

EXHIBIT NO. _____ (CEL-9)
DOCKET NOS. UE-920433, UE-920499 and UE-921262
WITNESS: C.E. LYNCH

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION**

COMPLAINANT

VS.

PUGET SOUND POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

RESPONDENT

EXHIBIT

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	
No. UE-920433; -920499; -921262	Ex. 78 ✓

Puget Sound Power & Light Company
 Cost of Service Parity Ratios
 Docket No. UE-920499 / UE-921262
 Twelve Months ended 6-30-92

Exhibit No. __ EL-9)
 Schedule 1

Line No.	Party	Note	Residential Total	Secondary < 50 kW	Secondary 50 < kW < 350	Secondary > 350 kW	Primary Total	High Voltage Total	Lighting Total	Firm Resale Total
1	Public Counsel	Note 1	> 100%	<----- < 110% ----->			< 90%	< 83%	> 131%	< 70%
2	Staff	Note 2	98%	108%	115%	112%	91%	84%	133%	74%
3	Puget Power - As Filed	Note 3	97%	109%	115%	113%	91%	86%	134%	75%
3	Puget Power - Rebuttal	Note 4	96%	111%	118%	115%	93%	88%	136%	77%
4	SWAP	Note 5	95%	110%	117%	117%	95%	92%	137%	79%
5	BOMA	Note 6	93%	109%	130%	127%	100%	86%	136%	80%
6	WICFUR	Note 7	87%	123%	130%	130%	108%	105%	144%	92%
7	WICFUR	Note 8	84%	122%	146%	145%	118%	105%	146%	99%

Note 1 - See Exhibit No. __ (JL-4)

Note 2 - See Exhibit No. __ (DRS-2)

Note 3 - See Exhibit No. 565 (CEL-3)

Note 4 - See Exhibit No. __ (CEL-10)

Note 5 - BOMA recommendation as modeled by the Company

Note 6 - See Exhibit No. __ (DWS-1)

Note 7 - See Exhibit No. __ (DWS-1)

Puget Sound Power & Light Company
 Cost of Service Parity Ratios
 Docket No. UE-920499 / UE-921262
 Peak Credit Scenarios
 Twelve Months ended 6-30-92

Exhibit No. ___ 2L-9)
 Schedule 2

Line No.	Scenario	Note	Residential Total	Secondary < 50 kW	Secondary 50 < kW < 350	Secondary > 350 kW	Primary Total	High Voltage Total	Lighting Total	Firm Resale Total
1	12% Demand & 88% Energy	Note 1	98%	108%	115%	112%	90%	85%	134%	74%
2	13% Demand & 87% Energy	Note 2	98%	108%	115%	113%	91%	85%	134%	74%
3	16% Demand & 84% Energy	Note 3	97%	109%	115%	113%	91%	86%	134%	75%
4	21% Demand & 79% Energy	Note 4	97%	109%	116%	114%	92%	87%	135%	76%
5	30% Demand & 70% Energy	Note 5	95%	110%	117%	117%	95%	91%	137%	79%
6	31% Demand & 69% Energy	Note 6	95%	110%	117%	117%	95%	92%	137%	79%

Note 1 - Staff Recommendation
 Note 2 - Public Counsel Recommendation
 Note 3 - Puget & BOMA Recommendation
 Note 4 - Puget 1993 Avoided Cost Filing
 Note 5 - SWAP Recommendation
 Note 6 - WICFUR Recommendation

Puget Sound Power & Light Company
 Cost of Service Parity Ratios
 Docket No. UE-920499 / UE-921262
 Non-Generation Transmission Scenarios
 Twelve Months ended 6-30-92

