```
1
         BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
 2
                             COMMISSION
     WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
     TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,
 4
                                     ) DOCKET NO. TG-091769
                    Complainant,
 5
                                            Volume II
              vs.
 6
     HAROLD LEMAY ENTERPRISES, INC., )
                                       Pages 14 - 26
 7
     d/b/a JOE'S REFUSE SERVICE,
 8
                    Respondent.
 9
10
               A prehearing conference in the above matter was
11
    held on August 27, 2010, at 9:48 a.m., at 1300 South
12
    Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington, before
13
    Administrative Law Judge DENNIS J. MOSS.
14
               The parties were present as follows:
15
               WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION
     COMMISSION, by ROBERT CEDARBAUM, Assistant Attorney General,
16
     1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Post Office Box
     40128, Olympia, Washington 98504; telephone (360) 664-1188.
17
               HAROLD LEMAY ENTERPRISES, INC., by DAVID W. WILEY,
18
    Attorney at Law, Williams, Kastner, 601 Union Street, Suite
     4100, Seattle, Washington 98101; telephone (206) 233-2895.
19
               WASHINGTON REFUSE AND RECYCLING ASSOCIATION, by
20
     JAMES K. SELLS (via bridge), Attorney at Law, Ryan, Sells,
     Uptegraft, 9657 Levin Road Northwest, Suite 240, Silverdale,
21
     Washington 98383; telephone (360) 307-8860.
22
23
24
    Shaun Linse, CCR NO. 2029
25
    Court Reporter
```

1 PROCEEDINGS

- JUDGE MOSS: Good morning, everyone. This is
- 3 Dennis Moss, Administrative Law Judge, with the Washington
- 4 Utilities and Transportation Commission. We are convened
- 5 today for what was noted as a prehearing conference in two
- 6 dockets. WUTC against Harold Lemay Enterprises doing
- 7 business as Joe's Refuse Service, Docket TG-091769 and WUTC
- 8 against Harold Lemay Enterprises doing business as Rural
- 9 Garbage Service in Docket TG-091774. Let's take brief
- 10 appearances. We don't need the full information, just your
- 11 name and who you represent.
- 12 Mr. Wiley.
- MR. WILEY: Yes, Your Honor. David W. Wiley
- 14 appearing for Respondents Harold Lemay Enterprises, Inc.,
- 15 d/b/a Joe's Refuse and Rural Garbage Service.
- JUDGE MOSS: Mr. Cedarbaum.
- 17 MR. CEDARBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor. Robert
- 18 Cedarbaum, Assistant Attorney General, representing the
- 19 Commission staff.
- JUDGE MOSS: And Mr. Sells was on the phone
- 21 earlier. Are you there, Mr. Sells?
- 22 He was going to check back in with us perhaps, but
- 23 if he does we'll hear him come on line and we can take his
- 24 appearance then.
- Just for the record, Public Counsel is not

- 1 participating in this proceeding or these proceedings. I
- 2 should say they're not consolidated.
- 3 I understand from some off-the-record discussion
- 4 with Mr. Cedarbaum that the parties have reached settlements
- 5 in both cases. That's correct, Mr. Cedarbaum?
- 6 MR. CEDARBAUM: Yes, Your Honor. In both the
- 7 Joe's Refuse case and the Rural Disposable case, the staff
- 8 and the companies have reached settlement on all issues in
- 9 the case which I can briefly describe and tell you the
- 10 mechanics for how we propose to present it to the
- 11 Commission.
- 12 JUDGE MOSS: Okay. That would be good. Thank
- 13 you.
- 14 MR. CEDARBAUM: In both cases staff and the
- 15 companies have agreed to an overall revenue requirement
- 16 increase for the company, not just for the disposal increase
- 17 from the tariff under suspension but for all tariffs, all
- 18 services provided by the company for tariffs that have not
- 19 yet been suspended. We've also agreed to a rate spread or
- 20 rate design on how to treat those agreed revenue
- 21 requirements.
- 22 With respect to the Rural docket which is
- 23 TG-091774, there is a small refund with respect to the
- 24 disposal fees in our agreement, and we've agreed how to
- 25 credit those refunds back to customers. Because the

