EXHIBIT NO. __(SPR-1T) DOCKET NO. UE-04___/UG-04___ 2004 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE WITNESS: STEPHEN P. REYNOLDS

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

Respondent.

Docket No. UE-04____ Docket No. UG-04____

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN P. REYNOLDS (NONCONFIDENTIAL) ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

APRIL 5, 2004

1		PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.	
2		PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN P. REYNOLDS	
3		CONTENTS	
4	I.	INTRODUCTION	2
5 6	II.	SUMMARY OF THE REASONS AND NEED FOR THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED RATE RELIEF	3
7 8	III.	STEPS THE COMPANY IS TAKING TO ADDRESS THE CHALLENGES IT IS FACING	5
9	IV.	REQUEST FOR RATE RELIEF	8
10	V.	OTHER WITNESSES	12

1		PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.
2		PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN P. REYNOLDS
3		I. INTRODUCTION
4	Q.	Will you please state your name, business address, and position with Puget
5		Sound Energy, Inc.?
6	A.	My name is Stephen P. Reynolds. My business address is 10885 N.E. Fourth St.,
7		Bellevue, Washington, 98004. I am President and Chief Executive Officer of
8		Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE" or "the Company"). I also serve on the
9		Company's Board of Directors.
10	Q.	Have you prepared an exhibit describing your education, relevant
11		employment experience, and other professional qualifications?
12	A.	Yes, I have. It is Exhibit No. (SPR-2).
13	Q.	What are your responsibilities as President and Chief Executive Officer of
14		PSE?
15	A.	I have overall management responsibility for the Company. In consultation with
16		our executive team and Board of Directors, I set the Company's strategic business
17		goals and direct our efforts to achieve those goals.
18	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?

1	A.	My testimony provides (i) a summary of the reasons and need for the Company's				
2		proposed rate relief, (ii) a discussion of the steps the Company has taken to				
3		address the challenges it is facing prior to requesting regulatory relief, and (iii) a				
4		description of the rate relief the Company is proposing in this case and how such				
5		relief will better position the Company to meet its obligations to customers and				
6		shareholders. I conclude by listing the other Company witnesses who will				
7		provide more detailed explanations of the case's underlying elements.				
8		II. SUMMARY OF THE REASONS AND NEED FOR THE				
9		COMPANY'S PROPOSED RATE RELIEF				
10	Q.	Please explain why the Company needs the proposed rate relief.				
11	A.	The Company's mission, first and foremost, is to provide customers with high-				
12		quality service at reasonable rates. In addition, the Company has a responsibility				
13		to protect the interests of its investors. These two core obligations are not, I				
14		believe, at cross-purposes. On the contrary, they are complementary. In the				
15		capital-intensive energy business, only a financially strong utility capable of				
16		serving its investors' interests can have the financial wherewithal, over the long-				
17		term, to effectively serve its customers' interests.				
18		The Company has committed to its identity and future as a fully regulated,				
19		vertically integrated utility. PSE firmly believes this path presents the best				
20		opportunity to fulfill its obligations and commitments to customers and				
21		shareholders alike. For the Company to succeed under a regulated, vertically				

1	integrated business model, certain key things must happen. Above all, the
2	Company's financial health must continue to improve. More specifically, the
3	Company's credit rating must improve and its balance sheet strengthen. With an
4	improved financial condition, the Company will be in a better position to make
5	cost-effective energy resource investments for its customers and the substantial
6	investments necessary to build and maintain reliable delivery infrastructure.
7	The Company has made significant progress over the past two years to improve
8	its financial standing. The 2002 settlement of the Company's last general rate
9	case, achieved with the extraordinary efforts of Commission Staff, Public
10	Counsel, ICNU, NWIGU and other parties to that proceeding, contained several
11	important provisions key to helping the Company begin to rebuild its financial
12	health. The settlement's Power Cost Adjustment ("PCA") Mechanism, with its
13	\$40 million overall cap on PSE's exposure to power costs in excess of amounts
14	recovered in rates, and the acceptance of a proforma capital structure, are
15	examples of some of the provisions that have assisted the Company.
16	Under that settlement, the Company has experienced some positive results. For
17	example, when the Company filed its last general rate case in November 2001, its
18	equity ratio was 31.7%. By the end of 2003, the Company had boosted its equity
19	ratio to 40%. In addition to meeting the equity targets of the 2002 settlement well
20	ahead of schedule, the Company lowered its overall cost of debt from a rate of
21	7.4% to 6.74%.

