Mobile: 509-386-6870 willisgoodwin@hotmail.com

On 8/11/10 Mr wrote:

Good Morning Dennis,

I just received a message back stating that Mr. Danner is on vacation until Aug. 16th. Would it be possible for you to move this request up to the commissioners prior to the return of Mr. Danner? Either way, when would you estimate we could expect a decision on our request?

Thank You,

Willis Goodwin

509-386-6870

willisgoodwin@hotmail.com

On 8/11/10 Dennis Shutler responded:

Good morning Mr Willis,

The judge is aware and working to accommodate your request.

I will notify you once an earlier date is determined.

On 8/20/10 Mr wrote:

Hi Dennis,

I have a few questions.

1. Letter to Mr. Richard Finnigan from Jim Ward, Dated May 5, 2010. No. 1 - Please provide copies of all water co. board meeting minutes.

Response dated May 11, 2010 - This is to let you know that for Data Request 4, Item 1, the Minutes of the Board Meetings of Deer

Meadows are available for inspection in my office. Dennis, You asked me to provide this information to you when I sent this question.

What is the procedure for us to obtain this information?

- 2. Is there a minimum number of people required to be identified as contacts for emergencies by a water company. If so, how many are required?
- 3. Is radom drug testing for water company employees required or suggested in Washington State?
- 4. Do you have any idea when we might find out the answer to our request to set an earlier date for the hearing in our area? A number of people would like to try and adjust their schedule to attend.

On 8/23/10, Dennis Shutler responded as follows:

Hi Dennis,

I have a few questions.

1. Letter to Mr. Richard Finnigan from Jim Ward, Dated May 5, 2010. No. 1 - Please provide copies of all water co. board meeting minutes.

Response dated May 11, 2010 - This is to let you know that for Data Request 4, Item 1, the Minutes of the Board Meetings of Deer Meadows are available for inspection in my office. Dennis, You asked me to provide this information to you when I sent this question.

What is the procedure for us to obtain this information?

#The analyst is unable to release or post documents submitted by the company marked

as confidential. You may submit a Public Records Request to see if the documents may be obtained. http://www.wutc.wa.gov/publicrecordrequest

2. Is there a minimum number of people required to be identified as contacts for emergencies by a water company. If so, how many are required?

#This is not mandated by commission rules. Though the commission's response rule in http://apps.leg.wa.gov/wac/default.aspx?cite=480-110-315 has response requirements the company must meet.

3. Is radom drug testing for water company employees required or suggested in Washington State?

#This is not mandated by commission rules. You may want to contact the Department of Labor & Industries for assistance.

4. Do you have any idea when we might find out the answer to our request to set an earlier date for the hearing in our area? A number of people would like to try and adjust their schedule to attend.

#The judge is aware of your request and it is up to her to determine when she will respond to your request.

Thank You,

On 10/15/10, Mr wrote:

October 15, 2010

To: Washington State Utilities and Transportation Commission

From: Willis Goodwin 42665 Eagle Nest Rd. Deer Meadows, WA 99122

Phone: 509 386-6870

Email: willisgoodwin@hotmail.com

Reference: Deer Meadows Water Co., Inc. - Docket UW-100642

As an opening statement, I would like to say that many Deer Meadows Water Co., (DMWC), customers feel strongly that under the current circumstances and economic environment, an increase of any amount is not warranted.

Why should the captive DMWC customers have to pay for the inability of Mr. Chuck Spencer, President, to manage the DMWC in a fiduciary manner? There are many obvious areas in which changes could be made to run a more efficient operation and significantly cut expenses to make up for any shortfall in question. During these tough times it is not fair for the family stockholders, officers and employees to run the business as usual, and even expect an increase in personal income, and expect us to pay for it. The family, who had DMWC given to them, is using this corporation as a cash cow with no regard for the customers.

Our hope is that the UTC would represent all the residents of the State of Washington and see clearly that we are being used by the DMWC, and take action against them by not granting any water rate increase at this time.

The UTC has already contributed to a major property devaluation for all of us by granting the rate increase imposed on the golf course in 2009 that led to it's closing. If the proposed settlement rate is implemented, our property values will drop even further.

We were disappointed that the UTC suspended the hearing that was tentatively set for Oct. 14 at the fire station in our area. UTC personnel would have been able to hear our comments and see first hand what we are talking about.

