Last Updated: 2/25/2016



| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number | Detailed Condition   | CRAG Role                | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>( As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided fillings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| A(1)                              | The following parties reached agreement on the terms for approval of Puget Sound Energy Inc's Ten-Year Achievable Conservation Potential and Biennial Conservation Target, which Puget Sound Energy, Inc. ("PSE" or the "Company"); the Staff of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission; the Public Counsel Section of the Attorney General's Office; Intervenor Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities; and Intervenor NW Energy Coalition ("NWEC"). This Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") is the agreement reached by the Executing Parties.  | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |                   | Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |
| A(2)                              | The Executing Parties intend that this Agreement shall supersede and replace the Settlement Terms for Conservation, Exhibit F to the Settlement Stipulation in Docket UE-011570 for electric conservation. This Agreement addresses conservation of electricity only. It does not address conservation of natural gas. The Northwest Industrial Gas Users and The Energy Project, signatories to the Settlement Terms for Conservation, Exhibit F to the Settlement Stipulation in Docket UG-011571 but not parties in Docket UE-100177, participated in discussions about the preparation of this Agreement. Nothing in this settlement shall affect the natural gas Settlement Terms for Conservation, Exhibit F to the Settlement Stipulation in Docket UG-011571 with respect to natural gas conservation, which remains in full force and effect with respect to natural gas conservation issues. | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |                   | Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |
| A(3)                              | (Emphasis added.)<br>The approval of Initiative 937 in 2006, codified in Chapter 19.285 of<br>the Revised Code of Washington as the Energy Independence Act,<br>and PSE's subsequent filing in Docket UE-100177, resulted in the<br>need to update and amend the electric conservation provisions of the<br>Settlement Terms for Conservation, Exhibit F to the Settlement<br>Stipulation in Docket UE-011570. Those changes are included in this<br>Agreement. RCW 19.285.040(1) and WAC 480-109-100 require<br>utilities to identify achievable cost-effective conservation potential<br>using methodologies consistent with those used by the Northwest<br>Power and Conservation Council ("Council").  | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |                   | Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |
| B(4)                              | This Agreement establishes a conservation program with no sunset date. Any party may petition the Commission for modifications to the program, including in a general rate case proceeding. Nothing herein prevents any party from commenting on any filings under this or any other docket before the Commission.   | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |                   | Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |
| B(4)(a)                           | Except where expressly stated, the conditions in Section K and all<br>other provisions of this Agreement are intended to remain in effect<br>notwithstanding the biennial review conducted under the Energy<br>Independence Act. Any party may petition to, or the Commission may<br>on its own motion and notice to parties, modify the conservation<br>program if required by the results of the review.   | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |                   | Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |
| B(4)(b)                           | In the event that PSE is not required to set or achieve specific<br>conservation savings targets by the Energy Independence Act or<br>other state law, PSE agrees to continue a conservation program that<br>is consistent with the provisions of the 2002 Settlement, such that the<br>programs funded through PSE's tariff rider will be designed to achieve<br>all savings that are not independently captured by consumer<br>acquisition, that are cost-effective to the Company, and economically<br>feasible for consumers, taking into account incentives provided by<br>PSE.   | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |                   | Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |
| C(5)                              | PSE shall set the ten-year conservation potential and the biennial<br>conservation targets as required by the Energy Independence Act<br>(RCW 19.285) and WAC 480-109 and consistent with this Agreement.  | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |                   | Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |
| C(6)                              | In general each individual energy efficiency program shall be designed to be cost-effective.   | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |                   | Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |



Last Updated: 2/25/2016



| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number                                    | Detailed Condition  | CRAG Role                | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>(As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status  | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
|--|---|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| D(7)   | PSE shall establish an external Advisory Committee. The Advisory<br>Committee shall address, but not be limited to the issues identified in<br>Section K.3 of this Agreement.   |                          |   |  | Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |
| D(8)   | Advisory Committee membership shall be established as follows. The<br>Company shall extend an invitation to serve as an Advisory<br>Committee member to a representative from at least each of the<br>following organizations: WUTC staff, Attorney General Office of Public<br>Counsel, NW Energy Coalition, Energy Project, Natural Resources<br>Defense Council, Pacific Northwest Electric Power and Conservation<br>Council, Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities, Northwest<br>Industrial Gas Users, Washington State Department of Commerce,<br>Northwest Energy Efficiency Council, and the Department of Energy<br>Weatherization Assistance Program provider network. Additionally,<br>the Company shall seek customer representatives from the<br>residential, commercial, industrial, and institutional sectors to serve on<br>the Advisory Committee. Other interested parties may attend Advisory<br>Committee meetings as well, but will not be considered Advisory<br>Committee members. This ongoing committee is now called the<br>Conservation Resources Advisory Group (CRAG). | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |  | Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |
| E(9)   | To determine which energy efficiency programs and measures are<br>cost-effective, PSE shall rely on a calculation of avoided cost<br>consistent with the Council methodology and with the Energy<br>Independence Act.   | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |  | Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |
| UG-011571<br>(2002 Rate<br>Case<br>Stipulation<br>Agreement)<br>F.16 | PSE shall develop, in conjunction with its August 2002 filing, avoided<br>costs for natural gas efficiency programs, with review from the<br>Advisory Committee, by analyzing similar components of system<br>costs.  |                          | PSE presents its Annual or Biennial<br>Conservation Plan, that includes gas program<br>avoided costs in Exhibit 2.  | PSE included gas avoided costs in its 2015<br>ACP and 2016-2017 BCP Exhibit 2.   | <  |
| E(10)  | PSE may modify, after consultation with the CRAG, the Company's<br>calculation of avoided cost based upon the following: modification to<br>one or more component values of the calculation, use of a forecasting<br>tool or production cost model other than Aurora, establishment of load<br>factors that are more specific to PSE's service territory, or other<br>information relevant to the calculation of avoided cost.  | Consult                  | PSE conveys the date that the CRAG was consulted.   | PSE included electric avoided costs in its 2015 ACP Exhibit 2.   | ~  |
| F(11)  | The annual budget of the program will be built up from the bottom through the development of a mix of programs that deliver cost-<br>effective savings in PSE's service territory. PSE's conservation targets developed under RCW 19.285.040(1) will direct development of the mix of cost effective programs that will establish the budgets for efficiency programs.  | No CRAG<br>specific role | PSE provides to the CRAG its draft of biennial<br>budgets and savings goals on September 1<br>each odd year.  | Budget details, built from the bottom-up, were provided in the 2015 ACP Exhibit 1.                                       |  |
| UG-011571<br>(2002 Rate<br>Case<br>Stipulation)<br>H.25              | Tariff-rider funds shall only be used on programs and their<br>associated administrative costs that result in energy savings<br>through energy efficiency investments or fuel switching. This<br>may include reasonable administration costs for PSE's net<br>metering program.<br>(Emphasis added.)  | Review                   | PSE provides its annual budgets in its<br>Conservation Plans and reports expenditures<br>in its Annual Reports.   | Budget details, built from the bottom-up,<br>were provided in the 2015 ACP Exhibit 1.                                    | <b>~</b>   |
| F(12)  | Schedule 449 customers are eligible for self-direction under existing<br>Schedule 258 and participation in efficiency programs offered by PSE,<br>except as stated in paragraph 13. Schedule 258 customers who are<br>not on Schedule 449 will be eligible to participate in other programs<br>offered directly by PSE. Non-449 Schedule 258 customers will share<br>in paying NEEA/market transformation and administration costs<br>consistent with all other non-449 customers.  | No CRAG<br>specific role | PSE provides Schedule 120 and/or Schedule 258 work papers.  | Schedule 449 treatment was detailed in Schedule 120 filing workpapers.   | <b>~</b>   |
| F(13)  | Each Schedule 449 customer can self-direct and/or participate in programs offered directly by PSE up to a total dollar cap equal to the annual efficiency funding level for that 449 customer minus 17.5% of that amount. The 17.5% represents payments for market transformation (10%) and for administration (7.5%).  | No CRAG<br>specific role | PSE provides Schedule 120 and/or Schedule 258 work papers.  | Administrative and NEEA payments were detailed in 2014 Annual Report Exhibit 1 and 2016-2017 BCP Exhibit 1 detail pages. | <b>~</b>   |



