

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. UE-09 _____

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

CLINT G. KALICH

REPRESENTING AVISTA CORPORATION

I. INTRODUCTION

1
2 **Q. Please state your name, the name of your employer, and your business**
3 **address.**

4 A. My name is Clint Kalich. I am employed by Avista Corporation at 1411 East
5 Mission Avenue, Spokane, Washington.

6 **Q. In what capacity are you employed?**

7 A. I am the Manager of Resource Planning & Power Supply Analyses, in the Energy
8 Resources Department of Avista Utilities.

9 **Q. Please state your educational background and professional experience.**

10 A. I graduated from Central Washington University in 1991 with a Bachelor of
11 Science Degree in Business Economics. Shortly after graduation, I accepted an analyst position
12 with Economic and Engineering Services, Inc. (now EES Consulting, Inc.), a Northwest
13 management-consulting firm located in Bellevue, Washington. While employed by EES, I
14 worked primarily for municipalities, public utility districts, and cooperatives in the area of
15 electric utility management. My specific areas of focus were economic analyses of new resource
16 development, rate case proceedings involving the Bonneville Power Administration, integrated
17 (least-cost) resource planning, and demand-side management program development.

18 In late 1995, I left Economic and Engineering Services, Inc. to join Tacoma Power in
19 Tacoma, Washington. I provided key analytical and policy support in the areas of resource
20 development, procurement, and optimization, hydroelectric operations and re-licensing,
21 unbundled power supply rate-making, contract negotiations, and system operations. I helped

1 develop, and ultimately managed, Tacoma Power's industrial market access program serving
2 one-quarter of the company's retail load.

3 In mid-2000 I joined Avista Utilities and accepted my current position assisting the
4 Company in resource analysis, dispatch modeling, resource procurement, integrated resource
5 planning, and rate case proceedings. Much of my career has involved resource dispatch
6 modeling of the nature described in this testimony.

7 **Q. What is the scope of your testimony in this proceeding?**

8 A. My testimony will describe the Company's use of the AURORA_{XMP} dispatch
9 model, or "Dispatch Model." I will explain the key assumptions driving the Dispatch Model's
10 market forecast of electricity prices. The discussion includes the variables of natural gas,
11 Western Interconnect loads and resources, and hydroelectric conditions. I will describe how the
12 model dispatches our resources and contracts in a manner that maximizes benefits to customers
13 and tracks their values for use in pro forma calculations. Finally, I will present the modeling
14 results provided to Company witness Mr. Johnson for his power supply pro forma adjustment
15 calculations.

16 **Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits in this proceeding?**

17 A. Yes. I am sponsoring two exhibits marked Exhibit No. ____ (CGK-2) and Exhibit
18 No. ____ (CGK-3). Exhibit No. ____ (CGK-2) provides a forecast of Company load and resource
19 positions from 2009 through 2019. Exhibit No. ____ (CGK-3) provides summary output from the
20 Dispatch Model. All information contained in the exhibits was prepared under my direction.

21

II. THE DISPATCH MODEL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Q. What model is the Company using to dispatch its portfolio of resources and obligations?

A. The Company uses EPIS, Inc.'s Dispatch Model for determining power supply costs. The model optimizes dispatch of Company-owned resources and contracts in each hour of the pro forma year. The pro forma period is January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010. It reflects true system operations by evaluating future resource decisions on an hourly basis.

Q. What AURORA version and database is the Company using for this case?

A. The Company is using AURORA_{XMP} version 9.3.1004, and the latest available database for it (North_American_DB_2008-03).

Q. Please briefly describe the Dispatch Model.

A. The Dispatch Model was developed by EPIS, Inc. of Sandpoint, Idaho. It is a fundamentals-based tool containing demand and resource data for the entire Western Interconnect. It employs multi-area, transmission-constrained dispatch logic to simulate real market conditions. Its true economic dispatch captures the dynamics and economics of electricity markets—both short-term (hourly, daily, monthly) and long-term. On an hourly basis the Dispatch Model develops an available resource stack, sorting resources from lowest to highest cost. It then compares this resource stack with load obligations in the same hour to arrive at the least-cost market-clearing price for the hour. Once resources are dispatched and market prices are determined, the Dispatch Model singles out Avista resources and loads and values them against the marketplace.

1 **Q. What experience does the Company have using AURORA_{XMP}?**

2 A. The Company purchased a license to use the Dispatch Model in April 2002.
3 AURORA_{XMP} has been used for numerous studies, including the Company's 2003, 2005, 2007,
4 2009 Integrated Resource Plans ("IRPs"), and our 2005, 2007, and 2008 rate filings in the State
5 of Washington. The tool is also used for various resource evaluations, market forecasting, and
6 requests for proposals.

7 **Q. Who else uses AURORA_{XMP}?**

8 A. AURORA_{XMP} is used all across North America. In the Northwest specifically,
9 AURORA_{XMP} is used by the Bonneville Power Administration, the Northwest Power and
10 Conservation Council, Puget Sound Energy, Idaho Power, Portland General Electric, Seattle City
11 Light, Grant County PUD, Snohomish County PUD, and Tacoma Power, among others.

