BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES

AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
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| In the Matter of:  Waste Management of Washington, Inc. d/b/a Waste Management – South Sound and Waste Management of Seattle  Re WAC 480‑07‑520(4) |  | CASE NO.  PETITION FOR RULE EXEMPTION |

# INTRODUCTION

1. COMES NOW Waste Management of Washington, Inc. (“WMW”) holder of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity No. G‑237, by and through its attorney, Polly L. McNeill of Summit Law Group PLLC, and in accordance with WAC 480‑07‑370(1)(b) files this *Petition for Rule Exemption* (“Petition”) respectfully requesting the Commission’s approval to submit Work Papers that vary from a strict interpretation of the filing requirements under WAC 480‑07‑520(4).[[1]](#footnote-1) The Petition instead requests permission to file Work Papers that comport with the exemption granted in WMW’s most recent general rate increase filing. *WUTC v. Waste Management of Washington d/b/a Waste Management Sno-King*, Docket TG-091933 Order 04 & TG-091945 Order 03 (Consolidated) – Denying Petition For Rule Interpretation; Granting Exemption To Rule (March 23, 2010) (“Sno-King Order”).
2. Contemporaneously with this Petition, WMW is today filing a general rate request for its operating division Waste Management of Washington, Inc. d/b/a Waste Management – South Sound and Waste Management of Seattle (“WM South Sound/Seattle”). For that tariff request, WMW respectfully requests an exemption under WAC 480‑07‑110 to the extent the general rate filing rule stated in WAC 480‑07‑520(4) would require the company to submit into the public record certain proprietary and confidential business records unrelated to the substantive audit of the tariff filing itself. For the same reasons supporting the Commission’s determination to grant an exemption in the Sno-King Order, approving this Petition would be consistent with the public interest, the purposes underlying the tariff filing regulation, and Ch. 81.77 RCW.

# PARTIES

1. Petitioner’s name and address are as follows:

Waste Management of Washington, Inc.

13225 N.E. 126th Place

Kirkland, WA 98034

1. Petitioner’s attorney’s name and address are as follows:

Polly L. McNeill

Summit Law Group PLLC

315 Fifth Avenue S.

Suite 1000

Seattle, WA 98104

# FACTUAL BACKGROUND

1. WMW is the corporate subsidiary of Waste Management, Inc. that operates in Washington-state. WMW is the holder of Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity No. G‑237, but throughout the State the company has ten different business units providing solid waste collection services. The business units perform solid waste collection under nine different WUTC tariffs, one for each of the geographically-specific collection entities. Disposal fees, labor rates, fuel prices, and other costs differ in each of the geographic territories. In addition, WMW owns three landfills, six transfer stations and four recycling centers.
2. In submitting its general rate request, for certain elements of WAC 480‑07‑520, WM South Sound/Seattle has limited some of the financial data included in its Work Papers to the business entity providing services under the tariff that WMW is seeking to amend, and has not provided the analysis for WMW as a whole. This general rate filing for WM South Sound/Seattle includes financial information that is the same in scope as the Work Papers approved by an exemption in the Sno-King Order. Thus, the Work Papers for WM South Sound/Seattle address the separate requirements of WAC 480-07-520(4) as follows:
3. *WAC 480-07-520(4)(a) (detailed pro forma income statement)* – The required income statement is limited to WM South Sound/Seattle, the business unit providing services under the tariff that WMW is seeking to amend.
4. *WAC 480-07-520(4)(b) (revenue impact calculation for proposed tariff revisions)* – The revenue impact calculation is limited to services provided by WM South Sound/Seattle under the tariff that WMW is seeking to amend.
5. *WAC 480-07-520(4)(c) (income statement listing all revenue and expense accounts by month)* – The required income statement is limited to WM South Sound/Seattle, the business unit that provides services under the tariff that WMW is seeking to amend.
6. *WAC 480-07-520(4)(d) (detailed separation of all revenue and expenses between regulated/nonregulated operations if nonregulated revenue exceeds ten percent of total company test period revenue)* – The filing provides a detailed separation of all revenue and expenses between (1) WM South Sound/Seattle, the business unit that provides services under the tariff that WMW is seeking to amend and (2) other business units within Waste Management with which WM South Sound/Seattle has intra-company transactions or arrangements that, but for the fact that the business units are not separate corporations, would be affiliated interest transactions. The filing also includes a detailed separation of all revenues and expenses for each of the other WMW business units performing collection operations, with expenses allocated proportionately on the basis of regulated and unregulated revenues, and a combined statement of detailed revenues and expenses for all of WMW’s unregulated, non-collection operations added together.[[2]](#footnote-2)
7. *WAC 480-07-520(4)(e) (detailed list of all nonregulated operations, including the rates charged for the services rendered)* – The filing includes a list of all regulated and nonregulated operations within WMW, including the rates charged. No exemption is sought for this filing requirement, but due to the extensive number of municipal contracts under which WM South Sound/Seattle operates, information related to those unregulated operations will be provided upon Staff’s request.
8. *WAC 480-07-520(4)(f) (detailed price-out information )* – The revenue reported is limited to services provided by WM South Sound/Seattle under the tariff that WMW is seeking to amend.
9. *WAC 480-07-520(4)(g) (consolidated balance sheet)* – The required consolidated balance sheet includes Waste Management, Inc., and Waste Management of Washington. No exemption is sought for this filing requirement.
10. *WAC 480-07-520(4)(h) (detailed depreciation schedule)* – The assets for which a depreciation schedule is provided is limited to those utilized by WM South Sound/Seattle, the business unit that provides services under the tariff that WMW is seeking to amend.
11. *WAC 480-07-520(4)(i) (computed average investment)* – The assets for which the average investment is computed is limited to those utilized by WM South Sound/Seattle, the business unit that provides services under the tariff that WMW is seeking to amend.
12. *WAC 480-07-520(4)(j) (information about every transaction with affiliated interests or subsidiaries)* – Affiliated interest transactions under this requirement are limited to those between WM South Sound/Seattle, the business unit that provides services under the tariff that WMW is seeking to amend, on the one hand, and Waste Management, Inc., for administrative overhead services performed by the area and corporate offices. The filing includes an income statement and balance sheet for Waste Management, Inc. No exemption is needed for this requirement.

