Exh. AIW-1T Dockets UE-170485/UG-1170485 Witness: Amy I. White

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

V.

AVISTA CORPORATION dba AVISTA UTILITIES,

Respondent.

DOCKETS UE-170485 and UG-170486 (Consolidated)

TESTIMONY OF

Amy I. White

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

October 27, 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	.1
II.	TESTIMONY OVERVIEW	.2
III.	RESTATE PROPERTY TAX, ADJUSTMENTS 2.02	.3
IV.	PRO FORMA PROPERTY TAX, ADJUSTMENT 3.06	.4
II.	UNCOLLECTIBLE EXPENSE, ADJUSTMENT 2.03	.5

LIST OF EXHIBITS

- Exh. AIW-2 2.02 Restate Property Tax and 3.06 Pro Forma Property Tax
- Exh. AIW-3 Avista Supplemental Response to Staff Data Request No. 40, Attachment A
- Exh. AIW-4 Avista Supplemental Response to Staff Data Request No. 41, Attachment A
- Exh. AIW-5 Avista Response to Staff Data Request No. 160, Attachment A
- Exh. AIW-6 Avista Response to ICNU Data Request No. 54, Attachment B
- Exh. AIW-7 2.03 Uncollectible Expense

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2		
3	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
4	A.	My name is Amy I. White. My business address is 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive
5		S.W., P.O. Box 47250, Olympia, WA 98504.
6		
7	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
8	A.	I am employed by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission
9		(Commission) as a Regulatory Analyst in the Regulatory Services Division.
10		
11	Q.	How long have you been employed by the Commission?
12	A.	I have been working for the Commission since June 2007.
13		
14	Q.	Would you please state your educational and professional background?
15	A.	I have a Master's degree in Public Administration as well as a Master's degree in
16		Business Administration from City University of Seattle, and a Bachelor's degree in
17		accounting and business administration from the University of Washington. I
18		attended the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners' Annual
19		Regulatory Studies Program in August 2007, the Western Utility Rate School
20		sponsored by Michigan State University in May 2008, and other sector-specific
21		workshops, trainings, and conferences.
22		

1	Q.	Have you previously submitted testimony to this commission?
2	А.	I previously filed testimony in Dockets UW-101818 regarding Cristalina Water,
3		LLC, and in Dockets UW-110107 and UW-110220, both concerning Summit View
4		Water Company.
5		
6		II. TESTIMONY
7		
8	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
9	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to discuss adjustments to Avista's revenue
10		requirements model. In my testimony I discuss Staff's position on adjustments 2.02,
11		Restate Property Tax, and on 3.06, Pro Forma Property Tax. I also discuss Staff's
12		position on restating adjustment 2.03, Uncollectible Expense.
13		
14	Q.	Have you prepared any exhibits in support of your testimony?
15	A.	Yes. Exh. AIW-2 documents the specific calculations for adjustments 2.02, Restate
16		Property Tax and 3.06, Pro Forma Property Tax. Four exhibits are Company
17		responses to data requests used in the development of Staff's Adjustment 3.06, Pro
18		Forma Property Tax: Exh. AIW-3, Avista Supplemental Response to Staff Data
19		Request No. 40, Attachment A; Exh. AIW-4, Avista Supplemental Response to Staff
20		Data Request No. 41, Attachment A; Exh. AIW-5, Avista Response to Staff Data
21		Request No. 160, Attachment A; and Exh. AIW-6, Avista Response to ICNU Data
22		Request No. 54, Attachment B. Exh. AIW-7 contains Staff's lead sheets in support
23		of the Company's revised calculations for adjustment 2.03, Uncollectible Expense.

24

1	Q.	Do other Staff exhibits support your testimony?
2	A.	Yes. Staff witness Ms. Joanna Huang's Exh. JH-4 shows the detailed calculations by
3		Avista that support adjustment 2.03, Uncollectible Expense, which Staff supports.
4		
5		III. RESTATE PROPERTY TAX, ADJUSTMENTS 2.02
6		
7	Q.	What is the Company's "Restate Property tax" adjustment (Adjustments 2.02
8		Electric, 2.02 Gas).
9	A.	This adjustment restates the accrued property tax during the test period to actual
10		property tax paid during 2016. The actual plant balances as of December 31, 2015,
11		are the basis for the calculation of 2016 property tax expense.
12		
13	Q.	Please discuss the purpose of the restating adjustment for property tax expense.
14	A.	The restating adjustment 2.02, Restate Property Tax, restates the estimated property
15		tax amounts expensed in the test period to the actual amount of property tax expense
16		for the test period. This adjustment allows actual, known and measurable property
17		tax expenses for the test period to replace estimates. Staff's adjustment also reflects
18		how the Company has routinely adjusted the amount of expense to reflect these
19		actual expense amounts in prior rate cases.
20		
21	Q.	Please explain how Staff calculated Adjustment 2.02, Restate Property Tax, for
22		Avista's electric and gas operations.