22 Despite these and other tangible signs of progress, the Company has not yet

Prefiled Direct Testimony of Stephen P. Reynolds Exhibit No. ___(SPR-1T) Page 4 of 15

1		achieved the financial strength, stability and flexibility needed to support its
2		energy supply and infrastructure requirements. This situation is expensive for
3		both the Company's customers and shareholders and should be corrected. This
4		rate case is another important step in that direction.
5		III. STEPS THE COMPANY IS TAKING TO ADDRESS THE
6		CHALLENGES IT IS FACING
7	Q.	What are some of the challenges facing the Company?
8	A.	While the region's energy markets have stabilized in the wake of the 2000-01
9		Western energy crisis, the Company faces a considerable and pressing need for
10		new power supplies to meet our customers' requirements. This will require large
11		sums of capital to acquire needed energy resources, in addition to the capital
12		required to build and maintain reliable energy-delivery systems.
13		In addition, the Company's resource portfolio is subject to significant volatility
14		and risk that ultimately have a substantial impact on the Company's customers
15		and shareholders. While the Company has continued to improve its risk
16		management systems and tools to help reduce the risks and volatility of energy
17		costs associated with its electric and gas portfolio, the Company's financial
18		position limits its ability to make use of hedging products. Financial strength is
19		required to manage energy cost volatility effectively.
20	Q.	What steps has the Company taken to improve its financial condition?
21	A.	The Company has taken significant steps to lower the Company's debt, boost its

1		equity ratio, control costs, and secure a reliable, cost-effective energy supply for
2		customers. Further steps are planned, but will require regulatory support to be
3		implemented successfully.
4		In 2003, the Company increased its equity ratio to 40%two years ahead of the
5		target set in the 2002 rate case settlement. In this filing, the Company requests
6		that the Commission base Company earnings on a 45% equity ratio.
7		The Company is also in the midst of a multi-faceted resource acquisition program
8		to secure long-term energy resources at the least risk and cost possible for
9		customers. The Company began this effort last fall with the proposed purchase of
10		a near 50% interest in Frederickson 1, a gas-fired power plant near Tacoma,
11		Washington. The Company is currently analyzing proposals received under
12		requests for proposals for wind power and all-generation resources that have been
13		issued under the Commission's rules.
14		Another key step taken by the Company to strengthening its business is, I believe,
15		the work we have done toward building better relationships with customers,
16		policymakers, the Commission, and other government regulators.
17	Q.	What has the Company done to increase its operational efficiency and
18		control costs?
19	A.	Boosting operational efficiency and cutting costswithout sacrificing service
20		qualityhas been a high priority for the Company since the 1997 merger of Puget
21		Sound Power & Light Co. and Washington Energy Company. The evidence

suggests that the Company's efforts have been successful. Our operations &
 maintenance ("O&M") costs per customer annually rank among the industry's
 lowest. PSE's 2003 O&M costs should place the Company, once again, among
 the top ten most efficient utilities in the nation, while consistently maintaining
 high marks on Service Quality Index standards.

6 Q. How would you characterize the Company's quality of service?

7 Α. Judging from customer surveys, a strong majority would agree that the 8 Company's service is excellent. PSE's annual Service Quality Index data indicate 9 the Company consistently performs at a high level. The day-to-day reliability of 10 service is good. For instance, field technicians respond promptly to emergencies, 11 isolated equipment failures, and basic service appointments. The number and 12 duration of non-storm power outages is low. And when major storms cause 13 widespread outages, the Company's restoration efforts are, I believe, as good as 14 can be found anywhere.

15 While the reliable delivery of basic electric and natural-gas service is the 16 Company's highest priority, PSE further strives to provide customers with 17 services for managing their energy use in the most efficient, convenient, and cost-18 effective manner possible. The Company's broad-based energy efficiency 19 program, for instance, is a central element, providing technical assistance, product 20 rebates, grants, and other services to tens of thousands of customers. PSE steadily 21 looks for new ways to employ technology to help customers manage their energy 22 consumption in simple, user-friendly ways. PSE's Web-based, personal energy-

Prefiled Direct Testimony of Stephen P. Reynolds

1

tracking tool and interactive bill-information services are a couple of examples.

2 IV. REQUEST FOR RATE RELIEF

3 Q. Why is the Company filing this rate case?

4 A. Although the Company has made great strides to improve its financial condition, 5 we are not where we need to be. To succeed as a vertically integrated utility and 6 provide customers with first-rate, low-cost service, it is imperative for the 7 Company to improve its financial health and attain greater financial stability and 8 flexibility. As PSE is able to establish itself as a competitive investment option 9 within national investment markets, access to financial tools will improve and 10 costs decrease. Improved financial strength will also improve the Company's 11 ability to manage volatility in its power and natural gas portfolio through use of 12 long-term fuel supply contracts and hedging products. Achievement of these 13 critical goals requires regulatory assistance.

