical effective states is the street of state		· · ·	
7 there's been any problem, sol think I - anyway. neighborhood of \$3,000. 9 Q All right. Thank you. Do you recall when the Rouses purchased 224 10 Do you recall when the Rouses purchased 224 In the fall of 2023, did you have Pitner 11 A 8/17 of 2021. In the fall of 2023, did you have Pitner 12 A 8/17 of 2021. In the fall of 2023, did you have Pitner 13 G All right. Thank you. A Well, runcrous times. They were the ones that 14 A Refresh my memory. Take facts my memory. 17 G A All right. Thank you. A On, yes, that's right. It's also MLS. So 18 A No, yes, that's right. It's also MLS. So anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever: Do you want me to tell you what the relearts the any spects of the well or 2 G Do you recall whether this listing that we have Faucet anyway indic the ouse. And when air 2 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it So, but i really wouldn't do much good. 2 A All right. Thank you. Q And after that purchase of the house by the forware system, jet's say through the eud of a 2023, when in made trade system, and that's their house, is through the pumphouse. 2 A Ves. A Ves. A Yes. Q And after the purchas			
any problem: So It think I - anyway. a A If right: Thank you. b Do you recail when the Rouses purchased 224 Resort Lane? A Well, numerous times. They were the ones that installed the shurd? A a A 3/17 of 2021. a A and our - again, our exhibits are in evidence. b Do you recail what Exhibit RT-5 contains? c A Cheyes, that's right. I's also MLS. So anyway, it's really Mults. Whatever. Do you want me to a Do you recail whet the relevance of that is? Q A Obyes, that's right. I's also MLS. So anyway, it's really Mult bising? There of us had aliccustoin. And a liceall, finan Phiner kind of toole her a sub-tool water entering the lines, which i could still do a Do you recail whet evance of that is? Q O you recail whet well or water service? Page 30 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings. 25 they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it B Page 30 Page 30 Page 32 Page 30 Page 32 Page 30 Page 32 A Nes, in	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9 A All right. Thank you. 9 In the fall of 2023, did you have Pitner 10 Do you recall whet Rouses purchased 224 Page 30 11 Resort Lane? A 8/17 of 2021. 12 A 8/17 of 2021. Inste. fall of 2023, did you have Pitner 13 Q All right. Thank you. And our - again, our exhibits are in evidence. 14 A Arito you and the Schibit RT-5 contains? 15 A O, hy, est, that right. It's also MLS. So anyway, its right. It's also MLS. So anyway, its right. It's also MLS. So anyway, its right weance of that it? 16 a O you recall wheter this listing that we have as eschibit RT-5 contains? 16 a O you recall wheter this listing that we have as eschibit RT-5 refers to any aspects of the well or as anyway, risk really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to tell you what the relevance of that it? 17 Well, yes. As in most real estate listings. 18 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings. 19 a All right. Thank you. 20 A lift well. 21 Q All right. Thank you. 22 A well, yes. As in most real estate listings. 24 A Well, yes. As in most real state listings. 25 they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 26	8		
10 Do yoir recall when the Rouses purchased 224 10 Drilling visit the site* 11 Resort Lane? A Well, numerous times. They were the ones that installed the shut-off values and did the repairs on the lines. 12 A Motion - again, our exhibits are in evidence. The source and what Exhibit RT-5 contains? A did the fail, Deanna was getting upset about 13 A Adour - again, our exhibits are in evidence. The source and was getting upset about 14 A Ned or - again, our exhibits are in evidence. And the fail, Deanna was getting upset about 16 A Refresh my memory. And line fail, Deanna was getting upset about 17 Q AZilow listing? And line fail, Deanna was getting upset about 18 Parter May way, it's really MMS. Whatever. Do you wait me to tell you what the relevance of that is? And listing that we have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 10 Do you recall whether this listing that we have as exclion for utilities. And under Water, it So but if really wouldn't do much good. 11 A Well, yes, As in most real estate listings, was setting upset wouldn't do much good. A set say, unfortunated sesjon. In the system, and that's their house, is through the pumphouse. 12 O And fafter that purchase of the house by the Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to maintain the water system, let's say through the e	9		
11 Resort Lane? 11 A Well, runnous times. They were the ones that installed the shut-off valves and did the repairs on the innes. 12 A and our - again, our exhibits are in evidence. 12 installed the shut-off valves and did the repairs on the innes. 13 A Zillow listing? A A Toth, ves. that's right. It's also MLS. So anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to tell you what the relevance of that is? A Do, yes, that's right. It's also MLS. So anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to tell you what the relevance of that is? A Do, yes, that's right. It's also MLS. So anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to tell you what the relevance of that is? A Do, yes, that's right. It's also MLS. So anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to tell you what the relevance of that is? Primer kind of told her a shut-off valve wouldn't do much good. 21 Q Do you recall whether this listing that we have a section for utilities. And under Water, it' So, but it really wouldned to much good. 23 they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it' Says community well. So, but it really wouldned to much good. 24 A Ves. Q All right. Thank you. G And after that purchase of the house by the Rouses, kill they let you come and go as needed to maintain the water system, let's say through the and for usine 2021 or 22, i'm sure 1 performed some. G Air. Thank you. G Air. Thank you. G Air. Thank you. G Air. Thank you. G A lift right. Thank	10		-
12 A 8/17 of 2021. 13 Q All right. Thank you. 14 And our - again, our exhibits are in evidence. 15 Do you recall what Exhibit RT-5 contains? 16 A Refresh my memory. 17 Q AZilow listing? 18 Participation and our - again, our exhibits are in evidence. 19 A Oh, yes, that's right. Th's also MLS. So 19 and was entroing the lines., which I couldn't really prevent 10 Do you recall whether this listing that we have 20 as Exhibit RT-5 refers to any aspects of the well or 21 Q Do you recall whether this listing that we have 23 as Exhibit RT-5 refers to any aspects of the well or 23 water service? 24 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings. 25 they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 26 A Al right. Thank you. 27 Says community well. 28 A Ada fafer that purchase of the house by the 7 A Okay. 9 Did you perform any work on the water system. 20 Did you perform any work on the water system. 20 Did you perf	11	Resort Lane?	-
13 Q. All right. Thank you. 13 Ines. 14 And our - again, our exhibits are in evidence. 13 Ines. 15 Do you recall what Exhibit RT-5 contains? 14 And in the fall, Deanna was getting upset about 16 A Zirlow listing? 14 And in the fall, Deanna was getting upset about 17 Q. A Zirlow listing? 16 and vas sorry for. So the called the Pitners, and the 18 A On, yes, that's right. It's also MLS. So and it was sorry for. So the called the Pitners, and the 19 anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to 19 10 the out what the relevance of that is ? 10 11 Q Do you recall whether this listing that we have as say. 12 Q Do you recall whether this listing that we have as is ay, unortunately, the pumphouse, the 14 Mell, yes. As in most real estate listings, as is ay, unortunately, the pumphouse, the 14 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, as is ay, unortunately, the pumphouse, the 15 they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 25 16 Q Ald after that purchase of the house by the A Okay. 17 2023?	12	A 8/17 of 2021.	
15 Do you recall what Exhibit RT-5 contains? 15 water entering the lines, which I couldn't really prevent 16 A Refresh my memory. 16 and I was sorty for. So she called the Pitners, and the 17 Q A Zillow listing? 16 and I was sorty for. So she called the Pitners, and the 18 Pitner kind of toldh era shu-off valve wouldn't do much and I was sorty for. So she called the Pitners, and the 18 Pitner kind of toldh era shu-off valve wouldn't do much and I was sorty for. So she called the Pitners, and the 19 anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to it is also MLS. So 20 A Do you recall whether this listing that we have as Exhibit RT-5 fers to any aspects of the well or 21 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it pumphouse is somewhat below the house. And when air 22 As a yaway Page 30 Page 32 11 C All right. Thank you. 3 Q Thank you. 3 24 A drafter that purchase of the house by the anyway Q Thank you. 3 25 A Ves. Q Did you perform any work on the water system, let's say through the end of 20237 A No, air.	13	Q All right. Thank you.	
15 Do you recall what Exhibit RT-5 contains? is water entering the lines, which I couldn't really prevent 16 A Refresh my memory. if and I was sorry for. So she called the Pitners, and the 17 Q A Zillow listing? if anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to 18 An On, yes, that's right. It's also MLS. So if anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to 18 Pitner kind of told her a shut-off valve wouldn't do much 20 Do you recall whether this listing that we have 21 a Do you recall whether this listing that we have 23 betweet service? 24 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, 25 they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 26 A A Kay. 2 A Kay, 3 A Ckay. 4 Q All right. Thank you. 5 Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to 20237 A Yes. 3 Q Xes. 4 Yes. 5 But the majority of it was in the fall of 2023 6 Did you perform any work on the water system 3 A Correct. 4 <td< th=""><th>14</th><th>And our again, our exhibits are in evidence.</th><th>14 And in the fall, Deanna was getting upset about</th></td<>	14	And our again, our exhibits are in evidence.	14 And in the fall, Deanna was getting upset about
16 A Refresh my memory. 16 and I was sorry for. So she called the Pitners, and the 17 Q AZillow listing? hree of us had a discussion. And as I recall, Brian 18 A Oh, yes, that's right. It's also MLS. So anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to 19 good at the house because you end up releasing water from 20 a faucet anyway inside the house. But I would still do 21 Q Do you recall whether this listing that we have as Exhibit RT-5 refers to any aspects of the well or 23 water service? A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, 24 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 25 says community well. 2 As I say. unfortunately, the pumphouse. 25 says community well. 2 And after that purchase of the house by the 6 Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to maintain the water system, let's say through the end of 7 A Yes. Q Air Tank you. A I think she wants water in the lines. Yes, 10 Did you perform any work on the water system after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021? A No, air. 11 Triss - I kept track of them - trying	15	Do you recall what Exhibit RT-5 contains?	
17 Q A Zillow listing? 17 three of us had a discussion. And as I recall, Brian 18 A Oh, yes, that's right. It's also MLS. So Pitner kind of told her a shu-off valve wouldn't do much 20 Do your recall whether this listing that we have Pitner kind of told her a shu-off valve wouldn't do much 21 Q Do your call whether this listing that we have as Exhibit RT-5 refers to any aspects of the well or 22 as Exhibit RT-5 refers to any aspects of the well or as I say, unfortunately, the pumphouse, the 24 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 25 they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it Page 30 26 Page 30 Page 32 27 A Okay. Q All right. Thank you. 1 3 A Ckay. Q Thank you. Q 4 A Yes. Q Q Id you perform any work on the water system Q Our answer just now, 3 A Yes. Q A Heat's the in the fail of 2023 Q A I think she wants water in the lines. Yes, 3 A Yes. Q Jd you perform any work on the water system Q Air. Thank you. </th <th>16</th> <th>A Refresh my memory.</th> <th></th>	16	A Refresh my memory.	
19 anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to tell you what the relevance of that is? 10 20 <td< th=""><th>17</th><th>Q A Zillow listing?</th><th>17 three of us had a discussion. And as I recall, Brian</th></td<>	17	Q A Zillow listing?	17 three of us had a discussion. And as I recall, Brian
20 tell you what the relevance of that is? 20 a faucet anyway inside the house. But I would still do 21 Q Do you recall whether this listing that we have as faucet anyway inside the house. But I would still do 23 as Exhibit RT-5 refers to any aspects of the well or water service? 24 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, the pumphouse is somewhat below the house. And when air 25 they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 23 26 says community well. 24 27 A Okay. Page 30 28 asys community well. 26 29 A Il right. Thank you. 3 30 A Okay. 0 4 Q And after that purchase of the house by the 6 5 Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to 3 6 maintain the water system, let's say through 1 7 2023? A Nea. 8 A Yes. Q Did you perform any work on the water system 9 Did you perform any work on the water system 1 11 A Uring either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed 3 12 M Hein	18	A Oh, yes, that's right. It's also MLS. So	18 Pitner kind of told her a shut-off valve wouldn't do much
21 Q Do you recall whether this listing that we have as Exhibit RT-5 refers to any aspects of the well or water service? 21 so, but it really wouldn't do much good. 23 water service? A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 22 As I say, unfortunately, the pumphouse, the pumphouse is somewhat below the house. And when air enters the system, it goes to the highest point in the system, and that's their house, is through the pumphouse. 2 Q All right. Thank you. 23 3 A Okay. 1 4 Q And after that purchase of the house by the Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to maintain the water system, let's say through the end of 2023? 1 6 A Yes. Q 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021? 1 11 Jefnite water in the fall of 2023 going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made 1 15 But the majority of it was in the fall of 2023 going anyway. 1 1 14 A Correct. 1 1 15 But the fall of 2023, the main variable going anyway. 1 1 16 going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made 1 1 17 19 trips - I	19	anyway, it's really MLS. Whatever. Do you want me to	19 good at the house because you end up releasing water from
22 as Exhibit RT-5 refers to any aspects of the well or 22 As I say, unfortunately, the pumphouse, the 23 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, pumphouse is somewhat below the house. And when air 24 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, the pumphouse is somewhat below the house. And when air 25 they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 23 26 says community well. 24 27 as y somewhat below the house. And when air enters the system, it goes to the highest point in the system, and that's their house, is through the pumphouse. 27 asys community well. 25 28 A All right. Thank you. 20 3 A Okay. 3 4 Q And after that purchase of the house by the 7 7 2023? 7 8 A Yes. 9 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system 9 10 after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021? 10 11 A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse. 11 12 Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think. 12 13 During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed s	20	tell you what the relevance of that is?	a faucet anyway inside the house. But I would still do
23 water service? 23 pumphouse is somewhat below the house. And when air enters the system, it goes to the highest point in the system, it goes to the highest point in the system, and that's their house, is through the pumphouse. 24 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 23 pumphouse is somewhat below the house. And when air enters the system, it goes to the highest point in the system, and that's their house, is through the pumphouse. 24 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 24 25 says community well. 21 It's just, you know, an unfortunate design. But anyway 3 A Okay. 3 A Thank you. 4 And after that purchase of the house by the Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to maintain the water system, let's say through the end of 2023? 4 No, air. 8 A Yes. 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021? 1 8 No, air. 11 During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed some. 10 A I'm think she wants water in the lines. Yes, air. 11 12 G Na in the fall of 2023, the main variable going anyway. 4 C and right the mase resure relief valve would not provide significant benefit based on your conversations wit	21	Q Do you recall whether this listing that we have	so, but it really wouldn't do much good.
24 A Well, yes. As in most real estate listings, they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 25 page 30 Page 30 2 page 30 Page 30 Page 32 1 says community well. 1 It's just, you know, an unfortunate design. But anyway 3 3 A Okay. 3 Q All right. Thank you. 3 A Okay. 3 4 Q And after that purchase of the house by the Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to maintain the water system, let's say through the end of 2023? 3 Q Thank you. 4 7 2023? A Yes. 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021? 7 A No, air. 8 Q Alr. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure that she - 10 After the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021? 1 A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse. 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 0 A I think she wants water in the lines. Yes, air. 9 Q Air. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	22	as Exhibit RT-5 refers to any aspects of the well or	As I say, unfortunately, the pumphouse, the
25 they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it 25 system, and that's their house, is through the pumphouse. Page 30 Page 32 1 says community well. 1 2 Q All right. Thank you. 3 3 A Okay. Q 4 Q And after that purchase of the house by the 7 5 Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to maintain the water system, let's say through the end of 7 7 2023? A Yes. Q 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021? A N on, air. 10 A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse. 10 A I think she wants water in the lines. Yes, air. 11 A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse. 10 A Correct. 12 Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think. 12 Q Yes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you referring to Deanna Rouse? 14 Sorme. Q All right. Thank you. So would it be fair to say, then, it's your understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not provide significant benefit based on your conversations with Pitner Drilling? 15 But the majority of it was in the fall of 2023, the main variable A	23	water service?	23 pumphouse is somewhat below the house. And when air
Page 30 Page 32 1 says community well. 1 2 Q All right. Thank you. 1 3 A Okay. 2 4 Q And after that purchase of the house by the maintain the water system, let's say through the end of 2023? 3 Q Thank you. 8 A Yes. 7 A No, air. 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021? 7 A No, air. 11 A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse. 11 a fir. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure that she – 12 Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think. 12 Q Fes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you referring to Deanna Rouse? 14 some. 14 A Correct. 15 But the majority of it was in the fall of 2023 15 16 going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made 16 17 19 trips I kept track of them trying to get the water system going for my new user and trying to get it going anyway. 18 20 Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable 19 21 speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines 22 Maso in the fall of 2023, the	24		24 enters the system, it goes to the highest point in the
1 says community well. 1 It's just, you know, an unfortunate design. But 2 Q All right. Thank you. 3 3 A Okay. 3 4 Q And after that purchase of the house by the 3 6 maintain the water system, let's say through the end of 7 7 2023? 7 A 8 A Yes. 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system 8 Q Air. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system 8 Q Air. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure 9 A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse. 11 air. 11 A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse. 11 air. 12 Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think. 12 Q Yes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you referring to Deanna Rouse? 14 sorme. 13 G All tringht. Thank you. So would it be fair to say, then, it's your understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not provide si	25	they have a section for utilities. And under Water, it	25 system, and that's their house, is through the pumphouse.
1 says community well. 1 It's just, you know, an unfortunate design. But 2 Q All right. Thank you. 3 3 A Okay. 3 4 Q And after that purchase of the house by the 3 6 maintain the water system, let's say through the end of 7 7 2023? 7 A 8 A Yes. 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system 8 Q Air. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure 9 Q Did you perform any work on the water system 8 Q Air. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure 9 A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse. 11 air. 11 A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse. 11 air. 12 Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think. 12 Q Yes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you referring to Deanna Rouse? 14 sorme. 13 G All tringht. Thank you. So would it be fair to say, then, it's your understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not provide si			
2QAll right. Thank you.2anyway3AOkay.3QThank you.4QAnd after that purchase of the house by the3QThank you.5Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to5You indicated that Deanna was complaining about water6maintain the water system, let's say through the end of6entering the lines?72023?7ANo, air.8AYes.8QAir. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure9QDid you perform any work on the water system9think she wants water in the lines. Yes,11AWell, I definitely went to the pumphouse.11air.2Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think.12QYes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you13During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed13referring to Deanna Rouse?14some.14ACorrect.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202315QAII right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips - I kept track of them trying to get the18Porvide significant benefit based on your conversations19going anyway.19With Pitner Drilling?ACorrect.20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20ACorrect.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the di		Page 30	Page 32
3AOkay.3QThank you.4QAnd after that purchase of the house by the Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to maintain the water system, let's say through the end of5And at the beginning of your answer just now, you indicated that Deanna was complaining about water entering the lines?72023?7ANo, air.8AYes.8QAir. Thank you.I just wanted to ensure9QDid you perform any work on the water system after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?10ANo, air.10after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?10ANo, air.1111AWell, I definitely went to the pumphouse.11air.QYes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you referring to Deanna Rouse?12Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think.12QYes. And when you.GYes. And when you.13During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made going anyway.16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not provide significant benefit based on your conversations with Pitner Drilling?14speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines going anyway.19With Pitner Drilling?15speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines a had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to a had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to a f q	1	says community well.	1 It's just, you know, an unfortunate design. But
4QAnd after that purchase of the house by the Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to maintain the water system, let's say through the end ofAnd at the beginning of your answer just now, you indicated that Deanna was complaining about water entering the lines?72023?7ANo, air.8AYes.9QDid you perform any work on the water system after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?7ANo, air.10after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?10AI think she wants water in the lines. Yes, air.1111AWell, I definitely went to the pumphouse.11air.2QYes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you referring to Deanna Rouse?13During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed some.13GAll right. Thank you.14some.14A Correct.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 20235QAll right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips I kept track of them trying to get it going anyway.19with Pitner Drilling?20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable going anyway.20A correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines a had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to the dustributo lines21Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even given that understanding?23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked th	2	Q All right. Thank you.	2 anyway
5Rouses, did they let you come and go as needed to maintain the water system, let's say through the end of 2023?5you indicated that Deanna was complaining about water entering the lines?72023?7ANo, air.8AYes.8QAir. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure9QDid you perform any work on the water system after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?9that she -10after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?10AI think she wants water in the lines. Yes,11AWell, I definitely went to the pumphouse.11air.12Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think.12QYes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you13During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed13referring to Deanna Rouse?14some.14ACorrect.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202315QAll right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips I kept track of them trying to get it18water system going for my new user and trying to get it19going anyway.19ACorrect.20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines2122had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to2223me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a	3		
6maintain the water system, let's say through the end of 2023?6entering the lines?72023?7A No, air.8A Yes.8Q Air. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure9Q Did you perform any work on the water system9A I think she wants water in the lines. Yes,10after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?10A I think she wants water in the lines. Yes,11A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse.11air.12Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think.12Q Yes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you13During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed13referring to Deanna Rouse?14some.14A Correct.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202315Q All right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips I kept track of them trying to get the17understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not18water system going for my new user and trying to get it19provide significant benefit based on your conversations20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even22had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to22given that understanding?23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23			
72023?7A No, air.8A Yes.8Q Air. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure9Q Did you perform any work on the water system9that she10after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?10A I think she wants water in the lines. Yes,11A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse.11air.12Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think.12Q Yes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you13During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed13referring to Deanna Rouse?14some.14A Correct.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202315Q All right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips I kept track of them trying to get the17understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not19water system going for my new user and trying to get it18provide significant benefit based on your conversations20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even22had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to22given that understanding?23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it			
8A Yes.8Q Air. Thank you. I just wanted to ensure9Q Did you perform any work on the water system9that she10after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?10A I think she wants water in the lines. Yes,11A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse.11air.12Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think.12Q Yes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you13During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed13referring to Deanna Rouse?14some.14A Correct.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202315Q All right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your18water system going for my new user and trying to get it18provide significant benefit based on your conversations19going anyway.19with Pitner Drilling?A Correct.20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even22had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to22A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
9Q Did you perform any work on the water system after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?9that she10after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?10A I think she wants water in the lines. Yes, air.11A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse.11air.12Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think.12Q Yes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you referring to Deanna Rouse?14some.14A Correct.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202315Q All right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not provide significant benefit based on your conversations with Pitner Drilling?19going anyway.19With Pitner Drilling?20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to red questions about how long it had been going on and so2123me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number of questions about how long it had been going on and so23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
10after the Rouses purchased their lot in 2021?10A I think she wants water in the lines. Yes,11A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse.11air.12Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think.12Q Yes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you13During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed13referring to Deanna Rouse?14some.14A Correct.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202315Q All right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips I kept track of them trying to get it17understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not18water system going for my new user and trying to get it18provide significant benefit based on your conversations19going anyway.19with Pitner Drilling?20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even22had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to22A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
11A Well, I definitely went to the pumphouse.11air.12Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think.12Q Yes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you13During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed13referring to Deanna Rouse?14some.14A Correct.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202314So would it be fair to say, then, it's your16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips I kept track of them trying to get the17understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not18water system going for my new user and trying to get it18provide significant benefit based on your conversations19going anyway.19with Pitner Drilling?20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even22had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
12Whether I performed any services, I'd have to think.12QYes. And when you refer to "Deanna," are you13During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed13referring to Deanna Rouse?14some.14ACorrect.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202315QAll right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips I kept track of them trying to get the17understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not18water system going for my new user and trying to get it19provide significant benefit based on your conversations19going anyway.19with Pitner Drilling?20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.		•	
13During either 2021 or '22, I'm sure I performed13referring to Deanna Rouse?14some.14A Correct.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202315Q All right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips I kept track of them trying to get the17understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not18water system going for my new user and trying to get it18provide significant benefit based on your conversations19going anyway.19with Pitner Drilling?20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
14some.14A Correct.15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202315Q All right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips I kept track of them trying to get the17understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not18water system going for my new user and trying to get it18provide significant benefit based on your conversations19going anyway.19with Pitner Drilling?20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
15But the majority of it was in the fall of 202315Q All right. Thank you.16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips I kept track of them trying to get the17understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not18water system going for my new user and trying to get it18provide significant benefit based on your conversations19going anyway.19with Pitner Drilling?20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			-
16going into a little bit of the winter 2024, when I made16So would it be fair to say, then, it's your1719 trips I kept track of them trying to get the17understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not18water system going for my new user and trying to get it18provide significant benefit based on your conversations19going anyway.19with Pitner Drilling?20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even22had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
 17 19 trips I kept track of them trying to get the water system going for my new user and trying to get it going anyway. Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number of questions about how long it had been going on and so understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not understanding that an air pressure relief valve would not provide significant benefit based on your conversations with Pitner Drilling? A Correct. Q Are you willing to install one if needed, even given that understanding? A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think it will do much good, but be happy to do so. 			
18water system going for my new user and trying to get it going anyway.18provide significant benefit based on your conversations19going anyway.19with Pitner Drilling?20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21QAre you willing to install one if needed, even22had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to22given that understanding?23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
19going anyway.19with Pitner Drilling?20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20A Correct.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21QAre you willing to install one if needed, even22had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to22given that understanding?23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
20Also in the fall of 2023, the main variable20ACorrect.21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21QAre you willing to install one if needed, even22had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to22given that understanding?23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23AAs I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
21speed pump to the pumphouse for the distribution lines21QAre you willing to install one if needed, even22had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to22given that understanding?23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23AAs I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
22had been making noise. The Rouses pointed that out to22given that understanding?23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
23me, and I could hear it as well. I asked them a number23A As I said, nevertheless, I will. I don't think24of questions about how long it had been going on and so24it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
of questions about how long it had been going on and so 24 it will do much good, but be happy to do so.			
			0 <i>7</i> 11 7

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

	Page 33		Page 35
1	Let's talk about the pumphouse specifically.	1	Mr. Howard?
2	Can you just describe the pumphouse for us in general	2	ATTORNEY HOWARD: I would be happy to pose
3	terms?	3	Mr. Tershel some questions to focus the examination going
4	A Well, it's one of the nicer pumphouses you'll	4	forward.
5	ever see. I think it's approximately 12 by 20	5	But in terms of the issues being
6	dimensions. I may have that off a little bit. It's got	6	discussed, there have been very, very specific
7	nice thermal pane windows, cultured stone on the outside.	7	allegations made that Mr. Tershel has done nothing to
8	It had a re-lited glass entry door, which you normally	8	maintain the water system and that's in the June 24
9	won't find, fully insulated.	9	letter and that he has refused to install a heater and
10	The pumphouse has a 2,500-gallon storage tank	10	other necessary tasks. So I think that when the Rouses
11	with, again, the multispeed variable pump that's in	11	have raised these various allegations, it's definitely in
12	there, and various lines from the well coming into the	12	our prerogative to address them.
13	pumphouse, where the water is taken and then stored and	13	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: May I respond, your
14	pumped out to the distribution lines.	14	Honor?
15	It's a significant investment.	15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Please do.
16	And anyway, I might want to add one thing. So	16	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: The only request for
17	in their complaint, they complain that I'm not taking	17	relief in front of this tribunal right now is the request
18	proper care to heat it and that it may freeze. I believe	18	to access.
19	there's a wall heater in there, in the wall anyway, just	19	We're not litigating the contents of the
20	a small little electric heater.	20	letter to Mr. Tershel or any other issues.
21	But let me just say something. It's a	21	It's a focused issue that's in front of
22	relatively small pumphouse. At least a third or 40	22	this tribunal right now. And in my view, this evidence
23	percent of it has a storage tank of water that I think	23	is not relevant to that.
24	the water comes out of the ground about 55, 57 degrees.	24	JUDGE THOMPSON: I'm going to go ahead and
25	So that huge tank in that small building keeps	25	ask Mr. Tershel to go ahead and move on.
	Page 34		Page 36
1	the interior naturally insulated by, like, maybe probably	1	I will note that the letter is submitted
2	a factor of I guess 20 degrees either way. In the	2	in evidence. And certainly I believe that letter is
3	summertime, you walk in there on a hot day, and it's	3	submitted as a cross-examination exhibit by Mr. Lindberg,
4	cooler than heck, like super air conditioning. And on a	4	as well as Mr. O'Brien, did staff submit that as
5	cold day, it's warmer.	5	well?
6	So the probabilities or likelihood that there	6	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes, your Honor. Staff
7	would be a freeze of water in there is minimal. But	7	submitted that as a direct exhibit.
8	maybe on the most cold days it would be good to have a	8	JUDGE THOMPSON: That would be SS-3; is
9	heater in there. And they put a little bitty heater in	9	that correct?
10	there, and what's that they did. But it's fully	10	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes, your Honor. Yeah,
11	insulated.	11	SS-3 is correct.
12	But I want to point something else out. What's	12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. So what I'm going
13	far more likely to freeze is	13	to ask is that we move on from that now. If it does come
14	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I want to object, your	14	up during cross, then, Mr. Howard, you'll have the chance
15	Honor. This is Jeff Lindberg for the Rouses.	15	to ask additional questions on that subject on redirect.
16	I understand that this is becoming a	16	And we may hear from staff as well.
17	narrative, for one. We're not really responding to	17	Mr. Lindberg, I will just point out that
18	questions anymore.	18	while the issue is narrow, the staff has taken note and
19	And number two, it's a fairly limited	19	submitted that letter as part of this evidence as well.
20	issue before this tribunal at this point, which is the	20	And the commission does regulate not only
21	access issue. We're going through a whole lot of history	21	access to water company property, but also the
22	and a lot of details that don't necessarily give us	22	operations, safety rates, and other matters. And so
23 24	aren't focused on the access issue. So those are my	23 24	certainly it could come up again.
24 25	objections. JUDGE THOMPSON: Do you have any response,	24	With that, I'm going to sustain the objection for now and ask that we move on. But I will
20	JUDGE HIOMESON. DU you have any response,	[∠] ⊃	

