

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2012) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission			
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 15-11-092			
Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1).	; or Supplemental Notice to WSR Continuance of WSR 15-22-105		
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject)			
This rulemaking proposes amending rules in WAC 480-62, Railroad Companies – Operations. Docket TR-151079			
Hearing location(s):	Submit written comments to: Name:		
Commission's Hearing Room 206 Second Floor, Richard Hemstad Building 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W. Olympia, WA 98504-7250	Address: e-mail		
Date: January 7, 2016 Time: 2:00 p.m.	fax () by (date)		
2.00 p.m.	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact		
Date of intended adoption: January 7, 2016 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)	TTY() or()		
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:			
The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) regulates public safety issues of railroads operating with Washington. Engrossed Substitute House Bill 1449, Chapter 274, Laws of 2015, adopts financial responsibility reporting requirements that railroads hauling crude oil must include in the annual reports they submit to the Commission. This proposal updates railroad annual reporting requirements on financial responsibility and safety standards for private crossings and provides opportunities for first-class cities to opt-in the commission crossing safety program.			
The purpose of the continuance of WSR # 15-22-105 is to provide notice of a change in the date of the hearing to receive additional public comment regarding the adoption of the rule proposal in this docket. The date of the hearing has been changed from Wednesday, January 6, 2016, at 1:30 p.m. to Thursday, January 7, 2016, at 2:00 p.m.			
Reasons supporting proposal: See above.			
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 80.01.040, RCW 81.04.160, RCW 81.24.010, RCW 81.44, RCW 81.53.010, and RCW 81.53.240	Statute being implemented: N/A		
Is rule necessary because of a:	CODE REVISER USE ONLY		
Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Yes No Yes No Yes No	OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED		
	DATE: December 28, 2015		
DATE December 28, 2015	TIME: 2:05 PM		
NAME (type or print) Steven V. King	WSR 16-02-020		
SIGNATURE			
Mi V to			
TITLE Executive Director and Secretary			

Agency comments or recommenda matters:	ations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enfo	rcement, and fiscal
None		
Name of proponent: (person or orga	nnization) Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission	☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental
Name of agency personnel respons	sible for:	
Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting Jason Lewis	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia WA 98504	(360) 664-1206
Implementation Steven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia WA 98504	(360) 664-1115
EnforcementSteven V. King	1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia WA 98504	(360) 664-1115
	pact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW or ared under section 1, chapter 210, Laws of 2012?	has a school district
Yes. Attach copy of small busi	ness economic impact statement or school district fiscal impact	statement.
A copy of the statement m	nay be obtained by contacting:	
Name:		
Address:		
phone ()		
fax ()		
e-mail		
No. Explain why no statement	was prepared.	
	npose more than minor costs. Because there will not be more than min	or increases in costs
resulting from the proposed rule changes, a SBEIS is not required under RCW 19.85.030(1).		
Is a cost-benefit analysis required	under RCW 34.05.328?	
☐ Yes A preliminary cost-bene	fit analysis may be obtained by contacting:	
Name: Address:		
Address.		
phone () fax ()		
fax () <u> </u>		
No: Please explain:		
The Commission is not an agency to white referenced in RCW 34.05.328(5).	ch RCW 34.05.328 applies. The proposed rules are not significant legi	slative rules of the sort