Exh. JNS-10T Docket TP-220513 Witness: Jaclynn Simmons

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

DOCKET TP-220513

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND PILOTS,

Respondent.

CROSS-ANSWERING TESTIMONY OF

JACLYNN SIMMONS

STAFF OF WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Individual Expense Account Adjustments

March 3, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|------|--|---|
| II. | SCOPE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY | 1 |
| III. | DISCUSSION | 2 |
| | A. Correction to JNS-2 | 2 |
| | B. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Accounts | 3 |
| | C. Fuel Expenses for Seattle and Juan de Fuca | 5 |
| | D. Legal and Consulting Expenses | 6 |

| 1 | | I. INTRODUCTION |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. |
| 4 | A. | My name is Jaclynn Simmons, and my business address is 621 Woodland Square |
| 5 | | Loop SE, Lacey, Washington, 98503. |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Q. | Are you the same Jaclynn Simmons who filed testimony in this docket on |
| 8 | | February 10, 2023, on behalf of Commission Staff? |
| 9 | A. | Yes. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | II. SCOPE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Q. | What is the scope and purpose of your cross-answering testimony? |
| 14 | A. | I offer a correction to an exhibit submitted with my direct testimony, and I present |
| 15 | | Staff's response to testimony from Pacific Merchant Shipping Association (PMSA) |
| 16 | | witnesses Captain Michael Moore and Kathleen Nalty concerning Diversity, Equity, |
| 17 | | and Inclusion (DEI); fuel costs; and legal expenses. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Q. | What adjustments do you address in your cross-answering testimony? |
| 20 | A. | I address the following expense accounts: |
| 21 | | Account 50880-009 DEI Donations |
| 22 | | • Account 52560-008 Puget Sound, Fuel |
| 23 | | • Account 52660-008 Juan de Fuca, Fuel |
| | | |

| 1 | Q. | Please summarize your recommendations. |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | А. | Staff recommends that the Commission follow Staff's adjustments for the DEI |
| 3 | | accounts and only allow the training expense of \$20,000 in the rates but review the |
| 4 | | DEI donation account at the next general rate case if PSP supplies the proper support |
| 5 | | for the account. Staff further recommends the Commission to follow Staff's |
| 6 | | adjustment in fuel costs by using the Commission's practice of the most current 12 |
| 7 | | months of fuel costs. Finally, Staff recommends that the Commission use Order 09 to |
| 8 | | decide on amortization of the fees regarding legal and consulting costs. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Q. | Have you prepared any exhibits in support of your testimony? |
| 11 | A. | Yes. Staff revised JNS-2, with the revised exhibit designated as JNS-2r. |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | III. DISCUSSION |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | A. Correction to JNS-2 |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Q. | Did you have any corrections or revisions to the exhibits you have previously |
| 18 | | filed? |
| 19 | А. | Yes. Based on data requests, Staff has revised JNS-2 to correlate to Staff's |
| 20 | | workpapers for Pilotage fees earned, license fees-pilots, pilot pension, and B&O |
| 21 | | taxes. |
| 22 | | |
| | | |

23

| 1 | Q. | What specifically does Staff wish to revise? |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | A. | Staff inadvertently left out a few adjustments that were in Staff's workpapers but not |
| 3 | | listed in Staff's Exh. JNS-2. Those accounts and adjustments are as follows: (1) |
| 4 | | Pilotage fees earned was listed as \$5,593,349 and should have been \$5,469,798; (2) |
| 5 | | License fees-pilots, Staff missed adding in PSP's pro forma amount of \$19,500; (3) |
| 6 | | Pilot pension, Staff missed adding in PSP's pro forma amount of \$902,438; and (4) |
| 7 | | B&O taxes should have been included in the amount of \$105,046 but was listed as |
| 8 | | \$107,359. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | B. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) Accounts |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | Q. | Did Staff review PMSA witness Captain Moore's testimony concerning the DEI |
| 13 | | Accounts? |
| 14 | A. | Yes. Staff also requested more information, which PMSA provided in response to a |
| 15 | | data request. |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Q. | What does witness Captain Moore recommend regarding the DEI costs? |
| 18 | A. | Captain Moore suggested an adjustment of \$22,150 for DEI training costs to be |
| 19 | | allowed into rates in his response to Data Request No. 1 from Staff. He also suggests |
| 20 | | that the expenditures booked in the DEI Donation account should not be allowed into |
| 21 | | rates as this account includes memberships and dues because PMSA contends that |
| 22 | | these membership costs are not recoverable in rates. |
| | | |