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

	Page 37	Page 39
1	note that the topic is in evidence, submitted by more	1 A No, because it already was connected.
2	than one party, and it may come up again.	2 Q Oh, I see. Did the to your knowledge, did
3	So Mr. Howard, go ahead and proceed.	3 the Prices pay the connection fee for that same property?
4	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you, your Honor.	4 A You know, I don't remember. I would assume so,
5	I'll move on from that topic, and I'm going to move to a	5 but I wasn't you know, my water system wasn't even in
6	different topic, which is, I think, quickly going to be	6 existence back in 2009, 2012, so I don't know.
7	tied to the issue of access.	7 Q So since the Rouses purchased their house in
8	Q (By Attorney Howard) So Mr. Tershel, we have	8 2021 at 224 Resort Lane, have they sent you any letters,
9	talked about the subdivision at issue here.	9 to your knowledge, regarding water quality issues?
10	Are there remaining lots in the subdivision	10 A Not that I remember.
11	that are developed but do not have homes built on them	11 Q Did they either of the Rouses call you with
12	yet that you own?	12 concerns about water quality issues?
13	A Yes.	13 A It was mostly when I had to start repairing the
14	Q And would you hope to sell those lots in the	14 system and unfortunately, incurring a little bit of air
15	future?	15 getting in their system.
16	A Yes.	16 Q And do you feel that you addressed those
17	Q Do those lots have another water utility	17 concerns?
18	available to them right now?	18 A Well, I mean, I tried as best as possible, as I
19	A No.	19 explained. Every time I had to shut the water on and off
20	Q Do you have any concerns, as the individual	20 it may have been three, four, five times in the fall,
21	owning and listing these lots, that being locked out of	21 I don't know, that they experienced that I tried to
22	the pumphouse by the Rouses could affect your ability to	release as much air as I could at the pumphouse, but
23	sell those lots in the future?	their house is above the pumphouse. So that's how I
24	A Absolutely.	answer the question.
25	Q And are you familiar with the Rouses' June 24,	25 Q All right. Thank you.
	Page 38	Page 40
1	5	
1	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just	1 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly.
2	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing?	1Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly.2Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1,
2 3	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very.	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills
2	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water?
2 3 4	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very.	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not.
2 3 4 5	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct.	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not. Q So have they paid Kalama Water for any of the
2 3 4 5 6	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access?	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not. Q So have they paid Kalama Water for any of the water that they've received in calendar year 2024?
2 3 4 5 6 7	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not. Q So have they paid Kalama Water for any of the water that they've received in calendar year 2024? A They have not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands?	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not. Q So have they paid Kalama Water for any of the water that they've received in calendar year 2024? A They have not. A They have not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct.	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not. Q So have they paid Kalama Water for any of the water that they've received in calendar year 2024? A They have not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not. Q So have they paid Kalama Water for any of the water that they've received in calendar year 2024? A They have not. A TORNEY LINDBERG: I'm going to object to this again. Again, the issue of payment and the threat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for?	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not. Q So have they paid Kalama Water for any of the water that they've received in calendar year 2024? A They have not. A They have not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're 	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not. Q So have they paid Kalama Water for any of the water that they've received in calendar year 2024? A They have not. A They have not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're asking for three lots. 	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not. Q So have they paid Kalama Water for any of the water that they've received in calendar year 2024? A They have not. A They have not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're asking for three lots. Q Do you recall the value, the listed value of	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not. Q So have they paid Kalama Water for any of the water that they've received in calendar year 2024? A They have not. ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I'm going to object to this again. Again, the issue of payment and the threat of disconnection is not something that has been properly noted for this hearing. And so I don't know what relevance any of this information any of this testimony has with respect to the payment issue. JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have a response?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're asking for three lots. Q Do you recall the value, the listed value of those lots? 	 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills from Kalama Water? A They have not. Q So have they paid Kalama Water for any of the water that they've received in calendar year 2024? A They have not. ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I'm going to object to this again. Again, the issue of payment and the threat of disconnection is not something that has been properly noted for this hearing. And so I don't know what relevance any of this information any of this testimony has with respect to the payment issue. JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have a response? ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes. I would argue that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're asking for three lots. Q Do you recall the value, the listed value of those lots? A Well, I don't currently have them listed. But I can tell you conservatively, they're easily worth \$200,000 each, so 200,000 times three. 	1Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly.2Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1,32024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills4from Kalama Water?5AThey have not.6QSo have they paid Kalama Water for any of the7water that they've received in calendar year 2024?8AThey have not.9ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I'm going to object to10this again. Again, the issue of payment and the threat11of disconnection is not something that has been properly12noted for this hearing. And so I don't know what13relevance any of this information any of this14testimony has with respect to the payment issue.15JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have a16response?17ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes. I would argue that18under the Administrative Procedure Act and commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're asking for three lots. Q Do you recall the value, the listed value of those lots? A Well, I don't currently have them listed. But I can tell you conservatively, they're easily worth \$200,000 each, so 200,000 times three. Q Thank you. And 	1Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly.2Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1,32024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills4from Kalama Water?5A6Q8A7water that they've received in calendar year 2024?8A9ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I'm going to object to10this again. Again, the issue of payment and the threat11of disconnection is not something that has been properly12noted for this hearing. And so I don't know what13relevance any of this information any of this14testimony has with respect to the payment issue.15JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have a16response?17ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes. I would argue that18under the Administrative Procedure Act and commission19rules like 480-07-930, which are cited in our petition,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're asking for three lots. Q Do you recall the value, the listed value of those lots? A Well, I don't currently have them listed. But I can tell you conservatively, they're easily worth \$200,000 each, so 200,000 times three. Q Thank you. And A That would be Demand Number 7. 	1 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. 2 Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 3 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills 4 from Kalama Water? 5 A 6 Q 7 water that water they paid Kalama Water for any of the 7 water that they've received in calendar year 2024? 8 A 9 ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I'm going to object to 10 this again. Again, the issue of payment and the threat 11 of disconnection is not something that has been properly 12 noted for this hearing. And so I don't know what 13 relevance any of this information any of this 14 testimony has with respect to the payment issue. 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have a 16 response? 17 ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes. I would argue that 18 under the Administrative Procedure Act and commission 19 rules like 480-07-930, which are cited in our petition, 19 the commission has authority to enter a declaratory order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're asking for three lots. Q Do you recall the value, the listed value of those lots? A Well, I don't currently have them listed. But I can tell you conservatively, they're easily worth \$200,000 each, so 200,000 times three. Q Thank you. And A That would be Demand Number 7. Q Thank you.	1 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. 2 Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 3 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills 4 from Kalama Water? 5 A 6 Q 7 Mater that they've received in calendar year 2024? 8 A 9 ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I'm going to object to 10 this again. Again, the issue of payment and the threat 11 of disconnection is not something that has been properly 12 noted for this hearing. And so I don't know what 13 relevance any of this information any of this 14 testimony has with respect to the payment issue. 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have a 16 response? 17 ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes. I would argue that 18 under the Administrative Procedure Act and commission 19 rules like 480-07-930, which are cited in our petition, 10 the commission has authority to enter a declaratory order 19 regarding the rights and obligations of the parties,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're asking for three lots. Q Do you recall the value, the listed value of those lots? A Well, I don't currently have them listed. But I can tell you conservatively, they're easily worth \$200,000 each, so 200,000 times three. Q Thank you. And A That would be Demand Number 7. Q Thank you. A All right.	1 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. 2 Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 3 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills 4 from Kalama Water? 5 A 6 Q 8 A They have not. 9 ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I'm going to object to 10 this again. Again, the issue of payment and the threat 10 of disconnection is not something that has been properly 12 noted for this hearing. And so I don't know what 13 relevance any of this information any of this 14 testimony has with respect to the payment issue. 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have a 16 response? 17 ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes. I would argue that 18 under the Administrative Procedure Act and commission 19 rules like 480-07-930, which are cited in our petition, 19 rules like 480-07-930, which are cited in our petition, 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're asking for three lots. Q Do you recall the value, the listed value of those lots? A Well, I don't currently have them listed. But I can tell you conservatively, they're easily worth \$200,000 each, so 200,000 times three. Q Thank you. And A That would be Demand Number 7. Q Thank you. A All right. Q Moving on, when the Rouses connected to the 	1 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. 2 Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 3 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills 4 from Kalama Water? 5 A 6 Q 7 Mater that they've received in calendar year 2024? 8 A 9 ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I'm going to object to 10 this again. Again, the issue of payment and the threat 10 of disconnection is not something that has been properly 12 noted for this hearing. And so I don't know what 13 relevance any of this information any of this 14 testimony has with respect to the payment issue. 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have a 16 response? 17 ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes. I would argue that 18 under the Administrative Procedure Act and commission 19 rules like 480-07-930, which are cited in our petition, 10 the commission has authority to enter a declaratory order 19 rules like ten inghts and obligations of the parties, 20 plural. Nonpayment here for almost an entire like ten
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're asking for three lots. Q Do you recall the value, the listed value of those lots? A Well, I don't currently have them listed. But I can tell you conservatively, they're easily worth \$200,000 each, so 200,000 times three. Q Thank you. And A That would be Demand Number 7. Q Thank you. A All right. Q Moving on, when the Rouses connected to the water system, did they pay their hookup fee to your water 	1 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. 2 Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 3 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills 4 from Kalama Water? 5 A 6 Q 7 water that they've received in calendar year 2024? 8 A 9 ATHORNEY LINDBERG: I'm going to object to 10 this again. Again, the issue of payment and the threat 11 of disconnection is not something that has been properly 12 noted for this hearing. And so I don't know what 13 relevance any of this information any of this 14 testimony has with respect to the payment issue. 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have a 16 response? 17 ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes. I would argue that 18 under the Administrative Procedure Act and commission 19 rules like 480-07-930, which are cited in our petition, 10 the commission has authority to enter a declaratory order 19 rules like 480-07-930, which are cited in our petition, 20 the commission has authority to enter a declaratory order
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 2014 letter to you which Judge Thompson was just discussing? A Very. Q And are they asking for different items of consideration in exchange for providing you access? A Correct. Q Are the Rouses asking you for parcels of land as one of those demands? A Correct. Q Do you recall how many parcels of land they're asking for? A Two specific parcels, but in effect, they're asking for three lots. Q Do you recall the value, the listed value of those lots? A Well, I don't currently have them listed. But I can tell you conservatively, they're easily worth \$200,000 each, so 200,000 times three. Q Thank you. And A That would be Demand Number 7. Q Thank you. A All right. Q Moving on, when the Rouses connected to the 	1 Moving along, we touched on this issue briefly. 2 Talking about this calendar year, so from January 1, 3 2024, onwards, are the Rouses paying their water bills 4 from Kalama Water? 5 A 6 Q 7 Mater that they've received in calendar year 2024? 8 A 9 ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I'm going to object to 10 this again. Again, the issue of payment and the threat 10 of disconnection is not something that has been properly 12 noted for this hearing. And so I don't know what 13 relevance any of this information any of this 14 testimony has with respect to the payment issue. 15 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have a 16 response? 17 ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes. I would argue that 18 under the Administrative Procedure Act and commission 19 rules like 480-07-930, which are cited in our petition, 10 the commission has authority to enter a declaratory order 19 rules like ten inghts and obligations of the parties, 20 plural. Nonpayment here for almost an entire like ten

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

	Page 41	Page 43
1	the issue of disconnecting the Rouses for nonpayment	1 put on the record in regards to payment.
2	which would be entirely justified at this juncture, by	2 So with that, Mr. Howard, please proceed.
3	the way would obviously be subject to further notice,	³ We have on the record that payment has not been made in
4	a notice process as required by commission rule and the	4 the calendar year 2024. And if you have additional
5	company's tariff, which is not laid out yet.	5 questions regarding another topic, let's go ahead and
6	So when I refer to disconnecting, I am	6 move to that topic at this time.
7	contemplating that process playing out following this	7 ATTORNEY HOWARD: Certainly, your Honor.
8	proceeding.	8 Just a few more questions.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: And was that issue raised	9 Q (By Attorney Howard) So Mr. Tershel, do you
10	at all in the original petition?	10 recall when the water company excuse me. Let me back
11	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Nonpayment was not	11 up and rephrase.
12	specifically raised in the petition itself.	12 When do you believe that you lost access to the
13	The Rouses' response to the petition,	13 pumphouse?
14	however, with Deanna Rouse's declaration, specifically	14 A Well, I was first notified in the June 24th
15	indicates their belief that they are not required to pay	15 letter from Mr. Lindberg, which I think is a matter of
16	for water, and that Mr. Tershel has no legal right to	16 evidence. So that was my first notice.
17	charge them for water. And I think that this is at least	17 Q All right. Have the Rouses made any
18	to some degree bound up with the issue of access and	18 alterations to the pumphouse you described earlier?
19	whether this company is a legitimate water company that	19 A They changed well, they changed the door and
20	has rights in this situation.	20 the lock.
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Staff, do you have	21 But in terms of alterations and they had
22	anything you'd like to provide regarding this?	22 verbally asked me the summer or two before that, they
23	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes, your Honor, just	23 decided to repaint their house. The pumphouse sits
24	briefly. I would argue that the issue of payment or	24 prominently in front of their house. And again, it's a
25	nonpayment actually does go to the issue of access.	 prominently in none of their nouse. And again, it's a nice-looking pumphouse, culture stone. Anyway, that was
		23 The looking pumphouse, culture stone. Anyway, that was
	Page 42	Page 44
1	The Rouses' underlying complaint is based	1 painted the same color the exterior was painted the
2	on the idea of ownership and transfer of this water	2 same color as their house. Makes sense.
3	system. And whether the Rouses have been or ever have	3 And so when they wanted to change or tweak
4	paid for water from the system under tariff rates	4 the color of their house, they asked if they could paint
5	actually does go towards whether the water system is a	5 the same color, and I agreed. And so they made that
6		
0	fixture and is has been transferred or is owned under	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge.
7	fixture and is has been transferred or is owned under owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses.	
		6 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge.
7	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses.	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea
7 8	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it.
7 8 9	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you.
7 8 9 10	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand.	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door?
7 8 9 10 11	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No.
7 8 9 10 11 12	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue?	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes. The access issue	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses installed of equal value as far as your knowledge?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes. The access issue is based on the ownership issue.	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses installed of equal value as far as your knowledge? A Well, I don't think so. I had a re-lite door.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes. The access issue is based on the ownership issue. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I would tend to	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses installed of equal value as far as your knowledge? A Well, I don't think so. I had a re-lite door. But no.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes. The access issue is based on the ownership issue. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I would tend to agree that the door has been opened. And while the issue	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses installed of equal value as far as your knowledge? A Well, I don't think so. I had a re-lite door. But no. Q And by re-lite door, you mean a door
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes. The access issue is based on the ownership issue. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I would tend to agree that the door has been opened. And while the issue in the petition is narrow, the question of ownership,	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses installed of equal value as far as your knowledge? A Well, I don't think so. I had a re-lite door. But no. Q And by re-lite door, you mean a door A With a window.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes. The access issue is based on the ownership issue. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I would tend to agree that the door has been opened. And while the issue in the petition is narrow, the question of ownership, which won't be resolved, necessarily, regarding easements	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses installed of equal value as far as your knowledge? A Well, I don't think so. I had a re-lite door. But no. Q And by re-lite door, you mean a door A With a window. Q Can you describe that?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes. The access issue is based on the ownership issue. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I would tend to agree that the door has been opened. And while the issue in the petition is narrow, the question of ownership, which won't be resolved, necessarily, regarding easements in this proceeding, is at issue from the commission's	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses installed of equal value as far as your knowledge? A Well, I don't think so. I had a re-lite door. But no. Q And by re-lite door, you mean a door A With a window. Q Can you describe that? A With a window.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes. The access issue is based on the ownership issue. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I would tend to agree that the door has been opened. And while the issue in the petition is narrow, the question of ownership, which won't be resolved, necessarily, regarding easements in this proceeding, is at issue from the commission's perspective as to the rights of the parties. And	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses installed of equal value as far as your knowledge? A Well, I don't think so. I had a re-lite door. But no. Q And by re-lite door, you mean a door A With a window. Q Can you describe that? A With a window. Q Thank you.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes. The access issue is based on the ownership issue. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I would tend to agree that the door has been opened. And while the issue in the petition is narrow, the question of ownership, which won't be resolved, necessarily, regarding easements in this proceeding, is at issue from the commission's perspective as to the rights of the parties. And therefore, I'm going to go ahead and overrule.	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses installed of equal value as far as your knowledge? A Well, I don't think so. I had a re-lite door. But no. Q And by re-lite door, you mean a door A With a window. Q Can you describe that? A With a window. Q Thank you. A A thermal pane window to allow light in.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes. The access issue is based on the ownership issue. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I would tend to agree that the door has been opened. And while the issue in the petition is narrow, the question of ownership, which won't be resolved, necessarily, regarding easements in this proceeding, is at issue from the commission's perspective as to the rights of the parties. And therefore, I'm going to go ahead and overrule. But I will also note that that issue has	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses installed of equal value as far as your knowledge? A Well, I don't think so. I had a re-lite door. But no. Q Can you describe that? A With a window. Q Can you. A thermal pane window to allow light in. Q Thank you.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	owned by Kalama or owned by the Rouses. And therefore, I would say that this actually is a the payment issue is material to the case at hand. JUDGE THOMPSON: Because of the question of ownership extends from the access issue? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes. The access issue is based on the ownership issue. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. I would tend to agree that the door has been opened. And while the issue in the petition is narrow, the question of ownership, which won't be resolved, necessarily, regarding easements in this proceeding, is at issue from the commission's perspective as to the rights of the parties. And therefore, I'm going to go ahead and overrule. But I will also note that that issue has been brought to my attention, and is part of the Rouses'	 change, plus the door and the lock, to my knowledge. Of course, since then, I have no idea because I haven't been able to enter it. Q Thank you. Did you agree to their changing the door? A No. Q Did you is the door that the Rouses installed of equal value as far as your knowledge? A Well, I don't think so. I had a re-lite door. But no. Q Can you describe that? A With a window. Q Thank you. A A thermal pane window to allow light in. Q Thank you. Did you give them permission to change the

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

	Page 45	Page 47
1	Q Did you agree after they changed the locks on	1 that we just to summarize, we went back and forth
2	the pumphouse that they could have done that?	2 after you had received my June 24 letter; do you recall
3	A No.	3 that?
4	Q All right. And my question there was a little	4 A We had, like, an hour conversation.
5	weird, so I'd like to ask it a slightly different way. I	5 And then you emailed me once, which I did reply
6	apologize.	6 to.
7	Did you after you were informed that they	7 Q Correct.
8	changed the locks, did you indicate your approval of	8 A So you're probably referring to that second
9	that?	9 e-mail, whatever the date was; is that right?
10	A Not at all.	10 Q No. I'm referring to an e-mail exchange dated
11	Q Have they given you any keys to the new lock?	11 August 9.
12	A No.	12 ATTORNEY HOWARD: Your Honor, I apologize.
13	Q Have they given you the combination to the new	13 I don't believe that there is an Exhibit RT-10. But I
14	lock, if it has a combination?	14 think they might be referring to Exhibit RT-3, or what
15	A It's not a combination, but no.	15 was originally numbered as RT-3 from the Rouses.
16	Q All right.	16 ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, counsel.
17	A And it is locked. I did check once, once or	17 That is correct.
18	twice. So anyway	18 Q (By Attorney Lindberg) So if that is what you
19	ATTORNEY HOWARD: All right. That is all	19 have in front of you, Mr. Tershel, RT-3
20	the questions I have at this time, your Honor.	A I don't have the exhibit in front of me. But
21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	if you tell me what it is, I can probably recall it.
22	Any cross-examination from you,	Q Okay. Well, it's an e-mail dated August 9,
23	Mr. Lindberg?	23 2024. And your statement there says: Jeff, I will be
24	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Yes. Let	24 responding early next week. Do you recall that?
25		25 A I do now, yes.
	Page 46	Page 48
1	me check my notes real quick here. Just one second.	1 Q And again, that was three days before you filed
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION	2 your petition in this matter, correct?
3	BY ATTORNEY LINDBERG:	³ A That's correct.
4	Q Mr is it TER-shel or Ter-SHEL?	4 Q And in that e-mail, you didn't mention anything
5	A It's Ter-SHEL. Thank you.	5 about needing access or requesting access, did you?
6	Q Okay. Mr. Tershel. Thank you.	6 A I did not.
7	Do you have the exhibits that have been filed	7 Q And I think you mentioned a moment ago a
8	in this matter in front of you?	8 subsequent e-mail that I sent to you in September, which
9	A I probably have (inaudible) a little bit, not	9 I marked as RT-4, but it is in the record as RT-11X. Do
10	right in front of me. But I've got an outline of what	10 you recall that e-mail?
11	they are. And I know what they are, but I don't know if	11 A Just give me a little premise on what it is,
12	I have them in front of me. But anyway	12 and I'll probably say yes, so
13	Q And you've been asked about my June 24, 2024,	13 Q So it's an e-mail that I sent to you on
14	letter. And I don't know if you recall that that	14 Thursday, September 5, 2024.
15	instructed that you could contact the Rouses to	15 A No, no. I won't go by the date. Just what was
16	facilitate access to the pumphouse. Do you recall that?	16 the gist of the e-mail?
17	A I do.	17QI acknowledged receipt of your petition and
18	Q And after you received that letter, did you	18 once again stated that Mr. and Mrs. Rouse are willing to
1 1 0	ever contact the Rouses to discuss access?	19 provide you access to the pumphouse. Do you recall that
19	A I did not.	20 one?
20		
20 21	Q And I don't know if you recall what has been	A That would be the access that I have to contact
20 21 22	Q And I don't know if you recall what has been marked as Exhibit RT-10X?	22 both of them individually and give them 48 hours'
20 21 22 23	Q And I don't know if you recall what has been marked as Exhibit RT-10X?A Why don't you just briefly tell me what that	both of them individually and give them 48 hours'notice?
20 21 22 23 24	 Q And I don't know if you recall what has been marked as Exhibit RT-10X? A Why don't you just briefly tell me what that is, and I'll probably know what you're talking about. 	 both of them individually and give them 48 hours' notice? Is that the one you're referring to?
20 21 22 23	Q And I don't know if you recall what has been marked as Exhibit RT-10X?A Why don't you just briefly tell me what that	both of them individually and give them 48 hours'notice?

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

	Page 49		Page 51
1	Do you recall that?	1	Q Okay. But 2004, that was before there was a
2	A Yes, I do recall that.	2	water system, correct?
3	Q And at any time after receiving that, did you	3	A Yeah, of course.
4	contact the Rouses to seek access?	4	Q And before the View plat was recorded, correct?
5	A I did not.	5	A Which was recorded about two years later, in
6	Q And tell us again, when is it that you first	6	2006.
7	discovered that the door to the pumphouse was locked?	7	Q Right. But so that document doesn't that
8	A June 24 your June 24 letter.	8	document, RT-1, predates both the water system and the
9	Q But when was the first time you tried to open	9	creation of the View plat, right?
10	the door and found	10	A That's correct.
11	A I think I was up there I believe it was	11	Q Okay. And then your RT-2, you didn't really
12	probably July 1.	12	testify anything about that. Can you tell me what that
13	Q And did you attempt to contact the Rouses on	13	is?
14	that date?	14	A That was just sort of a just did that for a
15	A No, but I tried the door and it was locked.	15	change of title. He had an LLC named Deer, Deer LLC.
16	I'm sorry. I'm afraid I'm getting muted	16	And he transferred it to Class Development. So kind of
17	without knowing it. Okay. We're still here?	17	an internal transfer. But you know, he spent quite a bit
18	Q Yes, we're still here. Thank you.	18	money developing it. So I don't know why he did it for,
19	A All right.	19	you know, whatever tax or legal purposes. But just
20	Q And just to clarify a couple things about the	20	including that for the record.
21	View Rural subdivision, Lot 6 is the lot on which the	21	Q And you say that's part of chain of title.
22	well and pumphouse are situated, correct?	22	In that exhibit, RT-2, can you point us to any
23	A Correct.	23	reference in that document where it references the water
24	Q And that's the lot that the Rouses own now,	24	system?
25	right?	25	A I don't have that document in front of me, so I
	Page 50		Page 52
1	A Correct.	1	doubt if it does. But maybe it does. But I don't know.
2	Q And there's a different well on Lot 10,	2	Q So you don't have it in front of you?
3	correct?	3	A I don't. I don't.
4	A That's to the other Class B water system.	4	Q Do you know if the document references any kind
5	Q And you were at some point, you were	5	of an easement or access right to access across Lot 6 to
6	involved with Dan Class while he was developing this; is	6	the well and pumphouse?
7	that right?	7	A I don't.
8	A Well, I'll clarify "involved." I was his	8	Q And you are, I'm sure, familiar with what's
9	private lender. Not partner, not equity partner.	9	been marked RT-3, which is the plat document itself?
10	Lender.	10	A Correct.
11	Q Were you familiar with the steps he was taking	11	Q And is there any reference on the face of the
12	while you were acting as his lender?	12	plat to an easement onto Lot 6 to access the well and
13	A Well, what steps was that?	13	pumphouse?
14	Q With respect to development of the View Rural	14	A I don't believe so.
15	Subdivision?	15	Q Is there any reference on the face of the plat
16	A Well, no. I didn't lend first money to him	16	that would indicate the well and pumphouse are under
17	until the end of 2008 into 2009. So he had already	17	different ownership than the balance of Lot 6?
18	developed the View Development.	18	A I'm sorry. Would you repeat that question
19	Q So of the exhibits that you've submitted here,	19	again?
20	the first one is RT-1. And that's a real estate contract	20	Q If you recall, is there any reference on the
21	between Anders Hiebert and on the one hand, Class. Do	21	face of the plat that would indicate the well and
22	you recall that one?	22	pumphouse are owned separately from the rest of Lot 6?
23	A Yeah. That's, I believe, is the purchase	23	A I don't believe so. I mean, I didn't develop
· 7 /			
24	contract to the property way back in 2004. Again, four	24	this. So in terms of interpreting a plat map fully, I
24 25	contract to the property way back in 2004. Again, four parcels, 28 acres?	24 25	· · · ·

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

	Page 53		Page 55
1	answer is I don't believe so, but I'm not totally sure.	1	Q And have the Rouses ever asked you to leave
2	Q I'm just asking for your understanding here.	2	their property or otherwise restricted your access to the
3	A Yeah. That's what I'm giving you.	3	pumphouse (inaudible)?
4	Q RT-4 is the personal property bill of sale that	4	A No.
5	you recorded. Do you recall that one?	5	Q All right.
6	A Ido.	6	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: That's my questions,
7	Q And that was recorded by you in October 2014,	7	your Honor.
8	right?	8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
9	A Correct.	9	Mr. Howard, any redirect?
10	Q So that's five years after Class sold Lot 6 to	10	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes, your Honor. I
11	the Prices, correct?	11	believe I just have one question.
12	A Five years. Correct.	12	
13	Q And that is is that document, the personal	13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	property bill of sale, is that sort of the definitive	14	BY ATTORNEY HOWARD
15	transaction document for your acquisition of the Green	15	Q Mr. Tershel, when Mr. O'Brien was asking you
16	Mountain H2O's assets?	16	questions just now, he asked you whether the Rouses ever
17	A Correct.	17	asked you to leave their property or restricted your
18	Q Is that the only document that you recorded	18	access. And you answered no.
19	with Cowlitz County in connection with your acquisition	19	Does that hold true for the time period after
20	of Green Mountain H2O's assets?	20	June 2024?
21	A I believe so.	21	A Yeah. I should probably clarify that. I guess
22	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: No further questions	22	I sort of interpreted that question as verbally, onsite,
23	at this time.	23	if they had ever denied me access. So the answer is
24		24	still no.
25		25	But of course, in effect, they have denied me
	Page 54		Page 56
1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	1	access because I'm locked out of my pumphouse. So
2	Mr. O'Brien, do you have any	2	(inaudible).
3	cross-examination for this witness?	3	Q All right. And that was just as a result of
4	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Just a couple of	4	the letter which we've already discussed, mailed on June
5	questions, your Honor.	5	24?
6		6	A It was the result of them changing the lock,
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION	7	and I don't have the key. That's the result.
8	BY ATTORNEY O'BRIEN:	8	Q Thank you. Thank you. I just wanted to
9	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Tershel. So you referenced	9	clarify.
10	that the Rouses have not paid their water bill in 2024.	10	A Okay.
11	To your knowledge, have they ever paid for	11	ATTORNEY HOWARD: No further redirect,
12	water service?	12	your Honor, from the company.
13	A Yeah, they paid from basically when they moved	13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
14	in in October August of 2021 until the end of calendar	14	Mr. Tershel, before I excuse you, I just
15	year 2023.	15	for my understanding, the restricted access right now
16	Q All right. And just to confirm, the Rouses	16	is from the replacement of a door and a lock.
17	were the ones that put the lock on the pumphouse; it	17	Is there any fencing or anything else
18	wasn't like it was your lock or something initially?	18	restricting access to the pumphouse at this time?
19 20	A No. No. Correct. And no.	19 20	THE WITNESS: No.
20 21	Q To your remembrance, was there ever any offer or discussion from the Rouses to buy or acquire the water	20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. All right. You
21	or discussion from the Rouses to buy or acquire the water	21	may be excused. Thank you for your testimony today.
22 23	system or the well pumphouse? A None whatsoever.	22	Mr. Howard, do you have any other
23 24		24	witnesses? ATTORNEY HOWARD: No further witnesses
27			
25		2.5	from the company, your Honor
25		25	from the company, your Honor.