- 1 agreement involves tariffs that are not under suspension,
- 2 we've also agreed to provisions for customer notice for the
- 3 proposed settlement by the parties and an opportunity for
- 4 public comment on that settlement proposal, as well as a
- 5 request of effective dates for rates to go into effect if
- 6 the Commission adopts the settlement proposal which the
- 7 parties are obviously recommending that the Commission do.
- 8 With respect to the mechanics, our goal is to
- 9 provide the written formal settlement document and supported
- 10 documentation no later than next Friday, and we'll advise
- 11 you for some reason that needs be to delayed, but there's no
- 12 reason to anticipate a delay.
- 13 Because this is a full adjudicative proceeding
- 14 under the APA, we are going to follow the Commission's
- 15 settlement rule with respect to the types of information
- 16 that the supporting documentation will include. We have
- 17 discussed typically -- or I shouldn't say typically -- but
- 18 in other cases that's been done in a question and answer
- 19 testimony format. We've discussed, however, doing it more
- 20 in terms of a memorandum statement from staff and the
- 21 companies covering the items and the rules specifically
- 22 which logistically is easier to do.
- But I think those are the general parameters of
- 24 the agreement and the procedures that we have agreed to
- 25 follow. Of course, if you have any advice the mechanics of

- 1 the documentation that you think we should use instead,
- 2 we're happy to hear that advice.
- JUDGE MOSS: I'd say as a general proposition what
- 4 you outlined sounds fine. I need to hear a little more if I
- 5 could about how the public comment piece is going to play
- 6 into this. Are we just going to have a hearing or are we
- 7 going to solicit written comments or what are we to do in
- 8 that regard?
- 9 MR. CEDARBAUM: I think the idea was to follow the
- 10 typical procedure that the Commission would have for the
- 11 public comments in any solid waste rate case which my
- 12 understanding is, and staff will correct me if I'm wrong,
- 13 that the public is allowed to submit written comments in the
- 14 normal course of e-mail, letters, phone calls, whatever, but
- 15 they also are allowed to appear in open meetings. That's
- 16 usually the context for oral comments. So I think we would
- 17 anticipate a hearing, but it could be done on an open
- 18 meeting agenda for the convenience of the Commission and the
- 19 parties.
- JUDGE MOSS: I think we're going to do all of this
- 21 in the context of the adjudicatory proceedings. We have to
- 22 do it apart from, but we could do it in connection with an
- 23 open meeting.
- 24 MR. CEDARBAUM: That's what I mean. It would be
- 25 noticed out like any other public comment hearing in an

- 1 adjudication, but just to have the Commissioners we know
- 2 they're in the building for an open meeting, here in the
- 3 building presumably for an open meeting. The parties can be
- 4 here. It's just really a matter of convenience for
- 5 everyone, but it is a formal hearing under the APA.
- 6 JUDGE MOSS: What sort of time frame are we
- 7 looking at on that?
- 8 MR. CEDARBAUM: I think the goal is to try to
- 9 again have the settlement and the supporting documentation
- 10 filed by next Friday. The notice to customers would go out
- 11 soon thereafter, and we're looking on language to make sure
- 12 that we're working on agreeable language. It would be a
- 13 30-day notice period. So the 30 days would need to run, and
- 14 then at that point as soon as the Commission can schedule
- 15 it, but it's really the Commission's calendar. So it would
- 16 be we could advise the Commission when the notice has been
- 17 issued to customers, and it would be no sooner than 30 days
- 18 after that.
- 19 JUDGE MOSS: I think that's probably good, yes.
- 20 What I'm thinking is we're probably not going to be able to
- 21 set a date today. We better wait and see how things
- 22 develop. Well, let's just wait and see how things develop,
- 23 and you all keep me apprised of the steps you take along the
- 24 way. Of course, I'll see the filings.
- 25 MR. CEDARBAUM: I mean obviously customers will be