23

| 1 | Q. | Does Staff agree with the DEI adjustments made by PMSA? |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | A. | Yes. Staff agrees with PMSA that DEI training costs should be allowed as an |
| 3 | | expense, but Staff recommends the amount PSP had in the Results of Operations, |
| 4 | | \$20,000. Staff makes this recommendation because that is the properly supported |
| 5 | | amount that PSP put into their rate calculations after removing \$2,150 incurred for |
| 6 | | membership dues, which Staff agrees should not be in rates. |
| 7 | | Staff also agrees that the costs in the DEI donation account should not be |
| 8 | | allowed as expenses. This results from the fact that PSP did not provide Staff with |
| 9 | | evidence of known and measurable costs associated with this account as explained in |
| 10 | | JNS-1T. |
| 11 | | Staff further recommends that the Commission review this account (DEI |
| 12 | | Donations) in another general rate case and consider allowing recovery of any future |
| 13 | | costs if PSP can properly support its claims with evidence. |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | Q. | Did Staff review the testimony of Katheen Nalty regarding DEI? |
| 16 | A. | Yes. |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | Q. | Does Staff have any recommendations regarding Ms. Nalty's testimony? |
| 19 | A. | Staff does not have any recommendations regarding Ms. Nalty's testimony. Staff |
| 20 | | agrees on the importance of DEI work and Staff stands by the adjustments in the |
| 21 | | testimony of JNS-1T. |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |

| 1 | Q. | Does this conclude your response to the DEI accounts? |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | A. | Yes. |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | C. Fuel Expenses for Seattle and Juan de Fuca |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Q. | Did Staff review PMSA witness Captain Moore's recommendation for the fuel |
| 7 | | expense account? |
| 8 | A. | Yes. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Q. | What did PMSA witness Captain Moore recommend concerning the fuel |
| 11 | | expense account? |
| 12 | A. | Captain Moore agreed with how PSP adjusted for the higher costs of fuel by taking |
| 13 | | the most current fuel price, \$4.39 per gallon, and multiplying that unit cost by the |
| 14 | | total average gallons used over the last five years to get the new fuel costs. |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Q. | What are Staff's recommendations? |
| 17 | А. | Staff recommends that the Commission reject PMSA's proposed adjustment. The |
| 18 | | Commission generally uses the average cost of fuel over a 12-month period when |
| 19 | | making adjustments for fuel costs. Staff believes that this best captures the |
| 20 | | fluctuation in fuel costs without overstating costs. The Commission should apply the |
| 21 | | same procedure here and base the adjustment on the 12-month average cost of fuel. |
| 22 | | Staff, in its adjustment, used the June 2021-June 2022 data to calculate fuel costs, |
| 23 | | and the Commission should base rates on the number produced by Staff. Staff would |

| 1 | | have preferred using a more recent 12-month average based on the months of |
|----|----|--|
| 2 | | January 2022- December 2022, but PSP could not or would not supply the invoices |
| 3 | | necessary to use that data. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Q. | Are there any other recommendations for this account adjustment by PMSA? |
| 6 | A. | No. |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | D. Legal and Consulting Expenses |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Q. | Did Staff review the testimony of Captain Moore regarding Legal and |
| 11 | | Consulting expenses? |
| 12 | A. | Yes. |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Q. | Does Staff have any recommendations for Legal and Consulting expenses? |
| 15 | A. | Yes. Staff would like to reiterate Staff's recommendations from JNS-1T. |
| 16 | | Specifically, Staff recommends that the Commission allow the amortization of rate |
| 17 | | case specific items over three years and allow the amortization of incurred litigation |
| 18 | | expenses over seven years. Staff's recommendation accords with the Commission's |
| 19 | | general practices and the guidance offered by the Commission in Order 09 in PSP's |
| 20 | | last rate case. Because seven years have not yet elapsed since PSP's last rate case, |
| 21 | | Staff recommends amortizing the remaining amounts over the rest of the seven-year |
| 22 | | period for years 2024, 2025, 2026 and 2027. This amount for Legal fees is \$56,118 |
| 23 | | and for Consulting fees is \$16,837 as stated in JNS-1T. |
| | | |

CROSS-ANSWERING TESTIMONY OF JACLYNN SIMMONS Docket TP-220513

| 1 | | PMSA expresses concern with the increasing costs of legal and consulting |
|---------|-----------------|--|
| 2 | | fees. Staff understands this concern. Staff asked PSP to provide invoices for some of |
| 3 | | these consulting fees; PSP in answer provided redacted invoices that only included |
| 4 | | the cost and dates. Given the lack of verifiable cost data, Staff witness Mike Young |
| 5 | | recommended an adjustment to remove these costs, reducing the revenue |
| 6 | | requirement by \$117,697.00. |
| 7 | | |
| | | |
| 8 | Q. | Does Staff have any more recommendations to Legal and Consulting expenses |
| 8 9 | Q. | Does Staff have any more recommendations to Legal and Consulting expenses in the testimony of Captain Moore? |
| | Q. A. | |
| 9 | | in the testimony of Captain Moore? |
| 9 10 | | in the testimony of Captain Moore? |