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

	Page 57		Page 59
1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	1	issues as he should.
2	Mr. Lindberg, if you would please call	2	So I do think at least a short
3	Mrs. Rouse?	3	cross-examination could be warranted under those
4	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Your Honor, after	4	circumstances. That's just the company's concern.
5	reviewing the testimony given so far today, I don't	5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Let's go ahead and
6	intend to call any witnesses.	6	take a brief recess. I'm going to consider this matter
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Please just give	7	and just take a second look at commission rules regarding
8	me a minute. I'm going to make a note to myself.	8	this matter before coming to a decision, as this has not
9	Okay. Mr. O'Brien, if you could please	9	happened in a little bit of time.
10	call your witness.	10	So let's go ahead and take a brief recess.
11	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Of course, your Honor.	11	We've reconvene at 3:00 p.m. And that will give the
12	Staff calls Scott Sevall.	12	parties 12 minutes to stretch their legs and get a drink
13	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Your Honor?	13	of water if needed. Let's go ahead and be off the record
14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes.	14	at this time.
15	ATTORNEY HOWARD: I do hate to potentially	15	(Recess.)
16	be a stick in the mud, but I would like to raise a	16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Before I go ahead
17	concern here that the parties have stipulated to the	17	and address the matter, is there anything additional that
18	admission of all the prefiled exhibits, which includes	18	the parties would like to share in regards to the issue
19	Exhibits from the Rouses. And I can certainly understand	19	of whether or not Mrs. Rouse should be called for
20	the Rouses can decide whether or not to offer a witness	20	cross-examination?
21	today.	21	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Your Honor, the company
22	But I do wonder, and I do feel concern	22	would have a brief observation, or I suppose brief line
23	that the company should have an opportunity to ask	23	of argument to offer for you to consider.
24	questions about what they have provided in terms of	24	If your Honor is not inclined to allow the
25	exhibits submitted through their witness.	25	company to briefly cross Ms. Rouse today, the company
	Page 58		
	Ū.		Page 60
1	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have	1	Page 60 would request in the alternative that the commission
2	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add?	2	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in
2 3	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position	2 3	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September
2 3 4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor.	2 3 4	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses.
2 3 4 5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do	2 3 4 5	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as
2 3 4 5 6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response?	2 3 4 5 6	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we
2 3 4 5 6 7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not	2 3 4 5 6 7	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard. ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's been stipulated to and admitted into evidence already,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard. ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. I would submit the company's position excuse me would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's been stipulated to and admitted into evidence already, and it's unconditional.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard. ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. I would submit the company's position excuse me would be that the Rouses have made some very specific claims, not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's been stipulated to and admitted into evidence already, and it's unconditional. But I don't know if you say that he wants
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard. ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. I would submit the company's position excuse me would be that the Rouses have made some very specific claims, not just in the June 24 letter, but also in the declaration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's been stipulated to and admitted into evidence already, and it's unconditional. But I don't know if you say that he wants to cross-examine her with respect to the issues in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard. ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. I would submit the company's position excuse me would be that the Rouses have made some very specific claims, not just in the June 24 letter, but also in the declaration which is in this docket, Deanna Rouse's declaration. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's been stipulated to and admitted into evidence already, and it's unconditional. But I don't know if you say that he wants to cross-examine her with respect to the issues in paragraph 8, but again, in line with my other objections
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard. ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. I would submit the company's position excuse me would be that the Rouses have made some very specific claims, not just in the June 24 letter, but also in the declaration which is in this docket, Deanna Rouse's declaration. And now we have exhibits from them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's been stipulated to and admitted into evidence already, and it's unconditional. But I don't know if you say that he wants to cross-examine her with respect to the issues in paragraph 8, but again, in line with my other objections and arguments today, your Honor, the point is to keep
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard. ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. I would submit the company's position excuse me would be that the Rouses have made some very specific claims, not just in the June 24 letter, but also in the declaration which is in this docket, Deanna Rouse's declaration. And now we have exhibits from them. And I think not allowing us to cross	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's been stipulated to and admitted into evidence already, and it's unconditional. But I don't know if you say that he wants to cross-examine her with respect to the issues in paragraph 8, but again, in line with my other objections and arguments today, your Honor, the point is to keep things focused on what's actually at issue here and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard. ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. I would submit the company's position excuse me would be that the Rouses have made some very specific claims, not just in the June 24 letter, but also in the declaration which is in this docket, Deanna Rouse's declaration. And now we have exhibits from them. And I think not allowing us to cross Deanna Rouse now, I'm concerned that that circumvents our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's been stipulated to and admitted into evidence already, and it's unconditional. But I don't know if you say that he wants to cross-examine her with respect to the issues in paragraph 8, but again, in line with my other objections and arguments today, your Honor, the point is to keep things focused on what's actually at issue here and what's been presented by the petitioners.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard. ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. I would submit the company's position excuse me would be that the Rouses have made some very specific claims, not just in the June 24 letter, but also in the declaration which is in this docket, Deanna Rouse's declaration. And now we have exhibits from them. And I think not allowing us to cross Deanna Rouse now, I'm concerned that that circumvents our rights as a party, given that now we have in particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's been stipulated to and admitted into evidence already, and it's unconditional. But I don't know if you say that he wants to cross-examine her with respect to the issues in paragraph 8, but again, in line with my other objections and arguments today, your Honor, the point is to keep things focused on what's actually at issue here and what's been presented by the petitioners. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. So common practice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard. ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. I would submit the company's position excuse me would be that the Rouses have made some very specific claims, not just in the June 24 letter, but also in the declaration which is in this docket, Deanna Rouse's declaration. And now we have exhibits from them. And I think not allowing us to cross Deanna Rouse now, I'm concerned that that circumvents our rights as a party, given that now we have in particular the declaration with allegations about water quality and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's been stipulated to and admitted into evidence already, and it's unconditional. But I don't know if you say that he wants to cross-examine her with respect to the issues in paragraph 8, but again, in line with my other objections and arguments today, your Honor, the point is to keep things focused on what's actually at issue here and what's been presented by the petitioners. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. So common practice at the commission, particularly outside of brief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have anything to add? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Staff has no position on that issue, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Well, we're not offering any testimony from the witness, your Honor. I know the exhibits have come in. They were stipulated to by the parties. I don't see any need to offer any additional testimony beyond that. And so otherwise, I'm not exactly sure what Mr. Howard is asking for here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Go ahead, Mr. Howard. ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you. I would submit the company's position excuse me would be that the Rouses have made some very specific claims, not just in the June 24 letter, but also in the declaration which is in this docket, Deanna Rouse's declaration. And now we have exhibits from them. And I think not allowing us to cross Deanna Rouse now, I'm concerned that that circumvents our rights as a party, given that now we have in particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 would request in the alternative that the commission strike paragraph 8 of Rouse's declaration, which is in the record and just let me check the date September 16, 2024, I believe. I do need reading glasses. So we'd ask to strike paragraph 8 as unsupported and unvetted by any cross-examination if we cannot cross the witness today. Thank you for your consideration, though, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Lindberg, do you have any response? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't know if Mr. Howard is suggesting that of course we oppose his request to strike any portion of the declaration. It's been stipulated to and admitted into evidence already, and it's unconditional. But I don't know if you say that he wants to cross-examine her with respect to the issues in paragraph 8, but again, in line with my other objections and arguments today, your Honor, the point is to keep things focused on what's actually at issue here and what's been presented by the petitioners. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. So common practice

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

	Page 61		Page 63
1		1	
1 2	cases for companies is for testimony and evidence to be submitted on direct without direct examination and for	1 2	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
∠ 3	witnesses to then be available for cross-examination.	3	you will give today will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
4	Prior to the Administrative Procedure Act	4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5		5	
6	being revamped, the old RCW 34-04-100 provided that in	6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
7	contested cases, agencies or their authorized agents may	7	And before we proceed, I think I said I would give you a statutory reference to the current APA.
8	admit and give probative effect to evidence which	8	
° 9	possesses probative value commonly accepted by reasonably	9	I don't think I provided that. That is RCW 34.05.449(2). Okay. Thank you.
10	prudent men in the conduct of their affairs. They may exclude incompetent, irrelevant, immaterial, and unduly	10	And Mr. Lindberg, do you want to proceed
		11	
11 12	repititious evidence, and every party shall have the right of cross-examination of witnesses who testify and	12	with any direct, or just move to cross? ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I don't have any
		13	•
13 14	shall have the right to submit rebuttal evidence. We don't have testimony from Mrs. Rouse	14	questions for direct, as long as I can reserve the right
14	other than the declaration itself, which could be viewed	14	to redirect in the event that anything comes up on cross-examination.
15 16	as testimony itself.	16	JUDGE THOMPSON: Absolutely. You will
17	5	17	always have that right.
	The more current RCW, particularly let	18	Mr. Howard, if you want to proceed.
18	me get you a statutory reference does provide that the presiding officer shall afford to all parties the	19	Mr. Howard, if you want to proceed.
19		20	DEANNA ROUSE, witness herein, having been duly
20	opportunity to respond, present evidence and argument,	20	sworn on oath, testified as
21	and conduct cross-examination for parties who are parties to the proceeding unless that participation has been	22	follows:
22 23		23	TOHOWS.
23 24	restricted by a limited grant of intervention or by the prehearing order.	24	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24 25		25	BY ATTORNEY HOWARD
25	For those reasons, and because I believe	23	BLATIONNET HOWARD
	Page 62		Page 64
1	it to be required by law, I will ask Ms. Rouse Mrs.	1	Q Good afternoon, Mrs. Rouse.
2	Rouse, my apologies be available for	2	A Good afternoon.
3	cross-examination.	3	Q I want to ask you a few questions about your
4	But I do think that cross-examination	4	September 2024 declaration that's in the docket. Are you
5	should be limited to the issues of the prefiled exhibits,	5	familiar with that declaration that I'm referring to?
6	with the understanding that we are talking about narrow	6	A Yes.
7	issues.	7	Q Thank you.
8	And I do not want to go into the issues	8	So in the declaration, you refer to Kalama
9	with the ongoing dispute between the related company,	9	Water failing to install an air release valve; is that
10	parent company, subsidiary, whatever may be the case, of	10	correct?
11	the development company. We're focused here today on the	11	A (Inaudible).
12	water company itself.	12	Q Could you perhaps would it be possible for
13	And Mr. Lindberg, if you do have any	13	you to sit a little bit closer to the conference room
14	direct, I'll still provide you with that opportunity to	14	microphone?
15	conduct a direct, or we can offer up just for limited	15	A I will talk louder.
16	cross as we do in a number of our other proceedings here	16	Q Thank you.
17	at the commission. Okay? And that is how we are going	17	A Sorry.
18	to go ahead and proceed.	18	Q Not a problem. I have the same thing myself.
19	So Mr. Lindberg, if you want to go ahead	19	But you do not have experience in well
20	and call Mrs. Rouse.	20	drilling; is that correct?
21	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Yes, call Ms. Deanna	21	A That's correct.
22	Rouse.	22	Q You do not have experience in water quality
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mrs. Rouse, if you	23	testing, correct?
24	could please raise your right hand.	24	A That's correct. Yes.
25		25	Q You do not have any professional experience in

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

	Page 65		Page 67
1	installing or maintaining water pumps; is that right?	1	Mrs. Rouse?
2	A That's correct.	2	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I do not, your Honor.
3	Q Mr. Tershel hired Pitner Drilling & Pump	3	Maybe you could just swear the witness and have that
4	Company to evaluate the pumphouse in the fall of 2023; is	4	apply to the testimony given, if that's acceptable.
5	that right?	5	JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes, that is acceptable.
6	A That's correct.	6	I believe I remember seeing you raise your
7	Q And did you meet with Mr. Tershel and Pitner	7	right hand, but if you could please raise your right &
8	Drilling in the fall of 2023?	8	again and we will swear you in.
9	A That's correct.	9	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
10	Q Did you discuss the issue of the air release	10	you will provide today and that you have provided thus
11	valve at one point with Pitner Drilling and Mr. Tershel?	11	far will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
12	A Absolutely not.	12	the truth?
13	Q It is is it your position that Pitner	13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	Drilling did not discuss the issue of an air release	14	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. And please go
15	valve with you?	15	ahead and finish your answer regarding Mr. Howard's
16	A He did not.	16	question on the topic of whether or not a discussion was
17	Q Is it your position that Mr. Tershel did not	17	had regarding an air release valve.
18	discuss the issue of an air release valve with you?	18	THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. I
19	A Bob Tershel did not discuss an air release	19	had called the health department to find out about the
20	valve with me. I went to Bob and asked him to put one on	20	air in our pipes. And Jesse Smith, who I spoke with, is
21	the system.	21	very familiar with the pumphouse.
22	I had called the health department because I	22	And Bob Tershel had recommended that an
23	had been having its issues with Bob on	23	air release valve be placed on there to help facilitate
24	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Objection. Move to	24	the pressure that our home is receiving. And that's
25	strike as nonresponsive. She's going beyond the scope of	25	where the information came from. It never came from
	Page 66		Page 68
1	my question.	1	Pitner Plumbing.
2	THE WITNESS: No, it's I	2	I had from there, I had gone to Bob
3	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Everything from health	3	Tershel on several occasions, at least three to four, if
4	department on is nonresponsive.	4	not five times, mentioning that to him how important it
5	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Your Honor, she's	5	is that one of these valves gets installed so our homes
6	answered the question. You know, Mr. Howard asked for		
		6	can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air,
7	the opportunity to examine her. I think it's only fair	7	
7 8	the opportunity to examine her. I think it's only fair that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor.		can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air,
		7	can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two
8	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor.	7 8	can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home.
8 9	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute.	7 8 9	can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you.
8 9 10	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies.	7 8 9 10	 can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites
8 9 10 11	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do	7 8 9 10 11	 can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of
8 9 10 11 12	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the	7 8 9 10 11 12	 can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system.
8 9 10 11 12 13	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the question. Right now we have testimony that a discussion	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system. Do you have any knowledge about whether the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the question. Right now we have testimony that a discussion was had and a discussion was not had.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system. Do you have any knowledge about whether the gate to the subdivision is maintained by Kalama Water LLC
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the question. Right now we have testimony that a discussion was had and a discussion was not had. And for clarity of the record, Mrs. Rouse,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system. Do you have any knowledge about whether the gate to the subdivision is maintained by Kalama Water LLC or another entity? A It has always been my impression that Bob Tershel maintains that gate.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the question. Right now we have testimony that a discussion was had and a discussion was not had. And for clarity of the record, Mrs. Rouse, if you could please finish your answer. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Your Honor, if I may	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system. Do you have any knowledge about whether the gate to the subdivision is maintained by Kalama Water LLC or another entity? A It has always been my impression that Bob Tershel maintains that gate. He called me one day because he was out there
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the question. Right now we have testimony that a discussion was had and a discussion was not had. And for clarity of the record, Mrs. Rouse, if you could please finish your answer. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Your Honor, if I may interject just briefly, I don't believe the witness was	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system. Do you have any knowledge about whether the gate to the subdivision is maintained by Kalama Water LLC or another entity? A It has always been my impression that Bob Tershel maintains that gate. He called me one day because he was out there with somebody else from the other division down below,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the question. Right now we have testimony that a discussion was had and a discussion was not had. And for clarity of the record, Mrs. Rouse, if you could please finish your answer. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Your Honor, if I may interject just briefly, I don't believe the witness was sworn in at the start of testimony.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system. Do you have any knowledge about whether the gate to the subdivision is maintained by Kalama Water LLC or another entity? A It has always been my impression that Bob Tershel maintains that gate. He called me one day because he was out there with somebody else from the other division down below, looking at the gate for, I don't know, 45 minutes,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the question. Right now we have testimony that a discussion was had and a discussion was not had. And for clarity of the record, Mrs. Rouse, if you could please finish your answer. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Your Honor, if I may interject just briefly, I don't believe the witness was sworn in at the start of testimony. JUDGE THOMPSON: I believe we swore in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system. Do you have any knowledge about whether the gate to the subdivision is maintained by Kalama Water LLC or another entity? A It has always been my impression that Bob Tershel maintains that gate. He called me one day because he was out there with somebody else from the other division down below, looking at the gate for, I don't know, 45 minutes, whatever, trying to figure out what's going on with it.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the question. Right now we have testimony that a discussion was had and a discussion was not had. And for clarity of the record, Mrs. Rouse, if you could please finish your answer. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Your Honor, if I may interject just briefly, I don't believe the witness was sworn in at the start of testimony. JUDGE THOMPSON: I believe we swore in Mrs. Rouse and then I reverted back to give a statutory	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system. Do you have any knowledge about whether the gate to the subdivision is maintained by Kalama Water LLC or another entity? A It has always been my impression that Bob Tershel maintains that gate. He called me one day because he was out there with somebody else from the other division down below, looking at the gate for, I don't know, 45 minutes, whatever, trying to figure out what's going on with it. I got a phone call from Bob Tershel asking if there was a
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the question. Right now we have testimony that a discussion was had and a discussion was not had. And for clarity of the record, Mrs. Rouse, if you could please finish your answer. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Your Honor, if I may interject just briefly, I don't believe the witness was sworn in at the start of testimony. JUDGE THOMPSON: I believe we swore in Mrs. Rouse and then I reverted back to give a statutory reference.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system. Do you have any knowledge about whether the gate to the subdivision is maintained by Kalama Water LLC or another entity? A It has always been my impression that Bob Tershel maintains that gate. He called me one day because he was out there with somebody else from the other division down below, looking at the gate for, I don't know, 45 minutes, whatever, trying to figure out what's going on with it. I got a phone call from Bob Tershel asking if there was a remote to it because he had called Ed Price, the previous
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the question. Right now we have testimony that a discussion was had and a discussion was not had. And for clarity of the record, Mrs. Rouse, if you could please finish your answer. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Your Honor, if I may interject just briefly, I don't believe the witness was sworn in at the start of testimony. JUDGE THOMPSON: I believe we swore in Mrs. Rouse and then I reverted back to give a statutory reference. Because I don't believe we have a court	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system. Do you have any knowledge about whether the gate to the subdivision is maintained by Kalama Water LLC or another entity? A It has always been my impression that Bob Tershel maintains that gate. He called me one day because he was out there with somebody else from the other division down below, looking at the gate for, I don't know, 45 minutes, whatever, trying to figure out what's going on with it. I got a phone call from Bob Tershel asking if there was a remote to it because he had called Ed Price, the previous owner. And I don't know what that conversation detailed,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that she be allowed to give a full answer, your Honor. Your Honor is on mute. JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies. I'm going to go ahead and overrule. I do think that we need to allow Mrs. Rouse to answer the question. Right now we have testimony that a discussion was had and a discussion was not had. And for clarity of the record, Mrs. Rouse, if you could please finish your answer. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Your Honor, if I may interject just briefly, I don't believe the witness was sworn in at the start of testimony. JUDGE THOMPSON: I believe we swore in Mrs. Rouse and then I reverted back to give a statutory reference.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	can stop getting the impact of all this pressure and air, which lasts at least a week to a week and a half to two weeks of ongoing pressure to our home. Q (By Attorney Howard) All right. Thank you. I'm going to move on. Your declaration cites problems with the subdivision's gate as an example of numerous issues with the water system. Do you have any knowledge about whether the gate to the subdivision is maintained by Kalama Water LLC or another entity? A It has always been my impression that Bob Tershel maintains that gate. He called me one day because he was out there with somebody else from the other division down below, looking at the gate for, I don't know, 45 minutes, whatever, trying to figure out what's going on with it. I got a phone call from Bob Tershel asking if there was a remote to it because he had called Ed Price, the previous

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

	Page 69	Page	. 71
1	gate, which I didn't even know was in my possession at	1 it right. Quote, We replaced the door and lock on t	the
2	the time.	2 purpose house in order to ensure its security and	
3	And I said I will look at the stuff that Ed	3 security of its contents, end quote.	
4	Price gave me, and if it's there, I will put it out in	4 Did I read that right?	
5	the pumphouse for you.	5 A You did.	
6	And he goes, Well, if I had this remote, then I	6 Q And did you sign this declaration under per	nalty
7	could have already had this gate fixed.	7 of perjury on this page?	
8	So that's how that has come about for it being	8 A Yes.	
9	Bob's responsibility for the gate. As far as I've	9 Q Thank you.	
10	understood, it was Bob's responsibility, yes.	10Are you familiar with the fact that you can	
11	Q My question was maybe only making sense to me,	11 repair a rotted door jamb without replacing the door	or's
12	I suppose.	12 lock?	
13	Do you know whether or not Kalama Water LLC, as	13 A Yes.	
14	a corporate entity, maintains the gate?	14QAll right. Thank you.	
15	A I do not, no.	15 Are you familiar with the fact that Kalama	
16	Q Okay. Do you have any reason do you know	16 Water can pursue treble damages and attorney fee	s for
17	whether another entity owned by Bob Tershel may be	17 tampering with water rights?	
18	responsible for the gate?	18 ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Objection.	
19	A No.	19 Argumentative. Relevance.	
20	Q All right. Your declaration says that you	20 ATTORNEY HOWARD: Withdrawn. 21 That's all the questions I have.	
21	replaced the door and lock on the pumphouse for the,	21That's all the questions I have.22JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	
22 23	quote, security, end quote, of the pumphouse; is that right?	23 Mr. O'Brien, do you have any questions for	
23 24	A It wasn't the security specifically.	24 Mrs. Rouse?	
25	It was because there was door rot at the door	25	
	Page 70	Page	72
1	jambs. And the door itself is a glass door, which was	1 ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: No questions, your	
2	hung upside down from the original installation. There's	2 Honor.	
3	gaps in the old door on the top and the bottom that	3 JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Mr. Lindberg, do	
4	allowed air to come in and out during especially the	4 you have any redirect?	
5	freezing temperatures.	5 ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Yes, thank you, your	
6	But because of the door rot and the condition,	6 Honor.	
7	that's why we had it replaced. It was brought to our		
8	attention. We had three companies that were professional	8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
9	door installers all look at it, gave us the same	9 BY ATTORNEY LINDBERG:	
10	information, and we proceeded. We informed Bob and we	10 Q Mrs. Rouse, do you recall when it was that you	
11 12	proceeded to have it done, yes. Q So just now you indicated it was not because of	11and your husband actually replaced the door to the12pumphouse?	
13	security; it was because of the door rot, right?	13 A It was in April of 2024.	
14	A And the air, the gaps above and below the door.	14 Q And before you replaced the door to the	
15	It was not fitting. It was not the appropriate door. It	15 pumphouse in April of 2024, did you communicate with	h
16	did not fit correctly when it was installed.	16 Mr. Tershel and advise him that you intended to do tha	
17	Q Do you have your declaration, September 2024	17 A Yes.	
		18 Q And how did you make that communication?	
18	declaration in front of you?		
18 19	declaration in front of you? A Yes.	19 A We sent an e-mail.	
	-		to
19	A Yes.	19 A We sent an e-mail.	to
19 20	A Yes. Q Can you look at paragraph 9 of the	19AWe sent an e-mail.20QAnd did when you communicated your intent	
19 20 21	A Yes. Q Can you look at paragraph 9 of the declaration?	19AWe sent an e-mail.20QAnd did when you communicated your intent21replace the door, did you say anything in your	
19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q Can you look at paragraph 9 of the declaration? And I'll read it to you. Do you have that in	19AWe sent an e-mail.20QAnd did when you communicated your intent21replace the door, did you say anything in your22communication about whether or not there would be a23on the door?24ATTORNEY HOWARD: Objection. Best	
19 20 21 22 23	A Yes. Q Can you look at paragraph 9 of the declaration? And I'll read it to you. Do you have that in front of you right now?	19AWe sent an e-mail.20QAnd did when you communicated your intent21replace the door, did you say anything in your22communication about whether or not there would be a23on the door?	

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

	Page 73		Page 75
1	We're not being presented with (inaudible)	1	I will now turn to you, Mr. Howard, to see
2	communications.	2	if you've had a chance to review that exhibit.
3	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Yeah, and your Honor,	3	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Thank you, your Honor.
4	I'd be happy to give the e-mail into the record. I can't	4	The company did have a chance to review the exhibit. And
5	believe I just learned about it today. But I think it's	5	after reviewing it, the company withdraws its objection
6	certainly probative of these issues, and I would just	6	to the exhibit and does not seek to cross further on
7	request the opportunity to submit the e-mail as an	7	this.
8	exhibit and allow the witness to testify.	8	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: This purported e-mail	9	And Mr. Lindberg, I'm in receipt of the
10	does sound like it may have some probative value and	10	exhibit. I would just ask that subsequent to this
11	relevance to the issues before us today.	11	hearing, you go ahead and file that with the commission.
12	Mr. Howard, would you object to that being	12	I have had a chance to review. Counsel
13	filed after today's hearing?	13	for the company has withdrawn their objection. And I
14	ATTORNEY HOWARD: I would object to it	14	believe you have laid out a quick foundation through the
15	being considered without any prior notice until this	15	document itself and in your initial questions to the
16	second, and no opportunity for me to cross-examine or	16	authenticity of the document.
17	prepare for cross-examination of her on this alleged	17	And so we will go ahead and admit that as
18	e-mail. It seems like this would have been a very	18	let me get my exhibit list. It will be DR-3 once that
19	material thing which would have come up far earlier.	19	is filed with the commission.
20	They already have two e-mail chains in evidence. I don't	20	(Exhibit DR-3 admitted)
21	see how this is fair.	21	JUDGE THOMPSON: So thank you for sending
22	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Your Honor, if we want	22	that around.
23	to take a brief recess, I'm happy to forward the e-mail	23	And Mr. Howard, thank you for your quick
24	to Mr. Howard and Mr. O'Brien and to the Court.	24	review of the document.
25	Again, you know, the testimony is	25	Mr. Lindberg, you can go ahead and proceed
	Page 74		Page 76
1	absolutely relevant. It has to do with the door. It's	1	with your line of questions.
2	an issue that Mr. Howard got into here on	2	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor.
3	cross-examination. I believe the witness should be able	3	Q (By Attorney Lindberg) Mrs. Rouse, just
4	to testify to the substance of the communication. And	4	getting back to this issue, I think you had testified
5	again, it will speak for itself. It's an e-mail with	5	earlier that you and Mr. Rouse had informed Mr. Tershel
6	bullet points about some of these issues.	6	of your intent to replace the door, correct?
7	JUDGE THOMPSON: Before we decide whether	7	A Yes.
8	or not to continue with the line of questioning and	8	Q And we've now admitted this e-mail where that
9	whether or not questioning is appropriate, let's go ahead	9	advice was given to Mr. Tershel. And of course the
10	and get the e-mail sent to the parties. We will take	10	document speaks for itself.
11	another brief recess.	11	But did in the course of that, did you offer
12	How long do you anticipate needing? Ten	12	to provide a key to the door to Mr. Tershel?
13	minutes, five minutes?	13	A Yes.
14	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Five minutes should be	14	Q Did Mr. Tershel ever contact you and request a
15	more than sufficient, your Honor.	15	key to the door?
16	And what I'll do, if it's okay, is I will	16	A No.
17	send it to the your Honor's most recent e-mail that I	17	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: No further questions,
18	believe has all of the parties on it.	18 19	your Honor.
19 20	JUDGE THOMPSON: That would be acceptable.	20	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
20 21	Okay. Let's be off the record. We will reconvene let's give it seven minutes at 3:30.	20	At this time, Mrs. Rouse, you are excused from being a witness. But I assume you'll remain in the
21	(Recess.)	21	room to continue watching the proceeding. So you are off
23	JUDGE THOMPSON: We are back on the	23	the virtual stand at this time.
23	record. It is 3:32 p.m. And the exhibit in question has	24	Mr. O'Brien, if you would like to call
25	been sent to the parties and myself.	25	your witness at this time.
	······································		