1	A.	The Company expenses roughly equal amounts each month during the test year for
2		property tax expenses in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and Montana. These amounts
3		are trued up later based on the actual valuations each jurisdiction places on the
4		Company's property. These valuations can be completed by the jurisdictions several
5		months after the end of the rate year. The Company has routinely adjusted the
6		amount of expense to reflect these actual expense amounts in prior rate cases. This
7		filing uses the same methodology as previous dockets. Using the estimated property
8		tax shown in the initial filing is inappropriate because it produces estimated property
9		taxes for 2016 and 2017, which are not known and measurable. Staff has calculated
10		the revised adjustments in Exhibit AIW-2 using information filed by the Company in
11		its responses to Staff DRs 40, 41, and 160, and in the Company's response to ICNU
12		DR 54.
13		
14	Q.	What is the effect on net operating income due to Adjustment 2.02, Restate
15		Property Tax?
16	A.	This adjustment increases net operating income by \$573,000 for electric and
17		decreases net operating income for natural gas by \$168,000.
18		
19		IV. PRO FORMA PROPERTY TAX, ADJUSTMENT 3.06
20		
21	Q.	Please describe the Company's "Pro Forma Property tax" adjustment
22		

1	A.	This adjustment is to restate the 2016 level of property tax expense included in
2		"Restate Property tax" (Adjustments 2.02 Electric, 2.02 Gas) to the 2017 level of
3		expense. The 2016 property tax is the basis for the calculation of the 2017 property
4		tax expense.
5		
6	Q.	Please discuss Staff's position on adjustment 3.06, Pro Forma Property Tax.
7	A.	The 2018 property tax is based on a "flow-through" of the 2016 property tax as
8		calculated in Adjustment 2.02, Restate Property Tax. These actual results were then
9		inflated by the actual tax rates from 2016 to calculate the pro forma expense
10		amounts. Staff's calculated expense amounts in Exhibit AIW-2 agree with the
11		Company's response to Staff data request 160 and reiterated in the Company's
12		response to ICNU data request 54.
13		
14	Q.	What is the effect on net operating income due to this adjustment?
15	A.	This adjustment reduces net operating income by \$834,000 electric and \$278,000
16		natural gas, respectively.
17		
18		V. UNCOLLECTIBLE EXPENSE, ADJUSTMENT 2.03
19		
20	Q.	What is restating adjustment 2.03, Uncollectible Expense?
21	A.	Uncollectible expense is the amount that is written off by the Company after it
22		attempts, and fails, to collect past due accounts. In general, a company accrues a
23		monthly expense, which is an estimate based on its history of uncollectible accounts.

1		At the end of a test year, the actual uncollectible experience is compared to the
2		estimated amounts, and an adjustment is made for over- or under-accrual of the
3		expense.
4		
5	Q.	Please describe Staff's position on restating adjustment 2.03, Uncollectible
6		Expense.
7	A.	Staff disagrees with the Adjustment 2.03 included in the Company's original filing,
8		but supports a revised and corrected version of the adjustment the Company
9		provided in discovery. After its original filing in this docket, Avista reviewed this
10		expense category while responding to a data request from Staff for detailed
11		transaction information and made a series of significant corrections.
12		
13	Q.	How did Avista correct Adjustment 2.03 in the discovery process?
14		The Company made two material corrections. First, the Company revised the total
15		expense downward approximately \$250,000 to reflect its actual test year experience
16		after a data request from Staff for transaction-specific data. Then, Avista's review
17		revealed an error in how the Company had allocated uncollectible debt to electric
18		and natural gas customers. In customer accounts where the customer uses only
19		electric services or only natural gas services, allocation of this expense to the
20		appropriate service was straightforward. Avista's credit and collections staff
21		
4 1		determined, however, that the Company cannot distinguish whether an electric or
22		natural gas balance due is being written off for customers who use both services. To

1		allocation method for the overall expense. The Company combined total write-offs
2		and then allocated to either electric or natural gas using the percentage of sales
3		balances. This change decreased costs allocated to Washington electric operations by
4		approximately \$205,000 while increasing the portion of uncollectibles allocated to
5		Idaho customers by approximately \$45,000. The change increased Washington
6		natural gas costs by approximately \$205,000 while decreasing Idaho natural gas
7		costs by approximately \$45,000.
8		
9	Q.	What was the difference between the gross amount of the adjustment as
10		originally filed and the adjustment the Company corrected and revised in
11		discovery?
12		As originally filed, the gross adjustment, before being adjusted for income tax, for
13		electric uncollectible expense was approximately \$1.321 million; after revision, the
14		gross adjustment was approximately \$1.117 million. As originally filed, the gross
15		adjustment for natural gas was approximately \$590,000; after the revision, it was
16		approximately \$386,000.
17		
18	Q.	Have you prepared exhibits related to this adjustment?
19	A.	Yes. Staff's Exh. AIW-7T, 2.03 Uncollectible Expense Lead Sheets, summarizes the
20		calculation of the adjustments to both electric and natural gas costs. Staff supports
21		the Company's revised adjustment, which is also shown in Ms Joanna Huang's Exh.
22		JH-4 Avista Resp to Staff DR 34.
23		

1	Q.	What is the effect on net operating income due to this adjustment?
2	A.	This adjustment reduces net operating income by \$726,000 electric and increases net
3		operating income \$251,000 for natural gas, respectively.
4		
5	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
6	A.	Yes.