EXH. CLW-5 DOCKETS UE-22 //UG-22 2022 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: CAROL L. WALLACE

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

Docket UE-22\_\_\_\_ Docket UG-22

FOURTH EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

CAROL L. WALLACE

**ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY** 

JANUARY 31, 2022



## **PSE DER Enablement – Complex Billing**

**Seeking Initiation Funding** 

### **Corporate Spending Authorization (CSA)**

**Before starting**: Contact the Capital Budget team (<u>CSA-TeamMail@pse.com)</u> for any clarification needed and review the <u>CSA Standard</u> when completing this template.

The sections provided expand / are not limited to one row. **Ensure you provide adequate information and back-up documentation to support your business case.** If a section or item is not applicable, enter N/A; if unknown, enter TBD. The gray fields are provided as prompts; do not leave these fields with instructions visible.

Date Submitted:	7/21/2021	
Officer Sponsor:	Andy Wappler	
Project Director:	Carol Wallace	
Responsible Cost Center:	4432	

### I. Project Overview

Update each section with high level information as applicable, noting any changes from the previous request/Gate.

Business Need:	Distributed Energy Resources (DERs) are small-scale generators and assets, like rooftop solar panels, batteries and electric vehicle chargers that are located on the distribution system (below the substation level). These tools can help balance energy demand and supply and/or supplement sources of energy generated and transmitted from larger, more traditional utility resources, like hydroelectric dams, that are farther away.
	<ul> <li>The components of PSE's DER strategy are:</li> <li>Achieve PSE 2030 and 2045 Carbon Commitments</li> <li>Enhance grid reliability and security</li> <li>Support PSE's 'North Star'</li> <li>Center equity in design and decision making</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>PSE's Core DER Principles include:</li> <li>Build supporting systems and tools, not just DERs</li> <li>Create grid benefit through DER deployments</li> <li>Maintain flexibility to adapt to changing technology</li> <li>Become trusted experts on DERs for our customers</li> <li>Product development is customer and equity centric</li> <li>Build cross-company teams of experts to deliver results</li> <li>Demonstrate and quantify the lifecycle value of DERs</li> </ul>
	The Prioritized DERs Include: Renewable Energy • Distributed Solar
	<ul> <li>Capacity</li> <li>Battery storage</li> <li>Demand response – including C&amp;I and fleet EVs</li> </ul>
	Demos

PSE PUGET SOUND	DENERGYExh. CLW-To Do Great ThingsPage 2 of				
	<ul><li>Load shaping</li><li>Use case stacking</li></ul>				
	To support this strategy the business needs enabling technology investments to support the following areas:				
	<ul> <li>Complex Billing</li> <li>Ability to accurate take customer meter data and bill accurately against specialized tariffs</li> </ul>				
Proposed Solution:	The enabling technology investment would involve implementing/updating the IT systems/components to support the end-to-end process for the below capabilities:				
	<ul> <li>Net Metering</li> <li>Space Leasing</li> <li>Solar/Storage Leasing</li> <li>Community Solar</li> <li>Device Incentives</li> <li>BYOD (Storage)</li> <li>Aggregator Dispatch &amp; Control</li> <li>Utility Direct Load Control</li> <li>Manual Curtailment</li> <li>Behavioral DR</li> <li>Time-of-Use Rate</li> <li>Event-based Pricing (CPP, PTR)</li> <li>Real-Time Pricing*</li> </ul>				
Project Outcome/Results:	The project will provide the PSE business with the enabling technologies to support the capabilities outlined in the Proposed Solution Section.				
OCM, Process & Training Impact:	N/A         Low Impact         Medium Impact         Significant Impact           Outline how significant changes from the project will impact people, process improvement or operational training.         Impact         Impact				
Primary ISP Alignment:	Customer ISP strategy descriptions				
Portfolio Description:	Strategic Capital Allocation Definitions				
Project Complexity:	C Straightforward and well understood Complex and well well articulated Complex and well				

## II. Key Schedule and Financial Information

Expected Start Date If Funded:	01/2023
Expected In-Service Date:	12/30/2024

## High-Level Schedule Enter Expected # of Years and Months

		Duration		
Planning	Design	Execution	Total Project	Anticipated
3 months	5 months	9 months	17 months	Closeout date



# Initial Estimated Funding % by Phase as of Click or tap to enter a date.: Enter values to include both O&M and

Capital in the cells below for percentage of funding to be used in each phase of the project.

Initiation Plan	anning	Design	Execution	Closeout

Initial Grand Total Estimate		
(contingency included and in \$000s): Contingency Standard	Capital: \$7,500,000	OMRC/Project O&M: \$ (Not including O&M Tail)

**Estimated Five Year Allocation:** Enter values in the cells below for years anticipated, up to five years, plus any expected future years. Change "Year 1, Year 2, etc. to the relevant years for this project. Ongoing O&M begins after project close-out.

Category:	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total
Capital (contingency included)	\$2,500,000	\$5,000,000				
OMRC / Project O&M	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$

### III. Ongoing Benefits

Summary Benefits (see Benefits realization plan	Outline the benefits and/or future cost avoidance anticipated with this project.
for details):	

Category:	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Total
Ongoing O&M (to be funded by business)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Ongoing O&M (requesting \$'s)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Benefits	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Net impact (= Benefits – O&M)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
* Payhack in Vears	Vears – T	otal Costs / Ar	nual Cash Be	onofite		

\* Payback in Years Years = Total Costs / Annual Cash Benefits

\* Enter positive amount or Not Applicable

### IV. Risk Management Summary

Identify high level risk categories expected for the project. Consider Project Dependency, Project Timing and Resourcing, as well as Regulatory Risk.

Summary of high level
risks sentence:



### V. Phase Gate Change Summary

Use this section for changes from: **Planning to Design**, **Design to Execution** or **Execution to Closeout** phases. To have a history of the changes at each phase gate change, **copy/paste the table below above the previous table.** 

Phase:	Choose an item
Scope:	Describe the Scope changes since last submission/Phase Gate.
Budget:	Describe the Budget changes since last submission/Phase Gate.
Schedule:	Describe the Schedule changes since last submission/Phase Gate.
Benefits:	Describe the Benefits changes since last submission/Phase Gate.

#### VI. CSA Approvals

Prepared by:

Add/remove rows as needed in the table below. Email approval is acceptable. To maintain a history of the changes at each phase gate change, **copy/paste the table below above the previous table.** Send to the Capital Budget team at <u>CSA-TeamMail@pse.com</u>. For a project in the Strategic Project Portfolio (SPP) review the <u>Escalation Criteria</u> for appropriate escalation and approvals.

For guidance on approval authority levels, follow <u>CTM-07 Invoice Payment Approval</u> <u>Exhibit I Invoice/Payment</u> <u>Approval Chart</u>

Project Phase	Select Phase			
Approved By	Title	Role	Date	Signature
		Choose an item		
		Choose an item		
		Choose an item		
		Choose an item		
		Choose an item		

\*Director Sponsor attests that all considered documentation has been approved.

Name of person completing document

Please direct any questions to either:

- 1. The Capital Budget team at <u>CSA-TeamMail@pse.com</u>, or
- 2. The Enterprise Project and Performance Project Practices team at EPP-ProjectPracticesTeam@pse.com