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

	Page 77		Page 79
1	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Yes, your Honor.	1	the commission.
2	Commission staff calls Scott Sevall.	2	Q All right. And moving on to the case at hand,
3	THE WITNESS: Okay. Here I am.	3	are you familiar with the company Kalama Water LLC?
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: Good afternoon.	4	A Yes. Being that I'm assigned to this petition,
5	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.	5	yes.
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: If you could please raise	6	Q All right. And you answered my next question,
7	your right hand, and I'll swear you in.	7	which was how are you familiar with the company.
8	Do you swear or affirm that the testimony	8	So just to make sure we're all on the same
9	you will provide today is the truth, the whole truth, and	9	page, your from your understanding, Kalama is the
10	nothing but the truth?	10	proper owner of the water system on the Rouses' property,
11	THE WITNESS: I do.	11	per Commission Order UW-150742, correct?
12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	12	A Yes. That was the sale and transfer order from
13	Mr. O'Brien, you can proceed.	13	Green Mountain H2O, I believe, which is the official
14		14	order which transfers all the assets that Green Mountain
15	SCOTT SEVALL, witness herein, having been duly	15	had originally held to Kalama Water.
16	sworn on oath, testified as	16	Furthermore on that, Green Mountain had filed
17	follows:	17	its initial tariff with the commission in 2009. Their
18		18	initial tariff became effective May 1 of 2009. So those
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION	19	assets have been part of a regulated entity since 2009.
20	BY ATTORNEY O'BRIEN:	20	Q And when did staff first become aware of the
21	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Sevall. Could you please	21	issue with Kalama Water gaining access to their
22	state your name and spell your last name for the record.	22	wellhouse?
23	A Scott Sevall; last name is spelled S-E-V-A-L L.	23	A Prior to the petition, I had received a call
24	Q And by whom are you employed and in what	24	from Mr. Tershel. He said that a lock had been changed
25	capacity?	25	on his pumphouse, and wondered if there was anything the
	Page 78		Page 80
1	A I am employed by the Utilities and	1	commission could do.
2	Transportation Commission. I am a regulatory analyst.	2	And I told him per our rules that companies are
3	Q And what are your responsibilities in that	3	allowed access to their property, but that we can't
4	position?	4	really do much if there isn't anything for the commission
5	A Well, they're multiple. But primarily, I	5	to act on. So I believe that's where the idea of a
б	review tariff filings, other various filings proposed by	6	petition from Kalama Water came from.
7	companies that we regulate, including this petition. I'm	7	Q And you're aware of the demand letter sent by
8	the analyst assigned to this petition.	8	the Rouses to Mr. Tershel that is admitted as I
9	Q And how long have you been employed by the	9	believe it's SS-3?
10		1 1 0	
	commission?	10	A I have received a copy of that after the
11	A I started with the commission originally in	11	petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the
11 12	A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014.	11 12	petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the
11 12 13	A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in	11 12 13	petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it.
11 12 13 14	 A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in 2022 to do some risk analysis at the Washington Office of 	11 12 13 14	petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it. And that's when I first received a copy of it, I believe.
11 12 13 14 15	 A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in 2022 to do some risk analysis at the Washington Office of the Insurance Commissioner because that rolls off the 	11 12 13 14 15	petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it. And that's when I first received a copy of it, I believe. Q All right. And does staff have any concerns
11 12 13 14 15 16	A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in 2022 to do some risk analysis at the Washington Office of the Insurance Commissioner because that rolls off the tongue, so.	11 12 13 14 15 16	petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it. And that's when I first received a copy of it, I believe. Q All right. And does staff have any concerns regarding the contents of that letter?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in 2022 to do some risk analysis at the Washington Office of the Insurance Commissioner because that rolls off the tongue, so. Q And what training or education have you 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it. And that's when I first received a copy of it, I believe. Q All right. And does staff have any concerns regarding the contents of that letter? A Just two, really. A lot of it is civil
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in 2022 to do some risk analysis at the Washington Office of the Insurance Commissioner because that rolls off the tongue, so. Q And what training or education have you received relating to your role? 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it. And that's when I first received a copy of it, I believe. Q All right. And does staff have any concerns regarding the contents of that letter? A Just two, really. A lot of it is civil matters, right, that we don't really hold jurisdiction
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in 2022 to do some risk analysis at the Washington Office of the Insurance Commissioner because that rolls off the tongue, so. Q And what training or education have you received relating to your role? A Well, there's almost ten years of history, of 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it. And that's when I first received a copy of it, I believe. Q All right. And does staff have any concerns regarding the contents of that letter? A Just two, really. A lot of it is civil matters, right, that we don't really hold jurisdiction over.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in 2022 to do some risk analysis at the Washington Office of the Insurance Commissioner because that rolls off the tongue, so. Q And what training or education have you received relating to your role? A Well, there's almost ten years of history, of work history. 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it. And that's when I first received a copy of it, I believe. Q All right. And does staff have any concerns regarding the contents of that letter? A Just two, really. A lot of it is civil matters, right, that we don't really hold jurisdiction over. But there were two claims in the letter where I
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in 2022 to do some risk analysis at the Washington Office of the Insurance Commissioner because that rolls off the tongue, so. Q And what training or education have you received relating to your role? A Well, there's almost ten years of history, of work history. But I went to school at Washington State 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it. And that's when I first received a copy of it, I believe. Q All right. And does staff have any concerns regarding the contents of that letter? A Just two, really. A lot of it is civil matters, right, that we don't really hold jurisdiction over. But there were two claims in the letter where I guess staff would have a concern. The assertion that the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in 2022 to do some risk analysis at the Washington Office of the Insurance Commissioner because that rolls off the tongue, so. Q And what training or education have you received relating to your role? A Well, there's almost ten years of history, of work history. But I went to school at Washington State University. I hold a bachelors in accounting. 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it. And that's when I first received a copy of it, I believe. Q All right. And does staff have any concerns regarding the contents of that letter? A Just two, really. A lot of it is civil matters, right, that we don't really hold jurisdiction over. But there were two claims in the letter where I guess staff would have a concern. The assertion that the door is now locked, right, and that the pumphouse is
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in 2022 to do some risk analysis at the Washington Office of the Insurance Commissioner because that rolls off the tongue, so. Q And what training or education have you received relating to your role? A Well, there's almost ten years of history, of work history. But I went to school at Washington State University. I hold a bachelors in accounting. I've gone to the Nehru Great School (phonetic). 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it. And that's when I first received a copy of it, I believe. Q All right. And does staff have any concerns regarding the contents of that letter? A Just two, really. A lot of it is civil matters, right, that we don't really hold jurisdiction over. But there were two claims in the letter where I guess staff would have a concern. The assertion that the door is now locked, right, and that the pumphouse is property of the water company per the commission's
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A I started with the commission originally in August of 2014. I did just come back this March. I had left in 2022 to do some risk analysis at the Washington Office of the Insurance Commissioner because that rolls off the tongue, so. Q And what training or education have you received relating to your role? A Well, there's almost ten years of history, of work history. But I went to school at Washington State University. I hold a bachelors in accounting. 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 petition was originally filed. I had I had asked the company to provide further documentation regarding the situation so I could gain better understanding of it. And that's when I first received a copy of it, I believe. Q All right. And does staff have any concerns regarding the contents of that letter? A Just two, really. A lot of it is civil matters, right, that we don't really hold jurisdiction over. But there were two claims in the letter where I guess staff would have a concern. The assertion that the door is now locked, right, and that the pumphouse is

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

	Page 81		Page 83
1	that the water company are charging are illegal. There	1	So yeah. I do not think that the company has
2	is a legal published tariff on file that's published by	2	to seek prior approval or any sort of facilitation to
3	the commission. A tariff is legally binding to the	3	access its assets.
4	company and to the customers. And so those rates are	4	Q And you sort of answered this question already
5	legal.	5	earlier. But what is staff's position regarding this
6	Q And to be clear, that tariff is currently	6	petition?
7	admitted as SS-2?	7	A I think I did say it earlier in kind of the
8	A I believe that's the exhibit number. But yes.	8	there is a legal record at the commission with ownership
9	I know the tariff is in the exhibits.	9	of these assets. That status quo should remain in place
10	Q All right. And to be clear, staff doesn't have	10	until determined by, you know, another court if they so
11	any position on the contents of that letter or the	11	determine otherwise.
12	dispute between the Rouses and Mr. Tershel outside of the	12	And so that means, I believe, the lock should
13	water system issues?	13	either be removed or a key granted to the company; and
14	A No. We do not.	14	that the tariff rates are legal and in effect, and that
15	It's simply I guess my position, if you're	15	both company and customers are bound to that tariff.
16	asking that, is the current status quo from staff's	16	Q And should the commission deny that petition,
17	position is the assets have been separate from the land	17	would that be concerning to staff?
18	since 2009.	18	A It would be concerning for the possible public
19	They're at this point, the commission has	19	harm, you know, not knowing what could happen in a water
20	record. And that status quo should be maintained until	20	system where you would have no access into the pumphouse
21	at some point in the future if a judge decides otherwise,	21	if something were to go wrong in there.
22	then present that order to the commission and we can	22	And so as far as, like, public harm, I would
23	transfer assets as has been declared. That would be my	23	see, you know, denying this would open the door for
24	position.	24	people to possibly claim, Hey, we claim ownership, and in
25	Q All right. And moving to the petition itself,	25	this claim we're going to change locks or restrict access
	Page 82		Page 84
1 2	could you briefly describe your understanding of WAC 480-110-305?	1 2	in any sort of way, even though we don't have a court order at this point in time to say that.
3	A Yeah. In fact, actually, I've got it in front	3	So that's what I would find concerning if it
4	of me. So, you know, I'll read it. Authorized personnel	4	were denied.
5	of the water company so in this case, Mr. Tershel,	5	Q And expanding on that a little bit, what would
6	he's an authorized. I don't think anyone disputes that.	6	your understanding of the implications be if a regulated
7	They have the right to enter a customer's property during	7	company's property and private land could be taken over
8	reasonable hours to perform meter reading, maintenance,	8	in absence of an explicit easement?
9	testing, installation, or removal of the company's	9	A Well, that may that would throw a lot of
10	property.	10	concerns into the regulated utility market. I mean,
11	Given the pumphouse is the property, a lock on	11	there's hundreds and thousands of miles and utility
12	there that he doesn't have a key to would restrict that	12	assets in the state of Washington. Yes, in an ideal
13	access in the context of this case. That would be my	13	world, everything has explicit easements and there's no
14	belief. If he had a key to the pumphouse, then I think	14	issue.
15	that remedies that issue.	15	But we live in a world, not the ideal world,
16	Q And does just to be clear, does this WAC	16	you know. And while this may not be legally perfect,
17	require a company to seek access from a landowner to	17	right, in this situation, it certainly isn't going to be
18	access their property?	18	the only time I can't believe this would be the only
19	Is that how the commission	19	time that a utility's assets are on a piece of property
20	A I do not see that. I do not interpret this	20	without an explicit easement.
21	rule in that way, no.	21	And, you know, if I were to find one small
22	In the past, other cases, we've had people, you	22	piece of Puget Sound Energy's distribution lines going
23	know, put boulders on top of meter boxes and park cars on	23	across a property without easement, could I lay a claim
24	top of things to stop companies from doing things. And	24	of ownership to all of Puget Sound Energy? I just can't
25	that has all been deemed restricting access to assets.	25	see that as being feasible.
l		1	

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

	Page 85		Page 87
1	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: That's the extent of my	1	THE WITNESS: All right. Bye.
2	questions, your Honor.	2	JUDGE THOMPSON: I believe that concludes
3	You're muted, your Honor.	3	our presentation of witnesses.
4	JUDGE THOMPSON: My apologies.	4	At this time, I will go ahead and allow
5	Mr. Howard, do you have any	5	the parties to make a closing statement. Mr. Howard,
б	cross-examination?	6	would you like to provide a brief closing statement?
7	ATTORNEY HOWARD: No questions from the	7	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes, your Honor. Thank
8	company at this time, your Honor. Thank you.	8	you. And I would keep it brief.
9	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Lindberg?	9	I would maybe appreciate a little bit of
10	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor.	10	clarification. Is your Honor still considering whether
11		11	to allow for post-hearing written briefs?
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION	12	JUDGE THOMPSON: Yes. I was going to
13	BY ATTORNEY LINDBERG:	13	allow for closing statements and then get to that topic
14	Q Mr. Sevall, good afternoon. So you mentioned	14	before we adjourn.
15	that I believe your testimony, and you correct me if I	15	ATTORNEY HOWARD: All right. I will try
16	didn't get this right, that the assets of the water	16	to provide a brief closing statement that does include
17	company had been separated from the land since 2009. Did	17	some citations to the law in that case.
18	I get that correct?	18	
19	A Yes. So and that would have been from	19	CLOSING STATEMENT BY THE PETITIONER
20	the record, I believe, that we have here at the	20	ATTORNEY HOWARD: So your Honor, the
21	commission is the initial tariff from Green Mountain,	21	company would submit that it has most definitely
22 23	which was owned by Mr. Class, went in effect in May 1 of	22	established the need for a declaratory order in this
23 24	2009. Q And so so my that was, I think you	23 24	instance. If you look for when a declaratory order is
24 25	anticipated my follow-up question.	24	appropriate under the Administrative Procedure Act, RCW 34.05.240, this is uncertainty necessitating resolution,
25	anticipated my follow-up question.	2.5	
	Page 86		Page 88
1	You said that later in your testimony there was	1	Page 88 and there is an actual controversy here that is not a
2	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the	2	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion.
2 3	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then,	2 3	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not
2 3 4	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to?	2 3 4	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other
2 3 4 5	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to	2 3 4 5	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today.
2 3 4 5 6	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity	2 3 4 5 6	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been
2 3 4 5 6 7	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service.	2 3 4 5 6 7	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct statement that I made.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property. The primary one is WAC 480-110-305, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct statement that I made. Q And I think you also stated that providing a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property. The primary one is WAC 480-110-305, which is the main rule discussed in the petition itself,
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct statement that I made. Q And I think you also stated that providing a key to Kalama Water would remedy the whole issue. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property. The primary one is WAC 480-110-305, which is the main rule discussed in the petition itself, providing for access by water companies. I'd like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct statement that I made. Q And I think you also stated that providing a key to Kalama Water would remedy the whole issue. That was your testimony, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property. The primary one is WAC 480-110-305, which is the main rule discussed in the petition itself, providing for access by water companies. I'd like to observe that that rule is very consistent with rules for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct statement that I made. Q And I think you also stated that providing a key to Kalama Water would remedy the whole issue. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property. The primary one is WAC 480-110-305, which is the main rule discussed in the petition itself, providing for access by water companies. I'd like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct statement that I made. Q And I think you also stated that providing a key to Kalama Water would remedy the whole issue. That was your testimony, right? A Yeah, I believe that would remedy the access as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property. The primary one is WAC 480-110-305, which is the main rule discussed in the petition itself, providing for access by water companies. I'd like to observe that that rule is very consistent with rules for other utility industries, such as WAC 480-100-168, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct statement that I made. Q And I think you also stated that providing a key to Kalama Water would remedy the whole issue. That was your testimony, right? A Yeah, I believe that would remedy the access as far as how I see it, the access issue, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property. The primary one is WAC 480-110-305, which is the main rule discussed in the petition itself, providing for access by water companies. I'd like to observe that that rule is very consistent with rules for other utility industries, such as WAC 480-100-168, which provides access to electric utilities to customers'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct statement that I made. Q And I think you also stated that providing a key to Kalama Water would remedy the whole issue. That was your testimony, right? A Yeah, I believe that would remedy the access as far as how I see it, the access issue, yeah. ATTORNEY LINDBERG: No further questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property. The primary one is WAC 480-110-305, which is the main rule discussed in the petition itself, providing for access by water companies. I'd like to observe that that rule is very consistent with rules for other utility industries, such as WAC 480-100-168, which provides access to electric utilities to customers' properties for meter reading and other necessary tasks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct statement that I made. Q And I think you also stated that providing a key to Kalama Water would remedy the whole issue. That was your testimony, right? A Yeah, I believe that would remedy the access as far as how I see it, the access issue, yeah. ATTORNEY LINDBERG: No further questions. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property. The primary one is WAC 480-110-305, which is the main rule discussed in the petition itself, providing for access by water companies. I'd like to observe that that rule is very consistent with rules for other utility industries, such as WAC 480-100-168, which provides access to electric utilities to customers' properties for meter reading and other necessary tasks. So it is clear that the commission rules
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct statement that I made. Q And I think you also stated that providing a key to Kalama Water would remedy the whole issue. That was your testimony, right? A Yeah, I believe that would remedy the access as far as how I see it, the access issue, yeah. ATTORNEY LINDBERG: No further questions. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Mr. O'Brien, do you have any redirect? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: No redirect, your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property. The primary one is WAC 480-110-305, which is the main rule discussed in the petition itself, providing for access by water companies. I'd like to observe that that rule is very consistent with rules for other utility industries, such as WAC 480-100-168, which provides access to electric utilities to customers' properties for meter reading and other necessary tasks. So it is clear that the commission rules recognize, contemplate, and allow employees of electric, gas, or water companies to access the property to perform necessary tasks. And when they include tasks like meter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You said that later in your testimony there was a legal record at the commission that establishes the status of that property. And is that the tariff, then, that you're referring to? A Yeah. When the tariff initially comes in to is filed, you know, that is going to be a business entity that holds assets for providing that utility service. Q Okay. So your testimony, then, is that the assets have been separated from the land since 2009 by virtue of the initial tariff filed by Green Mountain; is that correct? A I believe so, yeah. That would be my correct statement that I made. Q And I think you also stated that providing a key to Kalama Water would remedy the whole issue. That was your testimony, right? A Yeah, I believe that would remedy the access as far as how I see it, the access issue, yeah. ATTORNEY LINDBERG: No further questions. JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. Mr. O'Brien, do you have any redirect? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: No redirect, your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and there is an actual controversy here that is not a mere advisory opinion. And this access issue is important not only to the company, but to other to the other customers, the Tretnikovs, who are here today. And the access issue, which we have been discussing some of the laws related to this, kind of touching on them so far in this proceeding today, I just want to give you a brief overview of this. The company would argue that there are at least two independent grounds for the commission to find that the company has a right to access the property. The primary one is WAC 480-110-305, which is the main rule discussed in the petition itself, providing for access by water companies. I'd like to observe that that rule is very consistent with rules for other utility industries, such as WAC 480-100-168, which provides access to electric utilities to customers' properties for meter reading and other necessary tasks. So it is clear that the commission rules recognize, contemplate, and allow employees of electric, gas, or water companies to access the property to perform

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

	Page 89		Page 91
1	that case. This is a non-possessory general right to	1	on notification requirements and conditions beyond those
2	enter the property.	2	set forth in commission rules in the company's tariff.
3	And where do these regulations come	3	As we have heard from our witnesses today,
4	from? These regulations are authorized, we would argue,	4	the company the Rouses' proposal that Mr. Tershel
5	by RCW 80.04.160, which broadly provides a commission	5	needs to give them 48 hours' notice in a phone and e-mail
6	power to issue rules and regulations concerning the	6	call to both of them I'm closely paraphrasing, but it
5 7	furnishing and transmission of all these services.	7	was something like that. Trying to force a water company
8	And this goes with the compelling public	8	to agree to a set of conditions around notice that one
9	policy needs which we have touched on, and which	9	customer has come up with is would endanger the
10	Mr. Sevall touched on in his testimony.	10	company's responsibilities to follow commission rules,
11	The second in the company's view, a	11	which says I can go there and fix problems on a shorter
12	second independent grounds for providing for the	12	timeline because this is, again, water, which is highly
13	commission declaring that the company has access here is	13	important.
14	Rule 9 of the company's tariff. And this is because of a	14	In the alternative, if the commission for
15	general understanding that any tariff approved by the	15	any reason finds some of the Rouses' arguments persuasive
16	commission is effectively a contract between the company	16	and it weighs against entering the order as we've
17	and the customer with enforceable legal effect. And Rule	17	requested, we ask the commission to declare at the very
18	9 of Kalama Water's tariff specifically provides that the	18	least that the company has access to the property under
19	company has access during reasonable business hours,	19	color of right as provided by WAC 480-110-305 and Rule 9
20	which is exactly consistent with the WAC. The reason why	20	of its tariff, and that Conditions 3 through 6 as we've
21	this is an independent ground is because this is for	21	proposed just now are also adopted in a declaratory
22	Kalama Water's customers accepting service to have	22	order.
23	entered have, by accepting service, have entered into	23	And much as Mr. Sevall has noted in his
24	this contract approved and regulated by the UTC, agreeing	24	testimony, declining to enter any declaratory order at
25	to the provisions of the contract which had legal effect,	25	this point will harm the parties, it can harm present
	Page 90		Page 92
1	which means that Kalama Water's agents are essentially	1	customers like the Tretnikovs, and future customers on
2	licensees, I believe would be the correct term, entering	2	
3			the system.
5	the property. And that's again a non-possessory right	3	the system. Thank you for your consideration.
4	the property. And that's again a non-possessory right that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be		
		3	Thank you for your consideration.
4	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be	3 4	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
4 5	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court.	3 4 5	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.
4 5 6	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall	3 4 5 6	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor.
4 5 6 7	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is	3 4 5 6 7	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT
4 5 6 7 8	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking	3 4 5 6 7 8	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor.
4 5 7 8 9	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305. The company also has right to access the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever reason, Mr. Tershel never responded and never moved
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305. The company also has right to access the property provided by Rule 9 of its tariffs.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever reason, Mr. Tershel never responded and never moved forward with that, instead filing this petition
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305. The company also has right to access the property provided by Rule 9 of its tariffs. The commission should order that locks are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever reason, Mr. Tershel never responded and never moved forward with that, instead filing this petition notwithstanding multiple communications regarding
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305. The company also has right to access the property provided by Rule 9 of its tariffs. The commission should order that locks are removed or that a key is granted to the company.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever reason, Mr. Tershel never responded and never moved forward with that, instead filing this petition notwithstanding multiple communications regarding provision of access.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305. The company also has right to access the property provided by Rule 9 of its tariffs. The commission should order that locks are removed or that a key is granted to the company. The fourth, the Rouses are delinquent	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever reason, Mr. Tershel never responded and never moved forward with that, instead filing this petition notwithstanding multiple communications regarding provision of access. So in addition to that, you know, I think
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305. The company also has right to access the property provided by Rule 9 of its tariffs. The commission should order that locks are removed or that a key is granted to the company. The fourth, the Rouses are delinquent in paying for water since January 1, 2024.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever reason, Mr. Tershel never responded and never moved forward with that, instead filing this petition notwithstanding multiple communications regarding provision of access. So in addition to that, you know, I think a lot of testimony and some of the commentary by counsel
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305. The company also has right to access the property provided by Rule 9 of its tariffs. The commission should order that locks are removed or that a key is granted to the company. The fourth, the Rouses are delinquent in paying for water since January 1, 2024. Fifth, that the pumphouse and pipes on the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever reason, Mr. Tershel never responded and never moved forward with that, instead filing this petition notwithstanding multiple communications regarding provision of access. So in addition to that, you know, I think a lot of testimony and some of the commentary by counsel and by staff is kind of a parade of horribles, but
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305. The company also has right to access the property provided by Rule 9 of its tariffs. The commission should order that locks are removed or that a key is granted to the company. The fourth, the Rouses are delinquent in paying for water since January 1, 2024. Fifth, that the pumphouse and pipes on the Rouses' property at 224 Resort Lane are used by Kalama	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever reason, Mr. Tershel never responded and never moved forward with that, instead filing this petition notwithstanding multiple communications regarding provision of access. So in addition to that, you know, I think a lot of testimony and some of the commentary by counsel and by staff is kind of a parade of horribles, but there's absolutely no testimony in this record that any
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305. The company also has right to access the property provided by Rule 9 of its tariffs. The commission should order that locks are removed or that a key is granted to the company. The fourth, the Rouses are delinquent in paying for water since January 1, 2024. Fifth, that the pumphouse and pipes on the Rouses' property at 224 Resort Lane are used by Kalama Water LLC for the provision of water service.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever reason, Mr. Tershel never responded and never moved forward with that, instead filing this petition notwithstanding multiple communications regarding provision of access. So in addition to that, you know, I think a lot of testimony and some of the commentary by counsel and by staff is kind of a parade of horribles, but there's absolutely no testimony in this record that any of that is taking place at all. There's no testimony
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305. The company also has right to access the property provided by Rule 9 of its tariffs. The commission should order that locks are removed or that a key is granted to the company. The fourth, the Rouses are delinquent in paying for water since January 1, 2024. Fifth, that the pumphouse and pipes on the Rouses' property at 224 Resort Lane are used by Kalama Water LLC for the provision of water service. And sixth, it is unlawful for the Rouses	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever reason, Mr. Tershel never responded and never moved forward with that, instead filing this petition notwithstanding multiple communications regarding provision of access. So in addition to that, you know, I think a lot of testimony and some of the commentary by counsel and by staff is kind of a parade of horribles, but there's absolutely no testimony in this record that any of that is taking place at all. There's no testimony whatsoever about anybody being deprived of anything.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that isn't necessarily tied to the title, which might be determined by a Superior Court. And again, as we've heard from Mr. Sevall and Mr. Tershel, what's really important here is providing that safe drinking water, reliable drinking water, to other customers and not having disputes such as this disrupt that process. So therefore, the company requests that the commission enter a declaratory order that the company has right to access the property as provided by WAC 480-110-305. The company also has right to access the property provided by Rule 9 of its tariffs. The commission should order that locks are removed or that a key is granted to the company. The fourth, the Rouses are delinquent in paying for water since January 1, 2024. Fifth, that the pumphouse and pipes on the Rouses' property at 224 Resort Lane are used by Kalama Water LLC for the provision of water service.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you for your consideration. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Mr. Lindberg? CLOSING STATEMENT BY RESPONDENT ATTORNEY LINDBERG: Thank you, your Honor. Yes, just briefly, again, as I stated in the beginning of our hearing today, this really is much ado about nothing. And Mr. Sevall stated in his testimony that the provision of the key to this door is a full remedy. And the key was offered, and for whatever reason, Mr. Tershel never responded and never moved forward with that, instead filing this petition notwithstanding multiple communications regarding provision of access. So in addition to that, you know, I think a lot of testimony and some of the commentary by counsel and by staff is kind of a parade of horribles, but there's absolutely no testimony in this record that any of that is taking place at all. There's no testimony

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

	Page 93		Page 95
1	that are arising here I think can be attributed to two	1	
2	things, one of which is not disputed.	2	CLOSING STATEMENT BY STAFF
3	There's no easement in this record at all.	3	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: So this case, as I
4	Mr. Tershel has not provided one single document to	4	stated (inaudible) core is fairly simple. The Rouses
5	demonstrate that Kalama Water or its predecessor ever had	5	have locked have introduced a lock to this pumphouse,
6	a legal right to enter Lot 6 in order to access the well	6	to this wellhouse, and the company does not have a key.
7	and pumphouse. There's no real property record.	7	The Rouses claim that they are willing to provide a key.
8	And the notion that this access can	8	If they do so, as staff has stated, this case is
9	somehow be perfected by the enactment of an	9	resolved.
10	administrative rule is contrary to basic real property	10	However, the actions that the Rouses have
11	rights. And again, just first principles here, the right	11	taken don't actually demonstrate a willingness to give a
12	to exclude others is one of the six in the bundle,	12	key. If you look at the timeline, the Rouses, through
13	right?	13	this e-mail that they've introduced today, in March
14	And I think as we all know, as Mr. Sevall	14	installed a new door with a lock.
15	knows, Mr. Howard knows, I certainly know from my	15	The Rouses then in June send a demand
16	experience working with developers, getting the easements	16	letter to the company and to Mr. Tershel stating, among a
17	in place to address those kinds of issues is pretty	17	lot of other things, that they believe they own the water
18	basic.	18	system.
19	The subdivision, as your Honor is aware	19	The next communication that we have from
20	from just reviewing any of these documents that have been	20	the Rouses between the Rouses and the company is not a
21	submitted, was done in a somewhat sloppy not somewhat,	21	discussion over getting the key. It's a discussion of
22	quite sloppy manner.	22	responding to and settling this dispute.
23	And obviously, Mr. Class cut corners. For	23	Quite simply, nothing that we have in
24	whatever reason, Mr. Tershel stepped into Mr. Class's	24	evidence demonstrates an actual willingness for the
25	position, and he doesn't have legal rights that he should	25	Rouses to give this key, to actually give access to the
	Page 94		
	Page 94		Page 96
1		1	
1 2	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this	1	water system back to the company.
	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation.		water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has
2	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property	2	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order
2 3	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other	2 3	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through.
2 3 4	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely	2 3 4	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of,
2 3 4 5	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist.	2 3 4 5	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through.
2 3 4 5 6	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely	2 3 4 5 6	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the
2 3 4 5 6 7	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay	2 3 4 5 6 7	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this delinquent pay and the shutting off of the service, et	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement designating it. There's always going to be recording and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this delinquent pay and the shutting off of the service, et cetera, that has not been presented as an issue that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement designating it. There's always going to be recording and paperwork issues like that.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this delinquent pay and the shutting off of the service, et cetera, that has not been presented as an issue that was to be litigated here today. And so I would just ask your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement designating it. There's always going to be recording and paperwork issues like that. But the Rouses can see through all of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this delinquent pay and the shutting off of the service, et cetera, that has not been presented as an issue that was to be litigated here today. And so I would just ask your Honor to disregard that altogether and simply issue an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement designating it. There's always going to be recording and paperwork issues like that. But the Rouses can see through all of the various plattings that every party has submitted that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this delinquent pay and the shutting off of the service, et cetera, that has not been presented as an issue that was to be litigated here today. And so I would just ask your Honor to disregard that altogether and simply issue an order addressing the issue that has been presented by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement designating it. There's always going to be recording and paperwork issues like that. But the Rouses can see through all of the various plattings that every party has submitted that there is a well on their property, and they can see since
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this delinquent pay and the shutting off of the service, et cetera, that has not been presented as an issue that was to be litigated here today. And so I would just ask your Honor to disregard that altogether and simply issue an order addressing the issue that has been presented by Mr. Tershel's petition, and that's the issue of access.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement designating it. There's always going to be recording and paperwork issues like that. But the Rouses can see through all of the various plattings that every party has submitted that there is a well on their property, and they can see since they paid their utility tariffs from 2021 to 2023, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this delinquent pay and the shutting off of the service, et cetera, that has not been presented as an issue that was to be litigated here today. And so I would just ask your Honor to disregard that altogether and simply issue an order addressing the issue that has been presented by Mr. Tershel's petition, and that's the issue of access. And just in conclusion, the Rouses do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement designating it. There's always going to be recording and paperwork issues like that. But the Rouses can see through all of the various plattings that every party has submitted that there is a well on their property, and they can see since they paid their utility tariffs from 2021 to 2023, they know that this is a regulated utility or a public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this delinquent pay and the shutting off of the service, et cetera, that has not been presented as an issue that was to be litigated here today. And so I would just ask your Honor to disregard that altogether and simply issue an order addressing the issue that has been presented by Mr. Tershel's petition, and that's the issue of access. And just in conclusion, the Rouses do request the opportunity to provide written briefing as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement designating it. There's always going to be recording and paperwork issues like that. But the Rouses can see through all of the various plattings that every party has submitted that there is a well on their property, and they can see since they paid their utility tariffs from 2021 to 2023, they know that this is a regulated utility or a public utility.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this delinquent pay and the shutting off of the service, et cetera, that has not been presented as an issue that was to be litigated here today. And so I would just ask your Honor to disregard that altogether and simply issue an order addressing the issue that has been presented by Mr. Tershel's petition, and that's the issue of access. And just in conclusion, the Rouses do request the opportunity to provide written briefing as your Honor had suggested. Thank you, your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement designating it. There's always going to be recording and paperwork issues like that. But the Rouses can see through all of the various plattings that every party has submitted that there is a well on their property, and they can see since they paid their utility tariffs from 2021 to 2023, they know that this is a regulated utility or a public utility. So everything demonstrates that up until 2024, the Rouses know that this is utility property. The public interest is also on the side of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this delinquent pay and the shutting off of the service, et cetera, that has not been presented as an issue that was to be litigated here today. And so I would just ask your Honor to disregard that altogether and simply issue an order addressing the issue that has been presented by Mr. Tershel's petition, and that's the issue of access. And just in conclusion, the Rouses do request the opportunity to provide written briefing as your Honor had suggested. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement designating it. There's always going to be recording and paperwork issues like that. But the Rouses can see through all of the various plattings that every party has submitted that there is a well on their property, and they can see since they paid their utility tariffs from 2021 to 2023, they know that this is a regulated utility or a public utility. So everything demonstrates that up until 2024, the Rouses know that this is utility property.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have. And his failure to do due diligence in this respect has led to this situation. So just in conclusion, the real property right has not been identified by Mr. Tershel or any other party here. So I think that the your Honor can safely conclude that it simply doesn't exist. And just finally, on this delinquent pay issue, I think with so many other issues that Mr. Tershel spent his time testifying about and focusing on, it really has nothing to do with the core issue here, and that's the right of access. And again, our position is that this delinquent pay and the shutting off of the service, et cetera, that has not been presented as an issue that was to be litigated here today. And so I would just ask your Honor to disregard that altogether and simply issue an order addressing the issue that has been presented by Mr. Tershel's petition, and that's the issue of access. And just in conclusion, the Rouses do request the opportunity to provide written briefing as your Honor had suggested. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	water system back to the company. The company, per WAC 480-10-555, has ownership of this property until a commission order states otherwise, until a sale and transfer goes through. By every precedent set by the commission that I know of, at least, the property belongs to the company, not to the Rouses. As stated and testified to by Scott Sevall, you can't the entire system kind of falls apart if a property owner can come in and say, We own this property, this water system property, or this utility property if there is not an explicit easement designating it. There's always going to be recording and paperwork issues like that. But the Rouses can see through all of the various plattings that every party has submitted that there is a well on their property, and they can see since they paid their utility tariffs from 2021 to 2023, they know that this is a regulated utility or a public utility. So everything demonstrates that up until 2024, the Rouses know that this is utility property. The public interest is also on the side of