Exhibit No. ____ (J-EL-9)
 Schedule 3

Line No.	Scenario	Note	Residential Total	Secondary < 50 kW	Secondary 50 < kW < 350	Secondary > 350 kW	Primary Total	High Voltage Total	Lighting Total	Firm Resale Total
1	100% Demand	Note 1	97%	109%	115%	113%	91%	86%	134%	75%
2	Peak Credit = 13% Demand & 87% Energy	Note 2	99%	108%	114%	111%	90%	83%	133%	73%
3	Peak Credit = 12% Demand & 88% Energy	Note 3	99%	108%	114%	111%	90%	83%	133%	73%

Note 1 - Puget, WICFUR, SWAP & BOMA Recommendation

Note 2 - Public Counsel Recommendation

Note 3 - Staff Recommendation

Puget Sound Power & Light Company
 Cost of Service Parity Ratios
 Docket No. UE-920499 / UE-921262
 Distribution Scenarios
 Twelve Months ended 6-30-92

Exhibit No. ____ (EL-9)
 Schedule 4

Line No.	Scenario	Note	Residential Total	Secondary < 50 kW	Secondary 50 < kW < 350	Secondary > 350 kW	Primary Total	High Voltage Total	Lighting Total	Firm Resale Total
1	Basic Customer	Note 1	97%	109%	115%	113%	91%	86%	134%	75%
2	Minimum System - Traditional	Note 2	93%	109%	130%	127%	100%	86%	136%	80%
3	Minimum System - Alternate	Note 3	91%	110%	138%	134%	105%	86%	137%	83%

Note 1 - Public Counsel & Staff Recommendation
 Note 2 - WICFUR & BOMA Recommendation
 Note 3 - WICFUR & BOMA Recommendation

Puget Sound Power & Light Company
 Cost of Service Parity Ratios
 Docket No. UE-920499 / UE-921262
 Demand and Energy Scenarios
 Twelve Months ended 6-30-92

Exhibit No.____ (EL-9)
 Schedule 5

Line No.	CP Demand Allocator	Energy Allocator	Note	Residential Total	Secondary < 50 kW	Secondary 50 < kW < 350	Secondary > 350 kW	Primary Total	High Voltage Total	Lighting Total	Firm Resale Total
1	Average Top 1500 Hours	Annual Test Period kWh's	Note 1	98%	108%	115%	112%	91%	85%	135%	74%
2	Average 95% System Peak Hours	Annual Test Period kWh's	Note 2	97%	110%	115%	113%	92%	87%	134%	76%
3	Average Top 200 Hours	Annual Test Period kWh's adjusted for Seasonal Differences in Energy Costs	Note 3	97%	109%	116%	114%	92%	87%	134%	75%
4	Average Top 500 Hours	Annual Test Period kWh's	Note 4	97%	109%	115%	113%	91%	86%	134%	75%
5	Average Top 200 Hours	Annual Test Period kWh's	Note 5	97%	109%	115%	113%	91%	86%	134%	75%
6	Average Top 200 Hours	Annual Test Period kWh's + Temperature Adjustment	Note 6	96%	111%	118%	115%	93%	88%	136%	77%
7	Average 95% System Peak Hours + Conservation Adjustment	Annual Test Period kWh's + Conservation Adjustment	Note 7	95%	111%	117%	115%	95%	91%	137%	80%
8	Average 95% System Peak Hours + Temperature Adjustment	Annual Test Period kWh's + Temperature Adjustment	Note 8	93%	117%	124%	121%	97%	91%	138%	80%
9	Average 95% System Peak Hours + Conservation Adjustment + Temperature Adjustment	Annual Test Period kWh's + Conservation Adjustment + Temperature Adjustment	Note 9	91%	118%	125%	122%	101%	94%	141%	84%

- Note 1 - Public Counsel Recommendation
- Note 2 - WICFUR Recommendation
- Note 3 - SWAP Recommendation
- Note 4 - Public Counsel Recommendation
- Note 5 - Puget (as originally filed), BOMA & Staff Recommendation
- Note 6 - Puget Rebuttal Recommendation
- Note 7 - WICFUR Recommendation
- Note 8 - WICFUR Recommendation
- Note 9 - WICFUR Recommendation