- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Steven R. McDougal. My business address is One Utah Center,
- 3 Suite 2300, 201 South Main Street, Salt Lake City, Utah 84140.
- 4 Qualifications
- 5 Q. What is your current position at PacifiCorp (the Company) and your
- 6 previous employment history with the Company?
- 7 A. I am currently employed as the Manager of Regulatory Analysis. Previously, I
- 8 was the Manager of Modeling and Analysis in the Integrated Resource Planning
- 9 department.
- 10 Q. What are your responsibilities as the Manager of Regulatory Analysis?
- 11 A. My responsibilities include the calculation of revenue requirement forecasts and
- internal analysis of PacifiCorp regulatory related issues.
- 13 Q. What is your educational background?
- 14 A. I received a Master of Accountancy from Brigham Young University with an
- emphasis in Management Advisory Services in 1983 and a Bachelor of Science
- degree in Accounting from Brigham Young University in 1982. In addition to my
- formal education, I have also attended various educational, professional and
- electric industry related seminars during my career at the Company.
- 19 Q. What professional certifications do you have?
- 20 A. I am a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) and also a Certified Internal Auditor.
- 21 **Purpose of Testimony**
- 22 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

1	A.	My testimony presents the Company's most recent actual Washington financial
2		results for the period ended March 31, 2002 and forecasts of the Company's
3		Washington revenue requirement for the remainder of the Rate Plan Period. My
4		testimony provides the basis for the conclusions drawn by Mr. Larsen in his
5		testimony regarding the Company's financial results in Washington for the
6		remainder of the Rate Plan Period.
7	Over	view of recent actual results
8	Q.	Please describe the Washington-specific revenue requirement information
9		included in your testimony.
10	A.	The first two columns in Exhibit(SRM-1) summarizes the Company's Results
11		of Operation in the State of Washington for the following historic periods:
12		• The Company's most recent Washington general rate filing, originally filed on
13		November 24, 1999, as revised on May 9, 2000 (1999 Rate Case), and
14		• The Fiscal Year 2002 Results of Operations ending March 31, 2002 as filed
15		with the Commission on July 31, 2002.
16		In addition to the above mentioned historical information, Exhibit(SRM-1)
17		also includes forecasted information for Fiscal Year 2003 through Fiscal Year
18		2006. (As a matter of information, the Company's fiscal year is the twelve month
19		period ending March 31 of each year; "Fiscal Year 2003" refers to the twelve
20		months ending March 31, 2003.)
21	Adju	stments in Forecasted Results of Operations
22	Q.	What does Exhibit(SRM-2) show, and how is it different from
23		Exhibit(SRM-1)?

1	A.	The forecasted information in Exhibit(SRM-2) includes certain ratemaking
2		adjustments, which are described below. The historical information is the same in
3		both exhibits.
4	Q.	What adjustments have you made to the Washington results?
5	A.	Exhibit(SRM-3) lists the on-going adjustments applicable to the State of
6		Washington. These adjustments are calculated in the same way as in the
7		Company's March 31, 2002 Results of Operations filed with the Commission.
8	Montl	nly Projections
9	Q.	Do you have more detailed monthly information for Fiscal Year 2003, and if
10		so, how are they prepared?
11	A.	Yes. Exhibit (SRM-4) shows the Company's results of operation for Fiscal
12		Year 2003 on a monthly basis for Washington.
13	Q.	How is the monthly information prepared?
14	A.	The monthly view of the Washington results is generated from the annual
15		information in Exhibit(SRM-2), incorporating as much monthly information
16		as available.
17	Q.	What information is available on monthly basis?
18	A.	General Business Revenues, Net Power Costs, and taxes are calculated on a
19		monthly basis. The monthly General Business Revenues are an annual value
20		shaped by the monthly retail load used in the calculation of the Modified Accord
21		allocation factors. The monthly net power cost components that are included in
22		Special Sales, Steam Production, Other Power Supply and Transmission are
23		consistent with those used in Mr. Widmer's calculation for deferred net power

1		costs. The federal and state income taxes are annual values shaped by the
2		monthly differences between Total Operating Revenues and Total Operation and
3		Maintenance (O&M) Expenses.
4	Q.	How is the other monthly information derived?
5	A.	All other revenue and expense components are the annual numbers from
6		Exhibit(SRM-2) divided by 12. Rate base components are kept constant at
7		average rate base amounts shown in Exhibit(SRM-2).
8	Wash	ington Revenue Requirement Forecasts
9	Q.	How are the Washington revenue requirement forecasts determined?
10	A.	The forecasts begin at the Total Company level, based on the Company's latest
11		long-term projections. These projections are then broken into sufficient detail to
12		be allocated to Washington. The main components of the forecasts are:
13		• Loads
14		Retail Revenues
15		 Expenses Other than Power Costs
16		Net Power Costs
17		Rate Base and Capital Additions
18		The calculation of each of these items is described below except for Net Power
19		Costs, which are included in Mr. Widmer's testimony.
20	Q.	How are Total Company costs allocated to Washington?
21	A.	Total Company costs are allocated to Washington using the Modified Accord
22		allocation method, along with average rate base. This is the same method used in
23		the Company's 1999 Rate Case in Washington, as well as in the most recent
	Direct	Testimony of Steven R. McDougal Exhibit T(SRM-T) Page 4