Last Updated: 2/25/2016



| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number                                    | Detailed Condition   | CRAG Role  | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>(As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status  | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| G(14)  | PSE will continue to honor Commitments 22 and 23 from U-072375<br>with regard to future funding levels for low-income energy<br>conservation programs based on the 2010-2011 planning levels. PSE<br>will continue to work with agencies to provide additional funding above<br>that established by Commitment 22 if additional production through<br>the existing or newly developed cost-effective programs warrants it. In<br>addition, PSE will continue to contribute a total of \$300,000 of<br>shareholder funds annually for low-income weatherization regardless<br>of fuel type.   | No CRAG<br>specific role<br>Andy Hem<br>This is replic<br>condition (1 | cated in  | LIW budgets are detailed in Exhibit 1.                                 | ~  |
| H(15)  | The Company shall retain the existing rider mechanism going forward,<br>subject to the Commission's Order in Docket No. UE-970686.   | No CRAG<br>specific role   | PSE files its Schedule 120 each March 1.<br>Gas Tracker converted to Rider mechanism,<br>Docket No UG-120812.   | Schedule 120 filed in March 2015.                                      | ~  |
| H(16)  | The Company shall continue to use the peak credit method of assigning the costs of its electric conservation programs to each rate schedule with one exception, the Schedule 449 customers. (The CRAG will review cost allocation methodology per Section K, Paragraph (11)(c)). Schedule 449 customers currently pay 0.0944 cents per kWh toward the cost of the current Schedule 258 four-year conservation program ( $4/1/10 - 4/1/14$ ). The current practice is to hold the payment amount constant over the Schedule 258 period. This amount is based on a \$164 million biennial electric conservation-only budget for 2010-11, and is scalable in the next Schedule 258 budget cycle depending on whether the overall conservation budget increases or decreases. In 2002, the Schedule 449 customers paid 0.045 cents per kWh toward the cost of the conservation program. This amount was based on a \$20 million annual budget. | No CRAG<br>specific role   | PSE files its Schedule 258 revisions each four<br>years, and provides Schedule 258 funding<br>details in annual Schedule 120 workpaper<br>details.  | Updated Schedule 258 effective January 9,<br>2015.                     |  |
| UG-011571<br>(2002 Rate<br>Case<br>Stipulation)<br>L.38              | Gas conservation program costs will be allocated in a manner<br>consistent with the gas program in effect in May 2002. No gas<br>conservation program costs shall be allocated for recovery from<br>natural gas transportation customers. Natural gas program cost<br>recovery allocations made to natural gas sales customers shall be<br>made according to the peak credit (i.e., bridge) methodology that<br>underlies Puget's recovery for surcharges for its current conservation<br>programs as approved in March 2002 in Docket No. UG-020264.  |  | PSE presents its gas budgets in annual<br>Exhibit 1: Savings & Budgets  | Detailed gas budgets were presented in the 2015 ACP and 2016-2017 BCP. | ~  |
| l(17)  | Achievement of the biennial targets for savings from cost-effective<br>electricity conservation programs shall be subject to the<br>penalty/incentive provisions of the Energy Independence Act. In the<br>event that statutory penalties/incentives no longer apply under the<br>Energy Independence Act or other state law or Commission order,<br>PSE agrees to develop and propose a replacement penalty<br>mechanism in consultation with the CRAG. At the same time, PSE<br>may propose an incentive mechanism in consultation with the CRAG.  | No CRAG<br>specific role   | Subsequent to the submittal and approval of<br>its electric conservation achievements, PSE<br>pays any agreed-to fines due.   |  | START  |
| UG-011571<br>(2002 Rate<br>Case<br>Stipulation<br>Agreement)<br>M.43 | <ul> <li>The financial penalties for failure to achieve the annual conservation savings targets are as follows.</li> <li>Achieve savings that are 90 to 99% of the goal: \$200,000 penalty applies</li> <li>Achieve savings that are 75% to 89% of the goal: \$500,000 penalty applies</li> <li>Achieve savings that are less than 75% of the goal: \$750,000 penalty applies</li> </ul>   |  | PSE documents the presentation of the<br>penalty calculation figures and the resultant<br>indicattion of penalty/no penalty status.   |  |  |





| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number                                    | Detailed Condition   | CRAG Role                | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>( As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided fillings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status   | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
|--|--|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| l(18)  | The Company shall provide biennial notification in a Conservation<br>Report Card to its customers regarding the Company's performance<br>related to its biennial savings targets under the Energy Independence<br>Act. [The following is after $I(18)(d)(3)$ ] The report also may contain<br>reference to PSE's orgoing energy efficiency programs, including<br>encouragement for customers to participate in those programs. The<br>report shall:   | No CRAG<br>specific role | Please see I(18)c Andy Hemstreet: This is (somewhat) replicated in WAC  | 2012-2013 report card mailed to customers<br>in September 2014. | <b>~</b>   |
| UG-011571<br>(2002 Rate<br>Case<br>Stipulation<br>Agreement)<br>M.44 | The Company shall provide biennial notification in a Conservation<br>Report Card to its customers regarding the Company's performance<br>related to its annual savings targets. The report shall:<br>(All agree with I(18)(a), I(18)(b). <b>M.44.c</b> , though, indicates:<br>Be distributed no later than 90 days after the filing of the Annual<br>Conservation report (currently due February 15), beginning in 2006<br>and every two years thereafter.  |                          | 480-109-070 (?).<br>PSE provides documentation of the<br>notification "proof" and the first delivery date   | 2012-2013 report card mailed to customers<br>in May 2014.       | ✓  |
| l(18)(a)   | Be distributed as a conspicuous stand-alone document accompanying<br>a customer's bill or in a separate mailing and also posted to PSE's<br>website.   | No CRAG<br>specific role | Please see I(18)c   |   | Only the condition<br>section heading<br>will be checked.  |
| l(18)(b)   | Be distributed to customers only after adequate consultation with Staff and the CRAG.  | No CRAG<br>specific role | PSE provides the CRAG a proof copy for<br>comment prior to the report card mailing.   |   | Only the condition section heading will be checked.  |
| l(18)(c)   | Be distributed no later than 90 days after the Commission<br>determination on the two-year report on conservation program<br>achievement required by the Energy Independence Act and<br>Commission rules.  | No CRAG<br>specific role | The report card mailing to customers<br>commences 90 days after the biennial repor<br>is filed with the UTC and Department of<br>Commerce and after thirty days for written o<br>oral comments; <u>October 27</u> at the earliest.      |   | Only the condition section heading will be checked.  |
| l(18)(d)   | Contain the following information, at a minimum:<br>1) A brief description of the purpose of the report.<br>2) A brief description of the benchmarks and an indication of whether<br>the Company met the benchmarks in each biennial period.<br>3) The total amount of penalties imposed (or incentive earned) for the<br>current reporting period.  | No CRAG<br>specific role | Please see I(18)c   |   | Only the condition<br>section heading<br>will be checked.  |
| J(19)  | PSE may adopt line extension policies that are designed to encourage<br>(and particularly not discourage) builders, developers, and end-use<br>customers to select a heating fuel that is most resource efficient and<br>adopt construction practices that exceed current energy codes.  | No CRAG<br>specific role | Policies are documented and are publicly<br>accessible at PSE.com: electric Schedule 85<br>and gas Schedules 7, 107 and 307.  |   | $\checkmark$   |
| Effective 2014, r  | o longer SECTION K of Docket No UE-100177. Now, "Commission Ord  | der 01, Docket No        | o. UE-132043".  |   |  |
| Introduction,<br>WAC 480-109-<br>100 (2)                             | Ten-Year Potential, Two-Year Target By January 1, 2010, and every two years thereafter, a utility must project its cumulative ten-year conservation potential. (a) This projection must consider all available conservation resources that are cost-effective, reliable, and feasible. (b) This projection must be derived from the utility's most recent IRP, including any information learned in its subsequent resource acquisition process, or the utility must document the reasons for any differences. When developing this projection, utilities must use methodologies that are consistent with those used in the Northwest Conservation and Electric Power Plan. (c) The projection must include a list of each measure used in the potential, its unit energy savings value, and the source of that value. | Review                   | The BCP is filed.   | Completed   | ~  |