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

1 As Mr. Tershel testified, there's constant 1 brief? 2 maintenance that has to be done on water systems. And 1 brief? 4 the idea that the Rousse being out of town can somehow 1 brief? 4 prevent Mr. Tershel from responding to an emargane issue 1 brief? 5 the water system, or even doing standard maintenance 1 has referred to and that Mr. Tershel is relying on, and 6 and standard testing, really defeats the purpose of a regulated water utility's owning of their popery. 1 has referred to and that Mr. Tershel is relying on, and the underlying real property issue. 1 have it limited to a certain -1 don't want to write a treatise for your Honor, but it would be helpful to have an opportunity to address that. 9 Differ ToMFNES LINDEERS: If allow post-hearing briefs on that issue, are we then opening the dor to the question of whether or not there's an easement, and do the parties ware to go there? 10 the experiments of company representative is able to access a triptopery when they is a relation fissue which the parties ware to go the in parties. 11 the experiments of company operations by purchasing a tor work on an arighane. They vice constant to go there? 12 the outpering the dore on they is the the court mean of the issue of post-hearing briefs. 12 the experlise ware to go the issue o	1	Page 97		Page 99
2 maintenance that has to be done on water systems. And 3 1 3 the idea that the Rouses being out of town can somehow 4 has referred to and that M. Tershells relying on, and 4 4 has referred to and that M. Tershells relying on, and 4 has referred to and that M. Tershells relying on, and 4 5 maintenance that the Rouses can dictate when 5 1 6 new it limited to a certain - I don't want to write a 4 7 regulated water utility's owning of their property. 5 7 regulated water utility's owning of their property. 5 8 an opportunity to address that. 5 9 ublic health. 5 10 Mr. Tershel is able to access and ictate when 5 11 ublic health. 7 12 utility's property. And that's for the sheer purpose of 7 13 The public health is protected by a 5 14 The public health is protected by a 5 15 order to uphold the public health goals 7 16 the exemination. 7 17 row and anizplane. 7 18 the exemination the consent needed because the WAC 306 decs 7 10 the access heir property was they 10 11 the exemination the incorpany operations by purchasing a 7 12 the exemination the incorpany operations to buief 7 12 the exemin	-	As Mr. Tershel testified, there's constant	1	brief?
3 the idea that the Rouses being out of town can somehow 3 be the interplay between the regulations that the staff 4 prevent Mr. Tershel from responding to an emergent issue 1 has referred to and that Mr. Tershel is relying on, and 6 and standard testing, really deleats the purpose of a regulated water utily sowning of their property. 1 has referred to and that Mr. Tershel is nelvould be helpful to have an opportunity to address that. 7 The WAC deesn't - the WAC doesn't - the the spece purpose of the cortemplate doesn't - the the spece purpose of the cortemplate doesn't - the the spece purpose of the cortemplate water wat	2	-	1	
4 prevent Mr. Tershel is mershel from responding to an emergent issue 4 has referred to and that Mr. Tershel is relying on, and 5 with the water system, or even doing standard maintenance 5 has referred to and that Mr. Tershel is relying on, and 7 regulated water utility's owning of their property. The VAC doesn't How Tershel is relying on, and 9 contemplate the idea that the Rouses can dictate when 9 treatises for your Honor, but it would be helpful to have 10 Mr. Tershel is able to access water system property or 10 bite on there's an easement, and do 12 utility's property. And that's for the sheer purpose of 12 That's my question to pose to the parties. 14 The public health is protected by a 14 It sounds like post-hearing 15 need to do so in order to uphold the public health goals 16 It sounds like post-hearing briefs would perhaps open up 16 I would analogize this sout of like buying 18 It sounds like post-hearing briefs. 17 16 I would analogize this sout of like buying 18 It would analogize this sout of like buying 18 10 I buy tom which the company property was located. That is 10 10 10 10	3	•	3	-
5 with the water system, or even doing standard maintenance and standard testing, really defeats the purpose of regulated water utility's owing of their property. 5 the underlying real property issue. I mean, I'm happy to have it limited to a certain – I don't want to write a regulated water utility's owing of their property. 6 and standard testing, really defeats the purpose of utesting's property. And hart's for the sheer purpose of utility's property. And hart's for the sheer purpose of utility is norder to uphold the public health goals of the commission. 5 the underlying real property issue. I mean, I'm happy to have it limited to a certain – I don't therw and to write a unapportunity to address that. 7 The standard testing, really defeats the purpose of utility's property. And hart's for the sheer purpose of order to uphold the public health goals of the commission. 11 JUDCE THOMPSON: If I allow post-hearing the scondard the public health goals on the company property was located. That is the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 305 does not allow them to deny access. 11 That's my question and the the scope of this contension which the company property was located. That is the doesn't allow them to deny access. 22 Contension. 12 7 ATTORNEY UNDERER'S. 11 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the properity in quust	4	-	4	
6 and standard testing, really defeats the purpose of a regulated water utility's owning of their property. 8 The WAC doesn't - the WAC doesn't reatise for your Honor, but it would be helpful to have an opportunity to address that. 9 Contemplate the idea that the Rouses can dictate when 9 Mr. Tershe is able to access water system property or 10 11 when a company representative is able to access at utility's property. And that's for the sheer purpose of 11 12 public health. 12 13 Dublic health. 13 14 The public health is protected by a 14 15 company being able to access their property whethey is an easement, and do 16 Invoid analogize this sort of like buying 16 16 Invoid analogize this sort of like buying 16 16 Invoid analogize this sort of like buying 16 17 Invoid analogize this sort of like buying 16 18 Invoid analogize this sort of like buying 16 19 Int is upon which the company poperty was located. That is 10 the optimesens of company company poperty as located. That is 10 the optimesens of company grouperty as locat	5		5	· · ·
regulated water utility's owning of their property. 7 treatise for your Honor, but it would be helpful to have an opportunity to address that. a The WAC doesn't the WAC doesn't 8 a contemplate the ideas can dictate when 9 if when a company representative is able to access water system property or 10 birls for that issue, are we then opening the door to the question of whether or not there's an easement, and do 11 when a company representative is able to access water system property or 10 11 14 The public health is protected by a 11 11 11 15 ord the commission 11 12 That's my question to pose to the parties. 15 ord the commission. 11 11 11 11 11 16 the commission an anighane. They've consented to 11 <td< td=""><td>6</td><td></td><th>6</th><td></td></td<>	6		6	
8 The WAC doesn't - the WAC doesn't 8 an opportunity to address that. 9 contemplate the idea that the Rouses can dictate when 9 10 Mr. Tershel is able to access water system property or 10 11 when a company representative is able to access a 11 guestion of whether or not there's an easement, and do 11 The public health is protected by a 13 That's my question to pose to the parties. 14 The public health is protected by a 14 It sounds like post-hearing briefs would perhaps open up 15 orthog and by being able to access their property when they 16 the commission does not have jurisdiction to decide. 16 the commission. 17 And so III asky our leastices want to go there? 18 I would analogize this sort of like buying 18 what's your response to that question? 19 an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to 19 ATTORNEY UNDBERG: I think if your Honor 12 the deciding within the scope of this pettion then there wouldn't be a need for us to brief 10 the start of the consent need be casues the WAC 305 does 20 10 the start of the consent hey access. 21 oothing would be gra	7		7	treatise for your Honor, but it would be helpful to have
9 contemplate the idea that the Rouses can dictate when 9 JUDGE THOMPSON: If I allow post-hearing 10 Mr. Tershel is able to access water system property or 10 11 when a company representative is able to access a 11 12 utility's property. And that's for the sheer purpose of 12 13 public health. 13 14 The public health is protected by a 14 15 company being able to access their property when they 15 16 net do os on in order to uphold the public health goals 14 17 of the commission. 14 18 a realm of issues which the parties have asserted that 19 an exit row sect on an aiplane. 16 10 uoud analogize this sort of like buying 18 19 an exit row sect on an aiplane. 17 10 the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 306 does 11 11 tougent them to deny access. 11 12 the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 306 does 12 12 not allow them to deny access. 14 12 company's petition should be granted. Kalaram Water's 14 14 restored. 14 15 coumpany's petition should be granted. Kalar	8		8	an opportunity to address that.
11 when a company representative is able to access a 11 question of whether or not there's an easement, and do 12 utility's property. And that's for the sheer purpose of 12 14 The public health is protected by a 12 15 company being able to access their property when they 15 16 norder to uphold the public health goals 14 17 I would analogize this sort of like buying 18 18 I would analogize this sort of like buying 18 19 an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to 17 10 the company operations by purchasing a 10 11 to prow mich the company roperations by purchasing a 20 12 the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 305 does 22 12 the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 305 does 23 25 And just to conclude as such, the water 25 26 company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's 1 2 petition should be granted. Kalama Water's 1 2 thick that all of the information that the cornenpary coperation that is sue is already in 1 1 c	9	contemplate the idea that the Rouses can dictate when	9	
12 utility's property. And that's for the sheer purpose of 12 the parties want to go there? 13 The public health. 13 That's my question to pose to the parties. 14 The public health is protected by a 13 That's my question to pose to the parties would perhaps open up 15 company being able to access their property when they 16 16 16 the commission. And so [II ask you first, Mr. Lindberg, 17 an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to 16 18 I would analogize this sort of like buying 18 19 an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to 18 10 the extent of the consent needed because the WAG 305 does 19 21 the extent of the consent needed because the WAG 305 does 21 23 had just to conclude as such, the water 25 24 ID. It doesn't allow them to deny access. 14 25 And just to conclude as such, the water 25 26 And just to conclude as such, the water 25 27 befield, the lock should be eranted, in lick sub is sub is already in this framatory there's access via aseement to the properby or access via asearement to the properby	10	Mr. Tershel is able to access water system property or	10	briefs on that issue, are we then opening the door to the
13 public health. 13 That's my question to pose to the parties. 14 The public health is protected by a 14 15 Company being able to access their property when they 15 16 Involud analogize this sort of like buying 16 17 Involud analogize this sort of like buying 17 18 Involud analogize this sort of like buying 18 19 an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to 16 10 the extent of the commany operations by purchasing a 17 11 tot upon which the consent needed because the WAC 305 does 17 12 tot upon which the oney access. 18 13 It deesn't allow them to deny access. 14 14 I. doesn't allow them to deny access. 14 15 company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's 14 16 that the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. 1 16 that the all of the information that the company - or that the all of the information that the company - or that the all of the information that the company - or that the all of the information that the company - or that the court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been pres	11	when a company representative is able to access a	11	question of whether or not there's an easement, and do
14 The public health is protected by a 14 It sounds like post-hearing briefs would perhaps open up 15 company being able to access their property when they 15 a realm of issues which the parties have asserted that 16 need to do so in order to uphold the public health goals 16 And so II asky ou first, Mr. Lindberg, 18 I would analogize this sort of like buying 18 And so II asky ou first, Mr. Lindberg, 19 an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to 16 And so II asky ou first, Mr. Lindberg, 19 an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to 16 And so II asky ou first, Mr. Lindberg, 20 the requirements of company operations by purchasing a 20 is clear that that is not going to be an issue, that the 21 lot upon which the company property was located. That is 21 and low them to deny access. 22 24 ID. It doesn't allow them to deny access. 14 It would concur with your Honor on that, if that's 25 And to go to the issue of post-hearing 14 there's access via easement to the property or access via 26 matter. I think that all of the information that the company - or 14 there's access via aceses wia cacelse and transfer went to Kalama <	12	utility's property. And that's for the sheer purpose of	12	the parties want to go there?
15 company being able to access their property when they 15 a realm of issues which the parties have asserted that 16 of the commission. 17 18 I would analogize this sort of like buying 18 19 an exit row seat on an ariplane. They've consented to 19 20 the requirements of company operations by purchasing a 20 21 lot upon which the company inporty was located. That is 21 22 the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 305 does 22 23 not allow them to deny access. 24 24 ID. It doesn't allow them to deny access. 24 25 And just to conclude as such, the water 25 26 company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's 1 27 restored. 1 3 akey given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be 1 4 restored. 24 company spetition should be granted. Kalama Water's 1 5 And to go to the issue of post-hearing 5 5 6 briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this 6 1 7 matter. I think that all of the	13	public health.	13	That's my question to pose to the parties.
16 need to do so in order to uphold the public health goals 16 the commission does not have jurisdiction to decide. 17 of the commission. 17 And so I'll ask you first, Mr. Lindberg, 18 I would analogize this sort of like buying 18 19 an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to 19 20 the requirements of company operations by purchasing a 10 21 lot upon which the company operations by purchasing a 20 22 the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 305 does 22 23 not allow them to deny access. 11 24 ID. It doesn't allows them to deny access. 24 25 And just to conclude as such, the water 25 26 restored. 24 27 company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's 1 2 petition should be granted. Kalama Water's 1 3 a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be 25 4 restored. 3 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 5 And to go to the issue of post-hearing 5 6 briefs. I would oppose post-	14	The public health is protected by a	14	It sounds like post-hearing briefs would perhaps open up
17 of the commission. 17 And so I'll ask you first, Mr. Lindberg, 18 I would analogize this sort of like buying 18 19 an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to 19 And so I'll ask you first, Mr. Lindberg, 19 an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to 19 Ant So I'll ask you first, Mr. Lindberg, 10 the requirements of company operations by purchasing a 10 is clear that that is not going to be an issue, that the 21 lot upon which the company property was located. That is 21 commission will be deciding within the scope of this 22 it doen't allow them to deny access. 11 that. I would concur with your Honor on that, if that's 23 not allow them to deny access. 24 0. utide the scope of the contemplated order here. 24 ID. It doen't allow the water 25 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you think 25 And tog to the issue of post-hearing 1 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 3 akey given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be 1 that we can issue an order without getting to not allow thether or not 4 the court needs to decide this issue is already in 1 that	15	company being able to access their property when they	15	a realm of issues which the parties have asserted that
18 I would analogize this sort of like buying 18 what's your response to that question? 19 an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to 19 ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I think if your Honor 20 the requirements of company operations by purchasing a 10 ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I think if your Honor 21 lot upon which the company property was located. That is 20 is clear that that is not going to be an issue, that the 22 the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 305 does 21 it doesn't allow them to deny access. 21 24 ID. It doesn't allow them to deny access. 11 it doesn't allow them to deny access. 21 25 And just to conclude as such, the water 25 DUGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you think 26 company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's 1 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 2 petition should be granted. the lock should be 1 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 3 a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be 1 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 3 A log to the issue is already in 1 there's access via acasement to the property or access via acasement to the property o	16	need to do so in order to uphold the public health goals	16	the commission does not have jurisdiction to decide.
19 an exit row seat on an airplane. The Yve consented to 20 the requirements of company operations by purchasing a 21 lot upon which the company property was located. That is 22 the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 305 does 23 not allow them to deny access. 24 ID. It doesn't allow them to deny access. 25 And just to conclude as such, the water 26 company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's 25 petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or 3 a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be 7 matter. I think that the issue issel is narrow, and I 8 the docket and has been presented today. 10 the docket and has been presented today. 11 Thank you, your Honor. 12 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 13 Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's 14 turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. 15 Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. 16 Thank you, your Honor. 13 Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's 14 turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. 15	17	of the commission.	17	And so I'll ask you first, Mr. Lindberg,
20 the requirements of company operations by purchasing a 20 is clear that that is not going to be an issue, that the 21 to upon which the company property was located. That is 21 commission will be deciding within the scope of this 23 not allow them to deny access. 21 commission will be deciding within the scope of this 24 ID. It doesn't allow them to deny access. 24 10. It doesn't allow them to deny access. 24 25 And just to conclude as such, the water 25 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you think 2 petition should be granted. Kalama Water's 1 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 2 of who owns the property in question and whether or not 3 a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be 3 a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be 7 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 4 restored. 2 ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your 7 matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I 7 Honor. 8 think the all of the information that the company - or 8 I don't think anyone is disputing, as far 9 that the court needs to decide this issue is already in <td>18</td> <td>I would analogize this sort of like buying</td> <th>18</th> <td>what's your response to that question?</td>	18	I would analogize this sort of like buying	18	what's your response to that question?
21 lot upon which the company property was located. That is 21 commission will be deciding within the scope of this 22 the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 305 does 22 petition, then there wouldn't be a need for us to brief 24 ID. It doesn't allow them to deny access. 23 and just to conclude as such, the water 24 25 And just to conclude as such, the water 25 Page 98 Page 100 1 company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's 1 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 0 who owns the property in question and whether or not 3 a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be 1 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 6 briefs, I would oppose post-hearing 5 6 ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your 7 matter. I think that all of the information that the company - or 8 I don't think anyone is disputing, as far 10 the docket and has been presented today. 10 tast understand, at least, that per the commission's 12 JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. 12 per the commission's record, there's - the ownership is 13 that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in 10 the	19	an exit row seat on an airplane. They've consented to	19	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: I think if your Honor
22the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 305 does not allow them to deny access. ID. It doesn't allow them to deny access. And just to conclude as such, the water22petition, then there wouldn't be a need for us to brief that. I would concur with your Honor on that, if that's outside the scope of the contemplated order here. JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you think25And just to conclude as such, the water25Page 98Page 1001company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored.1that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be?6briefs, I would oppose post-hearing think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today.10ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor.11Thank you, your Honor. UJDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.11Nater, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama.14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. IS14So I think the actual issue of access under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go down the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues.16The company I apologize. The Rouses would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief. How does the company	20	the requirements of company operations by purchasing a	20	
23 not allow them to deny access. 1 tailow them to deny access. 23 that. I would concur with your Honor on that, if that's outside the scope of the contemplated order here. 25 And just to conclude as such, the water 25 JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you think 26 Page 98 Page 100 1 company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's 1 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 2 petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. 1 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 3 a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be 1 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 4 restored. 1 that we can issue an order without getting to the issue 1 5 And to go to the issue of post-hearing 5 6 6 briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this 6 ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your 7 matter. I think that the issue is already in 9 as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the ale and transfer went to Kalama 11	21	lot upon which the company property was located. That is	21	
24ID. It doesn't allow them to deny access.2424outside the scope of the contemplated order here.25And just to conclude as such, the water25JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you thinkPage 981company's petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or3a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be4restored.5And to go to the issue of post-hearing6briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this7matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I8think that all of the information that the company or9that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in10the docket and has been presented today.11Thank you, your Honor.12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.16The company 1 apologize. The Rouses17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.18How does the company (fel about	22	the extent of the consent needed because the WAC 305 does	22	
25And just to conclude as such, the water25JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you thinkPage 98Page 1001company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's1that we can issue an order without getting to the issue2petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or3a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be3a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be1that we can issue an order without getting to the issue5And to go to the issue of post-hearing5be?6briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this6ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your7matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I7Honor.8think that all of the information that the company or8I don't think anyone is disputing, as far9that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in9as I understand, at least, that per the commission's10the docket and has been presented today.10Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.12per the commission's record, there's the ownership is13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's13So I think the actual issue of access14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.14So I think the actual issue of access15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15odwm the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues.16The company I apologize. The Rouses16Mow d	23	not allow them to deny access. It allows them to check	23	•
Page 98Page 1001company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's1that we can issue an order without getting to the issue2petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or2of who owns the property in question and whether or not3a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be4there's access via easement to the property or access via4restored.4covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may5And to go to the issue of post-hearing5be?6briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this6ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your7matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I7Honor.8that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in9as I understand, at least, that per the commission's10the docket and has been presented today.10current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama11Thank you, your Honor.11Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.12per the commission's record, there's - the ownership is13Okay. Let's - before we conclude, let's1314turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.1415Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.1516The company I apologize. The Rouses1617would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.1718How does the company feel about18	24	ID. It doesn't allow them to deny access.	24	
1company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's1that we can issue an order without getting to the issue2petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or2of who owns the property in question and whether or not3a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be3there's access via easement to the property or access via4restored.3there's access via easement, whatever the case may5And to go to the issue of post-hearing5be?6briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this6ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your7matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I7Honor.8that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in9as I understand, at least, that per the commission's10the docket and has been presented today.10current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama11Thank you, your Honor.11Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.12per the commission's record, there's the ownership is13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's1314turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.1415Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.1416The company I apologize. The Rouses1617would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.1718How does the company feel about18	25	And just to conclude as such, the water	25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you think
2petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored.2of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be?5And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that all of the information that the company or 96ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor.8think that all of the information that the company or 97as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama10the docket and has been presented today.10current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama11Thank you, your Honor.11Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.12per the commission's record, there's the ownership is13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's14So I think the actual issue of access16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court		Page 98		Page 100
2petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or2of who owns the property in question and whether or not3a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be3there's access via easement to the property or access via4restored.4covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may5And to go to the issue of post-hearing5be?6briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this6ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your7matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I7Honor.8think that all of the information that the company or8I don't think anyone is disputing, as far9that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in9as I understand, at least, that per the commission's10the docket and has been presented today.10current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama11Thank you, your Honor.11Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.12per the commission's record, there's the ownership is13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's13So I think the actual issue of access14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.15So I think the actual issue of access15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the17would like an opportunity to file				5
3a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored.3there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be?5And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this rmatter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today.6ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor.10the docket and has been presented today.9as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama11Thank you, your Honor.11Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's 1413So I think the actual issue of access15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go down the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	1	company's petition should be granted. Kalama Water's	1	
5And to go to the issue of post-hearing5be?6briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this6ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your7matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I7Honor.8think that all of the information that the company or8I don't think anyone is disputing, as far9that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in9as I understand, at least, that per the commission's10the docket and has been presented today.10current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama11Thank you, your Honor.11Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.12per the commission's record, there's the ownership is13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's13with Kalama.14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.14So I think the actual issue of access15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.17property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court				that we can issue an order without getting to the issue
6briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this6ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your7matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I7Honor.8think that all of the information that the company or8I don't think anyone is disputing, as far9that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in9as I understand, at least, that per the commission's10the docket and has been presented today.10current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama11Thank you, your Honor.11Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.12per the commission's record, there's the ownership is13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's13with Kalama.14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.14So I think the actual issue of access15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.17property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	2	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or	2	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not
 matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. The company I apologize. The Rouses would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief. How does the company feel about The parties can seek a Superior Court 	2 3	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be	2 3	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via
8think that all of the information that the company or8I don't think anyone is disputing, as far9that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in9as I understand, at least, that per the commission's10the docket and has been presented today.10current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama11Thank you, your Honor.11Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.12per the commission's record, there's the ownership is13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's13with Kalama.14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.14So I think the actual issue of access15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.17property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	2 3 4	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored.	2 3 4	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may
9that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today.9as I understand, at least, that per the commission's10the docket and has been presented today.10current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama11Thank you, your Honor.11Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.12per the commission's record, there's the ownership is13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's13with Kalama.14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.14So I think the actual issue of access15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.17property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	2 3 4 5	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing	2 3 4 5	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be?
10the docket and has been presented today.1011Thank you, your Honor.1012JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.1113Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's1214turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.1415Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.1416The company I apologize. The Rouses1517would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.1618How does the company feel about18	2 3 4 5 6	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this	2 3 4 5 6	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your
11Thank you, your Honor.11Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.12per the commission's record, there's the ownership is13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's13with Kalama.14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.14So I think the actual issue of access15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.17property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	2 3 4 5 6 7	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor.
12JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.12per the commission's record, there's the ownership is13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's13with Kalama.14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.14So I think the actual issue of access15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.17property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far
13Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's13with Kalama.14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.14So I think the actual issue of access15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.17property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's
14turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs.14So I think the actual issue of access15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.17property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So
15Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.15under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.17property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So
16The company I apologize. The Rouses16down the route of easements and covenants and all of the17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.17property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is
17would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.17property law issues.18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama.
18How does the company feel about18The parties can seek a Superior Court	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. Staff opposes post-hearing briefs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama. So I think the actual issue of access under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. The company I apologize. The Rouses	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama. So I think the actual issue of access under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go down the route of easements and covenants and all of the
19 post-hearing briefs? 19 order contradicting the actual ownership issue. But	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. The company I apologize. The Rouses would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama. So I think the actual issue of access under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go down the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. The company I apologize. The Rouses would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief. How does the company feel about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama. So I think the actual issue of access under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go down the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues. The parties can seek a Superior Court
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. The company I apologize. The Rouses would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief. How does the company feel about post-hearing briefs?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama. So I think the actual issue of access under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go down the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues. The parties can seek a Superior Court order contradicting the actual ownership issue. But
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. The company I apologize. The Rouses would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief. How does the company feel about post-hearing briefs? ATTORNEY HOWARD: The company would prefer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama. So I think the actual issue of access under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go down the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues. The parties can seek a Superior Court order contradicting the actual ownership issue. But until we get something to the contrary, I don't think
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. The company I apologize. The Rouses would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief. How does the company feel about post-hearing briefs? ATTORNEY HOWARD: The company would prefer to simply rely on the oral closing statements today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama. So I think the actual issue of access under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go down the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues. The parties can seek a Superior Court order contradicting the actual ownership issue. But until we get something to the contrary, I don't think that there's any real issue of fact as far as the actual
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. The company I apologize. The Rouses would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief. How does the company feel about post-hearing briefs? ATTORNEY HOWARD: The company would prefer to simply rely on the oral closing statements today. But if your Honor directs that it would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama. So I think the actual issue of access under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go down the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues. The parties can seek a Superior Court order contradicting the actual ownership issue. But until we get something to the contrary, I don't think that there's any real issue of fact as far as the actual ownership itself per commission rules.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. The company I apologize. The Rouses would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief. How does the company feel about post-hearing briefs? ATTORNEY HOWARD: The company would prefer to simply rely on the oral closing statements today. But if your Honor directs that it would be helpful, then we will seek to provide one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama. So I think the actual issue of access under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go down the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues. The parties can seek a Superior Court order contradicting the actual ownership issue. But until we get something to the contrary, I don't think that there's any real issue of fact as far as the actual ownership itself per commission rules. JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have
25do you foresee needing to be addressed via post-hearing25the responses from Mr. O'Brien and Mr. Lindberg?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	petition should be granted, the lock should be removed or a key given to Mr. Tershel, and full access should be restored. And to go to the issue of post-hearing briefs, I would oppose post-hearing briefs in this matter. I think that the issue itself is narrow, and I think that all of the information that the company or that the Court needs to decide this issue is already in the docket and has been presented today. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE THOMPSON: Thank you. Okay. Let's before we conclude, let's turn to the issue of post-hearing briefs. Staff opposes post-hearing briefs. The company I apologize. The Rouses would like an opportunity to file a post-hearing brief. How does the company feel about post-hearing briefs? ATTORNEY HOWARD: The company would prefer to simply rely on the oral closing statements today. But if your Honor directs that it would be helpful, then we will seek to provide one. JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Lindberg, what issues	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that we can issue an order without getting to the issue of who owns the property in question and whether or not there's access via easement to the property or access via covenant or prescriptive easement, whatever the case may be? ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: I think we can, your Honor. I don't think anyone is disputing, as far as I understand, at least, that per the commission's current record, the sale and transfer went to Kalama Water, and there has not been any subsequent order. So per the commission's record, there's the ownership is with Kalama. So I think the actual issue of access under 305 is perfectly decidable without having to go down the route of easements and covenants and all of the property law issues. The parties can seek a Superior Court order contradicting the actual ownership issue. But until we get something to the contrary, I don't think that there's any real issue of fact as far as the actual ownership itself per commission rules. JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Howard, do you have anything to add on the issue based on the questioning and