12 **Q. What benefits does the Dispatch Model offer for this type of analysis?**

13 A. The Dispatch Model generates hourly electricity prices across the Western
14 Interconnect, accounting for its specific mix of resources and loads. The Dispatch Model reflects
15 the impact of regions outside the Northwest on Northwest market prices, limited by known
16 transfer (transmission) capabilities. Ultimately, the Dispatch Model allows the Company to
17 generate price forecasts in-house instead of relying on exogenous forecasts.

18 The Company owns a number of resources, including hydroelectric plants and natural
19 gas-fired peaking units, which serve customer loads during more valuable on-peak hours. By
20 optimizing resource operation on an hourly basis, the Dispatch Model is able to appropriately
21 value the capabilities of these assets. For example, actual 2008 on-peak prices through mid-
22 December were 23% higher than off-peak prices. In 2007 the difference was 25%. Forward

1 prices for 2010 were 28% at the time this case was prepared. For comparison, Dispatch Model
2 on-peak prices for the pro forma period average 28% higher than off-peak prices. In summary,
3 the Dispatch Model appropriately values the energy from Avista's resources during on-peak
4 periods in a manner similar to that recently experienced in the Northwest region.

5 **Q. On a broader scale, what calculations are being performed by the Dispatch**
6 **Model?**

7 A. The Dispatch Model's goal is to minimize overall system operating costs across
8 the Western Interconnect, including Avista's portfolio of loads and resources. The dispatch
9 model generates a wholesale electric market price forecast by evaluating all Western Interconnect
10 resources simultaneously in a least-cost equation to meet regional loads. As the Dispatch Model
11 progresses from hour to hour, it "operates" those least-cost resources necessary to meet load.
12 With respect to the Company's portfolio, the Dispatch Model tracks the hourly output and fuel
13 costs associated with portfolio generation. It also calculates hourly energy quantities and values
14 for the Company's contractual rights and obligations. In every hour the Company's loads and
15 obligations are compared to available resources to determine a net position. This net position is
16 balanced using the simulated wholesale electricity market. The cost of energy purchased from or
17 sold into the market is determined based on the electric market-clearing price for the specified
18 hour and the amount of energy necessary to balance loads and resources.

19 **Q. How does the Dispatch Model determine electric market prices, and how are**
20 **prices used to calculate market purchases and sales?**

21 A. The Dispatch Model calculates electricity prices for the entire Western
22 Interconnect, separated into various geographical areas such as the Northwest and Northern and

1 Southern California. The load in each area is compared to available resources, including
2 resources available from other areas that are linked by transmission corridors, to determine the
3 electricity price in each hour. Ultimately, the market price for an hour is set based on the last
4 resource in the stack to be dispatched. This resource is referred to as the “marginal resource.”
5 Given the prominence of natural gas-fired resources on the margin, this fuel is a key variable in
6 the determination of wholesale electricity prices.

7 **Q. How does the Dispatch Model operate regional hydroelectric projects?**

8 A. The model begins by “peak shaving” loads using system hydro resources. When
9 peak shaving, the Dispatch Model determines which hours contain the highest loads and allocates
10 to them as much hydroelectric energy as possible. Remaining loads are then met with other
11 available resources.

12 **Q. Has the Company made any modifications to the database for this case?**

13 A. Yes. Avista’s portfolio of resources is modified to reflect actual operating
14 characteristics, natural gas prices are modified to match projected forward prices over the pro-
15 forma period, regional resources are modified where better information is known, and Northwest
16 hydro data is replaced with Northwest Power Pool data.

17 **Q. Please describe your update to pro forma period natural gas prices.**

18 A. Natural gas prices for this filing are based on a 3-month average from September
19 1, 2008 to November 30, 2008 of calendar-year 2010 monthly forward prices.

20 Natural gas prices used in the Dispatch Model are presented below in Table No 1.

1 **Table No. 1 – Pro Forma Natural Gas Prices**

Basin	Price (\$/dth)	Basin	Price (\$/dth)
AECO	7.48	Stanfield	7.83
Malin	7.91	Sumas	8.02
Spokane	8.19	Henry Hub	8.22
Rockies	5.68	Topock	7.83