| Section or                |  |                            | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>( As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the   |  | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| Condition                 | Detailed Condition   | CRAG Role                  | past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status  | Progress<br>X = Non-compliant   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100 (3)   | <ul> <li>(3) Biennial conservation target. Beginning January 2010, and every two years thereafter, a utility must establish a biennial conservation target.</li> <li>(a) The biennial conservation target must identify, and quantify in megawatt-hours, all available conservation that is cost-effective, reliable, and feasible.</li> <li>(b) The biennial conservation target must be no lower than a pro rata share of the utility's ten-year conservation potential.</li> </ul>  | Review                     | The BCP is filed.  | Completed  | ~   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(3)(c) | Excess conservation. No more than twenty-five percent of any biennial target may be met with excess conservation savings allowed by this subsection. Excess conservation may only be used to mitigate shortfalls in the immediately subsequent two biennia and may not be used to adjust a utility's ten-year conservation potential or biennial target.   | Review                     | The BCR is filed and approved by the Commission.   | Pending filing of the 2014-2015 BCR.                                 | 3   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(3)(c) | (i) Cost-effective conservation achieved in excess of a biennial<br>conservation target may be used to meet up to twenty percent of each<br>of the immediately subsequent two biennial targets.  | No CRAG<br>specific role   |  | Not a deliverable requirement  | No deliverable.   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(3)(c) | (ii) A utility may use single large facility conservation savings achieved<br>in excess of its biennial target to meet up to five percent of each of the<br>immediately subsequent two biennial conservation targets.  | No CRAG<br>specific role   |  | Not a deliverable requirement  | No deliverable.   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(3)(c) | (iii) Until December 31, 2017, a utility with an industrial facility located<br>in a county with a population between ninety-five thousand and one<br>hundred fifteen thousand that is directly interconnected with electricity<br>facilities that are capable of carrying electricity at transmission voltage,<br>may use cost-effective excess conservation savings from that<br>industrial facility to meet the subsequent two biennial conservation<br>targets. For purposes of this subsection, transmission voltage is<br>100,000 volts or higher.   | No CRAG<br>specific role   |  | Not a deliverable requirement  | No deliverable.   |
| (1)(a)                    | Puget Sound Energy's 2014-2023 ten-year achievable electric conservation potential of 2,730,408 megawatt-hours (311.7 average megawatts), and Puget Sound Energy's 2014-2015 biennial conservation target of 485,770 megawatt-hours (55.5 average megawatts) at the customer meter, identified in Puget Sound Energy's 2014-2015 Biennial Conservation Plan (BCP) filed on November 1, 2013, are approved with conditions pursuant to RCW 19.285.040(1)(e) and WAC 480-109-120(5). This approval is subject to the Conditions in this Order, and not those in Section K of the EIA Settlement filed September 3, 2010 and approved by the Commission in Order 05 in Docket UE-100177, nor the Conditions listed in Order 01 docket No. UE-111881, shall apply to Puget Sound Energy's 2014-2023 Ten-Year Achievable Conservation Parget. |                            |  |  | Not applicable<br>No deliverable  |
| (1)(b)                    | As part of Puget Sound Energy's biennial conservation acquisition<br>efforts, Puget Sound Energy will continue to pursue regional electric<br>market transformation, in collaboration with funding from other parties<br>and with other strategic market partners in this biennium that:   |                            |  |  | Not applicable<br>No deliverable  |
| (1)(b)(i)                 | Complements Puget Sound Energy's energy efficiency programs, services, and measures,   | Review, Advise,<br>Comment | PSE presents it NEEA plans in annual<br>conservation plan filings.   | PSE included the NEEA plan in the 2015<br>ACP and the 2016-2017 BCP. |   |
| (1)(b)(ii)                | Achieve long-term cost-effective, reliable, and feasible electric energy<br>savings. Cost effectiveness will be consistent with methodologies<br>described in Paragraph (10) below, and will be developed by the<br>regional market transformation entity or entities. Puget Sound Energy<br>will conduct cost-effectiveness tests independently of the regional<br>market transformation entity or entities.  | Review, Advise,<br>Comment | PSE provides NEEA results in Annual Report<br>Exhibit 10.  | PSE included 2014 NEEA results in its<br>Annual Report, Exhibit 10.  | <   |



Last Updated: 2/25/2016



| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number      | Detailed Condition  | CRAG Role                    | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>( As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status   | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| UE-121697<br>UG-121705<br>(Decoupling) | Section D.2.c, ¶ 108: [] PSE does, however, agree to participate in the Northwest Energy Efficiency Alliance study on gas conservation.<br>[]   | Review, Advise,<br>Comment   | NEEA indicates that gas initiatives are having<br>an effect on the market and that<br>transformation is underway.  | PSE is a major funder of the NEEA gas market transformation initiative.   | <  |
| (2)                                    | Nothing within this Agreement relieves PSE of the sole responsibility<br>for complying with RCW 19.285 and WAC 480-109, which requires<br>PSE to use methodologies consistent with those used by the Pacific<br>Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Planning Council<br>("Council"). Specifically, the conditions regarding the need for a high<br>degree of transparency, and communication and consultation with<br>external stakeholders, diminish neither PSE's operational authority nor<br>its ultimate responsibility for meeting the biennial conservation target<br>approved herein. |                              | PSE provides program details in its<br>Conservation Plans with corresponding<br>information in its Annual Reports.   | PSE has added periodic CRAG newsletters to enhance and sustain transparency.  | ~  |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(9)                 | Utility incentives. A utility may propose to the commission positive<br>incentives designed to stimulate the utility to exceed its biennial<br>conservation target as identified in RCW 19.285.060(4). Any proposed<br>utility incentive must be included in the utility's biennial conservation<br>plan.   | No CRAG<br>specific role     |  | Not a deliverable requirement   | No deliverable.  |
| Advisory Gro                           | pup   |                              |  |   |  |
| (3)(a)                                 | PSE must maintain and use an external conservation Advisory Group<br>of stakeholders to advise the Company on the topics described in<br>subparagraphs (i) through (ix) below. To meet this condition, PSE<br>shall continue to use its Conservation Resources Advisory Group<br>(CRAG), initially created under Docket UE-011570 and UG-011571,<br>and its Integrated Resource Planning Advisory Group created under<br>WAC 480-100-238. The Advisory Groups shall address but are not<br>limited to the following issues:   | No CRAG<br>specific role     |  |   | ~  |
| WAC 480-109-<br>110(1)                 | (a) [A utility must maintain and use an external conservation advisory groupto advise on]<br>Conservation programs and measures.  | Review                       | PSE provides the dates of comment<br>opportunities are provided  | Completed. Numerous CRAG meetings,<br>emails and newsletter provided throughout<br>2014 & 2015.                           | <  |
|  | (1) Updates to the evaluation, measurement, and verification (EM&V)<br>framework as implemented by PSE which guides its approach to<br>evaluation, measurement, and verification of energy savings. This<br>framework must be reflected in the Biennial Conservation Plan for the<br>next biennium; and   | Review &<br>Advise           | The EM&V framework is filed on November 1, 2015.   | Provided to CRAG in draft BCP, October 1,<br>2015.  | <  |
| (3)(a)(i)(2)                           | (2) Modification of existing or development of new EM&V conservation<br>protocols based on PSE's current evaluation, measurement and<br>verification approach.  | Review &<br>Advise           | PSE provides the dates of comment opportunities are provided   | Provided to CRAG in draft BCP, October 1,<br>2015.  | $\checkmark$   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>110(1)                 | <ul> <li>(i)(3) Review appropriate level of and planning for - Impact, market,<br/>and process evaluations.</li> </ul>  | Review                       | PSE reviews evaluation status at CRAG meetings, emails and newsletters   | Completed.  | <b>~</b>   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>110(1)                 | (d) Independent third-party evaluation of portfolio-level biennial<br>conservation achievement.   | Review and advise            | Independent, 3rd party provides biennial<br>BECAR.   | 2014-2015 BECAR underway, with<br>completion expected in April 2016. PSE<br>has kept the CRAG abreast of<br>developments. | 3  |
| (3)(a)(ii)                             | Development of conservation potential assessments under RCW 19.285.040(1)(a) and WAC 480-109-110(1).  | Review &<br>Advise           | PSE provides "IRP filed on _/ "  | Provided in 2016-2017 BCP as Exhibit i.   | ✓  |
| (3)(a)(iii)                            | Guidance to PSE regarding methodology inputs and calculations for<br>updating cost-effectiveness.   | Review, Advise<br>& Guidance | When a simple majority of CRAG members<br>express agreement or consensus on the cost<br>and benefit inputs definitions and sources<br>PSE will use for the TRC & UC.   | Exhibit 2 provides all CE inputs, included in 2016-2017 BCP filing.   | $\checkmark$   |