	Page 101		Page 103
1	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Yes, your Honor. And I	1	deadline I set for issuing an order in this case.
2	-	2	I will note for the parties' benefit that
3	have thought about this at some length. I can see why that topic is relevant. The	3	receipt of transcripts, because these proceedings are
4	interaction between regulations and real property rights	4	transcribed at a later date, can take a little bit of
5	might be addressed by a Superior Court.	5	time. And to the extent that I will need to rely on what
6	But I think that the parties providing	6	was said here today and get that transcript, it can take
7	briefing on that is unavoidably going to be asking the	7	seven to ten days. It has taken longer in the past.
8	commission to rule on a number of issues like easements	8	If we do run into a circumstance where the
9	or real property rights that aren't within its	9	transcript is not received, you know, with enough time to
10	jurisdiction.	10	include it in the order, then I've taken extensive notes
11	And I think that as a state agency, the	11	of what was said today, I'll have the recording with me,
12	commission should presume to uphold and enforce its RCW's	12	and I will refer to what was said today, but may not have
13	and its WAC's as issued without declining to enter an	13	the benefit of citing to the actual transcript.
14	order because there could be some other jurisdictional	14	And I do anticipate getting the order out
15	issue down the road in a different for a different	15	regardless of when I get the transcript before that I
16	issue before a different court.	16	believe it was a November 11 deadline.
17	The commission is acting in this matter	17	Does any party have anything further to
18	pursuant to Title 80 RCW. I think the issue is what is	18	address before we adjourn?
19	the issue it's what are the parties' rights under	19	ATTORNEY HOWARD: Nothing from the
20	Title 80 RCW and the company's tariff as I noted, and not	20	company, your Honor.
21	Superior Court issues.	21	ATTORNEY O'BRIEN: Nothing from staff,
22	And I would like to finally add that	22	your Honor.
23	although these issues are complex to some degree, and	23	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: And nothing from the
24	affect property rights that you know, it could be	24	Rouses, your Honor.
25	complex to tease some of these things out, courts and	25	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
	Page 102		Page 104
1	agencies aren't, I think, in the habit of spelling out	1	With that, we will go ahead and be off the
2	all the exceptions to their jurisdiction. They make	2	record and adjourn.
3	their findings within the realm of their jurisdiction	3	(Proceedings concluded at 4:15 p.m.)
4	without adding a series of qualifiers about what they're	4	(i roccoungs concluded at 4.10 p.m.)
5	not allowed to reach, necessarily.	5	
6	JUDGE THOMPSON: Mr. Lindberg, do you have	6	
7	any further thoughts before we decide on the issue of	7	
8	post-hearing briefs?	8	
9	ATTORNEY LINDBERG: No, your Honor, just	9	
10	that it sounds like the parties are I understand	10	
11	Mr. Howard and Mr. O'Brien to be stating that the real	11	
12	property issues are not something that are to be decided	12	
13	by this commission, which I agree that's beyond the	13	
14	competence of the commission.	14	
15	And so to the extent those are not going	15	
16	to be addressed in the order, then I see no need to do	16	
17	any post-hearing briefing on something that your Honor is	17	
18	not going to address in the order.	18	
19	JUDGE THOMPSON: Okay. So we will go	19	
20	ahead and forego simultaneous post-hearing briefs.	20 21	
21 22	I do want to thank you all for your	22	
	appearances and for presenting your cases today and for your professionalism today.	23	
23 24	your professionalism today. I will take all of this under advisement	23	
24	and hope to issue an order soon, certainly before the	25	
2.5			
-		-	

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

	Page 105	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)	
4) ss	
5	COUNTY OF KING)	
6		
7	I, Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, a Certified	
8	Court Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter	
9 10	within and for the State of Washington, do hereby	
11	certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing legal recordings were transcribed under my direction; that I	
12	received the electronic recording in the proprietary	
13	format; that I am not a relative or employee of any	
14	attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor	
15	financially interested in its outcome.	
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	
17	hand this 28th day of October, 2024.	
18	and a second s	
19		
20	Elizaber Filderattariu 🦉	
21 22	Elizabeth Patterson Harvey, CCR 2731	
23	Lizabert anerson harvey, CON 2731	
24		
25		

27 (Page 105)

				Tage 100
	28:12	advice 76:9	50.24 66.12 72.9	102:22
A	acquisition 25:10	advice 76:9 advise 72:16	59:24 66:12 73:8 87:4,11,13 88:21	
AAG 5:16	53:15,19	advise 72:16 advisement 102:24	97:23,24 99:9	appearing 5:4,16 5:24
ability 17:22 37:22	acres 20:21,22	advisory 88:2	allowed 18:8 66:8	
able 19:17 44:8	50:25	affairs 61:9	70:4 80:3 102:5	application 23:16 23:17
74:3 96:24 97:10	act 40:18 61:4 80:5	affect 37:22 101:24		- · ·
97:11,15	87:24	affirm 20:1 63:1	allowing 7:21 15:3 58:21	apply 67:4
absence 84:8		67:9 77:8	allows 97:23	appreciate 18:19 86:25 87:9
absolutely 10:7	acting 50:12 101:17	affirmative 17:12	alluded 27:16	
16:15 37:24 63:16	action 17:12	afford 61:19	alterations 43:18	appropriate 70:15 74:9 87:24
65:12 74:1 92:22	actions 95:10	afraid 49:16	43:21	approval 45:8 83:2
acceptable 67:4,5	actual 88:1 95:24	afternoon 4:5 5:3,6	alternative 14:13	approve 18:3 25:20
74:19		5:9,15,19 17:8	60:1 91:14	approved 11:16
accepted 61:8	100:14,19,21 103:13	20:14 54:9 64:1,2	altogether 94:16	14:11 21:15 24:7
accepting 89:22,23	add 33:16 58:2	77:4,5,21 85:14	ameliorate 29:2	27:8 89:15,24
access 11:19 12:23	100:24 101:22	agencies 61:6 102:1	analogize 97:18	approximately
13:2 14:2,16 15:3	adding 102:4	agency 101:11	analysis 78:14	20:21 33:5
15:8,15,21,24	adding 102.4 addition 92:19	agents 61:6 90:1	analysis 78:14 analyst 78:2,8	April 27:21 72:13
16:1,7,7 17:12,17	additional 36:15	ago 22:5 23:20	Anders 50:21	72:15
17:21,24 18:2,8	43:4 58:11 59:17	26:11 48:7	annual 12:8 26:5	argue 40:17 41:24
34:21,23 35:18	address 35:12	agree 42:16 44:10	26:13	88:10 89:4
36:21 37:7 38:5	59:17 93:17 99:8	45:1 91:8 102:13	answer 24:11 32:4	arguing 12:25
41:18,25 42:12,13	102:18 103:18	agreed 15:15 44:5	39:24 53:1 55:23	argument 13:8
43:12 46:16,19	addressed 39:16	agreeing 89:24	66:8,12,16 67:15	59:23 61:20
48:5,5,19,21 49:4	40:24 98:25 101:5	ahead 4:24,25 5:2	answered 55:18	Argumentative
52:5,5,12 55:2,18	102:16	9:25 10:1,8 19:6	66:6 79:6 83:4	71:19
55:23 56:1,15,18	addressing 94:17	19:21 20:6 35:24	anticipate 74:12	arguments 60:20
79:21 80:3,24	adjourn 87:14	35:25 37:3 42:21	103:14	91:15
82:13,17,18,25	103:18 104:2	43:5 58:14 59:5	anticipated 85:25	arising 93:1
83:3,20,25 86:17	adjudicate 16:24	59:10,13,16 62:18	anybody 92:24	arsenic 26:9
86:18 88:3,6,12	adjudicative 1:9	62:19 66:11 67:15	anymore 34:18	asked 16:24 20:23
88:15,18,22 89:13	4:23	74:9 75:11,17,25	anyway 19:18 24:8	30:23 43:22 44:4
89:19 90:13,15,25	adjudicatory 60:25	87:4 102:20 104:1	25:22 26:15 27:24	46:13 55:1,16,17
91:18 92:18 93:6	administrative	ail 9:10	29:8,19 30:19	65:20 66:6 80:11
93:8 94:11,18	1:10 4:22 40:18	air 12:1 28:23	31:20 32:2 33:16	asking 38:4,7,11,13
95:25 96:24 97:10	61:4 87:24 93:10	31:23 32:7,8,11	33:19 43:25 45:18	53:2 55:15 58:12
97:11,15,23,24	admission 8:14,19	32:17 34:4 39:14	46:12	68:22 72:25 81:16
98:3 100:3,3,14	9:10 57:18	39:22 64:9 65:10	APA 63:7	101:7
accessing 13:12	admit 9:19 61:7	65:14,18,19 67:17	apart 96:10	aspects 29:22
14:14 17:23	75:17	67:20,23 68:6	apologies 4:18 10:6	asserted 99:15
accounting 78:22	admitted 3:23 9:12	70:4,14	62:2 66:10 85:4	assertion 80:21,25
acknowledged 15:7	9:17,21,21 15:14	airplane 97:19	apologize 21:3 45:6	assets 10:20 53:16
15:15 48:17	21:7 60:15 75:20	allegations 35:7,11	47:12 98:16	53:20 79:14,19
acquire 54:21	76:8 80:8 81:7	58:24	appear 5:23	81:17,23 82:25
acquired 11:13 26:2	ado 15:13 92:11	alleged 73:17	appearance 6:5	83:3,9 84:12,19
	adopted 91:21	allow 15:15 44:21	appearances 4:24	85:16 86:7,9
acquiring 11:21			"Pronunces 1.2"	30.10 00.1,9
	•	•	•	•

	-	-		
assigned 78:8 79:4	authorized 61:6	103:16	briefs 7:25 8:2	catchup 28:22,22
associated 31:3	82:4,6 89:4	belongs 96:6	87:11 98:6,6,14	CCR 1:24 105:22
assume 18:23 39:4	availability 24:6	benefit 32:18 103:2	98:15,19 99:10,14	certain 58:25 99:6
76:21	available 8:16	103:13	102:8,20	certainly 36:2,23
assuming 13:8	22:20 37:18 61:3	best 29:3 39:18	broad 20:24	43:7 57:19 73:6
attempt 49:13	62:2	72:24	broadly 13:5 89:5	84:17 93:15
attention 42:23	Avenue 2:19	better 80:13	Broadway 2:9	102:25
70:8	aware 6:14 12:7,9	beyond 58:11	brought 42:23 70:7	Certified 105:7
attorney 2:14,18	16:19 79:20 80:7	65:25 91:1 102:13	buffer 23:4	certify 105:10
3:12,13,13,14,16	93:19	bill 12:19 14:16	building 33:25	cetera 94:14
3:17,19,20 5:3,9		22:6 23:20 25:6	buildings 23:5	chain 51:21
5:15,19 8:20,22	<u> </u>	25:11 53:4,14	built 24:13,16,24	chains 73:20
9:4,8,15 10:3,9,14	B 3:22 11:10 27:8	54:10	37:11	chance 7:22 36:14
14:24 17:3,8	50:4	bills 12:18 40:3	bullet 21:14 74:6	75:2,4,12
18:13,25 19:4,8	bachelors 78:22	binding 81:3	bundle 93:12	change 44:3,6,23
20:13 34:14 35:2	back 6:6 19:6 22:17	bit 16:12,20 23:22	business 11:20	51:15 83:25
35:13,16 36:6,10	23:16 24:8 39:6	30:16 33:6 39:14	12:10 86:6 89:19	changed 43:19,19
37:4,8 40:9,17	43:10 47:1 50:24	46:9 51:17 59:9	butcher 28:3	45:1,8 79:24
41:11,23 42:13	66:22 74:23 76:4	64:13 84:5 87:9	button 19:15	changing 44:10
43:7,9 45:19,24	78:13 96:1	103:4	buy 54:21	56:6
46:3 47:12,16,18	background 6:14	bitty 34:9	buying 97:18	charge 13:3 41:17
53:22 54:4,8 55:6	bacteria 26:6	blocked 14:16	Bye 87:1	charged 13:18
55:10,14 56:11,24	balance 52:17	Bob 11:8 65:19,20	<u> </u>	charging 81:1
57:4,11,13,15	based 9:17 32:18	65:23 67:22 68:2		check 45:17 46:1
58:3,7,15 59:21	42:1,14 90:25	68:16,22,25 69:17	C 2:1 3:1 105:1,1	60:3 97:23
60:12 62:21 63:12	100:24	70:10	calendar 40:2,7,23	circumstance
63:25 65:24 66:3	basic 93:10,18	Bob's 69:9,10	43:4 54:14	103:8
66:5,18 67:2 68:9	basically 22:8,22	bottom 70:3	call 10:2 19:7,8	circumstances 16:4
71:16,18,20 72:1	54:13	bought 22:1 24:23	39:11 57:2,6,10	29:2 59:4
72:5,9,24 73:3,14	becoming 34:16	24:24	62:20,21 68:22	circumvents 58:22
73:22 74:14 75:3	beginning 8:12 31:2 32:4 92:10	boulders 82:23	76:24 79:23 91:6	citations 87:17
76:2,3,17 77:1,20		bound 13:15 41:18	called 23:18 31:16	cited 40:19
85:1,7,10,13	belief 41:15 82:14 believe 6:21 8:13	83:15 B 2 0 1 4	59:19 65:22 67:19	cites 68:10
86:19,22 87:7,15	9:2 20:19 23:18	Box 2:9,14	68:18,23,25 calls 57:12 77:2	citing 103:13
87:20 92:8 95:3	33:18 36:2 43:12	boxes 82:23	capacity 19:1 77:25	civil 80:17
98:20 99:2,19	47:13 49:11 50:23	Brian 31:17	capacity 19.1 77.23 care 33:18	claim 13:9 83:24,24
100:6 101:1 102:9	52:14,23 53:1,21	brief 1:9 4:22 20:16	cars 82:23	83:25 84:23 95:7
103:19,21,23	55:11 60:4 61:25	59:6,10,22,22	case 8:9 10:15 11:8	claims 58:17 80:20
105:14 attributed 03:1	66:19,21,24 67:6	60:24 73:23 74:11 87:6 8 16 88:0	13:4,13 42:10	clarification 87:10
attributed 93:1 auditor's 22:13	73:5 74:3,18	87:6,8,16 88:9 98:17 99:1,22	62:10 79:2 82:5	clarify 40:25 49:20 50:8 55:21 56:9
August 4:11,12	75:14 78:24,24	briefing 94:20	82:13 87:17 89:1	clarity 13:20 66:15
24:25 47:11,22	79:13 80:5,9,14	101:7 102:17	95:3,8 100:4	Class 11:10 20:18
54:14 78:12	81:8 83:12 84:18	briefly 7:3 40:1	103:1	22:6 27:8 50:4,6
authenticity 75:16	85:15,20 86:12,17	41:24 46:23 59:25	cases 61:1,6 82:22	50:21 51:16 53:10
authority 40:20	87:2 90:2 95:17	66:19 82:1 92:9	102:22	85:22 93:23
autionity 40.20	5 <u> </u>	00.17 02.1 72.7		05.22 75.25
	1	I	1	1

Page	108

				T dgc 100
Class's 93:24	90:17 91:2,10,14	91:7,18 95:6,16	conference 64:13	contrary 93:10
clean 11:3 12:12	91:17 96:3,5	95:20 96:1,2,6	confirm 54:16	100:20
14:5	97:17 99:16,21	97:11,15,20,21	conflict 12:14	control 19:18 22:8
clear 6:23 7:1 8:25	100:22 101:8,12	98:8,16,18,20	connected 38:23	controlling 13:12
81:6,10 82:16	101:17 102:13,14	103:20	39:1	controversy 88:1
88:20 99:20	commission's	company's 12:6	connection 12:17	conversation 47:4
closely 91:6	42:19 80:23 100:9	14:6,18 17:13	39:3 53:19	68:24
closer 64:13	100:12	21:6 22:10 25:10	Connor 1:10 4:21	conversations
closing 3:6,6,7 7:15	Commissioner	41:5 58:16 59:4	consent 97:22	32:18
7:22,23 18:24	78:15	82:9 84:7 89:11	consented 97:19	cooler 34:4
87:5,6,13,16,19	common 60:23	89:14 90:25 91:2	Consequently 11:5	copy 80:10,14
92:7 95:2 98:21	commonly 61:8	91:10 98:1 101:20	conservatively	core 94:10 95:4
cold 34:5,8	communicate	compelling 89:8	38:17	corners 93:23
Colin 2:13 5:16	72:15	competence 102:14	consider 59:6,23	corporate 69:14
color 14:15 44:1,2	communicated	complain 33:17	considerable 31:4	correct 9:4,8,20
44:4,5 91:19	72:20	complaining 32:5	consideration 38:5	13:9 15:5 18:24
colors 26:10	communication	complaint 33:17	60:8 92:3	18:25 32:14,20
combination 10:19	72:18,22,25 74:4	42:1	considered 16:22	36:9,11 38:6,9
29:1 45:13,14,15	95:19	complaints 11:25	73:15	47:7,17 48:2,3
come 6:5 12:2 30:5	communications	completed 21:20	considering 87:10	49:22,23 50:1,3
36:13,23 37:2	73:2 92:17	22:2 24:17,24	consistent 88:16	51:2,4,10 52:10
58:9 69:8 70:4	community 30:1	complex 101:23,25	89:20	53:9,11,12,17
73:19 78:13 89:3	companies 61:1	component 26:15	constant 97:1	54:19 64:10,20,21
91:9 96:10	70:8 78:7 80:2	concern 57:17,22	contact 46:15,19	64:23,24 65:2,6,9
comes 33:24 63:14	82:24 88:15,22	59:4 80:21	48:21 49:4,13	76:6 79:11 85:15
86:5	company 5:2,5 7:7	concerned 31:1	76:14	85:18 86:11,12
coming 33:12 59:8	7:8 8:4,13,15 10:4	58:22	contains 29:15	90:2
Commence 3:4	10:15,17,20,25	concerning 83:17	contemplate 88:21	correctly 70:16
commentary 92:20	11:6,19,20 12:7	83:18 84:3 89:6	97:9	cost 31:4,5
comments 4:15	13:5,10,12 14:2	concerns 37:20	contemplated	counsel 2:17,19
5:23 6:2 27:10	17:15,23,23 18:1	39:12,17 80:15	99:24	5:18,20 7:12 8:18
commission 1:2,13	18:5,8 19:8,9 21:9	84:10	contemplating 41:7	8:23 14:25 15:5
2:12,13 4:12,14	21:12 22:11 23:8	conclude 17:2 94:6	contents 18:20	16:4 18:11,15,22
4:17,18 5:14,16	24:19 26:3 28:12	97:25 98:13	35:19 71:3 80:16	47:16 66:25 75:12
10:21,22,22 12:9	36:21 38:25 41:19	concluded 104:3	81:11	92:20 105:14
13:18 14:1,3,8,12	41:19 43:10 56:12	concludes 14:18	contested 61:6	counsel's 15:2
23:10,15 36:20	56:25 57:23 59:21	87:2	context 82:13	17:18
40:18,20 41:4	59:25,25 62:9,10	conclusion 94:3,19	continue 74:8	County 11:12
59:7 60:1,24	62:11,12 65:4	concur 99:23	76:22	21:19 53:19 105:5
62:17 75:11,19	75:4,5,13 79:3,7	condition 70:6	continued 12:4	couple 11:11 49:20
77:2 78:2,10,11	80:12,23 81:1,4	90:25	continuously 26:3	54:4
79:1,11,17 80:1,4	82:5,17 83:1,13	conditioning 34:4	contract 22:13	course 15:9 44:7
81:3,19,22 82:19	83:15 85:8,17	conditions 12:22	50:20,24 89:16,24	51:3 55:25 57:11
83:8,16 85:21	87:21 88:4,10,12	91:1,8,20	89:25	60:13 76:9,11
86:2 88:11,20	89:13,16,19 90:11	conduct 7:18 61:9	contradicting	court 6:20 17:10
89:5,13,16 90:12	90:12,15,18 91:4	61:21 62:15	100:19	18:7 66:24 73:24
	-			

	Ĩ	Ĩ	i	
83:10 84:1 90:5	customers' 88:18	101:13	51:18	divided 21:17
98:9 100:18 101:5	cut 93:23	deemed 82:25	development 20:16	division 23:25
101:16,21 105:8		Deer 51:15,15	20:20 21:8,15,16	68:19
courts 16:10	D	defeats 97:6	21:18 22:14,15,23	docket 1:5 4:6
101:25	D 3:1,1,9,22	definitely 30:11	23:5 50:14,18	11:16,17 18:18
covenant 100:4	damages 71:16	35:11 87:21	51:16 62:11	58:19 64:4 98:10
covenants 100:16	Dan 20:18 22:6	definitive 53:14	dictate 97:9	document 25:17
cover 7:5	50:6	degree 13:9 41:18	different 12:21	51:7,8,23,25 52:4
Cowlitz 11:11	date 6:22 47:9	101:23	37:6 38:4 45:5	52:9 53:13,15,18
21:19 53:19	48:15 49:14 60:3	degrees 33:24 34:2	50:2 52:17 101:15	75:15,16,24 76:10
created 20:17	103:4	delinquent 90:19	101:15,16	93:4
21:18	dated 47:10,22	94:7,13	diligence 94:1	documentation
creating 21:17	day 34:3,5 68:18	delivered 11:2	dimensions 33:6	16:18 80:12
creation 21:12	105:17	demand 12:21	direct 3:12,19 7:18	documents 93:20
22:15,25 51:9	days 34:8 48:1	38:20 80:7 95:15	8:7 9:23 20:12	DOH 13:18
cross 8:7,15 18:23	103:7	demands 38:8	27:10 36:7 61:2,2	doing 6:13 58:25
36:14 58:21 59:25	deadline 103:1,16	demonstrate 93:5	62:14,15 63:11,13	82:24 97:5
60:7 62:16 63:11	Deanna 2:23 3:16	95:11	77:19	door 12:20,21
75:6	31:14 32:5,12,13	demonstrates	direction 105:11	13:11 33:8 42:16
cross-examination	41:14 58:19,22	95:24 96:21	directs 98:22	43:19 44:6,10,12
3:13,13,16 7:19	62:21 63:20	denied 16:1 55:23	disconnect 14:9	44:14,16,16 49:7
36:3 45:22 46:2	December 12:18	55:25 84:4	16:20	49:10,15 56:16
54:3,7 59:3,20	14:17	deny 83:16 97:23	disconnecting	69:21,25,25 70:1
60:6 61:3,12,21	decidable 100:15	97:24	16:17 41:1,6	70:1,3,6,9,13,14
62:3,4 63:15,24	decide 57:20 74:7	denying 83:23	disconnection	70:15 71:1,11
73:17 74:3 85:6	98:9 99:16 102:7	department 10:23	40:11	72:11,14,21,23
85:12	decided 12:19 15:9	10:23 12:6 13:18	discovered 49:7	74:1 76:6,12,15
cross-examine	43:23 102:12	26:18 65:22 66:4	discuss 7:24 46:19	80:22 83:23 92:13
60:18 73:16	decides 81:21	67:19	65:10,14,18,19	95:14 99:10
Crosss-Examinat	deciding 99:21	deprived 92:24	discussed 35:6 56:4	door's 71:11
3:20	decision 11:5,7	describe 33:2 44:18	88:14	doubt 52:1
culture 43:25	59:8	82:1	discussing 38:2	DR-3 3:24 75:18,20
cultured 33:7	declaration 15:22	described 22:16	88:7	draw 11:1
current 61:17 63:7	41:14 58:18,19,24	43:18	discussion 31:17	driller 12:1
81:16 100:10	60:2,14 61:15	design 32:1	54:21 66:13,14	drilling 12:1 31:10
currently 38:16	64:4,5,8 68:10	designating 96:13	67:16 95:21,21	32:19 64:20 65:3
81:6	69:20 70:17,18,21	detailed 68:24	dispute 13:20 15:6	65:8,11,14
customer 12:14	71:6	details 34:22	15:12 62:9 81:12	drink 59:12
89:17 91:9	declaratory 4:10	determine 83:11	95:22	drinking 11:3 16:3
customer's 17:16	14:1 40:20 87:22	determined 83:10	disputed 93:2	90:8,8
82:7	87:23 90:12 91:21	90:5	disputes 82:6 90:9	due 8:1 94:1
customers 11:3,11	91:24	develop 52:23	disputing 100:8	duly 20:8 63:20
12:13 13:16,19	declare 14:8 91:17	developed 24:3,5	disregard 94:16	77:15
14:5 27:3,5 28:1	declared 81:23	37:11 50:18	disrupt 90:10	dwell 25:24
81:4 83:15 88:5	declaring 89:13	developers 93:16	distribution 28:18	
89:22 90:9 92:1,1	declining 91:24	developing 50:6	30:21 33:14 84:22	E
		_		

				T ago 110
E 2:1,1 3:1,1,1,9,9	78:1,9 105:14	evidence 7:8 21:7	expensive 12:21	feasible 84:25
3:22,22 105:1,1	employee 105:13	22:12 25:18,24	experience 64:19	February 24:15
e-mail 3:24 7:4	employees 88:21	29:14 35:22 36:2	64:22,25 93:16	29:6
46:25 47:9,10,22	88:25	36:19 37:1 43:16	experienced 39:21	fee 12:17 38:24
48:4,8,10,13,16	enabling 15:8	60:15 61:1,7,11	explained 39:19	39:3
72:19 73:4,7,9,18	enactment 93:9	61:13,20 72:25	explicit 84:8,13,20	feel 39:16 57:22
73:20,23 74:5,10	endanger 91:9	73:20 95:24	96:12	98:18
74:17 76:8 91:5	endangering 13:22	evidentiary 4:20	extends 42:12	feels 7:25
95:13	Energy 84:24	exactly 24:14 58:12	extensive 103:10	fees 71:16
earlier 43:18 73:19	Energy's 84:22	89:20	extent 9:21 16:7	fencing 56:17
76:5 83:5,7	enforce 101:12	examination 3:12	85:1 97:22 102:15	Fifth 2:19 90:21
early 47:24	enforceable 89:17	3:14,17,19 7:9,18	103:5	figure 68:21
easement 12:25	ensure 6:25 11:2	20:12 35:3 55:13	exterior 44:1	file 12:8 15:14
13:6,10,20 15:8	14:4 32:8 71:2	61:2 72:8 77:19	extreme 16:3	75:11 81:2 98:17
52:5,12 84:8,20	enter 14:1,9 17:16	examine 66:7		filed 1:4 4:17,18
84:23 88:25 93:3	40:20 44:8 82:7	example 68:11	F	15:19 46:7 48:1
96:12 99:11 100:3	89:2 90:12 91:24	exceptions 102:2	F 3:1 105:1	73:13 75:19 79:16
100:4	93:6 101:13	exchange 38:5	face 52:11,15,21	80:11 86:6,10
easements 13:21	entered 89:23,23	46:25 47:10	facilitate 15:21,23	filing 15:19 92:16
42:18 84:13 93:16	entering 31:15 32:6	exclude 61:10	17:21 46:16 67:23	filings 78:6,6
100:16 101:8	90:2 91:16	93:12	facilitation 83:2	finally 27:22 28:15
easily 38:17	enters 31:24	excuse 26:17 43:10	facilitator 17:25	29:4 94:7 101:22
Ecology 10:24	entire 40:22 96:9	56:14 58:16	fact 15:17 22:5	financially 105:15
Ed 22:2 24:23	entirely 41:2	excused 56:21	71:10,15 82:3	find 14:14 33:9
68:23 69:3	entitle 13:23	76:20 86:25	100:21	67:19 84:3,21
education 78:17	entity 13:14,18	exhibit 8:3,5,18	factor 34:2	88:11
effect 38:12 55:25	14:11 68:15 69:14	22:12,20 24:7	facts 16:5	findings 102:3
61:7 83:14 85:22	69:17 79:19 86:6	25:10 29:15,22	failing 64:9	finds 91:15
89:17,25	entrust 11:6	36:3,7 46:22	failure 12:8 94:1	fine 6:8
effective 79:18	entry 33:8	47:13,14,20 51:22	fair 32:16 66:7	finish 66:16 67:15
effectively 89:16	equal 44:13	73:8 74:24 75:2,4	73:21	first 7:9 10:5 21:22
efficient 10:15	equity 50:9	75:6,10,18,20	fairly 34:19 95:4	22:12 24:5 28:17
effort 6:19 12:12	especially 28:15	81:8	fall 30:15,20 31:9	43:14,16 49:6,9
eight 20:21 27:9	70:4	exhibits 3:23 7:13	31:14 39:20 65:4	50:16,20 79:20
either 9:22 13:24	essentially 90:1	8:3,14,15,19,21	65:8	80:14 93:11 99:17
30:13 34:2 39:11	established 87:22	8:25 9:3,10,12,16	falls 96:9	first-time 12:10
83:13	establishes 86:2	15:13 21:6 22:10	familiar 37:25	fit 70:16
electric 33:20	estate 22:12 29:24	22:11 29:14 46:7	50:11 52:8 64:5	fitting 70:15
88:18,21	50:20	50:19 57:18,19,25	67:21 71:10,15	five 39:20 53:10,12
electrical 26:23	estimates 8:7	58:9,20 62:5 81:9	79:3,7	68:4 74:13,14
electronic 105:12	et 94:13	exist 94:6	far 12:7 15:1 17:11	fix 91:11
Elizabeth 1:24	evaluate 11:25 12:2	existence 39:6	34:13 44:13 57:5	fixed 69:7
105:7,22	65:4	exit 97:19	67:11 69:9 73:19	fixture 42:6
emailed 47:5	evaluation 13:7	expanding 84:5	83:22 86:18 88:8	flying 26:9
emergent 97:4	event 63:14	expecting 8:8	100:8,21	focus 35:3
employed 77:24	everybody 8:11	expedite 8:6	faucet 31:20	focused 16:12
			l	l

				i age i i i
34:23 35:21 60:21	56:24 75:6 76:17	75:11,17,25 83:21	23:9,18 79:13	highly 91:12
62:11	80:12 86:19 102:7	87:4 91:11 96:25	H2O's 53:16,20	hire 13:16
focusing 94:9	103:17	98:5 99:12 100:15	habit 102:1	hired 65:3
follow 91:10	Furthermore 79:16	102:19 104:1	half 68:7	hiring 12:1
follow-up 85:25	future 37:15,23	goals 97:16	hand 19:21,23	history 20:16 22:18
following 7:7 41:7	81:21 92:1	goes 17:11 23:16	42:10 50:21 62:24	26:11 34:21 78:19
follows 20:10 63:22	01.21 72.1	31:24 69:6 89:8	67:7 77:7 79:2	78:20
77:17	G	96:4	105:17	hit 21:22
force 91:7	G 3:1	going 5:1 6:14 7:3	happen 25:13	hold 55:19 78:22
forego 102:20	gain 80:13	7:7 19:20 21:10	83:19	80:18
foregoing 105:10	gaining 79:21	28:3 29:5 30:16	happened 21:23	holds 86:7
foresee 98:25	gaps 70:3,14	30:18,19,24 34:21	59:9	home 67:24 68:8
forgot 26:8	gas 88:22	35:3,24 36:12,24	happens 28:25	homeowner 13:9
form 22:13	gate 68:11,14,17,20	37:5,6 40:9 42:21	happy 32:24 35:2	
format 105:13	69:1,7,9,14,18	57:8 59:6 62:17	73:4,23 99:5	13:11,21,23 homeowners 4:15
	general 2:14,18		,	
forth 30:25 47:1 91:2	33:2 89:1,15	65:25 66:11 68:10 68:21 83:25 84:17	harm 18:6 83:19,22	homes 37:11 68:5
forward 35:4 73:23	getting 8:10 31:14	84:22 86:6 87:12	91:25,25	Honor 5:4,10,16
92:16	39:15 49:16 68:6	96:13 99:20 101:7	Harvey 1:24 105:7	8:21 9:9,15 10:3
	76:4 93:16 95:21		105:22	10:17 14:24 16:16
found 49:10	100:1 103:14	102:15,18	hate 57:15	16:25 17:9 18:9
foundation 9:22	Gibbs 2:4	good 4:5 5:3,9,12	haul 27:23	18:13 34:15 35:14
75:14	gist 48:16	5:15,19 17:8 19:3	health 10:23 12:6	36:6,10 37:4
four 20:20 21:16,17	give 7:15,22 20:2	20:14 31:19,21	13:19 18:6 26:19	41:23 43:7 45:20
26:11 29:5 39:20	34:22 44:23 48:11	32:24 34:8 54:9	65:22 66:3 67:19	47:12 54:5 55:7
50:24 68:3	48:22 57:7 59:11	64:1,2 77:4,5,21	97:13,14,16	55:10 56:12,25
fourth 90:19	61:7 63:2,7 66:8	85:14	hear 7:10 17:5	57:4,11,13 58:4,8
free 13:23	66:22 73:4 74:21	grant 18:7 61:23	30:23 36:16	59:21,24 60:8,20
freeze 33:18 34:7	88:9 91:5 95:11	granted 83:13	heard 90:6 91:3	66:5,8,9,17,18
34:13	95:25,25	90:18 98:1,2	hearing 4:6,20 5:23	67:2,18 72:2,6
freezing 70:5	given 8:7 32:22	great 21:22 78:23	6:6,13 7:6,21	73:3,22 74:15
frequently 10:23	0	Green 20:19 21:12	25:15 40:12 73:13	75:3 76:2,18 77:1
Friday 4:5	45:11,13 57:5	23:9,18 25:21	75:11 92:10	85:2,3,8,10 86:23
front 16:15 22:21	58:23 67:4 76:9	53:15,20 79:13,14	heat 33:18	87:7,10,20 92:8
25:13 35:17,21	82:11 98:3	79:16 85:21 86:10	heater 33:19,20	93:19 94:5,16,21
43:24 46:8,10,12	giving 53:3	ground 10:18	34:9,9 35:9	94:21 98:11,22
47:19,20 51:25	glass 33:8 70:1	33:24 89:21	heck 34:4	99:7,19,23 100:7
52:2 70:18,23	glasses 60:4	grounds 7:5 88:11	held 79:15	101:1 102:9,17
78:25 82:3	go 4:24,25 5:2 8:8	89:12	help 6:25 67:23	103:20,22,24
full 66:8 92:13 98:3	9:25 10:1,8 19:6	groundwater 11:1	helpful 98:23 99:7	Honor's 74:17
fully 33:9 34:10	19:21 20:6 22:17	guess 34:2 55:21	helps 9:23	hooked 27:22
52:24	30:5 35:24,25	80:21 81:15	hereto 105:14	hookup 27:17,19
fundamentally	37:3 41:25 42:5	guys 6:9	hereunto 105:16	38:24
92:25	42:21 43:5 48:15	н	Hey 83:24	hookups 27:9
furnishing 89:7	58:14 59:5,10,13		Hiebert 50:21	hope 37:14 102:25
further 13:7 26:1	59:16 62:8,18,19	H 3:22	high 21:8	horribles 92:21
41:3 53:22 56:11	66:11 67:14 74:9	H2O 20:19 21:13	highest 31:24	hot 34:3
	Ι	l	l	I