2

3 **Q. What hydro record is the Company using in this filing?**4 A. The Company bases this case on the 50-year hydrological record beginning in
5 1929. Data are sourced from the Northwest Power Pool’s (NWPP) 2006-07 Headwater Benefits
6 Study. This study is the latest available.7 **Q. What is the Company’s assumption for rate period loads?**8 A. Rate period loads (January 2010 through December 2010) used in this case are
9 taken from the Company’s load forecast completed in July 2008. As this load is generated using
10 “normal weather,” it eliminates the need for a weather-normalization adjustment. The
11 Company’s latest energy and capacity loads and resources tabulations (L&Rs) are attached in
12 Exhibit No. ____ (CGK-2). As the L&Rs show, system loads are expected to equal 1,148 aMW.13 **Q. How does the Dispatch Model Operate Company-controlled hydroelectric
14 generation resources?**15 A. The Dispatch Model treats all hydroelectric generation plants within a load area as
16 a single large plant. The Company’s hydroelectric plants are on average, however, more flexible
17 than the average plant used in each load area. To account for this additional flexibility, the
18 Company algebraically extracts its plants from the region and develops individual hydro
19 operations logic for them. Company-controlled hydroelectric resources are separated into three

1 river systems: the Spokane River, the Clark Fork River, and individually separate the Mid-
 2 Columbia projects. This separation ensures that the flexibility inherent in these resources is
 3 credited to customers in the pro forma exercise.

4 **Q. Please compare the operating statistics from the Dispatch Model to recent**
 5 **historical hydroelectric plant operations.**

6 A. Over the pro forma period the Dispatch Model generates 70% of Clark Fork hydro
 7 generation during on-peak hours (based on average water). Since on-peak hours represent only
 8 57% of the year, this demonstrates a substantial shift of hydro resources to the more expensive
 9 on-peak hours. This is identical to the 5-year average of on-peak hydroelectric generation at the
 10 Clark Fork through 2008. Similar performance is achieved for the Spokane and Mid-Columbia
 11 projects.

12 **Q. Please provide a summary of the monthly and average Northwest Forward**
 13 **natural gas and electricity prices?**

14 A. Table No. 2 presents modeled natural gas and electricity prices.

15 **Table No. 2 – Dispatch Model Prices Summary**

Month	CSII & Rathdrum Gas (\$/dth)	NE/BP/ KFCT Gas (\$/dth)	Flat 7x24 Mid-C (\$/MWh)	Month	CSII & Rathdrum Gas (\$/dth)	NE/BP/ KFCT Gas (\$/dth)	Flat 7x24 Mid-C (\$/MWh)
Jan-10	8.378	8.763	67.51	Jul-10	7.541	7.892	60.27
Feb-10	8.356	8.74	62.47	Aug-10	7.619	7.973	68.11
Mar-10	8.121	8.496	57.69	Sep-10	7.647	8.003	64.96
Apr-10	7.408	7.755	49.74	Oct-10	7.727	8.086	64.34
May-10	7.358	7.703	39.36	Nov-10	8.044	8.416	68.42
Jun-10	7.441	7.789	34.74	Dec-10	8.36	8.744	74.47
				Average	7.83	8.190	59.37

16

1 **Q. Are Mid-Columbia electric prices from the Dispatch model the same as the**
2 **Forward Market?**

3 A. No, Mid-Columbia electric prices from the Dispatch Model differ from the
4 forward market for a variety of reasons. The forward market prices are not only an expectation of
5 future prices, but they contain an adjustment for risk or unknown future conditions, based on the
6 premise you can “lock in” prices. The Dispatch Model is a spot market model that forecasts
7 prices for a specific time in the future given load, hydro, and fuel price conditions. Average
8 annual Mid-Columbia prices in the forward market are \$66.37/MWh on-peak and \$51.46/MWh
9 off-peak (based on average forwards between 9/1/2008 and 11/30/2008). The average Mid-
10 Columbia price from the Dispatch Model is \$65.63/MWh on-peak and \$51.01/MWh off-peak.

11 **Q. You stated earlier in your testimony that you are using the NWPP hydro**
12 **study as the basis for your hydro dataset. Does the NWPP study include the recently**
13 **completed hydroelectric upgrades, or those that will be completed soon?**

14 A. No, the NWPP study does not include the Cabinet Unit 4 or the Noxon Rapids 1
15 and 3 upgrades. The data will be included in future submittals to the NWPP.

16 **Q. How have you accounted for the upgrades in the pro forma?**

17 A. The Cabinet Unit 4 upgrade is expected to generate an additional 1.98 aMW in an
18 average water year; Noxon Rapids Units 1 and 3 are expected to generate 3.3 average megawatts
19 of additional energy in an average water year. To account for this energy in the pro forma, the
20 unit sizes are increased to reflect the corrected amount of energy. The Dispatch Model then
21 generates at the upgraded energy and capacity levels when the units are dispatched.

1

IV. RESULTS

2

Q. Please summarize the results from the Dispatch Model that are used for ratemaking.

3

4

A. The Dispatch Model tracks the Company's portfolio during each hour of the pro forma study. Fuel costs and generation for each resource are summarized by month. Total market sales and purchases, and their revenues and costs, are also determined and summarized by month. These values are contained in Exhibit No. ____ (CGK-3) and were provided to Mr. Johnson for use in his calculations. Mr. Johnson adds resource and contract revenues and expenses not accounted for in the Dispatch Model (e.g., fixed costs) to determine net power supply expense.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed direct testimony?

12

A. Yes, it does.