In particular, the Company needs to further increase the percentage of equity in its capital structure, and have the opportunity to earn an improved return on that equity, in order to increase its attractiveness to investors and strengthen its credit rating.

PSE has identified several structural aspects of its rates, such as current rate
design, that restrict the Company's ability to actually earn the rate of return that
the Commission has approved. This filing seeks to remove those hurdles and
move the Company farther down the road to recovery.

2	A.	Above all, this rate case is designed to help the Company continue improving its		
3		financial condition so that it can meet the long-term interests of customers. To		
4		that end, this rate case has several key objectives:		
5		a) obtain additional revenue in rates to pay for increases in costs the		
6		Company incurs to serve its customers;		
7		b) authorize a fair and reasonable rate of return on equity of 11.75%, a level		
8		commensurate with the returns expected from peer utilities in states with		
9		similar regulatory standards;		
10		c) authorize a capital structure comprised of 45% equity, a ratio the		
11		Company will need to sustain its credit improvement objectives;		
12		d) improve the Company's ability to strengthen its corporate credit rating to		
13		BBB+, and thereby allow the Company to access capital markets on		
14		reasonable terms and improve the Company's ability to engage in hedging		
15		activities to reduce the cost volatility in managing its energy portfolio; and		
16		e) establish a revised rate structure that reflects customers' changed energy-		
17		usage patterns and allows the Company recovery of its fixed costs.		
18	Q.	What level of rate increase is the Company requesting under this case?		
19	A.	The overall rate increase sought by this filing is 5.7% for electric customers, and		
20		4.8% for natural gas customers. In terms of revenue, the filing requests an annual		

What are the Company's primary objectives for this filing?

1

Q.

1		increase in electric revenue of \$81.4 million, and in gas revenue of \$49.0 million.
2		Even with the requested increase, customers' rates still would rank among the
3		lowest in the country. More importantly, by strengthening the Company's
4		financial profile and enhancing its credit rating, the filing will help keep rates low
5		over time and stabilize customers' future energy costs. In exchange for this
6		filing's rate adjustment and cost-recovery provisions, customers will continue to
7		see greater reliability of service at a stable and reasonable price.
8	Q.	What are the main factors that have increased the Company's costs?
9	A.	The Company's aging infrastructure requires substantial investment to maintain
10		the reliability and safety of the gas and electric systems. Growth is also a
11		significant factor driving the steady increases to the Company's cost of service:
12		growth in the number of customers served and growth in the distribution systems
13		required to meet their needs.
14	Q.	Are you requesting that the Commission make changes to existing policies or
15		rate structures for Company recovery of costs?
16	A.	Yes, such changes are warranted in two key areas. The first involves improving
17		recovery of costs associated with major storms and other catastrophic events. The
18		second addresses the impact of lower revenues due to declining usage per
19		customer.
20	0	Disease describe the issue and mean and mean ding actorized with the second of

20 Q. Please describe the issue and proposal regarding catastrophic events?

1	A.	Under existing Commission policy, the Company is eligible to seek deferral of
2		storm related costs for its electric system only from an event in which service was
3		interrupted to at least 25% of customers. The current definition does not protect
4		the Company from catastrophic damage caused by non-storm events, such as
5		earthquakes or terrorism, does not apply to damage to the gas system, and is
6		restricted by the customer percentage threshold regardless of the financial impact
7		of the event.
8		The Company is requesting that the Commission authorize deferral of costs
9		caused to its electric or gas systems based on a financial measure of the damage
10		caused by such events and extend the current definition to include major events
11		such as earthquakes or other catastrophic events.
12	Q.	What is the issue with respect to lower usage per customer?
12 13	Q. A.	What is the issue with respect to lower usage per customer? The Company recovers its fixed costs for serving customers through rates that are
13		The Company recovers its fixed costs for serving customers through rates that are
13 14		The Company recovers its fixed costs for serving customers through rates that are based on the amount of gas or electricity a customer uses. When customers use
13 14 15		The Company recovers its fixed costs for serving customers through rates that are based on the amount of gas or electricity a customer uses. When customers use less gas or electricity per household, the Company recovers less in revenues but
13 14 15 16		The Company recovers its fixed costs for serving customers through rates that are based on the amount of gas or electricity a customer uses. When customers use less gas or electricity per household, the Company recovers less in revenues but still faces the same fixed costs of providing gas and electric service to each
13 14 15 16 17		The Company recovers its fixed costs for serving customers through rates that are based on the amount of gas or electricity a customer uses. When customers use less gas or electricity per household, the Company recovers less in revenues but still faces the same fixed costs of providing gas and electric service to each household. The result is an under-recovery of revenues.
13 14 15 16 17 18		The Company recovers its fixed costs for serving customers through rates that are based on the amount of gas or electricity a customer uses. When customers use less gas or electricity per household, the Company recovers less in revenues but still faces the same fixed costs of providing gas and electric service to each household. The result is an under-recovery of revenues. The Company is proposing some changes to its rate design as well as small

1 shareholders?

