1 2 3 4 5 6		
7	BEFORE THE WA	SHINGTON STATE
8	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION HEARINGS BOARD	
9	CITY OF SPOKANE VALLEY, a municipal	No. TR-210814; 210809
10	corporation,	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ELLIS MAYS
11	Complainant,	USDOT: 66256C
12	VS.	
13 14	UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY (aka UPRR),	
15	Respondent.	
16		-
17	<u>GENERAL PERSON</u>	AL INFORMATION
18	What is your name?	
19	Ellis Mays.	
20	Who is your employer?	
21	Alfred Benesch.	
22	How long have you been employed by Alfred	Benesch?
23	2.5 years.	
24	What is your current position?	
25	Public Project Manager.	
26	How long have you held your current position	1?
27	2.5 years.	
	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ELLIS MAYS - 1	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 2700 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.436.2020
	4888-6916-0987.1	200.430.2020

1 What are the duties of your current position?

I work on all of Union Pacific's ("UP") public projects that are on or near UP right of way in Washington. As such, I work directly with the road authorities on public projects, such as grade crossing surface and signal modifications, crossing maintenance, grade separations, quiet zones, and other public projects.

6 Did you have any experience in working as a public project manager prior to working at7 Benesch?

Yes. I have previously been employed by Norfolk Southern where I was the Manager of Public Projects for 2 years.

In her prefiled testimony, Gloria Mantz offered that the City of Spokane Valley (the "City") began coordinating with UP on the Barker Road Corridor Improvement Project (the "Project") in early 2019. To your knowledge, when did communications and coordination begin between the City and UP for the Project?

I am not certain of when the first communication occurred; however, the Preliminary Engineering Agreement ("PEA") was entered into on August 29, 2019. The PEA is the first step in coordinating a proposed project.

In her prefiled testimony, Gloria Mantz offered that UP delays caused phase two of the Project to be split into two additional phases. Do you know why this delay occurred?

No, I do not, and I am unaware of UP causing any such delay. The diagnostic had not been conducted at that point and was ultimately conducted on June 30, 2020.

In her prefiled testimony, Gloria Mantz offered that "when the gates are down at the UPRR crossing during the PM peak hour, queues typically build up to about 500 feet southbound and 225 feet northbound (with queue typically spilling onto both directions of Euclid Avenue)." How will the Project alleviate the backup of such queues?

The Project will not create any additional room or storage southbound and will only add additional room or storage for northbound motorists making a left turn. A grade separation would be the most effective way to accomplish a reduction in queues.

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ELLIS MAYS - 2

In her prefiled testimony, Gloria Mantz offered that Barker Road has already been widened to three lanes everywhere but the intersection of Barker Road and Euclid Avenue, and asserted that without the proposed third lane, there will be queuing issues causing traffic to back up, which would affect traffic and public safety. What do you believe the impact will be if a third lane is not added to this intersection of Barker Road and Euclid Avenue?

I believe the traffic impact would be limited to queuing of northbound Barker Road traffic turning westbound onto Euclid. The third lane at this intersection is a center left turn lane that transitions to a dedicated turn lane.

In his prefiled testimony, Robert Lochmiller offered a description of the proposed modifications at this crossing. Does the description he's given seem accurate to you?

No. Mr. Lochmiller has described that "the northbound cantilever will provide flashers for both lanes of travel," and this is inaccurate. The northbound cantilever will provide a flasher for the left turn lane. The flashers for the through lane will be on the mast, not on the northbound cantilever.

Mr. Lochmiller also stated that there would be a total of nine flashers and three warning bells at this crossing, and this is inaccurate. Per the signal design, there are ten flashers and two warning bells.

Additionally, Mr. Lochmiller has asserted that UP "specifically requested" that the median curb extends further south to block left turns out of Hattamer Lane, and this is incorrect – this modification was made pursuant to the diagnostic team's recommendation.

In his prefiled testimony, Robert Lochmiller offered that the City designed specific improvements at the request of and with the help of UP. Is this correct?

23 No. The City designed the improvements Mr. Lochmiller is referring to pursuant to the
24 diagnostic team's recommendations.

In his prefiled testimony, Robert Lochmiller asserted that UP "told the City all of the required improvements that the City was going to have to fund." Is this correct?

27

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ELLIS MAYS - 3

1	I cannot say for certain without knowing which improvements Mr. Lochmiller is referring	
2	to, but all improvements are dictated by project needs, and are based on UP's Engineering	
3	Standards and the diagnostic team's recommendations.	
4	In his prefiled testimony, Robert Lochmiller offered that with the exception of the additional	
5	turning lane and pedestrian path, the additional road and crossing improvements were	
6	included due to UP's traffic safety concerns. Is this correct?	
7	The additional road and crossing improvements were included pursuant to the diagnostic	
8	team's recommendations.	
9		
10	DECLARATION	
11	I, ELLIS MAYS, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of	
12	Washington that the foregoing REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ELLIS MAYS is true and correct	
13	to the best of my knowledge and belief.	
14		
15	DATED this 26 th day of April 2022.	
16		
17	/s/ Ellis Mays	
18	ELLIS MAYS	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ELLIS MAYS - 4 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 2700 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.436.2020	

1	DECLARATION OF SERVICE	
2	I hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I	
3	caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be served via the methods below on this 26 th	
4	day of April, 2022 on the following counsel/party of record:	
5	Kenneth W. Harper 🛛 via U.S. Mail, first class, postage	
6	MENKE JACKSON BEYER, LLPprepaid807 N. 39th Avenuevia Legal Messenger Hand Delivery	
7	Yakima, WA 98902via Facsimile	
8	Email: kharper@mjbe.com	
9	<u>zfoster@mjbe.com</u> <u>gplant@mjbe.com</u>	
10	Julie@mjbe.com	
11	Attorneys for City of Spokane Valley	
11	Washington Utilities & TransportationImage: Commission	
12		
	Jeff RobersonImage: via U.S. Mail, first class, postageAssistant Attorney Generalprepaid	
14	Office of the Attorney Generalvia Legal Messenger Hand DeliveryUtilities and Transportation Divisionvia Facsimile	
15	P.O. Box 40128 via E-mail:	
16	Olympia, WA 98504-0128 Phone: (360) 664-1188	
17	E-mail:jeff.roberson@utc.wa.gov betsy.demarco@utc.wa.gov	
18		
19		
20		
21	<u>/s/ Elizabeth Pina</u> Elizabeth Pina, Legal Assistant	
22	Elizabeth.Pina@lewisbrisbois.com	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ELLIS MAYS - 5 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 1111 Third Avenue, Suite 2700 Seattle, Washington 98101	
	206.436.2020 4888-6916-0987.1	