Last Updated: 2/25/2016



|                                   |  |   |   |  | Complete?   |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number | Detailed Condition   | CRAG Role   | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>(As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.)   | Compliance Status  | Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
| (3)(a)(iv)                        | Review the market assessments and the data values used in updating<br>PSE's supply curves.   | Review &<br>Advise                                  | Market assessment analyses are presented as part of the IRP.  | IRP results presented to CRAG in May 21 meeting, as well as IRPAG and TAG meetings.  |   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>110(1)            | (g) The data sources and values used to develop and update supply curves.  | Review  | PSE provides the CRAG with IRP and CPA input background   | Completed. PSE reviewed inputs during<br>IRPAG, TAG and May 21, 2015 CRAG<br>meeting.  | <b>~</b>  |
| (3)(a)(v)                         | Review need for tariff modifications or mid-course program corrections.  | Review need for                                     | PSE documents the date of the first CRAG<br>notification of a possible mid-course program<br>correction or need of tariff modification.   | Presented tariff revisions to CRAG in<br>September 17 2015 CRAG meeting  | <   |
| (3)(a)(vi)(1)                     | Review appropriate level of and planning for - Marketing conservation<br>programs.   | Review, Advise,<br>Comment, &<br>Hear Updates       | PSE documents the date of the review.   | Marketing overview provided in 2015 ACP<br>& in May 21 CRAG meetings   | <   |
| (3)(a)(vi)(2)                     | Review appropriate level of and planning for - Incentives to customers<br>for measures and services.   | Review &<br>Advise,<br>Periodically<br>examine      | Exhibit 4 revisions are presented to CRAG on<br>an as-needed basis. Measure detail is<br>provided in the BCP.   | Updated Exhibit 4 included in 2015 ACP<br>and 2016-2017 BCP. Addtitional details<br>are provided in 2016-2017 Exhibit 5.   |   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>110(1)(i)         | (3) Impact, market, and process evaluations.   | Review  | PSE provides Exhibit 6: Evaluation Plan   | Completed. PSE reviewed evaluation<br>udpates with the CRAG at some CRAG<br>meetings and provided Exhibit 6:<br>Evaluation Plan in 2016-2017 BCP. Also<br>provided all previous-year evaluations in<br>2014 Annual Report. | ~   |
| (3)(a)(vii)                       | Consideration of issues related to conservation programs for<br>customers with low-income.   | Review &<br>Consider Issues                         | ACP/BCP is acknowledged by Commission.  | LIW details provided to CRAG in draft<br>Exhibit 3   | <   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>110(1)            | (k) Establishment of the biennial conservation target and  | Review &<br>Comment                                 | PSE provides the BCP  | Completed.   | <b>~</b>  |
| (3)(a)(viii)                      | Program achievement results with annual and biennial targets.  | Review  | The hard copy Annual Report is mailed to<br>CRAG members.   | 2014 Annual Report provided details of<br>results. Updates to budgets and savings<br>provided in May 21 CRAG meeting.  | $\checkmark$  |
| (3)(a)(ix)                        | Review conservation program budgets; and review the actual<br>expenditures compared to the program budgets. PSE shall inform the<br>CRAG members when its projected expenditures indicate that the<br>Company will spend more than 120% or less than 80% of its annual<br>conservation budget.   | Review &<br>Advise and be<br>Informed               | <ol> <li>Q3 forecast is provided, clearly indicating<br/>an estimated spend-vsbudget ratio,<br/>regardless of expected expenses or savings<br/>ratios.</li> <li>If portfolio-level spending is forecast to be<br/>&gt;120% or &lt;80%, PSE will provide driver<br/>explanations.</li> </ol> | Periodic CRAG newsletters provide<br>updates, along with program status<br>updates in regular CRAG meetings.   | ~   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>110(1)            | (m) Development and implementation of new and pilot programs.  | Review &<br>Comment                                 | PSE provides program updates and the BCP  | Completed.   | <   |
| (3)(b)                            | The CRAG shall meet face-to-face at least semi-annually to hear<br>updates, review program modifications, or consider need for revisions.<br>In addition, the CRAG shall meet at least two additional times per year<br>through conference calls or face-to-face meetings. CRAG members<br>may call meetings at any time with sufficient notice for meeting<br>attendance. PSE shall make arrangements to hold a meeting within 2<br>weeks from the date of the request. | Shall Meet,<br>Hear, Review,<br>Consider,<br>Advise | The Annual Reports are published March 1<br>each year, providing summaries of CRAG<br>meetings.   | 4 CRAG meetings in 2014. 4 CRAG meeting completed in 2015.   | ~   |
|                                   | The Company will provide the CRAG an electronic copy of all tariff<br>filings related to programs funded by the Electric Conservation Service<br>Rider that the Company plans to submit to the Commission at least<br>two months before any proposed effective date. This condition does<br>not apply to a general rate case filing.   | Receive Filings                                     | PSE documents the date of providing the CRAG with the draft tariff filing.  | Tariff updates provided in 2016-2017 BCP,<br>Exhibit 11, and at September 17 CRAG<br>meeting.  | ~   |



Last Updated: 2/25/2016



| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number                       | Detailed Condition   | CRAG Role   | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>(As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status   | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| (3)(d)  | Puget Sound Energy will notify CRAG members of public meetings<br>scheduled to address Puget Sound Energy's integrated resource plan.<br>Puget Sound Energy will also provide CRAG members with an<br>opportunity to meet with the entity conducting the conservation<br>potential assessment regarding the scope and design of the study, as<br>well as the assumptions and relevant information utilized in the<br>development of Puget Sound Energy's integrated resource plan as<br>they apply to development and/or modification of the ten-year<br>conservation potential as requested through the integrated resource<br>plan public process. | Receive<br>Notifications of<br>IRPAG mtgs.,<br>Review<br>assumptions &<br>relevant info &<br>Advise | Appendix A of the IRP provides a discussion<br>of the IRPAG and CRAG, along with meeting<br>dates with summaries.<br>The CRAG is copied on all IRPAG meeting<br>notifications.  | Completed; CRAG members notified of all<br>public IRP meetings.   | ~  |
| Annual Budg   | ets  |   |   |   |  |
| (4)(a)  | PSE must submit annual budgets to the Commission each year. The<br>submissions must include program-level detail that shows planned<br>expenses and the resulting projected energy savings. In odd-<br>numbered years, the annual budget may be submitted as part of the<br>Biennial Conservation Plan required under Paragraph 8(f) below. In<br>even-numbered years, the annual budget may be submitted as part of<br>the Annual Conservation Plan required under Paragraph 8(b) below.<br>The Annual Conservation Plan will include program descriptions and<br>annual budget details as contained in the BCP.                                    | Review &<br>Advise  | PSE mails hard copy versions to CRAG members  | The CRAG is provided USB drives with<br>electronic versions of Conservation Plans<br>and Annual Reports. Annual budgets are<br>included in the 2016-2017 BCP. | ~  |
| UG-011571<br>(2001 Rate<br>Case<br>Stipulation)<br>E.14 | Electric and gas conservation annual savings targets and budgets will<br>be periodically adusted as presented below in Tables A-1 and A-2.   |   | PSE provides Annual or Biennial<br>Conservation Plans.  | 2015 ACP filed on November 1, 2014.<br>2016-2017 BCP draft presented to CRAG<br>on October 1, with final filing made on<br>October 30, 2015.                  | ~  |
| U-072375<br>(Merger)<br>Appendix A                      | PSE and Puget Holding commit to maintain existing low-income<br>programs or as such program may be modified in any future<br>proceeding. In addition, the Joint Applicants commit to increase the<br>budgeted funding of low-income energy efficiency programs in future<br>years at a level commensurate with increased in funding for energy<br>efficiency programs for other residential customers through the CRAG<br>process.   | Review  | Annual Biennial Conservation programs are filed.  | Ongoing   | ~  |
| UE-121697<br>UG-121705<br>(Decoupling)                  | Section F, ¶ 178: [] The settlement included an additional \$500,000<br>for low-income energy efficiency. []   | Review,<br>comment  | The ACP is filed with UTC and PSE mails hard-<br>copy (or flash drive) versions to CRAG<br>members.   | The Conservation Plans included an additional \$500,000 in LIW funding  | <  |
| UE-121697<br>UG-121705<br>(Decoupling)                  | Section F, ¶ 178: [] In addition, PSE's investors offered to provide<br>\$100,000 per year for low-income energy efficiency funding. []  | Review,<br>comment  | The ACP is filed with UTC and PSE mails hard-<br>copy (or flash drive) versions to CRAG<br>members.   | The Conservation Plans included an<br>additional \$100,000 in LIW shareholder<br>funding.   | ✓  |
| (4)(b)  | PSE must provide its proposed budget in a detailed format with a<br>summary page indicating the proposed budget and savings levels for<br>each electric conservation program, and subsequent supporting<br>spreadsheets providing further detail for each program and line item<br>shown in the summary sheet.   |   | PSE documents the date on which the budget is provided to the CRAG.   | Exhibit 1 in the 2015 ACP included detailed budgets.  | ✓  |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(4)                                  | Prudence. A utility retains the responsibility to demonstrate the<br>prudence of all conservation expenditures, consistent with RCW<br>19.285.050(2).  | Review  | PSE files its Annual Reports  | Completed. PSE provided its 2013 Annual<br>Report in March 2014 and its 2014 Annual<br>Report in March 2015.  | ~  |
| Program Deta  | ails   |   |   |   |  |
| (5)   | Puget Sound Energy must maintain its conservation tariffs, with<br>program descriptions, on file with the Commission. Program details<br>about specific measures, incentives, and eligibility requirements must<br>be filed and updated in the Annual Conservation Plan in this Docket.<br>Puget Sound Energy may propose other methods for managing its<br>program details in the Biennial Conservation Plan required under<br>Paragraph (8)(d) below, after consultation with the CRAG as provided<br>in Paragraph (9)(b) below.   | Review  | PSE provides to the CRAG the date(s) on which the Exhibits were updated.  | 2015 Exhibit 4 provided in 2015 ACP filing.<br>Exhibits 3 and 4 included in 2016-2017<br>BCP.   | ~  |
| Strategies for  | Selecting & Evaluating   |   |   |   |  |





| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number                         | Detailed Condition  | CRAG Role                                       | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>(As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status   | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| (6)(a)  | PSE has identified a number of potential conservation measures<br>described in the BCP. The Commission is not obligated to accept<br>savings identified in the BCP for purposes of compliance with RCW<br>19.285. PSE must demonstrate the prudence and cost-effectiveness<br>of its conservation programs to the Commission after the savings are<br>achieved. See RCW 19.285.040(1)(d).   | No CRAG<br>specific role                        | The data is filed as an Exhibit in the Annual<br>Report.  | 4 supplements to Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2<br>provide spending and cost-effectiveness<br>details. | 3  |
| (6)(b)  | Except as provided in Paragraph (6)(c) below, Puget Sound Energy<br>must use the Council's Regional Technical Forum's ("RTF's") "unit<br>energy savings" ("UES") and approved methods and protocols for<br>electricity measures, and distribution efficiency. As of the date of this<br>Agreement, the RTF maintains a Web site at<br>http://www.nwcouncil.org/energy/rtf/.   | No CRAG<br>specific role                        | The list of prescriptive measures, indicating<br>the savings type, is provided as an appendix<br>to the Annual Report.  | Exhibit 5 of the 2015 ACP details those<br>prescriptive measures that use RTF values.           | ✓  |
| (6)(c)  | If Puget Sound Energy uses savings estimates, methods or protocols<br>that differ from those established by the RTF, such estimates,<br>methods or protocols must be based on generally accepted impact<br>evaluation data and/or other reliable and relevant source data that has<br>verified savings levels, and be presented to the CRAG for comment.  | Review and<br>Comment                           | PSE presents Exhibit 4 of its Annual Report.  | Exhibit 5 of the 2015 ACP details those<br>prescriptive measures that use PSE<br>Deemed values. | ✓  |
| Policy  | We also ask (utilities) to standardize the unit energy savings (UES) values for natural gas measures, as the Council's Regional Technical Forum does for the electric sector.   | Review &<br>Advise                              | All prescriptive measure UES values' sources<br>of savings are documented   | UES value SoS documentation's<br>consistency has dramatically increased<br>since 2012           | <  |
| ¶ 49,<br>Commission<br>Policy<br>Statement, UG-<br>121207 | A reasonable first step towards this standardization is for each natural gas utility to file an annual or biennial conservation plan, and for each natural gas utility to publish its technical workbooks supporting the calculation of UES values on the Northwest Energy Efficiency Association's ConduitNW.org website.  | Review &<br>Advise                              | Annual gas conservation plans are filed and gas UES values are posted on Conduit  | Gas UES values were posted on Conduit site in early March 2014                                  | <  |
| (6)(d)  | When Puget Sound Energy proposes a new program tariff schedule, it<br>must present it to the CRAG for comment with program details fully<br>defined. After consultation with the CRAG in accordance with<br>Paragraph (3) above, PSE must file a revision to its Annual<br>Conservation Plan in this Docket. The revision may be acknowledged<br>by placement on the Commission's No Action Open Meeting agenda.  | Andy<br>Hemstreet:<br>Now address<br>by WAC 480 | od Act Cabadula and ACD southing are find.  | Not applicable in 2014-2015. No new tariff<br>Schedules filed. Completed                        | ✓  |
| (6)(e)  | PSE must provide opportunities for the CRAG to review and advise on the development of evaluation, measurement and verification protocols for conservation programs. See Paragraph 3(a)(i) above.   | Review &<br>Advise                              | PSE documents the dates on which EM&V<br>protocol drafts were provided to the CRAG<br>and 30 days after the final draft is presented.   | Completed. PSE provided the CRAG a draft EM&V Framework on October 1, 2015                      | <  |
| (6)(f)  | PSE must spend a reasonable amount of its conservation budget on<br>EM&V, including a reasonable proportion on independent, third-party<br>EM&V. PSE must perform EM&V annually, on a four-year schedule of<br>selected programs such that, over the EM&V cycle, all major programs<br>are covered. The EM&V function includes impact, process, market<br>and cost test analyses. The results must verify the level at which<br>claimed energy savings have occurred, evaluate the existing internal<br>review processes, and suggest improvements to the program and<br>ongoing EM&V processes. Evaluation reports involving analysis of<br>both program impacts and process impacts of the programs evaluated<br>in the prior year must be part of the Annual Report on Conservation<br>Acquisition described in Paragraphs (8)(c) and (g) below. | No CRAG<br>specific role                        | The Annual Report is filed.   | Proportions of EM&V are indicated in<br>Exhibit 1   | ~  |



Last Updated: 2/25/2016



| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number        | Detailed Condition  | CRAG Role                    | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>(As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status   | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
|--|---|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| (6)(g)                                   | An independent third-party review of portfolio-level electric energy savings reported by Puget Sound Energy for the 2014-2015 biennial period, from existing conservation programs operated during that period, shall be conducted. The independent third-party reviewer shall be selected through an RFP process, unless unanimously agreed by the CRAG. The review will be funded by the Puget Sound Energy Electric Conservation Service Rider. The review will be managed by UTC and Puget Sound Energy staff with input on the scope, cost, RFP development, reviewer selection and ongoing oversight by the CRAG. A final report for the entire 2014-2015 biennium shall be submitted as part of Puget Sound Energy 's two-year report on conservation program achievement, required by Paragraph (8)(e) below. The report shall be finalized and made available no later than June 2016 and may be implemented in phases and delivered as a final product at an earlier date, as needed by Puget Sound Energy. | Input & Ongoing<br>Oversight | <ul><li>(1) the evaluator is selected</li><li>(2) when the initial report is provided and</li><li>(3) when the final report is provided.</li></ul>  | 2014-2015 review underway   |  |
| Program Des                              | ign & Implementation  |                              |   |   |  |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(1)                   | (a) Process. A utility's obligation to pursue all available conservation<br>that is cost-effective, reliable, and feasible includes the following<br>process:   |                              |   |   | Title Only<br>Not applicable<br>No deliverable   |
|  | <ul> <li>(i) Identify potential. Identify the cost-effective, reliable, and feasible<br/>potential of possible technologies and conservation measures in the<br/>utility's service territory.</li> </ul>  | Review &<br>Advise           | PSE files its BCP   | Completed. PSE filed its 2016-2017 BCP<br>on October 20, 2015   | <  |
|  | (ii) Develop portfolio. Develop a conservation portfolio that includes all<br>available, cost-effective, reliable, and feasible conservation. A utility<br>must develop programs to acquire available conservation from all of<br>the types of conservation identified in subsection (b) of this section. If<br>no cost-effective, reliable and feasible conservation is available from<br>one of the types of conservation, a utility is not obligated to acquire<br>such a resource.  | Review &<br>Advise           | PSE files its BCP   | Completed. PSE filed its 2016-2017 BCP<br>on October 20, 2015   | ~  |
|  | (iii) Implement programs. Implement conservation programs identified<br>in the portfolio to the extent the portfolio remains cost-effective,<br>reliable, and feasible. Implementation methods shall not unnecessarily<br>limit the acquisition of all available conservation that is cost-effective,<br>reliable and feasible.   | Review &<br>Advise           | PSE files its BCP   | Completed. PSE filed its 2016-2017 BCP<br>on October 20, 2015   | ~  |
| (7)(a)                                   | All Sectors Included — PSE must offer a mix of tariff-based programs<br>that ensure it is serving each customer sector, including programs<br>targeted to the low-income subset of residential customers.<br>Modifications to the programs must be filed with the Commission as<br>revisions to tariffs or as revisions to PSE's Annual Conservation Plan,<br>as appropriate.   | None                         | PSE provides program details in its<br>Conservation Plans and Annual Reports.   | All sectors included in 2016-2017 BCP.  | ✓  |
| U-072375<br>(Merger)<br>Appendix A<br>23 | PSE and Puget Holdings commit to continue to work with low-income<br>agencies to address issues of low-income customers.  | Revew                        | PSE files its Annual Conservation Reports   | 2014 Annual Report provided LIW details.  | $\checkmark$   |
| (7)(b)                                   | Outreach on Programs — PSE must establish a strategy and<br>proposed implementation budget for informing participants about<br>program opportunities in the relevant market channels for each of its<br>energy efficiency programs. PSE must share these strategies and<br>budgets with the CRAG for review and comments, and provide<br>updates at CRAG meetings.  | No CRAG<br>specific role     | The outreach strategy and budgets have been<br>shared and reviewed with the CRAG in a face-<br>to-face CRAG meeting.  | PSE includes a discussion of its outreach<br>efforts in the Energy Efficient Communities<br>sections of its Annual Reports. PSE also<br>provided an outreach overview at the<br>October 9, 2014 CRAG meeting. Outreach<br>is extensively discussed in the 2016-2017<br>BCP. | <  |





