| 1  | BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE   |
|----|---|
| 2  | UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION   |
| 3  |   |
| 4  | WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND )  |
| 5  | TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, ) DOCKET NO. U-061239  |
| 6  | v. ) VOLUME 2   |
| 7  | PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC., ) Pages 18 - 22   |
| 8  |   |
| 9  | A hearing in the above matter was held on   |
| 10 | December 8, 2006 at 1:30 p.m. at 1300 South Evergreen Park  |
| 11 | Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington, before Commissioner   |
| 12 | JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI and Administrative Law Judge   |
| 13 | ROBERT WALLIS.  |
| 14 |   |
| 15 | The parties were present as follows:  |
| 16 | THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION<br>COMMISSION STAFF, by JENNIFER CAMERON-RULKOWSKI, Assistant |
| 17 | Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive<br>Southwest, Olympia, Washington 98504.                |
| 18 | PUBLIC COUNSEL, by JUDITH KREBS, Attorney   |
| 19 | General, 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000, TB-14, Seattle,<br>Washington 98104.                               |
| 20 | PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC., by SHEREE STROM CARSON,   |
| 21 | Attorney at Law, 10885 Northeast Fourth Street, Suite 700,<br>Bellevue, Washington 98004.                 |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 | Jennifer Cordner, CCR   |
| 25 | Court Reporter  |

0019

PROCEEDINGS
JUDGE WALLIS: Let's be on the record, please.
This is a prehearing conference in the matter of
Commission Docket U-061239, which is a complaint by the
Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission against
Puget Sound Energy Inc., respondents.
The Commission convened a prehearing conference

8 in this matter previously, and one of the scheduling items 9 was a prehearing conference set for today for a check in 10 on process, and for the opportunity to address any 11 procedural issues that may arise, or questions the parties 12 might have.

Prior to going on the record, we did consult with the parties about potential concerns, and will note for the record that the procedural schedule should be modified slightly to provide not for simultaneous opening briefs on January 11, but for briefs from Public Counsel on January 11, and answering briefs from the Company, and Commission Staff on January 26.

20 And in other regards the schedule, at this point 21 at any rate, remains unchanged. The parties did offer a 22 brief summary of the status of negotiations that did 23 provide that negotiations are continuing and that at this 24 point there is insufficient progress, or lack of progress, 25 to pronounce them successful in terms of producing a 0020

1 settlement, or not.

2 With that we will certainly encourage the parties 3 to continue those discussions and to pursue a settlement. 4 I would like to take appearances of the parties who are here beginning with the complainant. 5 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: On behalf of Commission 6 Staff, Jennifer Cameron-Rulkowski. 7 8 JUDGE WALLIS: For the respondent? 9 MS. CARSON: On behalf of Puget Sound Energy, 10 Sheree Strom Carson. 11 JUDGE WALLIS: And for public counsel? 12 MS. KREBS: On behalf of the public counsel section of the Attorney General's office, Judith Krebs. 13 14 JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you very much. Now, you've 15 heard my monolog. Are there any corrections or additions 16 that any of the parties would like to make? 17 MS. KREBS: The only issue I raise, Your honor, is due to some of the weather problems, my discovery went 18 19 out quite late. And I did get responses from the Company 20 early, which I received last night personally, I don't 21 know if they came sooner. And some of the responses raise 22 a question about whether or not I should be seeking a 23 third party subpoena. 24 And I've yet to have time to check the record, I

25 know there's very often a time period associated with

that, and a process for seeking it from the Commission.
And so I know we're on a short time frame, but I just
raise that.

I fully intend to, to the best of my ability, stick with the deadline of Tuesday for evidence, and I believe it's -- so that's the 15th I believe, and then the 20th for hearing, evidentiary hearing. But I just raise that as something that I think is possible. I need to go back and look at the discovery responses again and just to determine whether or not that would be necessary.

11

MS. CARSON: Your honor?

12

JUDGE WALLIS: Ms. Carson?

MS. CARSON: Just for the record, there was over a month that was provided for a period of discovery, I think our original prehearing conference was in November, November 6th, and discovery cutoff was set for yesterday, December 7th. It was over a month.

Public Counsel had plenty of time to get the data requests out to the Company and to get the responses, in fact there was supposed to be time built in for two series of them, and I only received one series just about a week ago.

23 So there was plenty of time for Public Counsel to 24 get out its data requests, get the responses back, and 25 issue third party subpoenas, if necessary.

0021

And we would object to this, I think it will 1 prolong our hearing schedule, if in fact -- that's all I 2 3 have. 4 JUDGE WALLIS: Very well, so noted. I will point out to Ms. Krebs that our statute does permit counsel to 5 issue subpoenas, rather than have the Commissioners 6 administer them, if they choose to do so. 7 8 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you. 9 JUDGE WALLIS: All right. With that, if there's 10 nothing further, we will conclude this prehearing 11 conference and repeat our encouragement to the parties to 12 continue those negotiations, and to the extent possible 13 hold to the schedule that we now have. If there is a 14 question about further discovery, please contact 15 Ms. Walker or me, and we will on short notice be able to 16 schedule a telephonic discussion of any issues that might 17 arise. 18 MS. CAMERON-RULKOWSKI: Thank you, Your honor. MS. CARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 20 JUDGE WALLIS: Thank you very much, this 21 conference is concluded. 22 (Hearing concluded at 1:43 p.m.)

23 24

21

25

0022