DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID W. STEVENS 1 2 **INTRODUCTION** 3 0. Please state your name, employer and business address. 4 A. My name is David W. Stevens and I am employed as the President and Chief Executive 5 Officer of Cascade Natural Gas Corporation ("Cascade" or "the Company") at 222 6 Fairview Avenue North, Seattle, Washington. 7 Would you briefly describe your education and professional experience? Q. 8 A. I graduated from the University of Texas at Austin in 1982 with a Bachelor of Science 9 degree in Chemical Engineering. From 1982 to 1984, I was employed by Getty Oil 10 Company as a Production Engineer. From 1984 to 2004, I was employed by Southern 11 Union Company in various operational and executive capacities, including President and 12 CEO of Panhandle Energy, a subsidiary of Southern Union Company; President and CEO of Energy Worx; Executive Vice President – Utility Operations for Southern Union 13 14 Company and President of Southern Union Gas. I became President and CEO of 15 Cascade Natural Gas Corporation on April 1, 2005. I served on the board of directors for the Southern Gas Association, Interstate 16 17 Natural Gas Association of America, and am currently on the president's counsel of the 18 American Gas Association.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

2 A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe why the acquisition of Cascade by MDU 3 Resources Group, Inc. ("MDU Resources") is in the best interests of Cascade's 4 customers, employees and shareholders. I also provide an overview of Cascade and 5 discuss some of the current and future challenges that are being faced by the Company, 6 and address the primary factors driving Cascade's decision to investigate acquisition 7 options and the process that led up to Cascade's decision to enter into the Merger 8 Agreement with MDU Resources (the "proposed Transaction"). 9 Q. Have you previously testified or sponsored testimony before the Commission and 10 other utility commissions? 11 Yes. I testified in the Company's current general rate proceeding, Docket A. 12 No. UG-060256. I have also testified before regulatory bodies in the states of Alabama, 13 Arkansas, New Mexico, Texas, and Oregon.

14 **OVERVIEW OF CASCADE NATURAL GAS CORPORATION**

15 Q. Please briefly describe Cascade Natural Gas Corporation.

A. Cascade provides natural gas service to approximately 235,000 customers in 93
 communities in Washington and Oregon. Our diverse service territory covers more than
 32,000 square miles. Cascade's Washington customers are served from three of our four
 operational regions: Western, which includes the Kitsap Peninsula, the Grays Harbor
 area, and Kelso/Longview; Northwest, which includes Bellingham, Mt. Vernon, and Oak

Harbor/Anacortes; and Central, which includes the communities of Sunnyside,
Wenatchee/Moses Lake, Tri-Cities, Walla Walla, and Yakima. Cascade's service
territory is relatively widely dispersed and characterized by lower overall population
density than the larger metropolitan areas in Washington and Oregon.

Cascade is one of the fastest growing natural gas utilities in the nation. In the last five years, Cascade's customer base grew at a pace of 3 to 5 percent, which is significantly more than the national average. This high level of growth was made possible by the overall population growth in Cascade's service area, and the low level of market saturation for natural gas in the Northwest.

10 **Q.** Please describe Cascade's current business focus.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

A. Cascade's primary focus is providing safe and reliable natural gas distribution and related
 services to our customers throughout our service territories. We have worked hard to
 continuously improve these services and operate as efficiently as possible while
 diligently controlling expenses. We also focus on providing the highest value to all of
 our stakeholders, including customers, employees, and shareholders.

16 **Q.** What are the current challenges facing Cascade?

A. As a relatively small public utility that operates as a public company, Cascade faces
several challenges in today's industry and the current economy. Being relatively small
inhibits our ability to recruit highly qualified personnel, as the opportunities for
advancement within the organization are limited. Our total cost of service profile is

influenced, as compared to other larger companies, because they are able to spread their corporate overhead expenses over a larger number of customers. As a public company, the cost of maintaining public-company status and complying with the federal securities laws that relate to public companies, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, is significant.

Due to our small size, Cascade faces additional challenges when raising capital. From time to time, Cascade needs additional investor capital to meet the needs of a rapidly growing customer base. In addition to supporting Cascade's strong customer growth in Washington and Oregon, Cascade periodically needs to expand, replace sections and reinforce its distribution system and infrastructure. In this regard, Cascade currently has only two active financial analysts following and producing financial commentary on our Company. This limits our ability to provide the financial markets with broad expert knowledge of who we are and why we are a good investment choice. When other analysts were asked why they do not follow Cascade, they responded that it is because of Cascade's small size. The general rule seems to be that they do not have time for companies with less than one billion dollars in market capitalization. The financial markets are an important source of investment capital, which is obviously a challenge for a small public company like Cascade.

Direct Testimony of David W. Stevens

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2

REASONS FOR THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION

Q. Why did Cascade decide to explore opportunities for a merger?

3 A. Our board of directors and management have periodically evaluated our long-term 4 strategic objectives and alternatives. This includes the prospects for continued operation 5 as an independent company, as well as relationships with other entities. We have 6 continued to revise and refine these considerations in light of industry developments, the 7 current regulatory environment, and other market factors affecting long-term shareholder 8 value. In pursuing strategies for enhancing shareholder value, we have from time to time 9 considered opportunities for acquisitions, business combinations, and other strategic 10 alternatives. In this case, the board reviewed and discussed our historical and projected 11 financial performance, the price performance of our common stock (including as 12 compared with our peers), and our operations as compared with our regional peers. 13 Those evaluations also considered our regulatory environment, the size of the Company 14 compared with our peers, the population growth and development in our service markets, 15 the current condition of the mergers and acquisitions market, and other relevant information. 16

17

18

Q.

combination?