				0
hour 47:4	ideal 84:12,15	79:18 85:21 86:10	40:23 41:1,9,18	10:10 14:20 17:1
hours 11:20 14:3	identification	initially 54:18 86:5	41:24,25 42:9,12	17:4 18:10,16
17:17 82:8 89:19	17:22	initiated 4:9 7:6	42:13,14,16,19,22	19:2,5,10,13,19
hours' 12:22 48:22	identified 15:1 94:4	inquiring 58:25	58:4 59:18 60:21	19:25 20:5 21:6
48:25 91:5	identify 6:18 11:23	inside 31:20	65:10,14,18 74:2	34:25 35:15,24
house 22:2 24:13	Ũ			-
	illegal 81:1 immaterial 61:10	install 11:24 32:21 35:9 64:9	76:4 79:21 82:15	36:8,12 38:1
24:16,24 28:24,25			84:14 86:15,18	40:15 41:9,21
30:4 31:19,20,23	impact 68:6	installation 70:2	88:3,6 89:6 94:8	42:11,15 45:21
31:25 39:7,23	implications 84:6	82:9	94:10,14,16,17,18	54:1 55:8 56:13
43:23,24 44:2,4	important 11:7	installed 31:12	97:4 98:5,7,9,14	56:20 57:1,7,14
71:2	68:4 88:3 90:7	44:13 68:5 70:16	99:5,10,20 100:1	58:1,5,14 59:5,16
housekeeping 9:14	91:13	95:14	100:1,14,19,21,24	60:9,23 62:23
Howard 2:4 3:12	impression 68:16	installers 70:9	101:15,16,18,19	63:5,16 66:10,21
3:14,16 5:3,4 9:3	inaccessible 18:5	installing 65:1	102:7,25	67:5,14 71:22
9:4 10:1,3,9,14	inaudible 17:15	instance 87:23	issued 26:18	72:3 73:9 74:7,19
15:7 19:7,8 20:6	19:12 24:10 25:25	instructed 46:15	101:13	74:23 75:8,21
20:13 35:1,2	28:7 46:9 55:3	insulated 33:9 34:1	issues 11:23 15:1	76:19 77:4,6,12
36:14 37:3,4,8	56:2 64:11 73:1	34:11	23:6 25:6 35:5,20	81:21 85:4,9
40:15,17 41:11	95:4	Insurance 78:15	39:9,12 59:1	86:20,24 87:2,12
43:2,7,9 45:19	inception 27:18	intangible 10:20	60:18 62:5,7,8	92:4 94:22 98:12
47:12 55:9,10,14	inclined 59:24	intend 57:6	65:23 68:12 73:6	98:24 99:9,25
56:11,22,24 57:13	include 8:15 87:16	intended 72:16	73:11 74:6 81:13	100:23 102:6,19
57:15 58:12,14,15	88:23 103:10	intent 72:20 76:6	92:25 93:17 94:8	103:25
59:21 60:13 63:18	included 9:2	interaction 101:4	96:14 98:24 99:15	July 49:12
63:25 65:24 66:3	includes 26:5 57:18	interest 13:5 96:23	100:17 101:8,21	jumped 10:7
66:6 68:9 71:20	including 51:20	interested 4:19	101:23 102:12	juncture 41:2
72:24 73:12,14,24	78:7	105:15	issuing 103:1	June 12:25 35:8
74:2 75:1,3,23	incompetent 61:10	interior 34:1	items 9:14 13:1	37:25 43:14 46:13
85:5,7 87:5,7,15	incurring 39:14	interject 66:19	38:4 88:24	47:2 49:8,8 55:20
87:20 93:15 98:20	independent 88:11	internal 51:17	Ivan 27:22	56:4 58:18 95:15
100:23 101:1	89:12,21	interplay 99:3		jurisdiction 80:18
102:11 103:19	indexing 22:13	interpret 82:20	J	99:16 101:10
Howard's 16:13	indicate 45:8 52:16	interpreted 55:22	jamb 71:11	102:2,3
67:15	52:21	interpreting 52:24	jambs 70:1	jurisdictional
huge 33:25	indicated 14:25	intervention 61:23	January 40:2 90:20	101:14
human 11:3	32:5 70:12	introduced 95:5,13	Jeff 2:8 5:10 34:15	justified 41:2
hundred-foot 23:4	indicates 41:15	investment 33:15	47:23	
hundreds 84:11	individual 37:20	involved 15:6	Jesse 67:20	K
hung 70:2	individually 48:22	28:23 50:6,8	Jessica 2:18 5:20	Kalama 1:5 4:7,10
husband 72:11	industries 88:17	Irena 27:22	Johanson-Kubin	4:16 5:4 11:9,15
	information 40:13	irrelevant 61:10	2:18 5:19,20 8:22	11:16 12:3,5,11
Ι	52:25 67:25 70:10	issue 6:17 9:16 12:2	18:13,25 19:4	12:23,24 13:4,14
i.e 27:20	98:8	15:2,9 16:8,11,16	judge 1:10 4:4,22	13:17,25 14:4,9
ID 97:24	informed 45:7	17:10 34:20,21,23	5:7,12,18,21 6:4	14:14 15:15 16:1
idea 42:2 44:7 80:5	70:10 76:5	35:21 36:18 37:7	6:10,12 8:24 9:6	18:8 20:15 21:1
97:3,9	initial 75:15 79:17	37:9 40:1,10,14	9:11,13,20 10:6	23:13 25:3,21
	mma /J.1J /J.1/	57.7 +0.1,10,14	,,	
1	1	1	1	1

				0
26:2,19,24 28:11	knows 93:15,15	letter 6:2,9 12:24	33:12,14 84:22	look 18:17,18 22:10
40:4,6 42:7 64:8		15:18 18:14,17,20	88:25	59:7 69:3 70:9,20
68:14 69:13 71:15	L	18:21 35:9,20	linked 8:16,17	87:23 95:12
79:3,9,15,21 80:6	L 77:23	36:1,2,19 38:1	list 8:3,5,18 75:18	looked 25:14
86:15 89:18,22	labor 31:6	43:15 46:14,18	listed 38:14,16	looking 68:20
90:1,22 93:5	Lacey 1:15	47:2 49:8 56:4	listening 16:13	Loop 1:14
96:24 98:1 100:10	lack 13:20	58:18 80:7,16,20	listing 29:17,21	lost 43:12
100:13	laid 41:5 75:14	81:11 95:16	37:21	lot 12:16 21:23,24
Kastner 2:4	land 38:7,10 81:17	letters 39:8	listings 29:24	22:2 23:24 24:5
keep 6:23 10:15	84:7 85:17 86:9	letting 18:19	litigated 94:15	24:14,24 28:22,22
60:20 87:8	Landerholm 2:8	level 21:8	litigating 35:19	30:10 34:21,22
keeps 33:25	landowner 82:17	licensees 90:2	little 16:20 23:22	49:21,21,24 50:2
kept 30:17	Lane 11:11 12:15	licenses 12:4	30:16 33:6,20	52:5,12,17,22,25
key 56:7 76:12,15	21:24 24:1 25:3	life 13:23	34:9 39:14 45:4	53:10 80:17 84:9
82:12,14 83:13	27:3,6,17,20	light 44:21	46:9 48:11 59:9	92:20 93:6 95:17
86:15 90:18 92:13	29:11 39:8 90:22	likelihood 34:6	64:13 84:5 87:9	97:21
92:14 95:6,7,12	larger 21:16	limitations 8:1	103:4	lots 20:20 21:16,17
95:21,25 98:3	lasts 68:7	limited 34:19 61:23	live 84:15	21:17,18 37:10,14
keys 45:11	late 31:2	62:5,15 99:6	LLC 1:5 4:8 5:4	37:17,21,23 38:13
kind 23:4 24:18	law 1:10 4:22 14:7	limiting 80:24	11:9,15 20:15	38:15
27:16 31:18 51:16	17:11,20 62:1	Lindberg 2:8 3:13	21:1 23:12,14,17	louder 64:15
52:4,25 83:7 88:7	87:17 100:17	3:17,20 5:9,10 9:7	25:3 26:2,25	
92:21 96:9	laws 88:7	9:8,15 14:21,24	28:11 51:15,15	M
kinds 93:17	lay 9:22 84:23	17:3 34:14,15	68:14 69:13 79:3	M 3:9
KING 105:5	leading 21:11	35:13,16 36:3,17	90:23	mailed 56:4
know 9:23 18:19	leaks 11:25 28:19	40:9 43:15 45:23	locate 11:25	main 28:19 30:20
19:3,22 23:8 25:7	learned 73:5	45:24 46:3 47:16	located 12:16 23:25	88:14
25:17 28:2 29:1	leave 55:1,17	47:18 53:22 57:2	97:21	maintain 12:4 25:6
32:1 39:4,5,6,21	led 94:2	57:4 58:5,7 60:10	lock 12:21 13:11	30:6 35:8
40:12 42:24 46:11	left 78:13	60:12 62:13,19,21	18:1 43:20 44:6	maintained 68:14
46:11,14,21,24	legal 41:16 51:19	63:10,12 66:5	45:11,14 54:17,18	81:20
51:17,18,19 52:1	81:2,5 83:8,14	67:2 71:18 72:3,5	56:6,16 69:21	maintaining 13:19
52:4 58:9 60:12	86:2 89:17,25	72:9 73:3,22	71:1,12 72:22	65:1
60:17 66:6 68:20	93:6,25 105:10	74:14 75:9,25	79:24 82:11 83:12	maintains 68:17
68:24 69:1,13,16	legally 81:3 84:16	76:2,3,17 85:9,10	95:5,14 98:2	69:14
73:25 81:9 82:4	legitimate 41:19	85:13 86:19 92:5	locked 37:21 45:17	maintenance 82:8
82:23 83:10,19,23	legs 59:12	92:8 98:24 99:2	49:7,15 56:1	97:2,5
84:16,21 86:6	lend 50:16	99:17,19 100:25	80:22 95:5	majority 30:15
92:19,25 93:14,15	lender 50:9,10,12	102:6,9 103:23	locking 88:25	making 6:23 12:11
96:5,19,22 101:24	length 101:2	Lindberg's 17:19	locks 17:13 18:9	30:22 69:11
103:9	let's 4:24 7:3 10:8	line 22:3 28:24	44:24 45:1,8	manner 93:22
knowing 49:17	17:5 19:18 23:22	59:22 60:19 74:8	83:25 90:17	map 22:22,25
83:19	25:9 30:6 33:1	76:1	long 6:8 23:11	52:24
knowledge 39:2,9	43:5 59:5,10,13	lines 12:1 28:18	27:23 30:24 63:13	March 24:15 78:13
44:6,13 54:11	74:9,20,21 98:13	29:6 30:21 31:13	74:12 78:9	95:13
68:13	98:13	31:15 32:6,10	longer 103:7	marked 46:22 48:9
	l	I	l	

Mm-hm 25:12	9:22 16:9 58:10 60:4 66:12 87:22	November 31:2	54:14 105:17 offer 7:14 10:4	26:3,14,24
MLS 29:18,19	need 6:17 7:25 9:18	92:17	22:7 31:2 53:7	operating 21:21
17:20	necessitating 87:25	notwithstanding	October 1:11 4:1,5	17:19 18:12 99:10
misunderstanding	88:23	notion 93:8	occasions 68:3	16:4,14 17:2,7,18
74:21	26:15 35:10 88:19	notified 29:7 43:14	41:3 93:23	14:18,23 15:2
68:20 74:13,13,14	necessary 12:4	notification 91:1	obviously 25:20	7:14 10:4,7,8,13
minute 57:8 minutes 59:12	42:18 90:4 102:5	73:15 91:5,8	88:16	opening 3:4,5,5
minute 57:8	necessarily 34:22	43:16 48:23,25	observe 5:24 6:1	opened 42:16
minimal 34:7	naturally 34:1	16:18 41:3,4	observational 19:1	83:23 99:14
mine 23:18	Nations 11:4	8:11 12:22,22	observation 59:22	open 11:16 49:9
miles 84:11	narrower 15:1	notice 4:13,17,18	obligations 40:21	onwards 40:3
microphones 6:24	42:17 62:6 98:7	notes 46:1 103:10	60:19	onsite 55:22
64:14	narrow 16:6 36:18	91:23 101:20	objections 34:24	online 22:3 24:18
microphone 6:15	narrative 34:17	noted 21:6 40:12	75:5,13	ongoing 62:9 68:8
Michael 2:4 5:4	named 51:15	42:22 57:8 103:2	65:24 71:18 72:24	ones 31:11 54:17
88:19,23	77:22,22,23	note 36:1,18 37:1	objection 36:25	48:18 75:18
meter 82:8,23	27:25 28:3,6	normally 33:8	73:14	once 45:17,17 47:5
message 19:16	name 4:21 20:18	65:25 66:4	34:14 40:9 73:12	Olympia 2:15
merely 5:24	N 2:1 3:1,1,9,9,9,22	nonresponsive	object 6:17 16:8	old 61:5 70:3
mere 88:2	N	41:11,25	77:16	102:19 103:25
mentioning 68:4		16:17 40:22 41:1	oath 20:9 63:21	86:20,24 98:13
85:14	85:3	nonpayment 14:10	000- 4:2	76:19 77:3 86:8
mention 48.4 mentioned 48:7	muted 6:24 49:16	89:1 90:3	103:21	74:16,20 75:8
mention 48:4	66:9	non-possessory	100:25 102:11	69:16 71:22 72:3
men 61:9	mute 6:15 19:15,15	noise 6:15 30:22	95:3 99:25 100:6	62:17,23 63:5,9
memory 29:16	multispeed 33:11	nitrate 26:7	86:21,22 94:23	59:16 60:9,23
meeting 11:16	92:17	nicer 33:4	77:13,20 85:1	57:1,7,9 58:5 59:5
meant 24:7 meet 65:7	multiple 78:5,25	nice 33:7 nice-looking 43:25	73:24 76:24 77:1	51:11 56:10,20
90:1 meant 24:7	mud 57:16	93:14 nice 33:7	66:18 71:23 72:1	47:22 49:17 51:1
90:1	79:2 81:25	95:14	57:9,11 58:1,3	36:12 42:15 46:6
means 18:23 83:12	moving 38:23 40:1	new 30:18 45:11,13	54:4,8 55:6,15	27:13 28:10 30:3
84:10 99:5	moved 54:13 92:15	92.13,15 nevertheless 32:23	41:23 42:13 54:2	21:14 22:19 27:7
44:16 52:23,25	43:0 05:11 05:24 68:10	92:15,15	17:8 36:4,6,10	19:13,18,19,19,25
mean 24:6 39:18	43:6 63:11 65:24	never 12:5 67:25	3:19 5:15,16 8:20	18:16 19:2,5,10
matters 6:3 36:22 80:18	36:13,25 37:5,5	neighbor 13:22 neighborhood 31:7	O'Brien 2:13 3:13	okay 6:4,7,12 8:24 9:6,11 17:1,4
98:7 101:17	86:10 move 9:19 35:25	Nehru 78:23	$\overline{0}_{3:1,1,9}$	
48:2 59:6,8,17	79:13,14,16 85:21	91:5 98:9	0	official 79:13 Oh 10:6 29:18 39:2
18:15 43:15 46:8	25:21 53:16,20	needs 42:25 89:9	31:11 68:12	officer 61:19
matter 1:4 4:7,9,20	21:12 23:9,18	98:25	28:14,14 29:5	78:14
materials 31:6	Mountain 20:19	needing 48:5 74:12	numerous 11:22	Office 2:14,18
42:9 73:19	morning 5:1	59:13 97:22	numbered 47:15	offering 58:8
material 40:23	40:23	needed 30:5 32:21	81:8 96:25 101:8	offered 92:14
market 84:10	months 15:17	102:16 103:5	34:19 38:20 62:16	59:23 62:15 76:11
52:9	money 50:16 51:18	97:16 99:22	number 3:23 30:23	54:20 57:20 58:11

Page 115

				i aye i i o
97:20	19:9 21:2 68:24	87:5 91:25 99:12	petition 1:4 4:7,10	34:20 36:17 50:5
operator 11:9 21:2	79:10 96:10	99:13,15 100:18	4:13 7:7 14:25	51:22 60:20 65:11
28:11	ownership 11:15	101:6 102:10	15:13,19,20 16:6	81:19,21 84:2
opinion 88:2	23:13 42:2,12,14	101:0 102:10	16:18,23,25 18:7	91:25
opportunity 7:15	42:17 52:17 83:8	parties' 101:19	40:19 41:10,12,13	pointed 30:22
7:19 57:23 61:20	83:24 84:24 96:3	103:2	40:19 41:10,12,13	pointed 30.22 points 21:14 74:6
62:14 66:7 73:7	100:12,19,22	partner 50:9,9	78:7,8 79:4,23	policy 26:21 89:9
73:16 94:20 98:17	owning 37:21 97:7	party 7:18,22 28:2	80:6,11 81:25	popped 19:16
99:8	owning 57.21 97.7 owns 100:2	37:2 58:23 61:11	83:6,16 88:14	popped 19:10 portion 60:14
oppose 60:13 98:6	UWIIS 100.2	94:5 96:16 103:17	92:16 94:18 98:1	pose 35:2 99:13
opposes 98:15	P		98:2 99:22	-
oral 98:21	P 2:1,1 3:1	passed 12:4 26:9		position 9:5 15:7
	p.m 4:6 8:8 59:11	Patterson 1:24	Petitioner 2:3 3:4,6	18:15,22 58:3,16
order 4:10 14:1	74:24 104:3	105:7,22	10:13 87:19	65:13,17 78:4
15:3 16:6,20 18:9	P.S 2:8	pay 26:23 38:24	petitioners 60:22	81:11,15,17,24
40:20 61:24 71:2	page 3:3,11,23 8:1	39:3 41:15 94:7	phone 19:16 68:22	83:5 93:25 94:12
79:11,12,14 81:22	71:7 79:9	94:13	91:5	possesses 10:25
84:2 87:22,23	PAGES 1:7	paying 12:19 40:3	phonetic 78:23	61:8
90:12,17 91:16,22	paid 12:17 14:16	90:20	physically 24:18	possession 69:1
91:24 93:6 94:17	25:6 40:6 42:4	payment 40:10,14	piece 84:19,22	possible 6:23 29:3
96:3 97:16 99:24		41:24 42:9 43:1,3	pipes 10:18 67:20	39:18 64:12 83:18
100:1,11,19	54:10,11,13 96:18	payments 27:2	90:21	possibly 83:24
101:14 102:16,18	paint 44:4	penalized 12:6	Pitner 12:1 31:9,18	post-hearing 7:25
102:25 103:1,10	painted 44:1,1	penalty 12:7 26:18	32:19 65:3,7,11	8:2 87:11 98:5,6
103:14	pane 33:7 44:21	71:6 105:10	65:13 68:1	98:14,15,17,19,25
orders 80:24	paperwork 12:10	people 82:22 83:24	Pitners 31:16	99:9,14 102:8,17
original 21:16	96:14	percent 33:23	place 13:11 15:8	102:20
41:10 70:2	parade 92:21	perfect 84:16	83:9 92:23 93:17	potable 11:2 12:12
originally 20:20	paragraph 60:2,5	perfected 93:9	placed 67:23	potential 18:5
47:15 78:11 79:15	60:19 70:20	perfectly 100:15	placing 17:13	potentially 57:15
80:11	paraphrasing 91:6	perform 11:20 30:9	plainly 88:24	power 89:6
origination 22:18	parcels 38:7,10,12	82:8 88:22	plat 22:22,25 51:4	practice 60:23
outcome 105:15	50:25	performed 28:12	51:9 52:9,12,15	precedent 96:5
outline 46:10	parent 62:10	30:12,13	52:21,24	predates 51:8
outlined 16:4	park 82:23	period 25:3 55:19	plattings 96:16	predecessor 21:12
outlining 7:5	part 5:25 36:19	perjury 71:7	playing 41:7	23:8 93:5
outside 33:7 60:24	42:23 51:21 79:19	105:10	please 6:18 17:5	prefer 98:20
81:12 99:24	participation 61:22	permissible 10:4	19:7,20 35:15	prefiled 3:23 8:14
overly 20:24	particular 58:23	permission 44:23	43:2 57:2,7,9	9:12 57:18 62:5
overrule 42:21	particularly 60:24	permit 26:14	62:24 66:16 67:7	prehearing 61:24
66:11	61:17	person 20:18	67:14 77:6,21	premise 48:11
overview 88:9	parties 4:19,25	personal 10:19	PLLC 2:4	prepare 73:17
owned 20:18 23:19	6:14 7:4,6,24 8:5	53:4,13	Plumbing 68:1	prerogative 35:12
25:2 26:14 42:6,7	9:9 15:5 40:21	personnel 17:23	plural 40:22	prescriptive 100:4
42:7 52:22 69:17	42:20 57:17 58:10	82:4	plus 31:3 44:6	present 2:22 61:20
85:22	59:12,18 61:19,21	perspective 42:20	PO 2:9,14	81:22 91:25
owner 5:5 11:9	61:21 74:10,18,25	persuasive 91:15	point 31:24 34:12	presentation 7:2
			l	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

				<u>0</u>
87:3	70:11	provide 5:23 6:6	72:12,15 79:25	76:1,17 85:2,7
presented 60:22	proceeding 1:9	7:19 12:12 18:11	80:22 82:11,14	86:19
73:1 94:14,17	4:23 8:10 9:18	25:4 32:18 41:22	83:20 90:21,25	quick 21:14 46:1
98:10	15:10 23:14 41:8	48:19 61:18 62:14	93:7 95:5	75:14,23
presenting 102:22	42:19 61:22 76:22	67:10 76:12 77:9	pumphouses 33:4	quickly 18:16 37:6
presents 18:5	88:8	80:12 87:6,16	pumps 65:1	quite 16:12 51:17
presiding 4:22	proceedings 3:3,4	94:20 95:7 98:23	purchase 30:4	93:22 95:23
61:19	5:24 6:1 8:6	provided 14:3	50:23	quo 81:16,20 83:9
pressure 32:17	60:25 62:16 103:3	16:19 57:24 61:5	purchased 12:15	quote 69:22,22
67:24 68:6,8	104:3	63:8 67:10 90:13	29:10 30:10 39:7	71:1,3
presume 101:12	process 21:9,11	90:16 91:19 93:4	purchaser 22:1	
pretty 16:11 93:17	41:4,7 90:10	provides 11:18	purchasing 97:20	R
prevent 13:11	professional 64:25	88:18 89:5,18	purported 73:9	R 2:1 3:1 105:1
31:15 97:4	70:8 105:8	providing 13:15	purpose 71:2 97:6	raise 6:17 19:20
previous 68:23	professionalism	38:5 86:7,14	97:12	57:16 62:24 67:6
previously 8:17	102:23	88:15 89:12 90:8	purposes 51:19	67:7 77:6
19:6	prominently 43:24	101:6	pursuant 1:7	raised 19:23,24
Price 22:2 24:23	proper 33:18 79:10	provision 90:23	101:18	35:11 41:9,12
25:2 68:23 69:4	properly 40:11	92:13,18	pursue 71:16	rate 60:25
Prices 39:3 53:11	properties 23:24	provisions 89:25	put 8:11 34:9 43:1	rates 36:22 42:4
primarily 78:5	88:19	prudent 61:9	54:17 65:20 69:4	80:25 81:4 83:14
primary 88:13	property 10:19	public 2:17,19 5:18	82:23	RCW 1:7 61:5,17
principles 93:11	11:19,22 13:1,2	5:20 7:12 8:18,22		63:8 87:24 89:5
prior 61:4 73:15	13:10,15 14:2,9	13:5 18:6,11,14	<u>Q</u>	101:18,20
79:23 83:2	14:15 15:6,12	18:22 23:2 83:18	qualifiers 102:4	RCW's 101:12
private 50:9 84:7	16:8 17:13,16,17	83:22 89:8 96:19	quality 11:2 12:5	re-lite 44:14,16
proactively 31:2	17:24 18:2 20:20	96:23 97:13,14,16	13:19 16:2 39:9	re-lited 33:8
probabilities 34:6	24:3,21 36:21	published 81:2,2	39:12 58:24 64:22	reach 102:5
probably 34:1 46:9	39:3 50:24 53:4	Puget 84:22,24	question 20:24	read 70:22,25 71:4
46:24 47:8,21	53:14 55:2,17	pump 30:21 31:5	21:5,24 24:10,11	82:4
48:12 49:12 55:21	79:10 80:3,23	33:11 65:3	24:12 39:24 42:11	reading 60:4 70:25
probative 61:7,8	82:7,10,11,18	pumped 33:14	42:17 45:4 52:18	82:8 88:19,24
73:6,10	84:7,19,23 86:3	pumphouse 10:18	55:11,22 66:1,6	real 10:19 15:6,12
problem 29:7,8	88:12,22 89:2	12:16,20,23 13:1	66:13 67:16 69:11	22:12 29:24 46:1
64:18	90:3,13,16,22	15:16 17:14 21:24	74:24 79:6 83:4	50:20 93:7,10
problems 68:11	91:18 93:7,10	23:25 26:24 29:1	85:25 99:11,13,18	94:3 99:5 100:21
91:11 D	94:3 96:3,6,10,11	30:11,21 31:22,23	100:2	101:4,9 102:11
Procedure 40:18	96:11,12,17,22,25	31:25 33:1,2,10	questioning 74:8,9 100:24	really 15:25 29:19 31:15,21 34:17
61:4 87:24	97:7,10,12,15,21	33:13,22 37:22		,
proceed 5:1 7:4	99:5 100:2,3,17	39:22,23 43:13,18	questions 9:13 30:24 34:18 35:3	42:24 51:11 80:4 80:17,18 90:7
9:14,25 10:2,8	101:4,9,24 102:12	43:23,25 44:24	36:15 43:5,8	92:10 94:10 97:6
19:18 20:6 37:3	proposal 91:4	45:2 46:16 48:19	45:20 53:22 54:5	realm 99:15 102:3
43:2 62:18 63:6	proposed 78:6	49:7,22 52:6,13	43:20 33:22 34:3 55:6,16 57:24	reason 69:16 89:20
63:10,18 75:25	91:21	52:16,22 54:17,22	63:13 64:3 71:21	91:15 92:15 93:24
77:13	proprietary 105:12	55:3 56:1,18 65:4	71:23 72:1 75:15	reasonable 11:19
proceeded 70:10	protected 97:14	67:21 69:5,21,22	11.23 12.1 13.13	1 CASULLAVIC 11.17
	I	I	I	I

