**AVISTA CORP.**

### RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

# JURISDICTION: WASHINGTON DATE PREPARED: 04/18/2014

# CASE NO: UE-140188 & UG-140189 WITNESS: Patrick Ehrbar

# REQUESTER: Public Counsel RESPONDER: Patrick Ehrbar

# TYPE: Data Request DEPT: State & Federal Regulation

# REQUEST NO.: PC-130 TELEPHONE: (509)495-8620

EMAIL: pat.ehrbar@avistacorp.com

**REQUEST:**

**Re: Avista’s Response to Public Counsel Data Request No. 90.**

1. For each generation resource that produced/produces RECs and associated REC revenues included in years 2012, 2013, and forecasted for 2014, 2015 and Jan. 1-Jun. 30, 2016, please explain, in detail, how each resource is allocated under the Company’s proposed cost of service study.
2. Does the Company agree that REC revenues should be allocated in the same manner the generation resource that produced the REC revenues is allocated? If no, please explain why not.

**RESPONSE:**

1. In the Company’s proposed Cost of Service Study (as discussed on page 3 and 4 of Exhibit No. \_\_(TLK-3)), all production costs are allocated 31.27% by 12CP Demand and 68.73% by generation level Energy consumption.
2. As discussed in the Company’s response to PC\_DR\_090, the Company does not agree that allocating the net REC revenue rebate on generation plant is appropriate. RECs are the byproduct of qualifying renewable energy, and because they are energy related (as opposed to capacity related), the net REC revenues should be spread on an equal cents per energy (kWh) basis.