- 1 able to submit written comments as soon as they know about
- 2 it, but the hearing itself we would need I think 30 days
- 3 notice at least.
- 4 JUDGE MOSS: Yes, we will have to do that. We
- 5 will have to allow appropriate notice. Things could develop
- 6 in such a way that it won't be necessary to have a live
- 7 hearing. We'll see how things progress. If there's no
- 8 expression of interest from members of the public, then we
- 9 may decide it's not necessary to conduct a live hearing.
- 10 I'm simply saying that to identify it as a possibility that
- 11 might save some Commission resources in terms of the expense
- 12 of conducting a hearing.
- MR. CEDARBAUM: And the same would go for
- 14 witnesses to testify in support of the settlement itself.
- 15 That is entirely within the Commission's discretion.
- 16 Witnesses will be made available if the Commission wishes.
- 17 JUDGE MOSS: Right. This is the type of thing
- 18 that often can be resolved on the basis of a paper record,
- 19 and so I'm more concerned about the public comment component
- 20 of it in terms of the hearing than I am in terms of the
- 21 evidentiary support that you would provide for the
- 22 settlement. I think your idea of providing a memorandum in
- 23 form of a narrative, for example, is just fine. So that's
- 24 the piece that's sort of an uncertainty in my mind, but
- 25 we'll just see how things develop on that front and take it

- one step at a time. At the appropriate point in time we'll
- 2 be in a position to draft an appropriate order.
- 3 Okay. Anything else?
- 4 Mr. Wiley, did you have something?
- 5 MR. WILEY: A couple things, Your Honor. We also
- 6 would intend to request a waiver of the initial order go to
- 7 final order. Obviously under these circumstances I hope
- 8 that one wouldn't be viewed as unorthodox. We also I want
- 9 to kind of just briefly on the open meeting/public hearing
- 10 piece, I would think in having been through this in the
- 11 solid waste rate area there is not the typical kind of
- 12 quorum of public commenters. I understand that we may want
- 13 to provide for that here.
- 14 I would think that we could have that comment
- 15 piece as Mr. Cedarbaum suggests recessing the open meeting
- 16 as an item issue under utility matters, for instance, And I
- 17 just the looked at my calendar. If we have an open meeting
- 18 September 30, which would be the fifth Thursday in the
- 19 month -- I don't know what the rule is -- is the second or
- 20 the fourth or does it go to the fifth when there's a fifth
- 21 Thursday in the month? I can't remember. If September 30
- 22 is available, that might be ideal. While that might not be
- 23 quite at the end of the 30-day period, certainly the
- 24 Commission would leave the record open for the three or
- 25 four days after to take any comments like they do

- 1 conventionally for the open meeting solid waste item.
- 2 So I just toss that out. I agree with you, Your
- 3 Honor, that we can't resolve that today, but that would be
- 4 beneficial from the companies' standpoint.
- 5 JUDGE MOSS: Any comment on that, Mr. Cedarbaum?
- 6 MR. CEDARBAUM: Only to say that I suggested the
- 7 hearing happening during the block of time that an open
- 8 meeting is occurring. It doesn't have to be that way.
- 9 We're not locked into an open meeting so the Commission can
- 10 set the hearing whenever it chooses to set the hearing, if
- 11 it chooses to set a hearing.
- 12 MR. WILEY: And that's a fair point. I was
- 13 thinking of efficiency, but we're certainly flexible either
- 14 way, Your Honor.
- 15 JUDGE MOSS: Let's just be sure that we provide
- 16 the public an adequate opportunity if we do. I grant it, we
- 17 don't see the kind of public input in solid waste cases that
- 18 we typically do, for example, in a water case, but this
- 19 might be the first time we do. So let's be sure we provide
- 20 the public an adequate opportunity to have that.
- 21 MR. CEDARBAUM: Mr. Eckhardt just reminded me of a
- 22 point.
- JUDGE MOSS: Yes.
- 24 MR. CEDARBAUM: That the notice should, the notice
- 25 to customers should tell them when a public hearing is to