Page	1	17

				r ago r n
12:12 14:3 17:17	recordings 105:11	33:22	replacing 71:11	responsibilities
82:8 89:19	Records 18:17	release 39:22 64:9	reply 47:5	11:6 78:3 91:10
reasonably 61:8	redirect 3:14,17	65:10,14,18,19	reporter 6:20	responsibility 11:1
reasons 61:25	7:20 36:15 55:9	67:17,23	66:25 105:8,8	14:6 69:9,10
rebuttal 61:13	55:13 56:11 63:14	releasing 28:23	reports 12:8	responsible 13:17
recall 24:13,14	72:4,8 86:21,22	31:19	representative	69:18
27:25 29:10,15,21	refer 10:22 28:6	relevance 29:20	97:11	rest 24:9 52:22
31:5,17 38:10,14	32:12 41:6 64:8	40:13 71:19 73:11	representatives	restated 15:20
43:10 46:14,16,21	103:12	relevant 22:14	7:17	restored 98:4
47:2,21,24 48:10	reference 48:25	35:23 74:1 101:3	request 15:3 35:16	restrict 17:12
48:19 49:1,2	51:23 52:11,15,20	reliable 90:8	35:17 60:1,14	82:12 83:25
50:22 52:20 53:5	61:18 63:7 66:23	relief 32:17 35:17	73:7 76:14 94:20	restricted 55:2,17
72:10	referenced 54:9	rely 98:21 103:5	requested 91:17	56:15 61:23
receipt 48:17 75:9	references 51:23	relying 99:4	requesting 48:5	restricting 56:18
103:3	52:4	remain 15:23 76:21	requests 13:25	82:25
receive 27:2	referred 99:4	83:9	90:11	result 13:21 56:3,6
received 4:15 8:3	referring 23:6 24:4	remaining 37:10	require 13:7 82:17	56:7
26:17,18 40:7	32:13 47:8,10,14	remarks 14:19	required 16:19	revamped 61:5
46:18 47:2 78:18	48:24 64:5 86:4	remedies 82:15	26:12,24 28:14,22	reverted 66:22
79:23 80:10,14	refers 29:22	remedy 86:15,17	41:4,15 62:1	review 75:2,4,12,24
103:9 105:12	Refresh 29:16	92:14	requirement 23:3	78:6
receiving 49:3	refuse 17:24	remember 39:4,10	requirements 91:1	reviewing 57:5
67:24	refused 35:9	66:25 67:6	97:20	75:5 93:20
recess 59:6,10,15	regarding 39:9	remembrance	reserve 63:13	right 11:3,11 14:2
73:23 74:11,22	40:21 41:22 42:18	54:20	residence 25:2,4	14:4,9,10,15
recession 21:22	43:5 59:7 67:15	reminder 10:11	resolution 87:25	17:16,21,24,25
recognize 88:21	67:17 80:12,16	remote 68:23,25	resolved 16:9,9,10	18:1 19:21,23
recognized 11:14	83:5 92:17	69:6	42:18 95:9	21:3,4 22:21,21
recognizing 11:4	regardless 103:15	removal 82:9	Resort 11:11 12:15	22:24 23:21 24:2
recommended	regards 43:1 59:18	removed 18:9	21:24 24:1 25:3	24:20 25:1,8,16
67:22	Registered 105:8	83:13 90:18 98:2	27:3,6,17,20	25:18,23 26:1,16
reconvene 59:11	regulate 36:20 78:7	repaint 43:23	29:11 39:8 90:22	26:23 28:5 29:9
74:21	regulated 10:20	repair 11:23,24	respect 40:14 50:14	29:13,18 30:2
record 4:4,25 6:23	14:11 17:13 23:9	71:11	60:18 94:2	31:8 32:15,25
7:1 43:1,3 48:9	23:12 79:19 84:6	repairing 39:13	respond 35:13	35:17,22 37:18
51:20 59:13 60:3	84:10 89:24 96:19	repairs 28:12,15	61:20	38:22 39:25 41:16
66:15 73:4 74:20	97:7	29:5 31:12	responded 92:15	43:17 45:4,16,19
74:24 77:22 81:20	regulations 89:3,4	repeat 52:18	Respondent 2:7 3:5	46:10 47:9 49:19
83:8 85:20 86:2	89:6 99:3 101:4	rephrase 43:11	3:6 14:23 92:7	49:25 50:7 51:7,9
92:22 93:3,7	regulatory 78:2	repititious 61:11	responding 34:17	52:5 53:8 54:16
100:10,12 104:2	related 23:15 62:9	replace 12:20	47:24 95:22 97:4	55:5 56:3,15,20
recorded 6:21 22:7	88:7	72:21 76:6	response 4:15	61:12,13 62:24
23:20 25:17 51:4	relating 78:18	replaced 31:3	15:21 34:25 40:16	63:13,17 65:1,5
51:5 53:5,7,18	relationship 20:15	69:21 70:7 71:1	41:13 42:24 58:6	66:13 67:7,7 68:9
recording 96:13	relative 105:13	72:11,14	60:11 99:18	69:20,23 70:13,23
103:11 105:12	relatively 17:11	replacement 56:16	responses 100:25	71:1,4,14 77:7
	I	I	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

				-
79:2,6 80:15,18	54:21 55:1,16	sale 21:23 22:6	74:25 80:7	simply 12:9 15:2
80:22 81:10,25	57:19,20 58:17	23:20 25:11 53:4	separate 27:8	81:15 94:6,16
82:7 84:17 85:16	80:8 81:12 90:19	53:14 79:12 96:4	81:17	95:23 98:21
86:16 87:1,15	90:24 94:19 95:4	100:10	separated 85:17	simultaneous
88:12 89:1 90:3	95:7,10,12,15,20	sanitary 23:5	86:9	102:20
90:13,15 91:19	95:20,25 96:7,15	saw 6:2,9 25:20	separately 52:22	single 93:4
93:6,11,13 94:4	96:22 97:3,9	saying 18:22	September 4:14,18	sit 64:13
94:11	98:16 103:24	says 30:1 47:23	48:8,14 60:3 64:4	site 31:10
rights 10:25 13:6	Rouses' 11:25	69:20 91:11	70:17	sits 43:23
13:13,15,15 40:21	17:18 28:24 37:25	school 78:21,23	series 102:4	situated 49:22
41:20 42:20 58:23	41:13 42:1,23	scope 65:25 99:21	served 4:15	situation 15:25
71:17 93:11,25	79:10 90:22 91:4	99:24	service 16:20 25:4	16:2 41:20 80:13
101:4,9,19,24	91:15	Scott 2:23 3:19	29:23 54:12 86:7	84:17 94:2
risk 78:14	route 100:16	5:17 57:12 77:2	89:22,23 90:23	six 93:12
road 101:15	row 97:19	77:15,23 96:8	94:13	sixth 90:24
Robert 3:12 5:5	RT-1 22:13 50:20	SE 1:14	serviced 24:19	skipped 19:6
11:8 20:8	51:8	seat 97:19	services 30:12 89:7	slightly 45:5
role 21:1 78:18	RT-10 47:13	Seattle 2:5,20	set 91:2,8 96:5	sloppy 93:21,22
rolls 78:15	RT-10X 46:22	second 27:22,23,25	103:1 105:16	small 11:10 12:10
room 64:13 76:22	RT-11X 48:9	28:16,21 46:1	setting 4:19	33:20,22,25 84:21
rot 69:25 70:6,13	RT-2 22:13 51:11	47:8 59:7 73:16	settling 95:22	smaller 31:3
rotted 71:11	51:22	80:25 89:11,12	Sevall 2:23 3:19	Smith 67:20
Rouse 2:23 3:16	RT-3 22:20 47:14	section 29:25	5:17 57:12 77:2	sold 24:15,25 53:10
5:10,11 16:17	47:15,19 52:9	security 69:22,24	77:15,21,23 85:14	somebody 68:19
32:13 48:18 57:3	RT-4 25:10 48:9	70:13 71:2,3	86:24 89:10 90:6	somewhat 28:25
58:22 59:19,25	53:4	see 17:19 18:17	91:23 92:12 93:14	31:23 93:21,21
61:14 62:1,2,20	RT-5 29:15,22	19:22 22:11 33:5	96:9	soon 102:25
62:22,23 63:20	rule 11:18 14:3	39:2 58:10 73:21	seven 20:21 74:21	sorry 19:15 20:23
64:1 66:12,15,22	15:3 41:4 72:25	75:1 82:20 83:23	103:7	20:25 21:10 24:9
67:1 71:24 72:10	82:21 88:14,16	84:25 86:18 96:15	share 59:18	31:16 49:16 52:18
76:3,5,20	89:14,17 90:16	96:17 101:3	sheer 97:12	64:17
Rouse's 15:22	91:19 93:10 101:8	102:16	short 10:4 59:2	sort 51:14 53:14
41:14 58:19 60:2	rules 40:19 59:7	seeing 67:6	shorten 9:23	55:22 83:2,4 84:1
Rouses 5:8 7:10 8:4	80:2 88:16,20	seek 49:4 75:6	shorter 91:11	97:18
8:13 12:15,17,19	89:6 91:2,10	82:17 83:2 98:23	show 15:14	sound 73:10 84:22
12:24 13:8 14:10	100:22	100:18	shut 28:18 39:19	84:24
14:15 15:14,20,23	run 103:8	seeking 16:6	shut-off 28:19 29:5	sounds 99:14
16:7 17:11,21	Rural 49:21 50:14	seen 22:22	31:12,18	102:10
24:21,25 29:10	<u> </u>	sell 37:14,23	shutoff 11:24	source 21:19
30:5,10,22 34:15		send 8:4 74:17	shutting 94:13	speak 22:25 74:5
35:10 37:22 38:7	S 2:1,4 3:1 S E V A I 77:23	95:15	side 96:23	speaking 6:16,18
38:23 39:7,11	S-E-V-A-L 77:23 safe 90:8	sending 75:21	sign 71:6	6:24,25
40:3 41:1 42:3,7	safely 94:5	sense 44:2 69:11	signed 22:6	speaks 76:10
43:17 44:12 46:15	safety 23:6 36:22	sent 4:12 6:2,9 7:4	significant 15:12	specific 12:22 35:6
46:19 47:15 49:4	salety 25:0 50:22 sake 13:8	12:24 39:8 48:8	32:18 33:15	38:12 58:17
49:13,24 54:10,16	Sant 13.0	48:13 72:19 74:10	simple 17:11 95:4	specifically 11:18
	I	I	I	I

Γ				
15:18 23:23 25:9	95:2	20:22	T	term 90:2
33:1 41:12,14	statements 7:23	subsidiary 62:10	T 3:9,22 28:3,4	terms 10:16 33:3
69:24 89:18	10:8 87:13 98:21	substance 74:4	105:1,1	35:5 43:21 52:24
speed 30:21	states 96:4	sufficient 74:15	take 4:24 6:5 9:16	57:24
spell 77:22	stating 14:1 15:3	suggested 94:21	26:6 59:6,7,10	Tershel 5:5 11:8,9
spelled 77:23	95:16 102:11	suggesting 60:13	73:23 74:10	11:13,15,22 13:2
spelling 102:1	status 81:16,20	Suite 2:5,9,19	102:24 103:4,6	15:18 19:9,10
spent 51:17 94:9	83:9 86:3	summarize 47:1	taken 17:12 33:13	20:14,23 35:3,7
spoke 67:20	statutory 61:18	summer 43:22	36:18 84:7 95:11	35:20,25 37:8
spring 27:21 78:24	63:7 66:22	summertime 34:3	103:7,10	41:16 43:9 46:6
Square 1:14	stepped 93:24	super 34:4	takes 18:22	47:19 54:9 55:15
ss 105:4	steps 50:11,13	superior 16:10	talk 5:1 6:19 7:3	56:14 58:25 65:3
SS-2 81:7	stick 57:16	90:5 100:18 101:5	23:22 25:9 33:1	65:7,11,17,19
SS-3 36:8,11 80:9	stipulate 8:19 9:9	101:21	64:15	67:22 68:3,17,22
staff 2:12 3:5,7	stipulated 8:14,25	supply 13:22	talked 37:9	69:17 72:16 76:5
4:12,17 5:14,17	57:17 58:10 60:15	suppose 59:22	talking 27:11 40:2	76:9,12,14 79:24
5:17 7:11 8:4,18	stipulates 8:20	69:12	46:24 62:6	80:8 81:12 82:5
8:20 17:5,7 18:4	stipulation 9:2,17	sure 6:23 9:3 18:21	tampering 71:17	90:7 91:4 92:15
36:4,6,16,18	stone 33:7 43:25	23:11 30:13 42:24	tangible 10:19	93:4,24 94:4,8
41:21 57:12 58:3	stop 12:19 68:6	52:8 53:1 58:12	tank 31:3,4,5 33:10	95:16 97:1,4,10
77:2 79:20 80:15	82:24	79:8	33:23,25	98:3 99:4
80:21 81:10 83:17	storage 33:10,23	surely 13:13	tariff 11:17,18	Tershel's 94:18
92:21 95:2,8	stored 33:13	sustain 36:24	14:11 41:5 42:4	test 26:7,7,9
98:15 99:3 103:21	Street 2:5,9	swear 19:21 20:1	78:6 79:17,18	testified 18:4 20:9
staff's 81:16 83:5	stretch 59:12	63:1 67:3,8,9 77:7	81:2,3,6,9 83:14	63:21 76:4 77:16
stand 76:23	strike 60:2,5,14	77:8	83:15 85:21 86:3	78:25 96:8 97:1
standard 97:5,6	65:25	swearing 66:25	86:5,10 89:14,15	testify 51:12 61:12
start 5:2 8:10 21:10	stuff 69:3	swore 66:21	89:18 91:2,20	73:8 74:4
24:16 39:13 66:20	subdivision 23:23	sworn 20:9 63:21	101:20	testifying 94:9
started 7:2 78:11	26:4 37:9,10	66:20 77:16	tariffs 90:16 96:18	testimony 20:1
starts 28:2,4	49:21 50:15 68:14	system 11:10,13,14	tasks 11:20 35:10	40:14 56:21 57:5
state 77:22 78:21	93:19	11:21,24 12:14	58:25 88:19,23,23	58:8,11 61:1,14
84:12 101:11	subdivision's 68:11	14:5 20:17,19	tax 51:19	61:16 63:1 66:13
105:3,9	subject 16:23 36:15	21:20 22:4 27:8	tease 101:25	66:20 67:4,9
State's 11:5	41:3	27:11,17 28:13	tell 20:14 21:8,9,11	73:25 77:8 85:15
stated 15:18,23	submission 4:9	30:6,9,18 31:24	23:24 27:5 29:20	86:1,8,16,25
27:16 48:18 86:14	submit 36:4 58:16	31:25 35:8 38:24	38:17 46:23 47:21	89:10 91:24 92:12
92:9,12 95:4,8	61:13 73:7 87:21	39:5,14,15 42:3,4	49:6 51:12 70:25	92:20,22,23
96:8	submits 13:6	42:5 50:4 51:2,8	temperatures 70:5	testing 12:5 26:13
statement 3:4,5,5,6	submitted 18:14,21	51:24 54:22 65:21	ten 22:5,8 23:16,20	64:23 82:9 97:6
3:6,7 6:6 7:14,15	22:11 36:1,3,7,19	68:12 79:10 81:13	40:22 74:12 78:19	tests 26:6
7:22 10:5,7,13	37:1 50:19 57:25	83:20 92:2 95:18	103:7	thank 5:7,12,21 6:4
14:23 15:2 16:5	61:2 93:21 96:16	96:1,9,11,24 97:5	tend 42:15	6:11,12 9:6,11
17:7,19,19 18:12	subsequent 48:8	97:10	tender 7:8	10:9,10,14 14:19
47:23 86:13 87:5	75:10 100:11	systems 97:2	TER-shel 46:4,4,5	14:20,24 17:1,4
87:6,16,19 92:7	Subsequently		TERCEL 3:12 20:8	18:9,10 19:2,4,19
	I	l	I	I

	_	_	_	
19:25 20:5 21:3	Thompson 1:10 4:4	timeline 91:12	transferred 23:12	understand 18:21
22:9,19,24 23:7	4:21 5:7,12,18,21	95:12	23:13 42:6 51:16	34:16 57:19 100:9
23:21 24:20 25:1	6:4,10,12 8:24 9:6	times 11:23 22:22	transfers 79:14	102:10
25:8,23 26:16	9:11,13,20 10:6	31:11 38:18 39:20	transmission 89:7	understanding 6:7
27:14 28:5,9 29:9	10:10 14:20 17:1	68:4 78:25	Transportation 1:2	9:5 16:13 23:3
29:13 30:2 31:8	17:4 18:10,16	title 51:15,21 90:4	1:13 2:13 10:21	32:17,22 53:2
32:3,8,15,25 37:4	19:2,5,10,13,19	101:18,20	23:10,15 78:2	56:15 62:6 79:9
38:19,21 39:25	19:25 20:5 34:25	today 4:5,20 5:23	treatise 99:7	80:13 82:1 84:6
44:9,20,22 45:21	35:15,24 36:8,12	6:21 7:4 10:15	treble 71:16	89:15
46:5,6 47:16	38:1 40:15 41:9	12:13 16:12,16,22	Tretnikov 2:24	understood 69:10
49:18 54:1 55:8	41:21 42:11,15	18:24 20:2 22:5	5:22,25 6:8,11	unduly 61:10
56:8,8,13,21 57:1	45:21 54:1 55:8	56:21 57:5,21	28:6	unfortunate 29:2
58:15 60:7,9 63:5	56:13,20 57:1,7	59:25 60:7,20	Tretnikovs 88:5	32:1
63:9 64:7,16	57:14 58:1,5,14	62:11 63:2 67:10	92:1	unfortunately
66:17 67:14,18	59:5,16 60:9,23	73:5,11 77:9 88:5	tribunal 16:16	28:23,24 31:22
68:9 71:9,14,22	62:23 63:5,16	88:8 91:3 92:10	34:20 35:17,22	39:14
72:5 75:3,8,21,23	66:10,21 67:5,14	94:15 95:13 98:10	tried 29:2 39:18,21	Union 2:5
76:2,19 77:12	71:22 72:3 73:9	98:21 102:22,23	49:9,15	Unit 2:19
85:8,10 86:20	74:7,19,23 75:8	103:6,11,12	trips 30:17	United 11:4
87:7 92:3,4,8	75:21 76:19 77:4	today's 4:22 8:6	true 55:19	University 78:22
94:21,22 98:11,12	77:6,12 85:4,9	73:13	truth 20:2,2,3 63:2	unlawful 90:24
102:21 103:25	86:20,24 87:2,12	told 31:18 80:2	63:2,3 67:11,11	unmute 19:11,15
thermal 33:7 44:21	92:4 94:22 98:12	tongue 78:16	67:12 77:9,9,10	unmuted 19:14
thing 16:15 33:16	98:24 99:9,25	top 16:21 28:25	try 8:5 10:15 21:14	unsupported 60:6
64:18 73:19	100:23 102:6,19	70:3 82:23,24	87:15	unvetted 60:6
things 49:20 60:21	103:25	topic 37:1,5,6 43:5	trying 30:17,18	uphold 97:16
82:24,24 93:2	thought 27:15	43:6 67:16 87:13	68:21 91:7	101:12
95:17 96:25	101:2	101:3	turn 75:1 98:14	uploaded 18:17
101:25	thoughts 102:7	total 20:21	tweak 44:3	upset 31:14
think 6:2 16:12	thousands 84:11	totally 53:1	twice 45:18	upside 70:2
24:15 26:10 29:6	threat 16:2 40:10	touched 40:1 89:9	two 15:17 20:22	user 27:22,23 28:16
29:8 30:12 32:10	three 26:11 31:17	89:10	22:4 26:7 27:9	28:20,21 30:18
32:23 33:5,23	38:13,18 39:20	touching 88:8	34:19 38:12 43:22	user's 27:19
35:10 37:6 41:17	48:1 68:3 70:8	town 97:3	51:5 68:7 73:20	UTC 8:16 11:14
43:15 44:14 47:14	throw 84:9	track 30:17	80:17,20 88:11	12:7 14:14 25:20
48:7 49:11 58:21	Thursday 48:14	training 78:17	93:1	89:24
59:2 62:4 63:6,8	tied 37:7 90:4	transaction 53:15		utilities 1:2,13 2:13
66:7,12 73:5 76:4	time 4:6 8:7 10:16	transcribed 1:24	<u> </u>	10:21 23:9,14
82:6,14 83:1,7	12:3,8 13:6 14:19	6:22 103:4 105:11	unavoidably 101:7	26:24 29:25 78:1
85:24 86:14 92:19	24:22 25:3 39:19	transcript 103:6,9	uncertainty 87:25	88:18
93:1,14 94:5,8	43:6 45:20 49:3,9	103:13,15	unclear 13:7	utility 37:17 84:10
98:7,8 99:2,19,25	53:23 55:19 56:18	transcripts 103:3	unconditional	84:11 86:7 88:17
100:6,8,14,20	59:9,14 69:2	transfer 11:14	60:16	88:24 96:12,18,19
101:6,11,18 102:1	76:20,23,25 84:2	23:17 25:21 42:2	underlying 13:6	96:20,22
thinking 60:25	84:18,19 85:8	51:17 79:12 81:23	15:6,12 16:8 42:1	utility's 84:19 97:7
third 33:22	87:4 94:9 103:5,9	96:4 100:10	99:5	97:12

Page	: 121

				1 496 121
UW-150742 79:11	62:8,19 63:10,18	68:14 69:13 71:16	willing 15:23 32:21	82:3 83:1 86:5,12
UW-240615 1:5 4:7	64:3 73:22 88:9	71:17 79:3,10,15	48:18 95:7	86:17,18
	99:6,12 102:21	79:21 80:6,23	willingness 15:20	year 12:25 21:15
V	wanted 8:11 32:8	81:1,13 82:5	95:11,24	26:6,13 28:15
value 38:14,14	44:3 56:8	83:19 85:16 86:15	window 44:17,19	40:2,7,23 43:4
44:13 61:8 73:10	wants 32:10 60:17	88:15,22 90:8,9	44:21	54:15
valve 28:19 31:18	warmer 34:5	90:20,23,23 91:7	windows 33:7	years 20:22 21:21
32:17 64:9 65:11	warranted 59:3	91:12 93:5 95:17	winter 30:16	22:4,5,8 23:17,20
65:15,18,20 67:17	Washington 1:1,13	96:1,11,24 97:2,5	withdrawn 71:20	26:7,11 27:18,18
67:23	1:15 2:5,10,13,15	97:7,10,25 100:11	75:13	27:19 28:17,17,20
valves 11:24 29:5	2:20 11:5 78:14	Water's 11:16	withdraws 75:5	51:5 53:10,12
31:12 68:5	78:21 84:12 105:3	89:18,22 90:1	witness 3:11 7:8,18	78:19
Vancouver 2:10	105:9	98:1	10:2 19:7,12,14	yesterday 18:14
variable 30:20	wasn't 39:5,5 54:18	way 22:17 23:1	19:24 20:4,8 54:3	
33:11	69:24	26:9 34:2 41:3	56:19 57:10,20,25	Z
various 33:12	watching 76:22	45:5 50:24 82:21	58:8 60:7 63:4,20	Zillow 29:17
35:11 78:6 96:16	water 1:5 4:7,10	84:1	66:2,17,19 67:3	Zoom 6:13
verbally 43:22	5:4 10:17,20,25	ways 15:11 16:11	67:13,18 73:8	
55:22	10:25 11:2,3,6,9	We'll 5:2 7:21	74:3 76:21,25	0
view 16:21 21:17	11:10,14,15,20,23	we're 6:1 7:3 16:24	77:3,5,11,15 87:1	1
27:7,9 35:22	12:3,5,5,11,12,18	27:11 34:17,21	105:16	
49:21 50:14,18	12:19,23,24 13:3	35:19 49:17,18	witnesses 7:3 8:10	1 40:2 49:12 79:18
51:4,9 89:11	13:4,9,11,12,14	58:7 62:11 72:25	56:23,24 57:6	85:22 90:20
viewed 61:15	13:14,16,17,19,22	73:1 79:8 83:25	61:3,12 87:3 91:3	1-105 1:7
violation 12:10	13:23,25 14:4,5	we've 56:4 59:11	wonder 57:22	1:32 4:6
virtual 76:23	14:14,16 15:15	76:8 82:22 90:6	wondered 79:25	10 3:4 50:2 1000 2:9
virtue 86:10	16:1,3 17:13,15	91:16,20	Woodland 1:14	1000 2:9 1086 2:9
visit 31:10	20:15,17,18 21:1	website 8:16	word 26:9	
visited 11:22	21:19,20 22:3	week 47:24 68:7,7	work 30:9 78:20	11 1:11 4:1,5 103:16
Vladislav 2:24	23:14 24:6,19	weeks 68:8	working 93:16	110-305 17:15
VOL 1:9	25:3,4,6,21 26:2,5	weigh 7:12	world 84:13,15,15	110-305 17:15 12 4:11 21:17 27:18
voluntarily 26:8	26:19,25 27:8,11	weighs 91:16	worth 38:17	
	27:17 28:11,18,24	weighty 11:7	wouldn't 31:18,21	27:18 28:17,17,20
W	29:23,25 30:6,9	weird 45:5	99:22	33:5 59:12 13 20:6
WA 1:24	30:18 31:15,19	well-being 18:6	write 99:6	13 29:6 14 3:5
WAC 1:7 14:3	32:5,10 33:13,23	wellhouse 18:4	written 17:20	
17:20,22 82:1,16	33:24 34:7 35:8	79:22 95:6	87:11 94:20	16 4:14 60:4 17 3:5
88:13,17 89:20	36:21 37:17 38:24	went 22:3 24:18	wrong 83:21 96:25	17 5:5 18 21:21 27:18
90:13 91:19 96:2	38:24 39:5,9,12	25:5 30:11 47:1		18 21:21 27:18 19 30:17
97:8,8,22	39:19 40:3,4,6,7	65:20 78:21 85:22	X	19 50:17
WAC's 101:13	41:16,17,19 42:2	100:10	X 3:1,9,9,22,22	2
walk 34:3	42:4,5 43:10 50:4	whatsoever 54:23	Y	2,500-gallon 33:10
wall 33:19,19	51:2,8,23 54:10	92:24		20 3:12 33:5 34:2
want 6:22 9:3 10:1	54:12,21 58:24	WHEREOF	yeah 28:7 36:10	200,000 38:18,18
27:10 29:4,19	59:13 62:12 64:9	105:16	50:23 51:3 53:3	2000 2:19
33:16 34:12,14	64:22 65:1 68:12	Williams 2:4	54:13 55:21 73:3	2001 12:16

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

Page	122

r		
2004 50-24 51 1	26 4.12 10	601 2.5
2004 50:24 51:1	26 4:12,19	601 2:5
2006 21:15,20 24:5	2731 1:24 105:22	621 1:14
24:8 51:6	28 20:21 50:25	63 3:16
2008 50:17	28th 105:17	7
2009 21:23 22:2	3	
24:15,24 39:6		7 38:20
50:17 79:17,18,19	3 24:7 91:20	72 3:17
81:18 85:17,23	3,000 31:7	75 3:24
86:9	3:00 59:11	77 3:19
2010 11:4	3:30 74:21	8
2011 24:17	3:32 74:24	
2012 22:1,3 24:17	30 22:7	8 60:2,5,19
24:17,19,25,25	305 15:4 97:22	8/17 29:12
39:6	100:15	80 101:18,20
2013 27:1	34-04-100 61:5	80.04.160 89:5
2014 11:13,21 22:5	34.05.240 1:7 87:25	800 2:19
22:7 38:1 53:7	34.05.449(2) 63:8	805 2:9
78:12		85 3:20
2015 11:16 78:24	4	87 3:6
78:24	4 3:4	
2021 29:12 30:10	4:15 104:3	9
30:13 39:8 54:14	40 33:22	9 3:23 11:18 47:11
	40128 2:14	47:22 70:20 89:14
96:18	4100 2:5	89:18 90:16 91:19
2022 78:14	45 68:20	92 3:6
2023 12:18 14:17	46 3:13	95 3:7
30:7,15,20 31:2,9	48 12:21 48:22,25	98101 2:5
54:15 65:4,8	91:5	98104 2:20
96:18	480-07-930 1:7	98504 1:15 2:15
2024 1:11 4:1,5,11	40:19	98666 2:10
4:12,14,19 12:19		70000 2.10
27:21 30:16 40:3	480-10-555 96:2	
40:7 43:4 46:13	480-100-168 88:17	
47:23 48:14 54:10	480-110-305 14:4	
55:20 60:4 64:4	82:2 88:13 90:14	
70:17 72:13,15	91:19	
90:20 96:22	5	
105:17		
22 30:13	5 48:14	
224 12:15 21:23	5:00 8:8 9:24	
24:1,13 25:2	54 3:13	
27:16,20 29:10	55 3:14 33:24	
39:8 90:22	57 33:24	
24 12:25 35:8 37:25		
46:13 47:2 49:8,8	6	
56:5 58:18	6 49:21 52:5,12,17	
24th 43:14	52:22 53:10 91:20	
24111 45.14	93:6	