

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON  
UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

AVISTA CORPORATION, d/b/a AVISTA UTILITIES

Respondent.

---

DOCKETS UE-240006 & UG-240007 (*Consolidated*)

**ROBERT L. EARLE  
ON BEHALF OF THE  
WASHINGTON STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL  
PUBLIC COUNSEL UNIT**

---

**EXHIBIT RLE-10**

Avista's Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 273

**July 3, 2024**

**AVISTA CORP.**  
**RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

JURISDICTION:	WASHINGTON	DATE PREPARED:	05/01/2024
CASE NO.:	UE-240006 & UG-240007	WITNESS:	Clint Kalich
REQUESTER:	Public Counsel	RESPONDER:	Lori Hermanson
TYPE:	Data Request	DEPT:	Resource Planning
REQUEST NO.:	PC – 273	TELEPHONE:	(509) 495-4658
		EMAIL:	lori.hermanson@avistacorp.com

**SUBJECT:** Power Supply/Energy Recovery Mechanism (ERM)

**REQUEST:**

**Re: Avista Response to PC-128. Slide 5 of “5 minute Analysis internal analysis and modeling 20230612” (PowerPoint).**

- a. Please explain why the amount of data presented in the table differs from that detailed on slide 3. For example, slide 5 shows Powerdex data from January 2021 through March 2023, while slide 3 says the Powerdex data is from 12/1/21 to 1/31/23.
- b. Please provide the data files in Excel format used to create the table on slide 5.

**RESPONSE:**

- a) After the process described in the Company’s response to PC-DR-272, the consultant is illustrating for the overlapping time period what months had significantly less data between the two datasets.
- b) Avista relied on the consultant’s expertise for this work and therefore does not have access to the consultants’ spreadsheet used to create the table on slide 5.