- 1 occur; otherwise, we would have to re-notice the customers
- 2 again. So perhaps we really need to work out a date for
- 3 that to happen today so that that can be included in the
- 4 notice.
- 5 JUDGE MOSS: All right. Well, you were saying
- 6 30 days, Mr. Cedarbaum. Other than the fact that that would
- 7 be the minimum period I suppose for the rates to go into
- 8 effect is there any reason 30 days is a magic number?
- 9 MR. CEDARBAUM: Just to give customers sufficient
- 10 time.
- 11 JUDGE MOSS: Yes, okay. So I think the statute
- 12 and rules would allow for 20 days notice of a hearing.
- MR. CEDARBAUM: Correct.
- 14 JUDGE MOSS: So if you all get everything filed by
- 15 the end of next week, that would be the 3rd of September.
- 16 That's more than 20 days notice of doing something in
- 17 conjunction with an open meeting on the 30th. I think that
- 18 it would be probably sufficient if we -- Mr. Sells, is that
- 19 you?
- MR. SELLS: Yes, this is me.
- JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Fine. We'll just note your
- 22 appearance on behalf of the WRRA, and we're coming to a
- 23 closure here on our discussion concerning the settlement
- 24 agreement the parties have reached, and I can let them brief
- 25 you off the record later if you wish.

- 1 MR. SELLS. That would be great.
- 2 JUDGE MOSS: But we're working out some details in
- 3 terms of scheduling public comment opportunity in connection
- 4 with the settlement since it involves some not previously
- 5 suspended tariff changes.
- 6 I was just going to say that it seems to me that
- 7 if you all do get everything filed and have your notice
- 8 ready to go by the end of next week as you hope to do
- 9 apparently, we could set the 30th open meeting date as a
- 10 date for a public comment hearing which I don't know if the
- 11 Commissioners will want to be present for that or not. I
- 12 have no idea. They probably will just have me do that which
- 13 again gets us to the idea we don't have to --
- 14 MR. CEDARBAUM: I think that works for staff.
- 15 JUDGE MOSS: Okay. Why don't we just plan it that
- 16 way. I'll mention this to the Commissioners today, and if
- 17 there's no hue and cry over this unusual process which it
- 18 is, then we'll just proceed along those lines. If there is
- 19 some concern on the part of the Commissioners, I will let
- 20 you know right away and we may have to do something
- 21 different. But it sounds workable to me so we'll see.
- Okay. Anything else we need to talk about?
- Waiver of initial order, Mr. Wiley. Over the
- 24 years we've done that various ways. The most recent in my
- 25 experience was under a previous chairman who preferred that

23

24

25

1 we simply have a waiver of the review and then let the order become effective immediately, and that would come in the 2 3 form of letters from the parties saying we waive review, and 4 then, of course, the Commission waives its own opportunity 5 for review, and so in terms of time it's effectively the 6 same. 7 Whether the current Commission under its new 8 chairman, under its present chairman would want to revert to 9 the more traditional method of just simply preparing the 10 order as a final order, I don't know. But either way we'll 11 facilitate the finality of the matter. 12 Okay. Anything else? 13 All right. Thank you all very much. I appreciate your efforts, the Commission appreciates your efforts in 14 15 terms of arriving at a settlement of these matters and look 16 forward to seeing your paperwork in the near term. 17 MR. CEDARBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 (Prehearing conference adjourned at 10:04 a.m.) 19 20 21 22

1	In re: WUTC vs. Joe's Refuse Service
2	Docket No. TG-091769
3	
4	
5	
6	AFFIDAVIT
7	
8	I, Shaun Linse, CCR, do hereby certify that the
9	foregoing transcript prepared under my direction is a
10	full and complete transcript of proceedings held on
11	August 27, 2010, in Olympia, Washington.
12	
13	
14	Shaun Linse, CCR 2029
15	Bliddir Bride, Celt 2029
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	