2	A.	Yes. Commission approval of this filing would address the ongoing under-			
3		recovery of costs the Company incurs to serve customers and help to improve the			
4		Company's financial condition. In doing so, we believe the filing will reduce the			
5		Company's cost of capital and lower the ultimate cost of customers' energy, as			
6		well as better enable the Company to invest in the energy resources and			
7		infrastructure needed to provide reliable, cost-effective service to our customers.			
8		V. OTHER WITNESSES			
9	Q.	Would you please identify the Company witnesses who will be providing			
10		testimony on the issues you have mentioned?			
11	A.	I would be happy to.			
12		1. Mr. Eric Markell, Senior Vice President Energy Resources, discusses in			
13		his testimony, Exhibit No(EMM-1CT), the Company's current			
14		resource portfolio, the Company's need to acquire additional energy			
15		supply resources over the next several years, and the expected magnitude			
16		of expenditures to acquire such resources.			
17		2. Ms. Julia Ryan, Vice President of Risk Management and Strategic			
18		Planning, discusses in her testimony, Exhibit No(JMR-1T), the			
19		volatility and risk drivers in the Company's efforts to manage risk and			
20		volatility in its energy supply portfolios and the importance of the			
21		Company's financial strength to these efforts. Ms. Ryan also describes the			
D (11	1.5.				

1		approach taken by the Company in preparing its projection of normalized
2		power costs presented in this case.
3	3.	Dr. Jeffrey Dubin, Pacific Economics Group L.L.C. and Associate
4		Professor of Economics at the California Institute of Technology
5		(Caltech), discusses in his testimony, Exhibit No(JAD-1T), the
6		statistical soundness of estimating the Company's future hydroelectric
7		generation based on the full 60 years of available information (1928-1987)
8		rather than only the most recent 40 years of information.
9	4.	Ms. Susan McLain, Senior Vice President Operations, discusses in her
10		testimony, Exhibit No(SML-1CT), the Company's delivery
11		operations, including the Company's work to control costs while providing
12		excellent service and reliability. She also describes significant costs the
13		Company is facing to replace and maintain its aging infrastructure in
14		addition to expanding that infrastructure to serve new customers.
15	5.	Mr. Bertrand Valdman, Senior Vice President Finance and Chief Financial
16		Officer, discusses in his testimony, Exhibit No(BAV-1T), the
17		Company's financial strategy and concerns of its investors. Mr. Valdman
18		also describes the need for the requested rate relief to improve the
19		Company's financial strength so that it can acquire the resources it needs
20		to service customers and manage its resource portfolio on reasonable
21		terms.

1	6.	Mr. Donald Gaines, Vice President Finance and Treasurer, discusses in his
2		testimony, Exhibit No(DEG-1CT), the Company's current credit
3		ratings, the steps taken by the Company to strengthen its financial
4		condition since its last general rate case, and the Company's requested
5		capital structure, cost of capital calculations and overall rate of return.
6	7.	Dr. Charles Cicchetti, Pacific Economics Group L.L.C. and Jeffrey J.
7		Miller Chair in Government, Business and the Economy at the University
8		of Southern California, discusses in his testimony, Exhibit No(CJC-
9		1T), rate relief issues pertaining to the Company and his recommended
10		return on equity for the Company.
11	8.	Mr. John Story, Director of Cost and Regulation, discusses in his
12		testimony, Exhibit No(JHS-1T), the calculation of the revenue
13		requirement for the electric results of operations. Mr. Story also presents
14		the updated Power Cost Baseline Rate for the PCA Mechanism.
15	9.	Ms. Barbara Luscier, Manager of Revenue Requirements, discusses in her
16		testimony, Exhibit No(BAL-1T), the calculation of the revenue
17		requirement for the gas results of operations.
18	10.	Ms. Colleen Paulson, Manager of Pricing & Cost of Service, discusses in
19		her testimony, Exhibit No(CEP-1T), the Company's electric and gas
20		cost of service studies.
21	11.	Mr. James Heidell, PA Consulting Group, Inc., discusses in his testimony,

Exhibit No. ___(JAH-1T), the Company's proposed electric and gas rate designs and tariffs, including proposed changes to gas and electric rate structure.

4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

- 5 A. Yes it does.
- 6 [BA040850.039/07771-0089]