Exhibit No. ____T (AW-1T)
Docket UW-110220
Witness: Amy White
Revised July 28, 2011

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

DOCKET UW-110220

Complainant,

v.

SUMMIT VIEW WATER WORKS,

Respondents.

TESTIMONY OF

Amy White

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

> July 22, 2011 Revised July 28, 2011

Purchase and Sale agreement transferring some of the plant assets from these
affiliates to Summit View. Notes payable from Summit View to the affiliates were
prepared for Summit View to sign, but the notes have not been executed so no
property has yet changed hands. Staff reviewed depreciation schedules listing assets
that had been prepared by the Company and its consultants and found that many of
the assets for which the Company was seeking a return had either not been included
in the Purchase and Sale agreement and thus still belong to either CMLLC or TCDC;
were listed on the depreciation schedules twice in error; had already been paid for
outright by Summit View and thus should not be included in the Purchase and Sale
agreement to begin with; or could not be traced to invoices submitted by Summit
View. Exhibit No (AW-4) summarizes the Company's errors or lack of
documentation regarding its plant assets.

A.

Q. Why is this issue a significant?

This is significant because Summit View's case seeks to place \$1,577,785 in plant assets onto its balance sheet while Staff has only been able to substantiate \$328,083 \$328,103 in assets. Staff allocated \$190,021 of those assets to the irrigation operations of the Company and the remaining \$138,062 was allocated to the domestic water operations. In its affiliated interest filing in Docket UW-101903, the Company informed the Commission that these assets are to be financed 100 percent through debt owed to affiliates CMLLC and TCDC.

Q.	Did you prepare a corrected balance sheet for the Company?
----	--

A.	Yes. Exhibit No(AW-5) is an adjusted balance sheet prepared by Staff. It
	begins with the balance sheet filed in the Company's Annual Report for 2009. Staff
•	notes that the balance sheet filed by the Company was \$37,073 out of balance. Staff
	then added amounts to bring the Company's assets up to the \$328,083 <u>\$328,103</u> total
	asset amount substantiated by Staff. Staff has also adjusted the accumulated
	depreciation to the amount calculated using NARUC depreciation lives. Liabilities
	were adjusted to reflect the actual Contributions-in-Aid-of-Construction (less
	accumulated amortization) balance. Long-term debt was adjusted to reflect the entire
	amount of plant assets adjusted onto the balance sheet since the Company intends to
-	finance the transfer of those assets from its affiliates using 100 percent debt. Finally,
	an adjustment of \$120,493 was made to Retained Earnings to force the balance sheet
	to balance. This aggregated the net effect of all the adjustments and the amount that
	the Company's original balance sheet had been out of balance. The result of these
	adjustments to the Company's balance sheet showed that the Company had negative
	equity capital of \$89,792 and total liabilities of \$389,543, for a net liabilities plus
	owners' equity total of \$299,751 compared to an asset base of \$299,751.

- Q. You stated earlier that Staff encountered issues regarding the Company's record-keeping for employee time. Do you have any recommendation on this issue?
- 22 A. Yes. Staff recommends that the Commission order the Company to establish a time 23 system that produces accurate records of employee time related to either the 24 domestic or irrigation operation in order that accurate allocation of costs to each