A. In November 2005, we retained J. P. Morgan Securities, Inc. ("JPMorgan") to assist us
 with reviewing and analyzing our historic operating and financial performance and to

What process did Cascade follow in evaluating opportunities for a business

assist in modeling future expectations as an independent company. JPMorgan was also asked to assist our board of directors with identifying and evaluating the relative merits and feasibility of one or more potential business combinations as well as other potential financial strategic alternatives. From January – March 2006, JPMorgan developed an extensive list of potential companies that might have an interest in entering into a transaction with Cascade, including MDU Resources. With our concurrence, JPMorgan then contacted twenty-one parties, including MDU Resources, regarding their interest in engaging in discussions regarding a potential business combination with Cascade.

In April 2006, we received indications of interest from four parties, including MDU Resources. There followed a period in which these four parties conducted extensive due diligence reviews of Cascade's operating and financial information, met with JPMorgan and Cascade management, and ultimately submitted proposals for our consideration. With the assistance of JPMorgan, Cascade analyzed and evaluated these proposals in light of the potential opportunities and challenges facing the Company as an independent operating entity. Our analysis and evaluations included our costs of remaining an independent public company, personnel and staffing challenges, and other potential operational constraints given the Company's comparative small size.

After further evaluations, Cascade narrowed the discussions of merger opportunities to two of these companies, including MDU Resources. Ultimately, Cascade's board of directors decided to enter into the proposed Transaction and to

Direct Testimony of David W. Stevens

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

recommend approval of the proposed Transaction to Cascade's shareholders. Additional details of this process are set forth in Cascade's Proxy Statement, which accompanies my direct testimony as Exhibit No. ___ (DWS-2).

4 Q. Has the proposed Transaction received the approval of Cascade's shareholders?

A. Yes. Cascade's shareholders approved the proposed Transaction at a special
shareholders meeting on October 27, 2006.

7 Q. Why did Cascade decide to enter into the proposed Transaction?

1

2

3

8 A. As discussed above, after extensive analysis and discussion, Cascade determined that a 9 business combination presented the best opportunity for positioning Cascade to succeed 10 over the long term. Cascade chose to merge with MDU Resources because its proposal 11 provided the greatest value to shareholders with the least transactional risk, while 12 offering operational advantages to customers and employees. The price offered by MDU 13 Resources represented a premium of approximately 23 percent over Cascade's per share 14 price at the time of the offer. JPMorgan provided Cascade's board of directors with an opinion that the consideration offered by MDU Resources was fair to our shareholders, 15 16 from a financial point of view.

Q. Why do you think the proposed Transaction will serve the interests of Cascade's customers?

A. Cascade believes that MDU Resources will continue our tradition of providing excellent
 customer service. Cascade appreciates that MDU Resources is a knowledgeable utility

operator with extensive experience in the natural gas industry. They have experience with acquiring and operating public utilities, and with completing acquisitions. Like Cascade, MDU Resources' utilities serve relatively smaller communities in widely dispersed service territories, and have similar customer profiles to Cascade's. Our board of directors is satisfied that MDU Resources is committed to operating the Company and its businesses successfully by meeting the needs of our customers, employees, and other constituencies. We also believe that MDU Resources will continue Cascade's history of and commitment to providing safe and reliable gas distribution services to our utility customers. We also expect that Cascade's customers will benefit over time from the introduction of MDU Resources' best utility practices into Cascade's operations.

11 Further, MDU Resources' size and financial strength will enable Cascade to have 12 access to adequate capital for utility operations and to meet expansion requirements and 13 will provide Cascade with access to this capital on more competitive terms. A 14 combination with MDU Resources presents the opportunity of lowering Cascade's 15 overall operating expenses over time as certain corporate functions may be combined. 16 This may lead to customer rates that are lower than they would have been without the 17 proposed Transaction. Becoming part of the MDU Resources family of companies will 18 also provide the potential for expanded career paths for Cascade's employees and the 19 ability to compete for highly qualified management as a part of a larger company, as will 20 likely provide better training opportunities as well. In addition, we understand that MDU

Direct Testimony of David W. Stevens

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Resources has a history of retaining companies it acquires. This stability and long-term focus are in our customers' and employees' interests.

From previous acquisitions made by MDU Resources in this area, we found they retained the company name and continued a positive culture. The same should be true here, as Cascade will become a wholly owned subsidiary. This further demonstrates MDU Resources' commitment to using its size and financial strength to Cascade's customer and employee benefit.

- Q. Does the proposed Transaction present any risk of harm to Cascade's customers or
 the public generally?
- A. I am not aware of any risks that the proposed Transaction presents either to the
 Company's customers or to the public generally. To the contrary, Cascade's customers
 will benefit from the proposed Transaction, for the reasons I mentioned above.
- 13 Q. Do you recommend that the Commission approve the proposed Transaction?
- 14 A. Yes, I do, without any qualification or reservation.
- 15 **Q.** Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.

1

2