|                                   |  |                          |   |   | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|---|---|
| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number | Detailed Condition   | CRAG Role                | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>(As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status   | Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant                   |
| (7)(c)                            | Incentives and Conservation Program Implementation — PSE must<br>offer a cost-effective portfolio of programs in order to achieve all<br>available conservation that is cost-effective, reliable, and feasible.<br>Programs, program services, and incentives may be directed to<br>consumers, retailers, manufacturers, trade allies or other relevant<br>market actors as appropriate for measures or activities that lead to<br>electric energy savings. Incentive levels and other methods of<br>encouraging energy conservation need to be periodically examined to<br>ensure that they are neither too high nor too low. Incentive levels and<br>implementation methods should not unnecessarily limit the acquisition<br>of all available conservation that is cost-effective, reliable, and<br>feasible. PSE shall work with the CRAG to establish appropriate<br>penetration levels consistent with Council methodology and the<br>Energy Independence Act. | Review                   | PSE files applicable program Exhibits,<br>including but not limited to Exhibit 3 (Program<br>Details) and Exhibit 4 (Measures, Incentives &<br>Eligibility)   | Program details are provided in the 2015<br>ACP, the 2014 Annual Report, and the<br>2016-2017 BCP.                            | ~   |
| (7)(d)                            | Conservation Efforts without Approved EM&V Protocol — Puget<br>Sound Energy may spend up to ten (10) percent of its conservation<br>budget on programs whose savings impact has not yet been<br>measured, as long as the overall portfolio of conservation passes the<br>Total Resource Cost (TRC) test as modified by the Council. These<br>programs may include information-only, behavior change, and pilot<br>projects.  | No CRAG<br>specific role | PSE indicates the proportion of information-<br>only/non-savings functions and activities in<br>blue highlighting in Exhibit 1's portfolio view.  | The 2015 ACP indicated that information-<br>only spending was 7.1% overall. 2016-<br>2017 planned info-only spending is 6.6%. |   |
| (7)(d)(i)                         | Information-only services refers to those information services that are<br>not associated with an active incentive program or that include no on-<br>site technical assistance or on-site delivery of school education<br>programs. Information-only services and behavior change services<br>shall be assigned no quantifiable energy savings value without full<br>support of the CRAG.  | No CRAG<br>specific role | PSE documents the date and voting results of<br>the CRAG for proposed savings claims on<br>information-oriented measures.   |   | Only the condition<br>section heading<br>will be checked.         |
| (7)(d)(ii)                        | If quantifiable energy savings have been identified and Commission-<br>approved for any aspect of such programs, the budget associated with<br>that aspect of the program will no longer be subject to this ten percent<br>spending restriction.   | No CRAG<br>specific role | PSE provides the date on which it provides its<br>updated calculation of spending on<br>information-oriented programs to the CRAG.  |   | Only the condition<br>section heading<br>will be checked.         |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(1)(a)         | (iv) Adaptively manage. Continuously review and update as<br>appropriate the conservation portfolio to adapt to changing market<br>conditions and developing technologies. A utility must research<br>emerging conservation technologies, and assess the potential of such<br>technologies for implementation in its service territory.  | Review                   | PSE files its BCP   | Completed. PSE filed its 2016-2017 BCP<br>on October 20, 2015   | ~   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(1)(b)         | Types. Types of conservation include, but are not limited to:<br>(i) End-use efficiency;<br>(ii) Behavioral programs;<br>(iii) High-efficiency cogeneration;<br>(iv) Production efficiency;<br>(v) Distribution efficiency; and<br>(vi) Market transformation.   | Review                   | PSE files its BCP   | Completed. PSE filed its 2016-2017 BCP<br>on October 20, 2015   | ~   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(1)(c)         | Pilots. A utility must implement pilot projects when appropriate and<br>expected to produce cost-effective savings within the current or<br>immediately subsequent biennium, as long as the overall portfolio<br>remains cost-effective.   | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |   | No deliverable<br>requirement                                     |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(6)            | High efficiency cogeneration. A utility may count as conservation<br>savings a portion of the electricity output of a high efficiency<br>cogeneration facility in its service territory that is owned by a retail<br>electric customer and used by that customer to meet its heat and<br>electricity needs. Heat and electricity output provided to anyone other<br>than the facility owner is not available for consideration in determining<br>conservation savings. High efficiency cogeneration savings must be<br>certified by a professional engineer licensed by the Washington<br>department of licensing.   | No specific<br>CRAG role |   |   | No deliverable<br>requirement                                     |
| Reporting &                       | Filing   |                          |   |   |   |
|                                   | By December 1, of each even-numbered year, the following year's<br>Annual Conservation Plan (ACP), containing any changes to program<br>details and an annual budget with a requested acknowledgement date<br>of January 1, of that following year. The Annual Conservation Plan<br>may be acknowledged by placement on the Commission's No Action<br>Open Meeting agenda. A draft will be provided to the CRAG by<br>November 1, of the even-numbered year.   | Review &<br>Comment      | The ACP is filed with UTC and PSE mails hard-<br>copy (or flash drive) versions to CRAG<br>members.   | 2015 ACP filed November 1 2014  | ~   |



Last Updated: 2/25/2016



| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number<br>WAC 480-109-<br>120 (2)<br>(8)(b) | Detailed Condition<br>On or before November 15th of each even-numbered year, a utility<br>must file with the commission, in the same docket as its current<br>biennial conservation plan, an annual conservation plan containing<br>any changes to program details and annual budget.<br>An Annual Report on Conservation Acquisition for the previous year,<br>including an evaluation of cost-effectiveness and comparing budgets<br>to actual, by March 1, of the following year.  | CRAG Role<br>Review &<br>Comment<br>Review &<br>Comment Prior<br>To Filing | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>(As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.)<br>PSE files its Annual Conservation Plan<br>The Annual Report is filed and hard copy/flash<br>drive versions are provided to the CRAG.<br>PSE responds to all filing questions. | Compliance Status<br>Not applicable to 2014-2015 Biennium.<br>Will track for 2016-2017.<br>Annual Report filed February 27, 2015 | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant<br>Not Applicable |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| WAC 480-109-<br>120 (3)  | <ul> <li>(a) On or before June 1st of each year, a utility must file with the commission, in the same docket as its current biennial conservation plan, an annual conservation report regarding its progress in meeting its conservation target during the preceding year.</li> <li>(b) The annual conservation report must include, but is not limited to: <ul> <li>(i) The biennial conservation target.</li> <li>(ii) Planned and claimed electricity savings from conservation, including a description of the key sources of variance between the planned and actual expenditures made to acquire conservation through the conservation recovery adjustment clause described in WAC 480-109-130.</li> <li>(iv) The portfolio- and program-level cost-effectiveness of the actual electricity savings from conservation.</li> <li>(v) All program evaluations completed in the preceding year.</li> <li>(c) A utility must submit to the department a conservation report as described in WAC 194-37-060, and file a copy of that report with the commission in the same docket as its current biennial conservation plan.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Review &<br>Comment  | Andy Hemstreet:<br>The 2014 Annual<br>Report was<br>consistent with the<br>conditionment.  | PSE filed its 2014 Annual Report in March 2015.  | ~  |
| ¶ 48,<br>Commission<br>Policy Statement,<br>UG-121207                  | We ask that Commission staff, utilities and stakeholders standardize<br>the format of biennial and annual conservation plans, and the<br>schedule of when the utilities file these plans with the Commission  | Review and comment   | Regulatory Stakeholders acknowledge the<br>value of PSE's reporting consistency  | PSE has used the same reporting and<br>planning documentation formatting for<br>several years.                                   | ✓  |
| (8)(c)   | Revisions to cost recovery tariff (Schedule 120) by March 1 each<br>year, with requested effective date of May 1 of that same year.   | Review &<br>Comment Prior<br>To Filing                                     | PSE files Schedule 120.  | Schedule 120 filed February 27, 2015   |  |
| (8)(d)   | A report identifying its ten-year achievable potential and its biennial<br>conservation target (Biennial Conservation Plan, or BCP), including<br>revised program details and program tariffs by November 1, every odd<br>year, requesting an effective date of January 1, of the following, even<br>year. In addition to the usual customer-based measures, the plan will<br>also include both distribution and generation energy efficiency<br>program plans as required by RCW 19.285. Prior to filing the Biennial<br>Conservation Plan, Puget Sound Energy shall provide the following<br>information to the CRAG: draft ten-year conservation potential and two-<br>year target by August 1, of that same odd year; draft program details,<br>including budgets, by September 1, of the same year; and draft<br>program tariffs by October 1, of the same year.   | Review &<br>Comment Prior<br>To Filing                                     | The BCP is filed and hard copy/flash drive copies are provided to the CRAG.  | 2016-2017 BCP filed October 29, 2015   | ~  |





| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number | Detailed Condition  | CRAG Role                | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>(As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status   | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| WAC 480-109-<br>120 (1)           | <ul> <li>(a) On or before November 1st of every odd-numbered year, a utility must file with the commission a biennial conservation plan.</li> <li>(b) The plan must include, but is not limited to: <ul> <li>(i) A request that the commission approve its ten-year conservation potential and biennial conservation target.</li> <li>(ii) The extent of public participation in the development of the tenyear conservation potential and the biennial conservation target.</li> <li>(iii) The ten-year conservation potential, the biennial conservation target, biennial program details, biennial program budgets, and cost-effectiveness calculations.</li> <li>(iv) A description of the technologies, data collection, processes, procedures and assumptions the utility used to develop the figures in (b)(iii) of this subsection.</li> <li>(v) A description of an support for any changes from the assumptions or methodologies used in the utility's most recent conservation, potential assessment.</li> <li>(vi) An evaluation, measurement, and verification plan for the biennium including, but not limited to:</li> <li>(A) The evaluation, measurement, and verification budget; and (C) Identification of programs that will be evaluated during the biennium.</li> <li>(c) For the purposes of this section, ten-year conservation potential is derived pursuant to WAC 480-109-100(2).</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Review &<br>Comment      | PSE files its BCP   | Completed. PSE filed its 2016-2017 BCP<br>on October 29, 2015 | ~  |
| (8)(e)                            | Two-year report on conservation program achievement (Biennial<br>Conservation Report, or BCR) by June 1, every even year. This filing<br>is the one required in WAC 480-109-120(4) and RCW 19.285.070,<br>which require that the report also be filed with the Washington<br>Department of Commerce.  | No CRAG<br>specific role | The report is filed.  |   | 3  |
| WAC 480-109-<br>120(4)            | <ul> <li>(a) On or before June 1st of each even-numbered year, a utility must file with the commission, in the same docket as its current biennial conservation plan, a biennial conservation report regarding its progress in meeting its conservation target during the preceding two years.</li> <li>(b) The biennial conservation report must include: <ul> <li>(i) The biennial conservation target;</li> <li>(ii) Planned and claimed electricity savings from conservation;</li> <li>(iii) Budgeted and actual expenditures made to acquire conservation;</li> <li>(iv) The portfolio-level cost-effectiveness of the actual electricity savings from conservation savings achievement;</li> <li>(v) An independent third-party evaluation of portfolio-level biennial conservation savings achievement;</li> <li>(vi) A summary of the steps taken to adaptively manage conservation report to its customers by bill insert or other suitable method within ninety days of the commission's final action on the report.</li> <li>(d) A utility must provide a summary of the biennial conservation report to its customers by bill insert or other suitable method within ninety days of the commission's final action on the report.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  | Review &<br>Comment      | PSE files its BCR   | PendingPSE will file the 2014-2015 BCR<br>by June 1, 2016     |  |
| WAC 480-109-<br>120(5)            | Plan and report review. (a) Interested persons may file written comments regarding the biennial conservation plan and biennial conservation report within thirty days of the utility's filing. (b) Upon conclusion of the commission review of the utility's biennial report or plan, the commission will issue a decision accepting or rejecting the calculation of the utility's conservation target; or determining whether the utility has acquired enough conservation resources to comply with its conservation target. If the utility does not meet its biennial conservation target described in WAC 480-109-100, the commission will determine the amount in megawatt-hours by which the utility revises its annual or biennial conservation report as a result of the commission review, the utility must submit a revised copy of the report required in WAC 480-109-120(3)(c) to the department. (d) Annual plans and reports may be reviewed through the commission's open meeting process, as described in chapter 480-07 WAC.  |                          |   |   | No deliverable<br>requirement  |



Last Updated: 2/25/2016



| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number | Detailed Condition  |   |   |  | CRAG Role   | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>(As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status  | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| WAC 480-109-<br>120(6)            | All conservation plans and reports required by RCW 19.285 and this section since January 1, 2010, as well as a summary of planned and actual savings and expenditures reflected in the plans and reports, must be posted and maintained on the utility's website. Plans and reports must be posted on the utility's website within 30 days of commission acknowledgement of the plan or order approving the report. A copy of any such plan, report, or summary must be provided to any person upon request.  |   |   |  | No specific<br>CRAG role                              |   |  | No deliverable requirement   |
| (8)(f)                            | Examples of ever<br>above<br>"Even" Year<br>(ACP, BCR)<br>2016  | n/odd years, dis<br>"Odd" Year<br>(BCP)<br>2017 | cussed in parts (8)<br>"Subsequent" or<br>"Following"<br>Year<br>2017<br>2018 | (a) through (e)<br>"Previous" Year<br>2015<br>2016 | No CRAG<br>specific role                              |   |  | Example only- no<br>deliverable  |
| (8)(g)                            | If a due date of a required filing or report falls on a weekend or holiday,<br>the deliverable is due on the business day immediately prior. Annual<br>Conservation Plans apply to the odd year of a biennium. Biennial<br>Conservation Plans address an even-and-odd year combination.   |   |   |  | No CRAG<br>specific role                              | PSE files the Annual Report.  |  | Example only- no<br>deliverable  |
| Public Involv                     | ement   |   |   |  |   |   |  |  |
| (9)(a)                            | Puget Sound Energy must consult with the Advisory Groups on the scope and design of a 10-year conservation potential analysis, which shall be completed by November 1, 2015. See RCW 19.285.040(1)(a); WAC 480-109-100(2). This must be based on a current conservation potential assessment study of Puget Sound Energy's service area within Washington State. This may be conducted within the context of Puget Sound Energy's integrated resource plan. [ <i>sic]</i> If Puget Sound Energy chooses to use the supply curves that make up the conservation potential in the Council's Northwest Power Plan, the supply curves must be updated for new assumptions and measures. |   |   |  | Review<br>calculations and<br>determinants of<br>IRP. | PSE files its 2015 IRP.   | 2015 IRP development completed. Several<br>CRAG members also participate in the<br>IRPAG. Final filing due in December 2015. | >  |
|                                   | Puget Sound Energy must consult with the Advisory Groups starting<br>no later than July 1, 2015, to begin to identify achievable conservation<br>potential for 2016-2025 and to begin to set annual and biennial targets<br>for the 2016-2017 biennium, including necessary revisions to program<br>details. See RCW 19.285.040(1)(b); WAC 480-109-100(2)&(3).  |   |   |  | No CRAG<br>specific role                              | PSE documents the date on which it provided<br>to the CRAG its 10-year potential and 2-yr<br>target on August 1.  | PSE provided the CRAG an overview of<br>the two-year target development at the May<br>21 2015 CRAG meeting.                  | ~  |
| (9)(c)                            | Fuel switching program will continue to use current practice of<br>upgrading only to high-efficiency gas measures.  |   |   |  | No CRAG<br>specific role                              | By maintaining its upgrade qualifications in all<br>publications.   | Done   | <b>~</b>   |
| Cost-Effectiv                     | eness Tests   |   |   |  |   |   |  |  |
| (10)(a)                           | The Commission uses the Total Resource Cost Test (TRC), as modified by the Council, as its primary cost-effectiveness test. The Council-modified TRC test includes quantifiable non-energy benefits, a risk adder, and a 10 percent conservation benefit adder. Puget Sound Energy's portfolio must pass the TRC test. All cost-effectiveness calculations will assume a Net-to-Gross ratio of 1.0, consistent with the Council's methodology.  |   |   |  | The Annual Report is filed.                           | 2014 Annual Report filed February 27,<br>2015   | ~  |  |