1		Annual Results of Operations filing for the twelve months ended March 31, 2002.
2		For purposes of calculating factors and allocating revenues, all special contracts
3		are directly assigned to the state. Therefore, the revenues and loads of Boise
4		Cascade—the only special contract customer in Washington during any part of
5		the period—are both allocated to Washington.
6	Loads	
7	Q.	How are the Washington load forecasts determined?
8	A.	The load forecasts are calculated by the Company's load forecasting group. The
9		long-term forecasts are the same as those used in the Integrated Resource Plan
10		(IRP). The short-term forecasts have been updated for known changes. The loads
11		for Washington are adjusted for reductions due to DSM programs.
12	Q.	How are loads used in the revenue requirement forecasts?
13	A.	The load forecasts provide the basis for many of the components of the revenue
14		requirement forecast. They are used in calculating allocation factors to allocate
15		system costs to Washington, along with forming the basis for the revenue and net
16		power cost forecasts.
17	Retail	Revenues
18	Q.	How are the Washington Revenue forecasts determined?
19	A.	The revenue forecasts are based on the load forecasts mentioned above, multiplied
20		by the average rates of Washington customers, adjusted for known changes.
21	Q.	What adjustments are made to the average rates of Washington customers in
22		the revenue forecasts?

1	A.	The revenue forecasts have been adjusted for the 1 percent price increase
2		scheduled in Washington on January 1, 2003, and for Boise Cascade's return to
3		standard tariff rates effective January 1, 2002. Retail revenues also include the
4		projected impact of DSM programs.
5	Expe	nses
6	Q.	How are the Washington expense forecasts determined?
7	A.	Forecasts of expenses, other than power costs, are from the Company's planning
8		group. These expenses include not only the ones that are on-going, but also the
9		incremental expenses associated with capital additions. Total Company expenses
10		are allocated to Washington based on various Modified Accord allocation factors.
11	Q.	Are the expense forecasts adjusted for savings from implementation of the
12		Transition Plan?
13	A.	The expense forecasts from the Company's planning group include the impact of
14		the Transition Plan on projected expenses.
15	Q.	How are taxes calculated?
16	A.	Federal and State income taxes are calculated in the same manner as in the
17		Company's most recent Results of Operations statement, except that deferred
18		income taxes and Schedule M items are kept constant at Fiscal Year 2002 levels.
19	Rate	Base and Capital Additions
20	Q.	What is the starting point for the Rate Base forecasts?
21	A.	The beginning rate base is based on the year-end data using March 31, 2002

Results of Operations.

22

1	Q.	What changes are made to Rate Base?
2	A.	The rate base is adjusted annually for depreciation and amortization, retirements
3		and capital additions.
4	Q.	What are the Company's projected capital requirements with respect to
5		Washington operations during the Rate Plan Period?
6	A.	Exhibit(SRM-5) shows the forecasted capital expenditures for Fiscal Years
7		2003 through 2006 allocated to Washington. [Redacted].
8	Q.	Please describe the Major IRP Plant Additions line included in the forecasts
9	A.	The Major IRP Plant Addition line is a placeholder for the results of the current
10		IRP process. The Company's Rate Base, O&M and Net Power Cost forecasts all
11		use the same assumptions regarding the construction of new generation facilities.
12	Q.	What are the significant drivers for the increasing capital expenditures?
13	A.	As a result of increasing load, new generation facilities are being added to the
14		Company's system. In particular, Major IRP Plant Additions result in an average
15		of \$16 million a year in additional capital expenditures in Fiscal Years 2004
16		through 2006. During the same time period, in addition to responding to the load
17		growth, the Company will need to spend an average of \$7.3 million a year to meet
18		federal Clean Air Act requirements.
19	Q.	How are capital additions allocated to Washington?
20	A.	Those capital additions to the distribution system that are Washington-specific are
21		directly assigned to Washington. The capital additions for other functional areas
22		are Total Company amounts allocated to Washington based on various Modified
23		Accord factors.

1	Q.	How much of the hydro capital additions are related to the Lewis River
2		projects in Washington?
3	A.	Hydro licensing capital expenditures associated with the Lewis River projects are
4		expected to be between \$4 million to \$5 million each year in Fiscal Years 2003
5		through 2006. However, because Hydro resources are allocated using Modified
6		Accord factors, only a portion of these expenditures has been allocated to
7		Washington.
8	Net Income	
9	Q.	What does Exhibit(SRM-6) show, and how is it different from
10		Exhibit(SRM-2), adjusted Results of Operations?
11	A.	Exhibit(SRM-6) shows the Company's Income Statement for Washington
12		operations for Fiscal Year 2002 (historical) and Fiscal Years 2003 through 2006.
13		Beyond rearranging lines to be consistent with a traditional income statement
14		format, there are only two differences between Exhibit(SRM-6) and
15		Exhibit(SRM-2): (1) the addition of both long and short-term interest, less
16		capitalized interest (AFUDC), and (2) the reduction of state and federal income
17		taxes to account for the tax impact of short-term interest. The tax impact of long-
18		term interest is already considered in the Results of Operations
19		Exhibit(SRM-2).
20	Q.	Please describe the calculation of interest expense in Exhibit(SRM-6).
21	A.	Long-term interest is calculated using the projected rate base times the
22		Washington weighted cost of debt. Short-term interest is held constant at the

- 1 Fiscal Year 2002 level for each year. AFUDC is calculated by the Company's
- 2 planning group.
- 3 Conclusion
- 4 Q. Please summarize your testimony.
- 5 A. My testimony presents the Company's most recent actual Washington financial
- 6 results for the period ended March 31, 2002 and forecasts of Washington revenue
- 7 requirement for the remainder of the Rate Plan Period. This information supports
- 8 Mr. Larsen's testimony that the results of operations in the state of Washington
- 9 over the Rate Plan Period are deteriorating, [Redacted].
- 10 **Q.** Does this conclude your testimony?
- 11 A. Yes.