# petition for exemption

1. WAC 480‑07‑110 provides that the Commission may grant an exemption from or modify the application of its rules in individual cases if consistent with the public interest and the purposes of the underlying regulation:

The standard for consideration is the public interest standard. Factors the commission may consider include whether application of the rule would impose undue hardship on the requesting person, of a degree or a kind different from hardships imposed on other similarly situated persons, and whether the effect of applying the rule would be contrary to the underlying purposes of the rule.

1. In the Sno-King Order, the Commission concluded that granting an exemption was in the public interest and met the standards for consideration of a rule exemption under WAC 480 07 110(c) – and the same policy applies in this filing for WM South Sound/Seattle.
2. As the Commission observed in the Sno-King Order, Waste Management’s corporate structure is unusual with respect to having so many organizationally distinct regulated and nonregulated lines of business within one corporation. The burdens imposed on WMW by the general rate filing requirements of WAC 480‑07‑520(4) are different in degree and kind than those imposed on other solid waste collection companies.
3. The purpose of WAC 480‑07‑520(4) is to ensure that all information necessary to determine whether existing or proposed rates are fair, just, reasonable and sufficient is available to the Commission by requiring solid waste collection companies seeking to increase their rates to file Work Papers that account for a variety of data supporting the request, including information about commercial relationships with affiliated enterprises. The limited Work Papers filed for the WM South Sound/Seattle tariff increase satisfies the purpose of the rule. Because the data for which this exemption is sought is not relevant to the tariff filing for WM South Sound/Seattle and because some of that analysis is valuable and proprietary commercial information, granting this Petition is not inconsistent with the underlying purposes of the general rate filing rule stated in WAC 480‑07‑520(4).
4. In addition, to prepare some components of the Work Paper requirements for WMW as a whole would impose a significant hardship on the company because each business entity has its own general ledger, and the analysis would require manually consolidating data into one master ledger, a time-consuming and unproductive process without any commensurate benefit to the ratepayers.
5. Granting this Petition would allow WMW to file Work Papers that explain the services provided under WM South Sound/Seattle’s tariff, and that list all relevant data for any and every part of Waste Management as a whole that conducts intra-company transactions or arrangements affecting the services provided under the tariff. Allowing limitations to the financial information included in the Work Papers avoids filing immaterial and unnecessary Work Papers and relieves the administrative burden to both WMW and Commission. If this Petition is granted, then Staff will have the information needed to fully analyze the WM South Sound/Seattle rate request, and WMW will have partial relief from the burdens of the literal application of the filing requirements in WAC 480‑70‑520(4).
6. Finally, if the Commission grants this Petition to allow for a rule exemption in the filing for WM South Sound/Seattle similar to the one accepted under the Sno-King Order, WMW also asks for a ruling that the terms of the proposed exemption be made generally applicable to WMW’s future filings. Staff opposed this request in the Sno-King proceeding because Staff felt that one rate case was not enough to give Commission auditors certainty that these partial exemptions would give provide the information necessary to fully evaluate other tariff requests made by WMW. For that reason, the Commission ultimately rejected WMW’s request for a prospective application in the Sno-King proceeding. However, if Staff concludes that this exemption does not hinder its ability to perform its auditing function on the tariff request of WM South Sound/Seattle, then at some point, to require a petition for a rule exemption for each of WMW’s filings is administratively inefficient and costly to both the company and its rate payers. If a prospective exemption were conditioned on meeting the filing standards summarized above, which comport with the limitations approved by the Commission in the Sno-King Order, then unless there is a change of corporate circumstances, the exemption could be applied not just in this filing, but in any future filings by WMW business units as well. However, WMW conditions its request for a prospective exemption on support from Staff, and does not wish this aspect of the Petition to interfere with the Commission’s determination on the requested exemption in the context of the specific filing for WM South Sound/Seattle.

# conclusion

For the reasons stated above, WMW respectfully requests that the Commission grant an exemption of WAC 480‑07‑520(4) to WMW for today’s WM South Sound/Seattle filing to limit the Work Papers required under WAC 480‑07‑520(4) in the manner stated above.

WMW also conditionally requests that the Commission grant an exemption prospectively for any future filing by WMW for a general rate request filed for a business entity operating under a tariff.

DATED this 16th day of June, 2010.

By

Polly L. McNeill, WSBA # 17437

SUMMIT LAW GROUP PLLC

315 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 1000

Seattle, WA 98104

T: (206) 676-7000

F: (206) 676-7001

Attorneys for Waste Management of Washington, Inc. d/b/a/ Waste Management – South Sound and Waste Management of Seattle

1. WAC 480‑07‑520 sets forth the minimum requirements for filing a general rate increase request by solid waste collection companies. Subsection (4) discusses the necessary information and documents to be included in the Work Papers. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. These last two analyses were not specifically alluded to in the Sno-King Order, nor were they mentioned in the exemption that Staff supported in that case. But because the information was included with the Sno-King filing, it has been updated and included in this filing for WM South Sound. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)