

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION STAFF
RESPONSE TO BENCH REQUEST

DATE PREPARED:	December 11, 2001	WITNESS:	Commission Staff
CASE NO.:	TC-001846	RESPONDER:	Robert Colbo
REQUESTER:	Bench	TELEPHONE:	(360) 664-1247

BENCH REQUEST NO. 10:

Referring to page 4 of amended Exhibit No. ____ (RC-6), "Separation," please provide a schedule of the actual numeric allocation factors that were used by Staff witness Mr. Colbo to derived the amounts in columns (d) and (e) of page 4.

RESPONSE:

Please see three attached sheets. The first sheet represents Mr. Colbo's separation of the company's Bremerton/Kitsap and Ft. Lewis/McChord revenues and expenses as set forth in page 4 of amended Exhibit No. ____ (RC-6), but with the addition of the information you request provided in three additional columns, (f), (g), and (h).

Columns (g) and (h) set out the percentage allocation for the two respective routes that Mr. Colbo applied to each revenue or expense item. Column (f) provides a short explanation of the basis for each allocation. Where the explanation is "Actual," the allocation is based on the company's own records. Where the explanation is a line number, such as "Line 7," the allocation factor was derived from the information on that line of this same schedule. Where the explanation is "Driver P/R Study" the basis for the allocation is a study Mr. Colbo did of the company's payroll expenses. The results of that study are set out in Sheet 2, entitled "B-K TOTAL Payroll Study" (the portion related to drivers is contained in the box titled "Driver Only P/R Study"). If the explanation is "GA study," the basis for the allocation is a study Mr. Colbo performed on the company's overhead or general and administrative expenses. The results of that study are provided as Sheet 3, entitled "B-K Airporter OverHead Study." Please note: "Pax" means passengers.