I was told by Mr. John Cupp of UTC, that the average monthly increase for a lot owner would be 11.2% and would amount to \$3.73 per month. My actual average monthly increase is 74.6% and would be \$34.90 per month. My heavy water months would amount to a 157% increase. Obviously you can tell how the analysis was done. This is simply not fair for a few lot owners to carry the burden for a justified increases in the future. I believe that the majority of owners are conscience of water conservation and use only the amount necessary to maintain green belt areas and to keep trees alive. We live in a 9 rated fire insurance area. I was told that this is the highest rating possible. On July 5, 2009, a Residential Wildfire Hazard Assessment was conducted on our property. We had a rating of Moderate Hazard. This indicates that we are trying to minimize the fire hazard, with water conservation in mind.

Suggested changes that could be enacted by the DMWC

Reduce the number of people receiving money for salary or some type of employment. They have actually added three additional persons to their payroll, and are now writing checks to 9 people. After a review of similar water companies, it is clear that this company could operate very efficiently with three people, the president, one part/full time employee and one part time bookkeeper.

DMWC should terminate workers that are performing a small amount of work and are being paid a significant income.

Freeze salaries to employees and officers. Freeze Pensions/Benefits. Both areas could be reviewed annually. DMWC submissions indicate that the company pays total healthcare coverage for the president and his spouse. This is unheard of in the corporate standards across the U.S.

Build credibility and professionalism with the DMWC customers and the community. Recent example: Employees reading meters on one date and another date is put on the invoice. President's comment: "This was a simple mistake and it will be corrected." These so called mistakes continually happen, and it has been going on for a long time. The UTC has seen the same pattern in some of the responses from the DMWC. This is simply another example of the inability of the president to run the company in a fiduciary manner.

Make sure all water meters are correctly read each month and not estimated, or not read at all. As of Sept. 24, 2010, President Spencer did not even know where all of the water meters were located.

In these difficult times, the stockholders/officers of the corporation should not expect an increase in income. I don't know of a corporation in America that continues to pay the stockholders at the same level if the company is going through difficult times. Many of us in this community rely on social security and pensions for income. We sure haven't received an increase during these times.

Chuck Spencer, President

In 2009 the DMWC was not in compliance with L&I over a worker that they had not reported. This was common knowledge in the community. On May 6, 2010, I went to the DMWC to leave a question for Mr. Spencer. He was there and yelled at me saying that I was the person that had turned him in to L&I. I told him that I was not the person that had turned him in, and if I was, I would have told him to his face. He still insisted that I did it. This was witnessed by the bookkeeper, Nadine Karabach.

Mr. Spencer's attitude toward his customers and the community in general also shows in some of his people. Example: Vanessa Hollis,

Secretary/Bookkeeper/Officer/Stockholder, and Rich Rounds, employee, who

approached my neighbor at the Two Rivers Marina on May 10, 2010. Mr. Rounds made the comment that it is sure fun spending the DMWC money on new things and that they intend to spend a lot more of the DMWC money.

DMWC Submissions in question

Many documents submitted to the UTC are not accurate. A few of the examples follow:

1. Submitted: Property tax – Assessed Increase \$19,869. Actual increase \$8,775.78.

2. Submitted: Transportation Expenses – 15,000 miles \times .50 = \$7,500. Maximum estimate would be 6,000 miles \times .50 = \$3,000.

See mileage calculation sheet submitted by DMWC: To reach Spokane you must drive through Davenport. These trips are not all independent trips. Checking bank deposits dates will verify how often they really go to the bank. On occasion Nadine Karabach is asked to drive to the bank. The DMWC pays her for one hour and no mileage. This calculation sheet is completely bogus.

Summary: Over the last 12 months, there have been meters not read, errors in readings, and invoices with meter reading dates which are different from the actual dates the meters were read. With many documents not being accurate, there is no way that the UTC can make a true analysis on the DMWC. We suggest that the proposed rate increase be suspended or denied until a complete investigation of the DMWC activities are conducted. We would like to request that a hearing be held in our area so that you can hear and see first hand how serious this matter is to the DMWC customers and to the surrounding community as a whole.

If an increase is warranted in the future, the base charge should remain the same or increase slightly, not a decrease. This would give the DMWC a fixed income figure that they could depend on each month. The proposed Settlement rate is absolutely not fair to the land owners who are trying to keep a greenbelt around their homes for fire protection and landscaping/grass for aesthetic and property value reasons.

