**WASHINGTON ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE HISTORY**

AVISTA

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| 2015 GRC (UE-150204 & UG-150205) | *Multiparty Settlement - No changes to cost of service* |
| 2014 GRC (UE-140188 & UG-140189) | *Multiparty Settlement - No changes to cost of service** 2014 Load study estimates rate class hourly load for demand allocation
 |
| 2012 GRC (UE-120436 & UG-120437) | *Multiparty Settlement - No changes to cost of service* |
| 2011 GRC (UE-110876 & UG-110876) | *Multiparty Settlement - No changes to cost of service** Company Proposes:
	+ System load factor to determine demand related production costs instead of using a ratio based on Peaker units
	+ Apply system load factor to transmission costs
 |
| 2010 GRC (UE-100467 & UG-100468) | *Multiparty Settlement - No changes to cost of service** Company Proposals based on 2007 review but are not adopted:
	+ IRP based analysis of total dispatch value from a CCCT to determine demand/energy ratio
	+ Apply same methodology to transmission costs
	+ Load research study used to estimate hourly load by rate class for cost of service demand allocations.
		- Company concludes that demand allocation from previous cost of service models substantially similar to cost of service study including demand study results
		- Company states intent to annual augment study
 |
| 2009 GRC (UE-090134/UG-090135) | *Multiparty Settlement - No changes to cost of service** Company conducts four sensitivity analyses to test the effect of NCP and CP allocations on demand costs:
	+ Company concludes that rate classes allocation would not substantially change as a result of large swings in NCP/CP allocators
 |
| 2008 GRC (UE-080416 & UG-080417) | *Multiparty Settlement - No changes to cost of service*  |
| 2007 GRC (UE-070804 & UG-070805) | *Multiparty Settlement** Company agrees to examine allocation of costs and characteristics of its electric system resources
* Company agrees to conduct load study
 |
| 2005 GRC (UE-050482 & UG-050483) | *Multiparty Settlement - No changes to cost of service* |
| 2004 Gas Only GRC (UG-041515) |  N/A |
| 2001 Electric Only GRC (UE-011595) | *Multiparty Settlement - No changes to cost of service** Company proposes modification of 1999 approach to A&G based on Commission Order.
	+ A&G costs which cannot be directly assigned instead use a functional allocators equivalent to the 1992 PSE method (UE-920499)
 |
| 1999 GRC (UE-991606 & UG-991607) | *Commission Order – Accepts all but the allocation of A&G expenses as proposed by the Company** Company proposes peak credit methodology similar to 1992 PSE Method.
	+ Separately identified peak credit ratios for thermal and hydro plants using replacement cost per kW
	+ A&G directly assigned where possible, remaining costs. This allocated 40% on annual energy, 60% on number of customers.
	+ Transmission costs allocated 50% based on hydro peak credit ratio & 50% on thermal plant peak ratio
	+ Fuel and load dispatch expenses allocated 100% on energy
	+ Peak plant costs allocated 100% demand
	+ Purchased Power and Other Power Supply allocated in proportion to production plant
* Company applied basic customer classification for distribution facilities
 |