EXHIBIT NO.	(CJB-5)
DOCKET NO.	,
2003 POWER COST ONLY	RATE CASE
WITNESS: CHARL	

## BEFORE THE

## WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISS	O ION,	
	Complainant,	Docket No.
<b>v.</b>		
PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,		
	Respondent.	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CHARLES J. BLACK ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.

Ex.	_(CJB-5)	

## Exhibit CJB-5 Comparison of Need Between Least Cost Plans, With and Without Conservation

Need Comp	parison Between Le	ast Cost Plans
2004	With Conservation	Without Conservation
April 2003 Least Cost Plan	385 aMW	427 aMW
August 2003 LCP Update	414 aMW	436 aMW
2013	With	Without
2010	Conservation	Conservation
April 2003 Least Cost Plan	1551 aMW	1729 aMW
August 2003 LCP Update	1530 aMW	1715 aMW

## Notes:

Analysis for the April 2003 Least Cost Plan used the load forecast **after** it had been decremented by new conservation savings.

Analysis for the August Least Cost Plan Update used the load forecast **before** new conservation savings, thereby allowing fully integrated analysis of conservation and generation resources.