If you would like further details on any of my comments, please contact me.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Willis Goodwin

42665 Eagle Nest Rd.

Deer Meadows, WA 99211

509-386-6870

willisgoodwin@hotmail.com

Attachments

Issue Informati	on	
Issue ID	422	
Company	Deer Meadows Water Co., Inc.	
Filing	100642	
Staff	Jim Ward	

Complaint Information			
Unresolved Complaint ○ Yes ● N	lo Comp	laint ID	

Follow-Up Information	Other Follow-Up Information
FOUNDALIN INFORMATION	I I IFNOT HALLAM I IN INTATMATIAN
TOMON CD IMOMINATION	CHICH TOHOW-CO MINULINATION
\$364 565 575 575 575 575 575 575 575 575 575	Barriero de Companya de Carriero de Carrie
Follow-Up ○ Yes ○ No	
######################################	GEOGREGORIE AND ESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTR
ESS-SSESSON DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	ENERGE SERVICE
\$ CONTROL OF THE CONT	Other Follow-Up O Yes O No

	Follow-Up Staff	Other Follow-Up Staff
1.1 1	Follow-Up Staff Follow-Up Complete Yes O No	
	Note that the second se	
	Activites For Willis Goodwin	
		E de Company de la company
3		
		View: (vwComtActyEmbed)
7.7		
1.1		

COMMENT FORM FOR: BRUCE A. WAGAR - ID# 24634

Consumer Info	rmatior			
			Contact Method	○ Email ● Mail ○ None
Name	BRUCE	E A. WAGAR	AND TAKEN	
Organization Company	77 HERMANISCH 1842			
Address City, State, Zip Code		BARKER ROAD NACRES WASHINGTON 99016		
Email Primary Phone #	509-926	6-9505 Fax.#		
Secondary Phone #	STREET, PERSON ASSESSED.	,		
Comment Info	rmation			
	Theme			Open Date 10/04/2010
Filing S	Support	O Yes ● No O U	ndecided	Closed Date
	Source	○ Email ○ Mail · ● Phone ○ V	Veb	Web Create Date
Pubilc Invo		Dennis Shutler		
Davillaria Ca	Lead	N N-		
	CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE	O Yes ● No	The company is	a quilty comptimes of not reading
Description He owns Lot #7 on Deer Heights #2. The company is guilty sometimes of not reading the meter - meter is covered with dirt, yet the bill shows an inaccurate reading. Company is asking for an increase where a district right now don't even have risers on them, those customers pay a \$28.51 fee a month - a minimum. They don't use a drop of water - a benefit of the water company. Those fees have been going on since they started Deer Heights #1, #2 and #3. The price of water compared to other water companies is completely out of line. Over 50% of the lots are vacant and do not have risers - have not been connected to the system yet - yet they pay the minimum each month. The company has priced themselves out of business in the Deer Meadows Golf Course. The value of his property has declined since the golf course closed.				
Attac	hments		**************************************	шата тиминация - гол - г
Issue Informati	on			
Issue ID				
Company	Deer M	leadows Water Co., Inc.		
Filing	100642			
Staff	Jim Wa	rd		
Complaint Info	rmation	1		

Unresolved Complaint O Yes O No	Complaint ID
Follow-Up Information	Other Follow-Up Information
Follow-Up O Yes O No	Other Follow-Up 🔘 Yes 🔾 No
Follow-Up Staff	Other Follow-Up Staff
Follow-Up Complete O Yes O No	
View. ((vwComtActyEmbed)

Consumer Information Contact Method ○ Email ● Mail ○ None Name JOHN & CAROLYN GROOME Organization Company Address City, State, Zip Code Email groomellake@gmail.com Primary Phone # Secondary

Phone#

Comment Information			
Theme	Drastic Increase, Economic Conditions	Open Date 04/21/2010	
Filing Support	○ Yes ● No ○ Undecided	Closed Date	
Source	○ Email ○ Mail ○ Phone ● Web	Web Create 04/20/2010 Date	
Pubilc Involvement Lead			
Duplicate Comment	O Yes ● No		
	Our property is a vacant lot and currently do not use any water. We are already paying a monthly fee for not using the water. To have a base rate increase of 84% and 130% in usage is outrageous especially in these tough economic times. With diminished residential occupancy in the area, coupled with a bleak forecast in property sales, we believe the water company is already gaining a considerable profit for an area that has not increased population or number of customers. Customers like us who have been paying with no current water usage have already contributed enough to the water company's profits.		
Additional comments, dated October 13, 2010: We strongly disagree the proposed settlement reached by the supporting financial information of Deer Meadows Water waneeds to recommend better accounting and audited financial request for increase. Deer Meadows has questionable financial should not be allowed to receive any additional revenue. Base are not currently using water services is already very high.		ws Water was incomplete. The Staff ted financial statements to support ANY able financial records. The company revenue. Base charge for customers who	
Attachments	The state of the s		

Issue Information	
Issue ID 422	