Last Updated: 2/25/2016



|                                   |  |  |  |   | Complete?   |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number | Detailed Condition   | CRAG Role  | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>( As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status   | Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
| 100(8)                            | Cost-effectiveness. A utility's conservation portfolio must pass a cost-<br>effectiveness test consistent with that used in the Northwest<br>Conservation and Electric Power Plan. A utility must evaluate<br>conservation using cost-effectiveness tests consistent with those used<br>by the council, and as required by the commission, except as provided<br>by WAC 480-109-100(10).   | Review   | PSE files its annual conservation plans and<br>reports, containing Exhibit 2: Cost-<br>Effectiveness Calculations  | Completed   | ~   |
| (10)(b)                           | Puget Sound Energy must also provide calculations of the Program<br>Administrator Cost Test (also called the Utility Cost Test) as described<br>in the National Action Plan for Energy Efficiency's study<br>"Understanding Cost-Effectiveness of Energy Efficiency Programs."   | No CRAG<br>specific role                                 | The Annual Report is filed.  | 2014 Annual Report filed February 27,<br>2015                                       |   |
| (10)(c)                           | Puget Sound Energy must calculate cost-effectiveness at the portfolio<br>and program levels. Conservation-related administrative costs must<br>be included in portfolio level analysis.  | Be consulted on<br>and determine<br>appropriate-<br>ness | PSE indicates program and portfolio cost-<br>effectiveness tests in Exhibit 2.   | Exhibit 2 included in the 2015 ACP, the 2014 Annual Report, and the 2016-2017 BCP.  | ~   |
|                                   | (a) A utility may fully fund low-income conservation measures that are determined by the implementing agency to be cost-effective consistent with the <i>Weatherization Manual</i> maintained by the department. Measures identified through the priority list in the <i>Weatherization Manual</i> are considered cost-effective. In addition, a utility may fully fund repairs, administrative costs, and health and safety improvements associated with cost-effective low-income conservation measures. | Review   | PSE discusses how it is implementing the<br>condition in conservation plans and reports  | Completed. An extensive discussion is noted in the 2016-2017 BCP.                   | <   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(10)           | (b) A utility may exclude low-income conservation from portfolio-level<br>cost-effectiveness calculations.   | Review   | PSE files its annual conservation plans and<br>reports, containing Exhibit 2: Cost-<br>Effectiveness Calculations  | Completed. LIW cost-effective elements are included in the 2016-2017 BCP.           | $\checkmark$  |
| WAC 480-109-<br>100(10)           | (c) A utility must count savings from low-income conservation toward meeting its biennial conservation target. Savings may be those calculated consistent with the procedures in the <i>Weatherization Manual</i> .  | Review   | Low Income Weatherization savings totals are<br>reflected in conservation annual plans and<br>reports  | Completed. LIW savings are included in 2016-2017 BCP savings totals.                | <   |
| Recovery thr                      | ough Conservation Rider  |  |  |   |   |
| (11)(a)                           | Annual filing — PSE's annual Electric Conservation Service Rider<br>filing, required under Paragraph (8)(c) above, will recover the future<br>year's budgeted expenses and any significant variances between<br>budgeted and actual income and expenditures during the previous<br>period.   |  | Schedule 120 is filed.   | Schedule 120 filed February 27, 2015  |   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>130               | (1) Utilities must file with the commission for recovery of all expected<br>conservation cost changes and amortization of deferred balances. A<br>utility must include its conservation recovery procedures in its tariff.   | Review   | PSE provides its Schedule 120 filing each<br>March 1.  | Completed. PSE added its cost recovery methodology in Schedule 120 tariff revision. | ✓   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>130               | (2) A utility must make a conservation cost recovery filing no later than<br>June 1st of each year, with a requested effective date at least sixty<br>days after the filing. If the utility believes that a filing is unnecessary,<br>then it must file a request for exception and supporting documents no<br>later than May 1st of each year demonstrating why a rate change is<br>not necessary.  | Review   | PSE provides its Schedule 120 filing each<br>March 1.  | Completed.  | <   |
| WAC 480-109-<br>130               | (3) A utility may not accrue interest or incur carrying charges on<br>deferred conservation cost balances. Utilities must base conservation<br>recovery rates on forward-looking budgeted conservation program<br>costs for the future year with revisions to recover only actual program<br>costs of the prior year. Utilities must also include the effects of<br>variations in actual sales on the recovery of conservation costs in the<br>prior year.   | No specific<br>CRAG role                                 |  |   | Explanation only<br>No deliverable  |



Last Updated: 2/25/2016



| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number<br>(11)(b) | Detailed Condition<br>Scope of Expenditures — Funds collected through the Electric<br>Conservation Service Rider must be used on approved conservation<br>programs and their administrative costs. Additionally, Rider funds may<br>be used as approved by the Commission; e.g., for net metering<br>administration costs, small-scale renewable programs and demand<br>response pilots.  | CRAG Role                | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>( As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) | Compliance Status   | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant<br>Explanation only<br>No deliverable |
|--|---|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| (11)(c)                                      | Recovery for Each Customer Class — The Company shall retain<br>existing Rider mechanisms, subject to the Commission's Order in<br>Docket UE-970686.   | Review                   | <ol> <li>PSE provides the CRAG its cost allocation<br/>methodology</li> <li>Issues relative to Schedule 258<br/>apportionments have been identified for<br/>resolution.</li> </ol>   | The 2015 Schedule 120 filing on February 27 was consistent with existing Rider mechanisms.  | ~  |
| 11(d)  | Recovery of costs associated with distribution and production<br>efficiency initiative are not funded through the Electric Conservation<br>Service Rider because these programs are not customer conservation<br>initiatives. These are company conservation programs. As such,<br>these costs are recovered in the general rate making process over<br>time and may be requested through a general rate case, a deferred<br>accounting petition or other allowed mechanism.  | No specific<br>CRAG role | PSE does not charge CVR costs to the<br>Conservation Rider   | PSE excluded systems costs from Exhibit<br>1.   |  |
| Additional Co                                | ommitments  |                          |  |   |  |
| (12)(a)                                      | Puget Sound Energy will continue to review the feasibility of pursuing<br>cost-effective conservation in the form of reduction in electric power<br>consumption resulting from increases in the efficiency of energy use at<br>electric power production facilities it owns in whole or in part and<br>inform the CRAG at least semi-annually regarding progress in making<br>efficiency improvements in those facilities. Puget Sound Energy shall<br>work with the CRAG to identify options for overcoming obstacles.<br>PSE shall also work with the CRAG to determine how to avoid double-<br>counting of efficiency savings achieved at electric power production<br>facilities owned in whole or in part by PSE and located within the<br>service territory of a separate electric utility. | Review, Advise           | PSE provides records of CRAG meeting<br>discussions, agreements, and/or verified<br>savings.   | PSE provided a generation discussion at its<br>June 5, 2014 CRAG meeting, and reviewed<br>generation energy efficiency upgrade<br>potentials with the CRAG througout the<br>2016-2017 BCP planning process. |  |
| U-072375<br>(Merger)<br>Appendix A<br>47     | Each of the Joint Applicants agrees that PSE will undertake a study, in<br>collaboration with stakeholders, to identify potential energy efficiency<br>improvements in its distribution, transmission and generation assets<br>(consistent with any analysis required as part of the Integrated<br>Resource Plan ("IRP) process) and present the results of such study<br>to the Conservation Resource Advisory Group (CRAG) for its<br>consideration and potential inclusion as part of PSE's energy<br>efficiency portfolio. These potential improvements in PSE's energy<br>efficiency improvements in its distributio, transmission and generation<br>assets are in addition to end-use energy efficiency improvements.   | No CRAG<br>specific role |  | Completed   | ~  |
| Sections Stil                                | in Effect from 2010 Settlement Agreement, UE-100177   |                          |  |   |  |
| L(22)  | The Executing Parties agree to support the terms and conditions of<br>this Agreement, as described above. The Executing Parties<br>understand that this Agreement is subject to Commission approval.  | No CRAG<br>specific role |  | Not applicable<br>No deliverable  | Explanation only<br>No deliverable   |
| L(23)  | The Executing Parties have negotiated this Agreement as an<br>integrated document. Accordingly, the Executing Parties agree to<br>recommend that the Commission adopt this Agreement in its entirety.   | No CRAG<br>specific role |  | Not applicable<br>No deliverable  | Explanation only<br>No deliverable   |
| L(24)  | This Agreement represents a fully negotiated agreement. Each<br>Executing Party has been afforded the opportunity, which it has<br>exercised, to review the terms of the Agreement. Each Party has<br>been afforded the opportunity, which it has exercised, to consult with<br>legal counsel of its choice concerning such terms and their<br>implications. The Agreement shall not be construed for or against any<br>Executing Party based on the principle that ambiguities are construed<br>against the drafter.   | No CRAG<br>specific role |  | Not applicable<br>No deliverable  | Explanation only<br>No deliverable   |





 $\neg$ 

| Section or<br>Condition<br>Number | Detailed Condition  | CRAG Role                | Condition is <u>met</u> when:<br>(As PSE has consistently demonstrated over the<br>past few years, we will respond to requests for<br>details not already contained in provided filings,<br>reports or analyses on an ongoing basis.) |                                  | Complete?<br>Start = Not started<br>Date = Pending<br>Check = Yes<br>Hourglass = In<br>Progress<br>X = Non-compliant |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| L(25)                             | This Agreement may be executed by the Executing Parties in several<br>counterparts, through original and/or facsimile signature, and as<br>executed shall constitute one agreement. | No CRAG<br>specific role |   | Not applicable<br>No deliverable | Explanation only<br>No deliverable   |

Unless specified, the below conditions are treated as equal requirements for both electric and gas conservation efforts.

• This table is intended to provide an overview of PSE's achievement of the conditions and the status of conservation deliverables.

It is revised to reflect the conditions included in Appendix A of Order 01, Docket No. UE-132043, which does not address sections A-J and section L of the 2010 EIA Settlement Agreement

(UE-100177). Those deliverables are also still in force. Effective June, 2013, natural gas-specific requirements enumerated in Exhibit F of Docket No. UG-011571 are also included.

In 2014, Applicable Merger Agreement and Decoupling Agreement requirements are also added. Thus, this document is not an electric-specific checklist.

• Only current conservation-specific requirements are logged in this table. For instance, Merger Agreement commitments that address ring-fencing or #62 & #63 (decoupling).

• Unless provided in a specific condition, when documentation is provided to the CRAG for review or comment---that doesn't have a specified review period---PSE

will provide 30 calendar days--that takes into account very full demands on CRAG members' time--before it classifies a deliverable as "completed".

• If the condition doesn't indicate a specific CRAG deliverable ("review", "comment", "advise", etc..) the condition is considered met when the document, calculation, report, etc., is filed or submitted.

• If the condition indicates that PSE must perform some task \*if\* a change is requested (for instance, condition (7)(d)(ii)), and there is no such change requested, the compliance status will be checked "completed".

 As PSE has consistently demonstrated, we will respond to requests for details not already contained in provided filings, reports or analyses, regardless of elapsed time, while maintaining the completed status of a condition.



card view)

adings are hidden in report card view

